

# Studies in Education Policy



June 2010

## Report Card on Secondary Schools in British Columbia and Yukon 2010

by Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas





JUNE 2010

# **Report Card on Secondary Schools in British Columbia and Yukon 2010**

*By Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas*

# Contents

<i>Introduction .....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>Key academic indicators of school performance .....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>Other indicators of school performance .....</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Detailed school reports .....</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>How does your school stack up?.....</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>Appendix 1: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10 .....</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>About the authors and Acknowledgments .....</i>	<i>43</i>

# Introduction

The *Report Card on Secondary Schools in British Columbia and Yukon* collects a variety of relevant, objective indicators of school performance into one easily accessible, public document so that all interested parties—parents, school administrators, teachers, students, and taxpayers—can analyze and compare the performance of individual schools. Parents use the *Report Card's* indicator values, ratings, and rankings to compare schools when they choose an education provider for their children. Parents and school administrators use the results to identify areas of academic performance in which improvement can be made.

## **The Report Card helps parents choose**

Where parents can choose among several schools for their children, the *Report Card* provides a valuable tool for making a decision. Because it makes comparisons easy, the *Report Card* alerts parents to those nearby schools that appear to have more effective academic programs. Parents can also determine whether schools of interest are improving over time. By first studying the *Report Card*, parents will be better prepared to ask relevant questions when they interview the principal and teachers at the schools under consideration.

Of course, the choice of a school should not be made solely on the basis of any one source of information. Families choosing a school for their students should seek to confirm the *Report Card's* findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers and school administrators. Parents who already have a child enrolled at the school can provide another point of view. Useful information may also be found on the web sites of the ministry of education, local school boards, and

individual schools. In addition, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*. Nevertheless, the *Report Card* provides a detailed picture of each school that is not easily available elsewhere.

## **The Report Card facilitates school improvement**

Certainly, the act of publicly rating and ranking schools attracts attention; attention can provide motivation. Schools that perform well or show consistent improvement are applauded. Poorly performing schools generate concern, as do those whose performance is deteriorating. This inevitable attention provides an incentive for all those connected with a school to focus on student results.

However, the *Report Card* offers more than motivation; it also offers opportunity. The *Report Card* includes a variety of indicators, each of which reports results for an aspect of school performance that might be improved. School administrators who are dedicated to improvement accept the *Report Card* as another source of opportunities for improvement.

### *Some schools do better than others*

To improve a school, one must believe that improvement is achievable. This *Report Card* provides evidence about what can be accomplished. It demonstrates clearly that, even when we take into account factors such as the students' family backgrounds, which some believe dictate the degree of academic success that students will have in school, some schools do better than others. This finding confirms the results of research carried out in other countries.<sup>1</sup> Indeed, it will come as no

great surprise to experienced parents and educators that the data consistently suggest that what goes on in the schools makes a difference to academic results and that some schools make more of a difference than others.

### *Comparisons are at the heart of the improvement process*

Comparative and historical data enable parents and school administrators to gauge their school's effectiveness more accurately. By comparing a school's latest results with those of earlier years, they can see if the school is improving. By comparing a school's results with those of neighbouring schools and of schools with similar school and student characteristics, they can identify more successful schools and learn from them. Reference to overall provincial results places an individual school's level of achievement in a broader context.

There is great benefit in identifying schools that are particularly effective. By studying the techniques used in schools where students are successful, less effective schools may find ways to improve. This advantage is not lost on the United Kingdom's Department of Education and Skills. Its "Leading Edge" program<sup>2</sup> helps educators connect with others who have expertise in particular areas of instruction and school administration.

Comparisons are at the heart of improvement: making comparisons among schools is made simpler and more meaningful by the *Report Card's* indicators, ratings, and rankings.

## **You can contribute to the *Report Card's* development**

The *Report Card* program benefits from the input of interested parties. We welcome your suggestions, comments, and criticisms. Please call Michael Thomas, Associate Director of School Performance Studies at 416.363.6575.

## **Notes**

- 1 See, for instance, Michael Rutter et al., *Fifteen Thousand Hours: Secondary Schools and Their Effects on Children* (Harvard University Press, 1979); Peter Mortimore et al., *School Matters: The Junior Years* (Open Books, 1988).
- 2 See the website of *Leading Edge*, <<http://www.standards.dfes.gov.uk/leadingedge/>>.

# Key academic indicators of school performance

The foundation of the *Report Card* is an overall rating of each school's academic performance. Building on data about student results provided by the Ministry of Education,<sup>1</sup> we rate each school on a scale from zero to 10. We base our overall rating of each school's academic performance on seven indicators:

- (1) the average exam mark in the grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 courses that include a mandatory provincial exam;
- (2) percentage of grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 mandatory provincial examinations failed;
- (3) average difference by which the school mark exceeds the examination mark in the courses considered in (1) and (2) above;
- (4) difference between male and female students in their exam mark in English 10 only;
- (5) difference between male and female students in their exam mark in Mathematics 10 only;
- (6) graduation rate;
- (7) delayed advancement rate.

We have selected this set of indicators because they provide systematic insight into a school's performance. Because they are based on annually generated data, we can assess not only each school's performance in a year but also its improvement or deterioration over time.

## Three indicators of effective teaching

### 1 *Average mandatory examination marks*

This indicator (in the tables *Average exam mark*) is the average percentage achieved by a school's students on the grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 final examinations in all of the courses that include a mandatory provincial exam.<sup>2</sup> For each school, the indicator is the average of the mean scores achieved by the school's students in each of these mandatory examinations at all sittings during the year, weighted by the relative number of students who wrote the examination.

Examinations are designed to achieve a distribution of results reflecting the differences in students' mastery of the course work. Differences among students in interests, abilities, motivation, and work-habits will inevitably have some impact upon the final results. There are, however, recognizable differences from school to school within a district in the average results on the provincial examinations. There is also variation within schools in the results obtained in different subject areas. Such differences in outcomes cannot be wholly explained by the individual and family characteristics of the school's students. It seems reasonable, therefore, to include the average examination mark for each school as one indicator of effective teaching.

### 2 *Percentage of provincial examinations failed*

For each school, this indicator (in the tables *Percentage of exams failed*) provides the rate of failure (as a percentage) in the grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 mandatory provincial examinations. It was derived by dividing the sum, for each school, of all the mandatory provincial examinations written where a failing grade was awarded by the total number of such examinations written by the students of that school.

In part, effective teaching can be measured by the ability of the students to pass any uniform examination that is a requirement for successful completion of a course. Schools have the responsibility of preparing their students to pass these final examinations.

### 3 *Difference between school mark and examination mark*

For each school, this indicator (in the tables *School vs exam mark difference*) gives the average amount (for all grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 courses with a mandatory provincial exam) by which the “school” mark—the assessment of each student’s learning that is made by the school—exceeds the exam mark in that course.<sup>3</sup>

Effective teaching includes regular testing so that students may be aware of their progress. For such assessment to be useful, it must accurately reflect the student’s understanding of the course. As a systematic policy, inflation of school-awarded grades will be counterproductive. Students who believe they are already successful when they are not will be less likely to invest the extra effort needed to master the course material. In the end, they will be poorer for not having achieved the level of understanding that they could have gained through additional study.

The effectiveness of school-based assessments can be determined by a comparison to external assessments of the students. In each course that includes a mandatory provincial examination, the Ministry of Education, the same authority that designed the course, administers a uniform examination. This examination will test the students’ knowledge of the material contained in the course. If the marks assigned by the school are a reasonably accurate reflection of students’ understanding, they should be roughly the same as the mark gained on the provincial examination. Thus, if a school has accurately assessed a student as consistently working at a C+ level, the student’s examination result will be at a similar level. If, however, a school is consistently granting marks substantially higher than those achieved by its students on the final examinations, then the school is not providing an accurate indicator of the extent to which knowledge of the course material is being acquired.

## **An indicator of consistency in teaching and assessment**

### *The Gender gap indicators*

Research<sup>4</sup> has shown systematic sex-based differences in academic results in British Columbia’s secondary schools. However, the same research found that “there appears to be no compelling evidence that girls and boys should, given effective teaching and counselling, experience differential rates of success.”<sup>5</sup> Further, “[t]he differences described by each indicator vary from school to school over a considerable range of values.”<sup>6</sup>

The *Gender gap* indicators measure the difference, if any, in the average exam marks in Mathematics 10 and English 10 for boys and girls. The indicator reports the size of the difference and the more successful sex.

## **Two indicators of practical, well-informed counselling**

While they are attending secondary school, students must make a number of decisions of considerable significance about their education. Once they have reached the age of 16, for instance, they are at liberty to continue or end their educational program.<sup>7</sup> Before grade 10, they are required to choose between different streams in Mathematics. They will annually decide whether to begin or continue the study of a second language.

Will these young people make good decisions? It is unrealistic to presume that they can do so without advice. What practical, well-informed counselling can they call upon? While parents, in the main, are willing to help, many lack the information they need to be able to provide good advice. It falls, therefore, to the schools to shoulder some responsibility for advising students and their parents about educational choices.

The final two indicators used in the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10* assess the counsel given by the schools by measuring the quality of the decisions taken by the students about their education. Of course, wise students will seek guidance not only from the counsellors designated by the schools but also from



teachers and administrators, parents, and other relatives. Where students have strong support from family and community, the school's responsibility for counselling may be lighter; where students do not have such strong support, the school's role may be more challenging. These indicators measure the school's success in using the tools at its disposal to help students make good decisions about their education.

Of the decisions that senior students must make, perhaps the most important is the decision to remain in school, do the work, and graduate with their class. Effective counselling will encourage students to make appropriate choices.

### 1 *Delayed advancement rate*

This indicator measures the extent to which schools keep their students in school and progressing in a timely manner toward completion of their graduation program. It uses data that report the educational status of students one year after they have enrolled in a given grade at a school in British Columbia. For example, we can determine from these data how many of a school's grade-10 students re-enroll in the following year in grade 11; are enrolled in grade 10 for a second time; or fail to re-enroll. With these raw data, following a technique that we introduced to Canada in the *Report Card on Quebec's Secondary Schools, 2001 Edition*,<sup>8</sup> we calculate a statistic that will answer the question, "Based on this single year's school results, what is the likelihood that a student entering grade 10 at the school will graduate in the normal three-year period?"

The indicator is calculated as follows. For each school, for each of grades 10, 11, and 12, a rate of successful transition is determined by first summing the number of students who either graduate in the current school year or re-enroll in a higher grade in the following year and then dividing that sum by the number of students enrolled in the grade in the current year. Then, for each grade, a dropout rate is determined by subtracting the rate of successful transition from 1. Each of the three dropout rates is then reduced by the grade-8 dropout rate at the school to produce a net dropout rate for each grade. We have adopted the grade-8 drop-out rate as an estimate of the "involuntary" drop-out rate

caused by events such as emigration or death that lead to the disappearance of students from the school system.

The *Delayed advancement rate* indicator can now be calculated. The complement of the net dropout rates ( $1 - \text{net drop-out rate}$ ) for grades 10 through 12 is determined and their product is calculated. This three-year composite successful transition rate is then subtracted from 1 to produce the *Delayed advancement rate* indicator that appears in the detailed tables.

Where a school does not enroll grade-8 students, the net dropout rate is calculated using the weighted average grade-8 dropout rate for all the schools in the relevant school district. Where a school does not enroll grade-10 or grade-11 students, no *Delayed advancement rate* can be calculated. The relative weighting in the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10* that is given to this and the other indicators is explained in the Appendix.

### 2 *Graduation rate*

This indicator, related to the *Delayed advancement rate*, compares the number of students eligible to graduate enrolled in the school on September 30 with the number of students who actually graduate by the end of the same school year. Only those enrollees who are capable of graduating with their class within the current school year are included in the count of eligible graduates.

Graduation from secondary school retains considerable value since it increases options for post-secondary education. Further, graduates from secondary school who decide to enter the work force immediately will, on average, find more job opportunities than those who have not graduated. By completing the 11 years of schooling in preparation for the final secondary school year, students have already demonstrated a reasonable ability to handle the basic courses offered by the school. Moreover, for the majority of students, the minimum requirements for graduation are not onerous. The chance that students will not graduate solely because they are unable to meet the intellectual demands of the curriculum is, therefore, relatively small.

Nevertheless, the graduation rate varies quite widely from school to school throughout the province. While there are factors not related to education—emigration from the province, sickness, death, and the like—that

can affect the data, there is no reason to expect these factors to influence particular schools systematically. Accordingly, we take variations in the graduation rate to be an indicator of the extent to which students are being well coached in their educational choices.

## In general, how is the school doing academically? The Overall rating out of 10

While each of the indicators is important, it is almost always the case that a school does better on some indicators than on others. So, just as a teacher must make a decision about a student's overall performance, we need an overall indicator of school performance (in the tables *Overall rating out of 10*). Just as teachers combine test scores, homework, and class participation to rate a student, we have combined all the indicators to produce an overall school rating. The overall rating of school performance answers the question, "In general, how is the school doing, academically compared to others in the *Report Card*?"

To derive this rating, the results for each of the indicators for each school year were first standardized. Standardization is a statistical procedure whereby sets of raw data with different characteristics are converted into sets of values with "standard" statistical properties. Standardized values can readily be combined and compared.

The standardized data were then combined as required to produce seven standardized scores—one for each indicator—for each school, for each year. The standardized scores were weighted and combined to produce an overall standardized score. Finally, this score was converted into an overall rating out of 10. It is from this *Overall rating out of 10* that the school's provincial rank is determined. For schools teaching only one sex, there are, of course, no results for the *Gender gap* indicators. In these cases, the *Overall rating* is derived using the remaining seven indicators. (See the Appendix for an explanation of the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10*.)

Finally, note that the Overall rating out of 10, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That

is, in order for a school to show improvement in its overall rating, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

## Notes

- 1 The data from which these indicators are derived is provided by British Columbia's Ministry of Education.
- 2 In 2008/2009 school year, mandatory provincial examinations were administered in the following grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 subjects: Applications of Mathematics 10; BC First Nations Studies 12; Civic Studies 11; Communications 12; English 10; English 12; Essentials of Mathematics 10; Français Langue Première 10; Français Langue Première 12; Principles of Mathematics 10; Science 10; Social Studies 11; and Technical Professional Communications 12.
- 3 A student's final mark for all courses that include a mandatory provincial examination is derived from both the mark received on the course's provincial examination and a mark provided by the school.
- 4 Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, Public Policy Sources 22 (Fraser Institute, 1999).
- 5 Cowley and Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades*, page 7.
- 6 Cowley and Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades*, page 17.
- 7 See *School Act*, BC, Part II, Section 3, Sub-section 1b.
- 8 Richard Marceau and Peter Cowley, *Report Card on Quebec's Secondary Schools: 2001 Edition*, Studies in Education Policy (Fraser Institute, 2001), pages 8–9.

# Other indicators of school performance

Since the inception of the *Report Card*, we have added other indicators that, while they are not used to derive the *Overall rating out of 10*, add more information about a school's effectiveness.

## The Socioeconomic indicator

When they design their lesson plans and deliver the curriculum, educators can and should take into account the abilities, interests, and backgrounds of their students. By doing so, educators can overcome disadvantages that their students may have. The socioeconomic indicator enables us to identify schools that are successful despite adverse conditions faced by their students at home. Similarly, it identifies schools where students with a relatively positive home situation appear not to be reaching their presumed potential.

The socioeconomic indicator was derived as follows. First, using enrolment data from the Ministry of Education sorted by Dissemination Area (a census geography) and 2006 census data provided by Statistics Canada, we established a profile of the student body's home characteristics for each of the schools in the *Report Card*. We then used multiple regression analysis to determine which of the home characteristics were associated with variations in school performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10*. Taking into account all of the socioeconomic variables simultaneously, we identified one characteristic that was significantly associated with the *Overall rating*: the average parental income from wages, salaries, and unemployment. When a school had higher income parents, the *Overall rating* at the school was likely to be higher.<sup>1</sup>

As a measure of the success with which each school took into account the socioeconomic characteristics of

the student body, we used the formula derived from the regression analysis to predict the *Overall rating* for each school. We then reported the difference (in the tables *Actual rating vs predicted rating based on parents' avg. inc.*) between the actual *Overall rating* and this predicted value in each school's results table.

For example, during the 2008/2009 school year, J.N. Burnett Secondary School, a public school in Richmond, achieved an *Overall rating* of 6.9 and yet, when the average parental income of the student body is taken into account, the school was expected to achieve a rating of only about 5.6. The difference of 1.3 is reported in the tables. On the other hand, the actual *Overall rating* of Hugh Boyd Secondary School, another public school in Richmond was 5.5, although its predicted rating was 6.0. The reported difference for Hugh Boyd Secondary is -0.5. This measurement suggests that J.N. Burnett is more successful than Hugh Boyd in enabling all of its students to reach their potential.

This measure of the effect of the socioeconomic background of a school's student body is presented with two important notes of caution. First, only about 30% of the variation among BC schools in the overall rating is associated with average parental income. Clearly, many other factors—including good teaching, counselling, and school administration—contribute to the effectiveness of schools. Second, the statistical measures used describe past relationships between a socioeconomic characteristic and a measure of school effectiveness. These relationships may not remain static. The more effectively the school enables all of its students to succeed, the weaker will be the relationship between the home characteristics of its students and their academic success. Thus, this socioeconomic indicator should not be used as an excuse or rationale for

poor school performance. The effective school will produce good results, regardless of the family background of its students.

### **The *Student characteristics* indicators**

For each public school, the *Report Card* notes the percentage of its students who are enrolled in ESL programs, in French Immersion programs, or who have identified special needs. As was noted in the

introduction, it is sometimes useful to compare a school's results to those of similar schools. These three indicators can be used to identify schools with similar student-body characteristics.

### **Notes**

- 1 Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Third Annual Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, Studies in Education Policy (Fraser Institute, 2000), pages 12, 119.

# Detailed school reports

## How to read the tables

Use the sample table and the explanation of each line below to help you interpret the detailed results for individual schools. Families choosing a school for their students should seek to confirm the *Report Card*'s findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers, school administrators, and other parents. And, of course, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*.

More information regarding schools may be found on the Ministry of Education's web site at <http://www.gov.bc.ca/bced/> and on the web sites of local school districts and individual schools.

---

**IMPORTANT — In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.**

---

SCHOOL DISTRICT						
School name [Affiliation] City			Gr 12 Enrollment: 176 — A			
B —	ESL (%): 24.0	Special needs (%): 3.6	French Imm (%): 0.0			
C —	Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,500: 0.9		Rank:	2008-09 142/288	Last 5 Years 149/264	
	<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
D —	Average exam mark	69.2	67.8	66.8	69.8	68.6
E —	Percentage of exams failed	14.1	12.8	11.2	7.4	10.0
F —	School vs exam mark difference	5.2	4.5	3.3	1.1	2.3
G —	English gender gap	M 0.6	F 3.0	F 3.6	F 3.6	F 3.3
H —	Math gender gap	M 1.5	F 0.4	M 0.8	M 1.1	F 0.9
I —	Graduation rate	85.1	88.8	90.1	94.7	95.3
J —	Delayed advancement rate	41.7	44.8	26.1	37.8	34.1
K —	<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>5.9</b>

### A—Gr 12 Enrollment

The grade-12 enrollment on September 30, 2008. Indicator results for small schools tend to be more variable than do those for larger schools and caution should be used in interpreting the results for smaller schools.

### B—ESL (%); Special needs (%); French Imm (%)

These statistics report the percentage of students for whom English is a second-language; the percentage of

students with special needs; and the percentage of students registered in French Immersion programs at the school. When you want to compare academic results, these statistics can be used to find other schools where the student body has similar characteristics.

### C (left)—Actual rating vs predicted based on average parental employment income

This statistic compares the school's actual *Overall rating out of 10* with the rating that is predicted by the average

parental employment income in each student's family. A positive difference suggests that the school is effective in enabling its students to succeed regardless of their family's characteristics.

### *C (right)—Academic ranking*

The school's overall academic rank in the province for 2008/2009 and for the most recent five years. These rankings show how the school has done academically compared to the other schools in the province. A high ranking over five years indicates consistently strong results at the school.

### *D—Average exam mark*

The average provincial mark (%) achieved by the school's students in all the grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 courses in which the provincial exam is mandatory.

### *E—Percentage of exams failed*

The percentage of all the mandatory grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 provincial examinations written by students at the school that received a failing grade.

### *F—School vs exam mark difference*

The average difference (in percentage points) between the mark received at the school and the provincial examination mark in all the courses in which the provincial exam is mandatory. A large difference usually indicates that the school has been "inflating" grades.

### *G—English 10 gender gap*

### *H—Math 10 gender gap*

The difference (in percentage points) between boys' and girls' average exam marks in English 10 and Math 10. Where the difference favours girls, the value is preceded by an **F**; where the difference favours boys, the value is preceded by an **M**. An **E** means that there is no difference between the girls and the boys on this measure. Small differences indicate that the school is doing a good job for all its students.

### *I—Graduation rate*

The percentage of eligible graduates enrolled on September 30 who actually graduate in the same school year. Higher

rates of graduation indicate that the school is doing a good job of keeping students on track and focused on their work during their final year.

### *J—Delayed advancement rate*

The estimated percentage of the schools grade-10 students who will not complete grade 12 within three years. Low *Delayed advancement rates* indicate that the school's students are likely to complete the last three grades of secondary school in the normal time.

### *K—Overall rating out of 10*

The *Overall rating out of 10* takes into account the school's performance on all of these indicators and answers the question, "In general, how is the school doing in academics compared to other schools in the *Report Card*?"

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its overall rating, it must improve at a greater rate than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

## **Other notes**

### *Note 1*

Due to the substantial changes to the report card's design that are introduced in this and the previous edition, care should be taken when making comparisons between a school's 2007 and 2008 results and those of previous years.

### *Note 2*

The tables showing the detailed school results are organized by five geographic regions as follows: (1) Lower Mainland, (2) Vancouver Island and the Coast, (3) Fraser Valley and Southern British Columbia, (4) Interior, Northern British Columbia, and (5) Yukon. Within each geographic region, school districts are grouped alphabetically. Finally, within each school district, both public and independent (private) schools are listed alphabetically.

**Note 3**

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. Of all the schools for which any mandatory provincial examination results were reported, this *Report Card* rated 288. Excluded are schools at which fewer than 15 students were enrolled in grade 12 and schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an *Overall rating out of 10*. Also excluded from the ratings and rankings are: centres for adult education and continuing education; schools that cater largely to non-resident foreign students; and certain alternative schools that do not offer a full program of courses.

**The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* should not be construed as a judgement of the school's effectiveness.**

**Note 4**

Where there were insufficient data available with which to calculate an indicator or where a school was not in operation during a specific year, "n/a" appears in the tables.

**Note 5**

The complete *Report Card on Secondary Schools in British Columbia and Yukon* may be downloaded from the Fraser Institute's web site at <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org/reportcards/schoolperformance/>>.

**Note 6**

Due to continuing improvements in methodology, some historical values for indicators and overall ratings are different from those previously reported.

**Note 7**

You can compare a school's results with the all-schools results shown below.

**Note 8**

If you have questions about the *Report Card*, please contact Michael Thomas at the Fraser Institute at 416.363.6575.

Average values for all schools, 2008/2009		Gr 12 Enrollment: 168			
ESL (%): 3.6	Special needs (%): 10.4				French Imm (%): 13.6
Average Parent's Income: \$68,500					
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark	70.1	67.9	66.9	68.7	68.6
Percentage of exams failed	9.2	11.9	11.8	9.1	8.7
School vs exam mark difference	6.0	6.2	5.8	4.1	4.4
English gender gap*	2.5	2.6	3.8	6.0	4.5
Math gender gap*	2.2	2.4	3.0	3.3	2.6
Graduation rate	95.2	94.7	93.6	94.9	95.8
Delayed advancement rate	22.3	22.0	20.8	23.1	19.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>

\* These results reflect the average size of the gender gaps. In 2008/2009, the English gender gap favoured females at 93.0% of schools, males at 6.6% of schools, and was even at 0.4% schools. The Math gender gap favoured females at 64.2% of schools, males at 35.4% of schools, and was even at 0.4% schools.



## List of cities and school districts

City	School district	City	School district
100 Mile House	Cariboo-Chilcotin	Kaslo	Kootenay Lake
Abbotsford	Abbotsford	Kelowna	Central Okanagan
Agassiz	Fraser-Cascade	Keremeos	Okanagan Similkameen
Aldergrove	Langley	Kimberley	Rocky Mountain
Armstrong	North Okanagan-Shuswap	Kitimat	Coast Mountains
Ashcroft	Gold Trail	Ladysmith	Nanaimo-Ladysmith
Barriere	Kamloops/Thompson	Lake Cowichan	Cowichan Valley
Burnaby	Burnaby	Langley	Langley
Burns Lake	Nechako Lakes	Lillooet	Gold Trail
Campbell River	Campbell River	Lumby	Vernon
Castlegar	Kootenay-Columbia	Mackenzie	Prince George
Chase	Kamloops/Thompson	Madeira Park	Sunshine Coast
Chemainus	Cowichan Valley	Maple Ridge	Maple Ridge-Pitt Meadows
Chetwynd	Peace River South	McBride	Prince George
Chilliwack	Chilliwack	Merritt	Nicola-Similkameen
Clearwater	Kamloops/Thompson	Midway	Boundary
Comox	Comox Valley	Mill Bay	Cowichan Valley
Coquitlam	Coquitlam	Mission	Mission
Courtenay	Comox Valley	Nakusp	Arrow Lakes
Cranbrook	Southeast Kootenay	Nanaimo	Nanaimo-Ladysmith
Creston	Kootenay Lake	Nelson	Kootenay Lake
Dawson Creek	Peace River South	New Aiyansh	Nisga'a
Delta	Delta	New Westminster	New Westminster
Duncan	Cowichan Valley	North Vancouver	North Vancouver
Elkford	Southeast Kootenay	Oliver	Okanagan Similkameen
Enderby	North Okanagan-Shuswap	Osoyoos	Okanagan Similkameen
Fernie	Southeast Kootenay	Parksville	Qualicum
Fort Langley	Langley	Pemberton	Sea to Sky
Fort Nelson	Fort Nelson	Penticton	Okanagan Skaha
Fort St James	Nechako Lakes	Pitt Meadows	Maple Ridge-Pitt Meadows
Fort St John	Peace River North	Port Alberni	Alberni
Fraser Lake	Nechako Lakes	Port Coquitlam	Coquitlam
Gibsons	Sunshine Coast	Port Hardy	Vancouver Island North
Gold River	Vancouver Island West	Port McNeill	Vancouver Island North
Golden	Rocky Mountain	Port Moody	Coquitlam
Grand Forks	Boundary	Powell River	Powell River
Hazelton	Coast Mountains	Prince George	Prince George
Hope	Fraser-Cascade	Prince Rupert	Prince Rupert
Houston	Bulkley Valley	Princeton	Nicola-Similkameen
Invermere	Rocky Mountain	Qualicum Beach	Qualicum
Kamloops	Kamloops/Thompson	Queen Charlotte	Haida Gwaii/Queen Charlotte



## List of cities and school districts, continued

<b>City</b>	<b>School district</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>School district</b>
Quesnel	Quesnel	Summerland	Okanagan Skaha
Revelstoke	Revelstoke	Surrey	Surrey
Richmond	Richmond	Terrace	Coast Mountains
Rossland	Kootenay-Columbia	Trail	Kootenay-Columbia
Saanichton	Saanich	Tumbler Ridge	Peace River South
Salmon Arm	North Okanagan-Shuswap	Valemount	Prince George
Salt Spring Island	Gulf Islands	Vancouver	Vancouver
Sechelt	Sunshine Coast	Vanderhoof	Nechako Lakes
Shawnigan Lake	Cowichan Valley	Vernon	Vernon
Sicamous	North Okanagan-Shuswap	Victoria	Greater Victoria
Sidney	Saanich	West Vancouver	West Vancouver
Smithers	Bulkley Valley	Whistler	Sea to Sky
Sooke	Sooke	Whitehorse	Yukon
South Sloca	Kootenay Lake	Williams Lake	Cariboo-Chilcotin
Sparwood	Southeast Kootenay	Winfield	Central Okanagan
Squamish	Sea to Sky		

## Index of school districts

### School district Page

Abbotsford .....	28
Alberni .....	24
Arrow Lakes .....	28
Boundary .....	28
Bulkley Valley .....	32
Burnaby .....	17
Campbell River .....	24
Cariboo-Chilcotin .....	32
Central Okanagan .....	28
Chilliwack .....	29
Coast Mountains .....	24
Comox Valley .....	24
Coquitlam .....	17
Cowichan Valley .....	24
Delta .....	18
Fort Nelson .....	32
Fraser-Cascade .....	29
Gold Trail .....	32
Greater Victoria .....	25
Gulf Islands .....	25
Haida Gwaii/Queen Charlotte .....	25
Kamloops/Thompson .....	32
Kootenay Lake .....	29
Kootenay-Columbia .....	29
Langley .....	30
Maple Ridge-Pitt Meadows .....	18
Mission .....	30
Nanaimo-Ladysmith .....	26
Nechako Lakes .....	33

### School district Page

New Westminster .....	19
Nicola-Similkameen .....	30
Nisga'a .....	26
North Okanagan-Shuswap .....	33
North Vancouver .....	19
Okanagan Similkameen .....	31
Okanagan Skaha .....	31
Peace River North .....	33
Peace River South .....	33
Powell River .....	26
Prince George .....	34
Prince Rupert .....	26
Qualicum .....	26
Quesnel .....	34
Revelstoke .....	34
Richmond .....	19
Rocky Mountain .....	34
Saanich .....	26
Sea to Sky .....	26
Sooke .....	27
Southeast Kootenay .....	31
Sunshine Coast .....	27
Surrey .....	20
Vancouver .....	21
Vancouver Island North .....	27
Vancouver Island West .....	27
Vernon .....	31
West Vancouver .....	23
Yukon .....	35

# Lower Mainland

## BURNABY

Alpha [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 161			
ESL (%): 6.7	Special needs (%): 10.6	French Imm (%): 0.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,400: -1.2		Rank:	250/288	210/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	67.3	66.8	65.2	66.4	63.7
Percentage of exams failed	14.4	13.7	15.6	14.2	17.6
School vs exam mark difference	9.2	6.5	5.5	4.6	6.3
English gender gap	F 2.3	F 5.1	F 2.0	F 4.9	F 3.0
Math gender gap	M 3.0	M 2.6	M 1.0	F 3.6	F 1.9
Graduation rate	92.7	92.9	93.0	94.7	96.2
Delayed advancement rate	27.4	26.2	31.8	29.8	22.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>

Burnaby Central [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 280			
ESL (%): 8.3	Special needs (%): 6.3	French Imm (%): 0.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,700: -0.2		Rank:	202/288	124/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.8	71.5	67.5	69.4	67.5
Percentage of exams failed	7.8	7.7	11.2	8.4	11.4
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	4.4	6.0	3.3	5.4
English gender gap	F 2.5	M 0.8	F 1.0	F 6.8	F 7.2
Math gender gap	F 0.4	M 0.6	F 4.2	F 4.9	F 2.2
Graduation rate	94.1	95.5	93.2	94.9	95.7
Delayed advancement rate	18.3	25.1	17.6	17.2	21.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.2</b>

Burnaby Mountain [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 281			
ESL (%): 5.1	Special needs (%): 8.3	French Imm (%): 0.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,400: 0.9		Rank:	97/288	101/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.5	70.6	68.4	70.3	72.1
Percentage of exams failed	10.3	9.5	11.2	6.4	6.5
School vs exam mark difference	5.2	5.7	4.5	2.4	2.4
English gender gap	F 1.1	F 1.6	F 5.8	F 6.1	F 2.1
Math gender gap	F 3.6	E	F 1.5	F 1.6	M 4.5
Graduation rate	95.6	96.0	92.6	97.8	93.8
Delayed advancement rate	23.0	27.2	21.0	14.3	24.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>

Burnaby North [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 416			
ESL (%): 7.9	Special needs (%): 4.3	French Imm (%): 0.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,000: 1.1		Rank:	97/288	76/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	74.9	72.9	69.2	70.6	71.4
Percentage of exams failed	7.4	7.9	11.8	8.9	8.4
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	4.9	5.9	4.8	4.5
English gender gap	F 0.9	F 1.5	F 1.2	F 4.3	F 4.0
Math gender gap	F 1.0	F 1.5	F 1.7	F 2.4	M 2.1
Graduation rate	96.0	97.3	94.8	97.4	97.8
Delayed advancement rate	11.9	12.1	17.3	14.6	12.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>

Burnaby South [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 311			
ESL (%): 10.2	Special needs (%): 7.0	French Imm (%): 0.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,000: 0.3		Rank:	164/288	142/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	71.1	71.5	67.8	70.1	69.8
Percentage of exams failed	13.8	9.9	10.6	7.6	9.7
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	6.6	5.3	5.1	5.7
English gender gap	F 1.8	M 2.1	F 5.3	F 6.6	F 4.0
Math gender gap	F 0.7	F 2.7	F 4.3	F 1.7	F 0.8
Graduation rate	93.7	92.6	92.7	95.6	92.9
Delayed advancement rate	29.8	35.2	33.3	22.1	19.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.7</b>

Byrne Creek [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 210			
ESL (%): 18.6	Special needs (%): 8.9	French Imm (%): 0.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,600: -0.3		Rank:	232/288	n/a	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	64.0	66.2	65.7
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	17.8	13.0	13.6
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	8.5	6.2	6.1
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 6.5	F 5.5	F 4.3
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 5.9	M 1.5	F 2.1
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	90.4	91.5	97.4
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	38.0	49.4	35.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>

Cariboo Hill [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 149			
ESL (%): 5.5	Special needs (%): 10.7	French Imm (%): 8.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: -0.7		Rank:	232/288	197/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	67.6	67.6	64.2	67.1	67.0
Percentage of exams failed	15.0	14.3	17.6	11.4	11.2
School vs exam mark difference	6.8	7.1	8.1	3.3	3.3
English gender gap	M 3.5	M 1.1	F 5.5	F 9.1	F 10.4
Math gender gap	F 5.5	F 0.7	F 0.6	F 2.9	F 1.0
Graduation rate	93.5	98.8	90.6	95.7	93.4
Delayed advancement rate	32.2	25.9	44.8	29.9	35.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>

Carver Christian [Independent] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 31			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,300: 0.2		Rank:	155/288	n/a	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	70.2	70.3	69.8
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	5.8	7.8	6.0
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	7.6	6.1	8.6
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 3.8	F 3.4	M 1.0
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 4.2	M 2.1	F 5.6
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	94.7	96.3	96.2
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	4.7	1.6	12.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>5.8</b>

Moscrop [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 309			
ESL (%): 11.1	Special needs (%): 4.5	French Imm (%): 21.9			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,600: 1.1		Rank:	116/288	76/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	71.2	71.2	70.0	72.2	69.9
Percentage of exams failed	9.0	7.6	6.5	4.4	7.5
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	5.3	4.6	2.2	4.3
English gender gap	M 1.4	M 1.6	F 5.2	F 2.1	F 1.3
Math gender gap	F 1.9	M 0.6	F 0.1	F 3.7	M 2.2
Graduation rate	96.8	95.8	91.0	98.8	94.0
Delayed advancement rate	21.9	24.7	25.2	15.3	13.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>6.4</b>

St Thomas More [Independent] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 126			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,500: 2.6		Rank:	17/288	19/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.3	74.4	72.4	76.3	75.7
Percentage of exams failed	9.1	3.4	3.5	2.9	1.4
School vs exam mark difference	7.1	3.8	3.3	1.8	2.1
English gender gap	F 1.2	F 1.4	F 4.6	F 5.2	F 3.1
Math gender gap	F 1.3	F 2.5	F 0.3	F 2.9	F 1.3
Graduation rate	98.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.2
Delayed advancement rate	0.4	0.0	0.2	4.1	3.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.6</b>

## COQUITLAM

Archbishop Carney [Independent] Port Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 141			
ESL (%): 0.1	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,700: 1.5		Rank:	35/288	27/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.5	72.9	70.2	72.3	72.6
Percentage of exams failed	4.7	5.5	6.6	4.5	4.0
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	5.7	5.3	2.7	2.2
English gender gap	M 0.1	M 0.6	F 3.4	F 5.4	F 5.6
Math gender gap	F 0.3	F 0.7	F 3.5	F 3.0	F 4.6
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.3
Delayed advancement rate	1.4	1.0	2.2	2.2	1.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.8</b>

Centennial [Public] Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 377			
ESL (%): 8.7	Special needs (%): 9.7	French Imm (%): 0.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: -0.3		Rank:	164/288	113/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.6	72.3	66.7	68.3	67.4
Percentage of exams failed	6.9	6.2	10.9	8.4	10.0
School vs exam mark difference	5.1	4.0	5.5	3.6	4.2
English gender gap	F 1.9	F 1.8	F 1.6	F 4.3	F 1.8
Math gender gap	F 1.1	F 0.1	F 0.4	F 0.1	F 1.7
Graduation rate	95.6	93.6	88.1	93.6	93.7
Delayed advancement rate	21.2	26.5	19.4	26.0	20.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.7</b>

Dr. Charles Best [Public] Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 341			
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 10.6	French Imm (%): 24.7			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,500: 1.3		Rank:	46/288	59/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	69.3	71.0	68.2	70.2	71.3
Percentage of exams failed	10.2	6.9	8.6	5.6	4.6
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	4.0	5.9	1.6	1.5
English gender gap	F 0.1	F 2.9	F 2.4	F 3.6	F 1.9
Math gender gap	F 1.2	F 0.5	F 3.3	M 0.6	M 0.8
Graduation rate	94.9	97.2	94.5	94.8	95.2
Delayed advancement rate	13.7	11.3	10.6	13.6	10.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.5</b>

Gleneagle [Public] Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 282			
ESL (%): 3.3	Special needs (%): 10.9	French Imm (%): 0.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: 1.2		Rank:	68/288	65/265	
Academic Performance					
2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.9	72.7	70.7	72.0	71.7
Percentage of exams failed	7.2	7.3	7.6	6.3	6.4
School vs exam mark difference	2.2	3.0	3.3	2.6	2.9
English gender gap	F 2.6	F 3.2	F 0.3	F 5.9	F 2.6
Math gender gap	M 0.2	F 1.8	F 0.8		

Pinetree [Public] Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 399				
ESL (%): 13.5	Special needs (%): 6.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,100: 1.3		Rank:	74/288	51/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	76.0	73.9	71.2	74.2	72.5	
Percentage of exams failed	5.2	6.2	7.1	4.8	6.9	
School vs exam mark difference	3.1	3.1	3.2	1.1	3.3	
English gender gap	F 1.1	M 0.5	F 4.5	F 5.2	F 3.8	
Math gender gap	F 0.5	M 0.3	F 1.2	F 1.0	F 0.4	
Graduation rate	98.2	98.0	92.0	93.8	94.8	
Delayed advancement rate	15.6	16.0	18.3	24.6	18.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

Port Moody [Public] Port Moody		Gr 12 Enrollment: 366				
ESL (%): 6.1	Special needs (%): 5.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,100: 1.0		Rank:	74/288	76/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	74.0	71.9	70.0	70.6	72.5	
Percentage of exams failed	8.0	8.9	9.6	8.9	7.6	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	4.9	3.2	2.0	1.5	
English gender gap	F 1.1	M 0.5	F 2.5	F 2.7	F 3.5	
Math gender gap	F 0.8	F 1.4	F 2.2	F 3.4	F 1.8	
Graduation rate	94.3	93.8	92.6	94.8	94.6	
Delayed advancement rate	21.8	20.9	15.4	24.0	24.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

Riverside [Public] Port Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 322				
ESL (%): 4.3	Special needs (%): 11.3	French Imm (%): 14.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,600: -0.2		Rank:	140/288	113/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	72.7	69.0	66.4	68.2	67.0	
Percentage of exams failed	7.9	9.5	10.7	8.5	8.6	
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	5.8	5.2	2.0	2.9	
English gender gap	F 1.2	M 1.7	F 1.0	F 5.3	F 5.2	
Math gender gap	M 0.1	M 1.7	F 2.2	F 3.8	F 3.7	
Graduation rate	96.7	94.1	94.9	94.6	95.7	
Delayed advancement rate	21.4	16.5	8.9	13.5	10.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	

Terry Fox [Public] Port Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 471				
ESL (%): 2.8	Special needs (%): 12.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,100: 0.0		Rank:	145/288	133/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	70.1	66.0	63.5	66.5	66.2	
Percentage of exams failed	8.9	13.2	15.4	9.9	9.9	
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	5.4	5.8	3.4	3.0	
English gender gap	F 1.9	M 1.8	F 2.4	F 5.8	F 5.9	
Math gender gap	M 1.7	M 1.2	F 1.0	F 1.5	M 0.5	
Graduation rate	93.9	96.7	95.3	97.8	95.3	
Delayed advancement rate	23.6	12.6	8.0	12.9	13.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.0</b>	

## DELTA

Burnsview [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 120				
ESL (%): 3.1	Special needs (%): 10.1	French Imm (%): 49.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,400: 0.8		Rank:	74/288	n/a		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	65.4	68.2	68.9	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	9.4	6.5	5.7	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	7.4	3.4	3.2	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 6.0	F 8.5	F 6.7	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 0.6	F 1.1	F 2.0	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	93.0	93.7	98.3	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	18.4	13.5	6.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

Delta [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 305				
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 16.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,200: 0.6		Rank:	63/288	83/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	72.9	68.8	66.7	68.9	70.2	
Percentage of exams failed	3.4	8.6	9.7	5.7	5.6	
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	5.8	5.4	1.2	1.7	
English gender gap	M 0.5	F 0.2	F 3.5	F 4.0	F 4.9	
Math gender gap	M 2.5	F 7.0	F 3.8	F 1.0	F 0.6	
Graduation rate	95.8	96.8	97.4	98.9	97.5	
Delayed advancement rate	21.0	23.8	12.0	14.0	12.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.2</b>	

Delview [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 128				
ESL (%): 3.3	Special needs (%): 13.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,800: -0.1		Rank:	155/288	n/a		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	65.8	69.3	66.7	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	10.6	7.9	8.8	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	4.3	2.3	3.5	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 7.0	F 5.8	F 7.8	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	M 2.7	F 0.2	F 1.8	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	92.1	92.3	93.5	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	27.9	35.5	10.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.8</b>	

North Delta [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 210				
ESL (%): 5.8	Special needs (%): 11.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,600: -1.2		Rank:	246/288	197/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	68.1	68.5	64.3	66.1	65.5	
Percentage of exams failed	10.0	10.1	15.2	11.5	11.8	
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	4.9	6.3	4.4	5.3	
English gender gap	F 3.4	F 2.3	10.6	F 7.0	F 5.5	
Math gender gap	F 1.9	F 0.7	M 1.9	F 2.5	F 1.6	
Graduation rate	93.3	95.1	89.4	89.0	89.1	
Delayed advancement rate	21.7	18.6	27.6	36.6	33.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.4</b>	

Sands [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 163				
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 13.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,200: -0.2		Rank:	145/288	n/a		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	66.2	64.5	65.9	66.4	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	15.5	13.3	12.2	11.9	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	5.4	4.2	2.4	3.8	
English gender gap	n/a	F 3.6	F 5.6	F 7.8	F 4.8	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 4.1	M 1.5	F 2.3	F 1.1	
Graduation rate	n/a	95.6	93.2	94.2	95.9	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	20.7	17.5	16.1	5.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.0</b>	

Seaquam [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 259				
ESL (%): 5.1	Special needs (%): 9.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,700: 0.6		Rank:	74/288	65/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	73.2	70.0	68.5	69.7	70.4	
Percentage of exams failed	8.8	9.2	10.7	8.2	8.2	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	4.7	4.0	2.4	1.6	
English gender gap	M 2.3	F 0.3	F 0.3	F 7.1	F 3.0	
Math gender gap	F 0.7	M 0.2	F 2.3	F 0.3	M 1.4	
Graduation rate	95.1	96.7	95.6	94.8	95.1	
Delayed advancement rate	13.9	16.0	11.7	10.3	8.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

South Delta [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 243				
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 14.2	French Imm (%): 12.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,300: -0.9		Rank:	97/288	88/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	69.5	70.0	67.8	70.9	69.3	
Percentage of exams failed	8.9	7.9	9.0	4.8	6.6	
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	5.5	5.5	2.5	3.8	
English gender gap	M 0.1	F 1.4	F 2.9	F 7.9	F 3.6	
Math gender gap	F 4.0	M 2.1	F 2.1	F 5.0	F 3.4	
Graduation rate	96.6	99.2	95.0	97.0	95.5	
Delayed advancement rate	13.6	6.1	9.9	14.3	9.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

Southpointe [Independent] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 23				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,300: 3.0		Rank:	5/288	n/a		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	74.5	78.8	80.9	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	3.0	1.1	1.2	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	6.3	2.0	0.8	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 4.0	M 3.6	M 2.3	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 2.7	M 13.9	M 0.4	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	8.9	5.3	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>9.7</b>	

## MAPLE RIDGE-PITT MEADOWS

Garibaldi [Public] Maple Ridge		Gr 12 Enrollment: 158				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 13.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300: -2.4		Rank:	269/288	210/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	68.9	64.1	64.2	64.5	63.4	
Percentage of exams failed	6.8	15.2	13.7	10.9	15.1	
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	6.4	5.6	4.8	6.4	
English gender gap	F 3.6	M 2.9	F 1.9	F 1.7	F 7.7	
Math gender gap	F 3.7	F 0.9	F 4.5	F 1.2	F 0.3	
Graduation rate	98.0	89.7	90.1	88.5	88.7	
Delayed advancement rate	29.1	39.9	24.1	25.1	26.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	

Maple Ridge [Public] Maple Ridge		Gr 12 Enrollment: 250				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 8.6	French Imm (%): 27.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,400: 0.3		Rank:	113/288	133/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	67.9	67.3	65.1	68.2	67.3	
Percentage of exams failed	11.7	10.2	12.4	8.5	8.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	5.1	4.4	1.4	3.8	
English gender gap	F 3.5	F 2.8	F 2.4	F 5.3	F 2.2	
Math gender gap	F					

Thomas Haney [Public] Maple Ridge		Gr 12 Enrollment: 196				
ESL (%): 1.9	Special needs (%): 9.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,600: -0.3		Rank:	149/288	173/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		71.3	68.0	68.9	69.1	68.2
Percentage of exams failed		4.0	9.8	7.2	8.2	10.2
School vs exam mark difference		7.3	8.3	7.2	3.6	5.5
English gender gap	M 0.2	F 0.4	F 1.6	F 5.5	F 1.5	
Math gender gap	F 7.1	F 5.1	M 0.2	M 2.2	M 3.9	
Graduation rate		87.8	95.0	91.0	95.9	97.0
Delayed advancement rate		55.3	47.1	38.2	26.9	18.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>4.6</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.9</b>

Westview [Public] Maple Ridge		Gr 12 Enrollment: 168				
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 13.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,300: -0.5		Rank:	175/288	157/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		69.4	66.9	64.5	64.3	64.7
Percentage of exams failed		5.2	10.1	13.6	14.8	14.2
School vs exam mark difference		2.6	4.6	3.5	3.0	3.2
English gender gap	F 4.2	M 3.0	M 2.7	F 3.6	F 1.2	
Math gender gap	F 1.3	F 1.3	F 3.5	M 3.8	M 1.0	
Graduation rate		95.9	98.1	95.3	96.2	97.1
Delayed advancement rate		31.2	18.5	25.1	31.6	25.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>

**NEW WESTMINSTER**

New Westminister [Public] New Westminister		Gr 12 Enrollment: 449				
ESL (%): 4.5	Special needs (%): 6.7	French Imm (%): 11.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,400: 0.8		Rank:	113/288	65/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		73.2	71.3	67.3	69.3	69.4
Percentage of exams failed		5.3	7.5	10.9	8.9	7.8
School vs exam mark difference		3.5	4.1	4.1	1.8	1.9
English gender gap	F 0.8	F 0.7	F 3.3	F 5.9	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	M 2.0	M 1.3	F 1.2	F 1.5	M 0.3	
Graduation rate		97.2	98.9	96.9	99.8	96.8
Delayed advancement rate		8.7	8.0	13.1	23.8	27.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.5</b>

**NORTH VANCOUVER**

Argyle [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 294				
ESL (%): 1.6	Special needs (%): 5.8	French Imm (%): 23.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,100: 0.6		Rank:	57/288	59/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		72.4	69.6	69.6	71.7	72.3
Percentage of exams failed		6.1	8.8	7.1	4.2	4.2
School vs exam mark difference		4.2	6.0	5.5	3.2	2.5
English gender gap	F 0.5	M 2.6	F 3.4	F 4.4	F 3.8	
Math gender gap	F 0.8	F 2.9	F 3.2	F 2.0	F 5.3	
Graduation rate		94.0	94.5	94.5	94.8	98.2
Delayed advancement rate		16.0	16.4	14.1	10.1	13.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.3</b>

Carson Graham [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 337				
ESL (%): 8.3	Special needs (%): 10.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,100: -2.0		Rank:	240/288	202/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		68.5	68.2	63.9	66.8	65.4
Percentage of exams failed		10.4	13.4	16.5	11.2	12.9
School vs exam mark difference		4.4	5.0	7.5	4.0	5.3
English gender gap	F 0.8	F 0.7	M 1.3	F 4.8	F 5.4	
Math gender gap	M 1.5	F 0.6	F 6.7	F 3.7	F 3.7	
Graduation rate		93.4	89.0	90.5	90.8	92.1
Delayed advancement rate		31.0	29.5	26.2	23.9	22.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>4.6</b>

Handsworth [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 270				
ESL (%): 1.5	Special needs (%): 5.0	French Imm (%): 21.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$127,200: -0.3		Rank:	30/288	32/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		74.2	72.1	71.8	73.5	74.5
Percentage of exams failed		6.8	7.5	5.5	3.3	2.3
School vs exam mark difference		5.4	5.7	4.3	2.8	2.7
English gender gap	F 1.6	M 0.9	F 3.0	F 4.5	F 4.4	
Math gender gap	F 1.3	F 1.9	F 1.2	F 2.1	F 2.8	
Graduation rate		97.7	96.8	97.1	98.4	98.5
Delayed advancement rate		9.5	12.3	6.2	3.2	6.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>

Seycove [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 132				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 11.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$119,100: -0.7		Rank:	57/288	41/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		74.3	72.7	70.1	72.1	71.3
Percentage of exams failed		4.0	4.9	7.5	6.6	4.8
School vs exam mark difference		4.2	4.5	6.0	3.3	3.2
English gender gap	F 0.2	F 0.9	F 0.7	F 5.3	F 6.4	
Math gender gap	M 1.5	F 2.1	F 1.2	M 4.3	M 2.2	
Graduation rate		98.5	99.3	97.7	97.2	99.2
Delayed advancement rate		10.3	5.3	6.0	10.9	10.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.3</b>

St Thomas Aquinas [Independent] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 110				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,400: 1.0		Rank:	27/288	17/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		75.3	75.4	73.8	76.4	74.1
Percentage of exams failed		4.1	3.0	1.8	1.7	3.3
School vs exam mark difference		3.8	3.9	4.0	1.0	2.6
English gender gap	M 2.8	F 2.9	F 0.5	F 3.5	F 0.6	
Math gender gap	M 1.4	M 0.7	M 0.2	F 3.8	F 4.0	
Graduation rate		97.7	100.0	98.9	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		5.1	0.7	2.6	6.3	6.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.5</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>8.2</b>

Sutherland [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 207				
ESL (%): 9.1	Special needs (%): 7.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,000: -0.9		Rank:	187/288	124/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		73.7	72.3	67.0	69.3	67.3
Percentage of exams failed		7.7	7.2	11.3	7.1	11.8
School vs exam mark difference		4.0	3.7	5.0	2.1	1.9
English gender gap	F 1.0	M 0.7	F 7.5	F 10.1	F 4.9	
Math gender gap	F 1.8	F 3.3	F 4.5	F 1.1	M 2.1	
Graduation rate		92.2	91.8	91.2	91.3	90.6
Delayed advancement rate		27.1	19.8	21.2	23.5	23.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>

Windsor [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 169				
ESL (%): 2.5	Special needs (%): 11.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,200: -0.2		Rank:	116/288	94/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		71.7	71.3	68.6	70.7	71.2
Percentage of exams failed		8.4	5.6	9.6	5.5	5.4
School vs exam mark difference		4.2	4.7	4.6	3.8	2.2
English gender gap	F 3.2	F 1.2	F 4.0	F 5.1	F 6.7	
Math gender gap	F 5.2	M 1.2	F 0.3	M 0.5	F 8.0	
Graduation rate		92.3	96.5	94.6	97.4	91.8
Delayed advancement rate		23.9	21.7	15.5	16.3	13.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.4</b>

**RICHMOND**

A.R. MacNeill [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 155				
ESL (%): 21.7	Special needs (%): 8.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,600: 0.0		Rank:	218/288	n/a		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		n/a	n/a	n/a	68.5	67.7
Percentage of exams failed		n/a	n/a	n/a	8.9	12.8
School vs exam mark difference		n/a	n/a	n/a	3.9	6.3
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 6.5	F 1.2	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 1.7	M 1.2	
Graduation rate		n/a	n/a	n/a	97.9	94.7
Delayed advancement rate		n/a	n/a	n/a	32.0	31.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.0</b>

Cambie [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 186				
ESL (%): 10.7	Special needs (%): 5.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,000: 0.2		Rank:	175/288	133/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		70.6	68.7	66.0	67.0	66.8
Percentage of exams failed		7.5	9.2	9.2	10.1	9.7
School vs exam mark difference		2.8	3.9	4.3	2.7	2.7
English gender gap	M 0.8	M 1.5	F 2.4	F 5.9	F 3.9	
Math gender gap	F 0.4	F 0.9	F 3.5	F 4.4	F 1.4	
Graduation rate		95.7	95.1	94.9	97.3	96.9
Delayed advancement rate		25.0	24.9	29.2	28.7	40.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.5</b>

Hugh Boyd [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 170				
ESL (%): 19.4	Special needs (%): 8.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,400: -0.5		Rank:	175/288	157/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		67.6	69.3	66.8	67.9	65.3
Percentage of exams failed		14.8	10.0	9.4	7.9	11.2
School vs exam mark difference		6.4	5.5	2.6	2.9	2.7
English gender gap	F 3.4	F 0.7	M 1.3	F 4.2	F 3.1	
Math gender gap	M 1.2	F 1.1	M 0.4	M 0.3	F 1.4	
Graduation rate		95.4	96.9	90.6	95.6	96.1
Delayed advancement rate		28.2	27.4	38.7	23.5	27.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>4.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>

Hugh McRoberts [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 147				
ESL (%): 16.1	Special needs (%): 3.7	French Imm (%): 43.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on						

Richmond Christian [Independent] Richmond Gr 12 Enrollment: 39					
ESL (%)	0.4	Special needs (%)	n/a	French Imm (%)	n/a
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,100: 0.7		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	86/288 32/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	70.9	76.5	74.5	75.1	72.6
Percentage of exams failed	3.9	2.2	2.8	1.4	3.1
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	3.9	3.9	3.2	3.7
English gender gap	M 0.8	M 0.4	F 6.0	F 2.5	F 4.6
Math gender gap	E	F 2.7	F 3.3	F 5.1	F 7.9
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	90.3	100.0	94.7
Delayed advancement rate	24.7	1.4	8.0	8.3	13.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>6.8</b>

Robert Alexander McMath [Public] Richmond Gr 12 Enrollment: 244					
ESL (%)	5.2	Special needs (%)	4.9	French Imm (%)	29.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,800: 0.7		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	71/288 65/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	72.7	71.3	68.7	70.5	70.9
Percentage of exams failed	6.4	6.1	7.4	4.6	5.6
School vs exam mark difference	5.1	2.7	3.5	1.8	1.8
English gender gap	M 1.9	F 1.2	F 3.7	F 9.0	F 4.5
Math gender gap	F 1.6	M 0.6	F 1.7	F 4.4	M 1.8
Graduation rate	95.5	95.4	97.4	98.8	96.3
Delayed advancement rate	17.9	19.4	13.8	14.5	17.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.0</b>

Stevenson-London [Public] Richmond Gr 12 Enrollment: 323					
ESL (%)	18.1	Special needs (%)	6.5	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: 0.7		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	74/288 n/a
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	72.9	73.4
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.4	5.4
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.0	1.7
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 4.1	F 3.8
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 5.5	F 0.6
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	97.3	91.1
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	26.1	20.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>

## SURREY

Clayton Heights [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 227					
ESL (%)	2.6	Special needs (%)	8.3	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,700: 0.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	97/288 94/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	71.5	66.5	67.0	68.8	67.5
Percentage of exams failed	5.0	12.3	9.9	5.6	8.9
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	7.7	4.9	2.2	1.9
English gender gap	M 0.8	F 1.5	F 4.2	F 5.9	F 3.1
Math gender gap	M 0.2	M 0.4	F 1.4	F 2.9	F 0.1
Graduation rate	94.5	96.7	93.4	94.5	96.6
Delayed advancement rate	11.4	13.5	16.5	11.9	15.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>

Earl Marriott [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 303					
ESL (%)	2.1	Special needs (%)	9.1	French Imm (%)	23.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,400: 0.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	68/288 76/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	71.1	70.2	68.4	70.4	70.4
Percentage of exams failed	6.8	7.9	8.1	6.8	5.3
School vs exam mark difference	4.2	4.4	5.0	2.8	2.0
English gender gap	F 5.6	F 0.7	F 3.1	F 7.2	F 3.7
Math gender gap	F 2.1	F 0.9	F 0.9	F 1.0	F 1.7
Graduation rate	95.8	98.4	94.1	96.6	95.0
Delayed advancement rate	23.3	12.8	26.1	8.6	11.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.1</b>

Elgin Park [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 271					
ESL (%)	4.8	Special needs (%)	5.3	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$114,000: -0.1		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	39/288 37/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	76.2	71.7	70.5	72.8	73.6
Percentage of exams failed	4.6	6.9	6.1	5.4	3.1
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	3.5	4.6	3.0	3.0
English gender gap	F 1.0	F 1.0	F 8.3	F 4.1	F 5.0
Math gender gap	F 1.8	M 0.1	F 3.2	F 3.9	F 2.2
Graduation rate	97.9	97.6	96.7	97.4	97.2
Delayed advancement rate	5.4	5.0	13.8	13.2	7.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.7</b>

Ever Creek [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 286					
ESL (%)	4.2	Special needs (%)	7.2	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,100: -1.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	202/288 142/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	70.5	67.8	65.4	66.1	65.1
Percentage of exams failed	7.1	11.2	13.5	11.9	12.6
School vs exam mark difference	6.0	6.9	7.1	6.1	6.5
English gender gap	F 3.7	F 2.3	F 5.1	F 6.0	F 4.6
Math gender gap	F 1.2	F 1.9	F 3.6	M 0.1	F 1.7
Graduation rate	96.7	97.7	97.2	97.2	96.4
Delayed advancement rate	8.5	3.2	3.3	6.3	10.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.2</b>

Fleetwood Park [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 262					
ESL (%)	9.2	Special needs (%)	5.9	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,200: 1.1		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	63/288 65/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	72.2	70.8	69.0	70.8	69.8
Percentage of exams failed	6.2	6.8	7.5	5.3	5.3
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	4.0	4.2	1.2	1.5
English gender gap	F 1.4	F 3.1	F 5.9	F 6.5	F 1.3
Math gender gap	M 3.1	F 0.5	F 2.2	F 0.1	F 2.1
Graduation rate	97.3	98.7	95.4	97.5	94.9
Delayed advancement rate	12.8	22.5	18.6	9.3	11.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.2</b>

Frank Hunt [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 215					
ESL (%)	7.1	Special needs (%)	10.7	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,800: -0.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	202/288 157/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	66.7	66.2	66.0	67.4	65.4
Percentage of exams failed	10.5	11.4	11.0	7.7	11.9
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	5.4	4.6	2.4	3.0
English gender gap	M 0.1	F 0.4	F 2.0	F 2.9	F 2.8
Math gender gap	F 3.8	M 0.9	F 1.7	M 2.2	F 0.3
Graduation rate	89.8	92.1	93.3	94.6	89.8
Delayed advancement rate	25.4	41.5	26.4	9.7	26.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>5.2</b>

Fraser Heights [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 271					
ESL (%)	10.2	Special needs (%)	5.0	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,900: 0.5		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	91/288 41/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	75.4	74.0	70.1	72.6	72.5
Percentage of exams failed	5.7	4.8	7.2	4.8	5.4
School vs exam mark difference	3.1	5.0	5.0	2.5	4.1
English gender gap	F 0.5	M 0.9	F 2.6	F 5.0	F 6.5
Math gender gap	M 0.4	M 0.5	M 1.3	F 2.2	F 3.2
Graduation rate	96.8	96.0	95.3	97.5	97.1
Delayed advancement rate	12.9	15.6	6.7	11.7	18.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>

Fraser Valley Christian [Independent] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 94					
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	n/a	French Imm (%)	n/a
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,400: 0.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	123/288 88/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	71.9	69.3	68.2	69.8	69.4
Percentage of exams failed	8.7	7.8	7.6	7.2	8.9
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	8.0	8.3	7.1	6.7
English gender gap	F 1.5	F 0.9	F 0.1	F 6.3	F 3.3
Math gender gap	F 3.9	F 2.1	F 3.5	F 1.8	F 2.2
Graduation rate	97.4	96.2	99.0	100.0	98.9
Delayed advancement rate	5.1	11.6	1.1	7.3	14.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.3</b>

Guildford Park [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 226					
ESL (%)	18.6	Special needs (%)	13.9	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,100: 0.6		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	168/288 167/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	71.3	66.2	63.6	66.5	67.0
Percentage of exams failed	7.4	14.2	17.2	11.2	9.8
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	3.6	5.3	3.1	2.2
English gender gap	E	F 2.5	F 3.0	F 4.8	F 3.0
Math gender gap	M 2.4	F 2.4	M 0.2	F 4.3	F 5.1
Graduation rate	96.2	95.8	95.2	95.4	95.1
Delayed advancement rate	22.7	23.4	28.5	32.6	27.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>

Holy Cross [Independent] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 151					
ESL (%)	0.5	Special needs (%)	n/a	French Imm (%)	n/a
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,900: 1.5		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	39/288 41/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	68.3	69.3	71.2	72.5	71.5
Percentage of exams failed	9.0	6.8	3.9	2.1	4.7
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	5.7	5.8	2.3	3.5
English gender gap	F 2.7	F 1.4	F 3.9	F 3.4	F 0.1
Math gender gap	F 5.0	F 1.3	F 0.6	M 2.7	F 3.0
Graduation rate	94.8	98.0	99.2	100.0	97.9
Delayed advancement rate	9.3	4.2	4.4	0.8	2.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.7</b>

Johnston Heights [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 224					
ESL (%)	17.4	Special needs (%)	10.5	French Imm (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,200: 0.9		2008-09	Last 5 Years	Rank:	123/288 101/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	71.5	70.7	67.8	69.8	69.0
Percentage of exams failed	8.8	10.2	11.1	7.1	9.4
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	4.4	5.7	3.0	4.8
English gender gap	F 0.7	F 2.1	F 4.6	F 2.1	F 3.0
Math gender gap	F 3.5	F 2.5	F 0.2	F 4.1	F 0.5
Graduation rate	94.5	95.6	96.0	97.4	97.7
Delayed advancement rate	17.2	16.5	11.0	18.6	16.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>

Kwantlen Park [Public] Surrey Gr 12 Enrollment: 310					
ESL (%)	6.5	Special needs (%)	9.9		



Pacific Academy [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 137				
ESL (%): 0.2	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,000: 2.3		Rank:	15/288	16/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.9	75.4	74.0	78.4	74.6	
Percentage of exams failed	3.5	2.9	1.8	0.2	2.6	
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	3.3	4.6	0.8	1.6	
English gender gap	F 3.0	M 2.5	F 2.6	F 5.8	F 1.9	
Math gender gap	F 2.5	M 2.3	F 4.8	F 3.2	M 0.8	
Graduation rate	95.4	98.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	8.3	0.0	0.0	3.4	2.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>8.7</b>	

Panorama Ridge [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 137				
ESL (%): 3.3	Special needs (%): 6.1	French Imm (%): 12.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,200: 0.6		Rank:	123/288	n/a		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	67.7	67.5	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.9	8.4	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.8	2.8	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 3.6	F 4.3	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.4	F 3.4	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	95.1	97.7	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	19.4	12.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

Princess Margaret [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 299				
ESL (%): 5.6	Special needs (%): 5.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,200: 0.9		Rank:	145/288	88/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	74.8	70.5	67.3	67.8	67.2	
Percentage of exams failed	4.5	6.4	7.7	7.2	8.1	
School vs exam mark difference	2.6	4.1	3.2	4.1	4.5	
English gender gap	M 1.4	M 0.2	F 2.8	F 1.5	F 5.3	
Math gender gap	M 1.1	F 1.1	M 1.1	F 1.3	F 1.2	
Graduation rate	93.0	98.1	95.9	95.7	96.5	
Delayed advancement rate	30.6	17.1	24.4	17.6	15.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.0</b>	

Queen Elizabeth [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 251				
ESL (%): 11.0	Special needs (%): 9.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,100: -0.4		Rank:	226/288	242/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	62.5	63.8	60.4	63.0	64.2	
Percentage of exams failed	19.2	16.7	22.6	13.6	13.3	
School vs exam mark difference	9.0	4.6	6.0	5.2	5.1	
English gender gap	F 1.3	F 3.5	F 4.8	F 6.1	F 5.5	
Math gender gap	F 2.4	F 4.6	F 6.4	F 3.2	F 1.3	
Graduation rate	87.0	92.5	86.3	95.9	94.2	
Delayed advancement rate	24.9	23.5	33.6	11.5	18.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.8</b>	

Regent Christian [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,100: 1.4		Rank:	46/288	n/a		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	n/a	71.5	69.7	73.6	72.3	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	4.7	8.6	2.6	3.0	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	5.8	6.5	0.0	0.7	
English gender gap	n/a	F 0.7	F 2.1	F 12.2	F 10.3	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 4.3	F 5.4	
Graduation rate	n/a	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	0.0	0.0	19.4	10.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	

Semiahmoo [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 331				
ESL (%): 14.9	Special needs (%): 6.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,500: 0.5		Rank:	57/288	39/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	75.2	74.2	70.8	73.4	73.6	
Percentage of exams failed	5.7	6.3	7.2	5.4	4.8	
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	4.5	4.4	2.5	2.9	
English gender gap	F 2.2	F 1.6	F 1.9	F 4.1	F 6.0	
Math gender gap	F 0.4	M 0.1	F 1.1	M 2.0	M 1.8	
Graduation rate	95.5	96.5	95.4	97.6	97.4	
Delayed advancement rate	15.0	24.1	13.5	17.2	13.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.3</b>	

Southridge [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 62				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,600: 2.4		Rank:	3/288	1/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	82.4	81.6	83.0	84.5	84.2	
Percentage of exams failed	1.6	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	
School vs exam mark difference	2.0	3.7	3.2	0.8	1.6	
English gender gap	M 1.6	M 3.3	F 0.6	F 1.9	M 1.0	
Math gender gap	F 1.2	F 1.8	E	M 2.1	M 4.1	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.8</b>	

Sullivan Heights [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 222				
ESL (%): 2.9	Special needs (%): 7.0	French Imm (%): 7.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: 0.7		Rank:	97/288	94/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	72.5	69.4	66.8	69.1	68.5	
Percentage of exams failed	6.3	8.1	9.9	7.2	6.6	
School vs exam mark difference	2.2	2.7	3.3	1.5	2.8	
English gender gap	F 0.9	F 3.9	F 6.5	F 7.5	F 2.0	
Math gender gap	F 2.9	F 1.4	F 4.2	F 6.1	F 0.6	
Graduation rate	97.0	96.3	92.0	92.9	94.7	
Delayed advancement rate	10.3	17.1	21.6	15.5	16.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

Tamanawis [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 232				
ESL (%): 10.1	Special needs (%): 7.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,200: 0.5		Rank:	145/288	124/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.4	68.5	67.2	69.5	68.9	
Percentage of exams failed	11.4	10.7	9.9	8.3	8.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	5.5	4.9	5.2	3.7	
English gender gap	F 3.5	F 0.3	F 6.4	F 3.2	F 6.4	
Math gender gap	M 0.7	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 1.6	M 0.2	
Graduation rate	90.1	93.5	95.6	96.2	93.0	
Delayed advancement rate	20.5	23.9	18.5	9.4	15.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.0</b>	

White Rock Christian [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 33				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$113,700: -0.9		Rank:	74/288	41/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.7	71.5	74.4	74.5	71.5	
Percentage of exams failed	11.2	2.6	1.6	0.6	3.7	
School vs exam mark difference	9.3	6.3	5.0	3.2	7.1	
English gender gap	M 4.6	F 1.0	F 6.0	F 1.9	M 6.3	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	M 1.0	F 4.6	E	
Graduation rate	95.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.9	
Delayed advancement rate	17.4	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

## VANCOUVER

Britannia [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 132				
ESL (%): 2.7	Special needs (%): 27.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$32,200: -1.6		Rank:	279/288	245/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	68.1	65.1	60.6	64.0	62.5	
Percentage of exams failed	11.0	15.0	25.0	15.5	18.6	
School vs exam mark difference	6.5	6.1	8.1	4.8	4.9	
English gender gap	F 2.8	F 2.2	M 2.1	F 12.6	F 3.6	
Math gender gap	F 1.1	M 2.1	M 5.6	F 1.0	M 0.3	
Graduation rate	87.7	89.0	96.3	93.7	84.2	
Delayed advancement rate	22.7	44.7	52.1	60.8	42.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	

Century International [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 17				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,700: -5.5		Rank:	287/288	n/a		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	51.9	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	44.6	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15.8	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>0.0</b>	

Crofton House [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 81				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$112,200: 1.9		Rank:	5/288	5/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	81.7	83.9	82.1	84.5	83.1	
Percentage of exams failed	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	5.4	5.2	2.8	3.1	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>9.7</b>	

David Thompson [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 308				
ESL (%): 8.3	Special needs (%): 8.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,100: 1.8		Rank:	91/288	124/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	68.6	68.5	67.0	67.9	69.1	
Percentage of exams failed	13.3	11.7	12.4	10.6	9.0	
School vs exam mark difference	7.1	4.4	3.2	2.7	2.9	
English gender gap	F 1.7	F 0.9	F 2.3	F 3.5	F 2.6	
Math gender gap	F 3.0	F 0.1	F 1.1	F 3.1	M 0.6	
Graduation rate	93.0	91.2	95.6	95.5	99.3	
Delayed advancement rate	31.8	32.8	37.6	31.1	15.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Eric Hamber [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 362				
ESL (%): 12.0	Special needs (%): 11.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg						

King David [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 32				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 63/288		n/a		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,400: 0.5		Rank: 63/288		n/a		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark	n/a	69.4	73.2	69.5	72.9	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	12.7	0.7	8.5	3.7	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	12.1	7.3	6.5	7.5	
English gender gap	n/a	M 4.6	F 0.2	F 4.9	M 1.9	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 3.1	F 3.9	M 5.0	M 7.3	
Graduation rate	n/a	96.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	4.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	n/a	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.2</b>	

King George [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 100				
ESL (%): 7.6	Special needs (%): 9.8	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 255/288		224/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 255/288		224/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		69.9	68.3	64.7	65.9	65.1
Percentage of exams failed		14.1	13.7	15.0	11.7	13.2
School vs exam mark difference		5.9	5.5	6.1	4.8	5.9
English gender gap		M 0.8	M 8.1	F 1.5	F 4.4	F 3.2
Math gender gap		F 0.5	F 7.3	F 5.6	F 3.6	F 6.5
Graduation rate		86.3	84.4	88.0	89.3	91.9
Delayed advancement rate		40.8	39.2	31.6	31.0	34.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.1</b>

Kitsilano [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 253				
ESL (%): 5.8	Special needs (%): 13.2	French Imm (%): 34.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 86/288		41/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,100: 0.1		Rank: 86/288		41/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		72.9	73.8	69.5	72.2	72.1
Percentage of exams failed		5.8	4.8	9.0	4.8	6.5
School vs exam mark difference		3.7	2.8	4.1	0.2	1.6
English gender gap		F 0.5	M 1.0	F 1.5	F 3.2	F 2.0
Math gender gap		F 0.5	M 0.4	F 1.9	F 2.5	F 4.7
Graduation rate		95.5	95.7	95.3	95.0	98.0
Delayed advancement rate		18.8	14.6	11.1	13.3	31.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>6.8</b>

Little Flower [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 92				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 8/288		5/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,300: 3.2		Rank: 8/288		5/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		82.3	83.5	82.1	84.2	84.0
Percentage of exams failed		0.9	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.2
School vs exam mark difference		1.6	2.0	2.6	3.4	3.7
English gender gap		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Graduation rate		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	3.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>9.5</b>

Lord Byng [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 261				
ESL (%): 12.6	Special needs (%): 13.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 19/288		17/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$112,600: 0.7		Rank: 19/288		17/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		77.2	78.2	74.2	77.0	77.8
Percentage of exams failed		3.5	2.7	4.0	2.8	2.0
School vs exam mark difference		2.9	2.7	2.3	1.3	1.6
English gender gap		M 0.3	F 0.3	F 1.2	F 5.5	F 4.7
Math gender gap		F 1.0	F 0.8	F 1.2	F 1.7	F 4.7
Graduation rate		98.5	97.5	94.7	98.4	99.1
Delayed advancement rate		15.0	16.9	18.6	9.7	8.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.6</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>8.5</b>

Magee [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 229				
ESL (%): 12.1	Special needs (%): 6.8	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 46/288		37/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,600: 0.8		Rank: 46/288		37/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		76.2	75.4	71.1	73.6	72.6
Percentage of exams failed		3.9	5.0	5.2	4.5	5.0
School vs exam mark difference		3.9	3.8	5.1	1.8	4.0
English gender gap		M 0.5	F 1.4	F 4.5	F 5.1	F 2.0
Math gender gap		F 0.8	M 1.0	F 3.5	F 1.8	M 0.6
Graduation rate		97.3	97.2	98.3	95.9	98.0
Delayed advancement rate		17.8	23.2	10.7	14.4	7.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.5</b>

Notre Dame [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 118				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 123/288		94/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,800: 0.7		Rank: 123/288		94/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		66.7	67.7	65.9	68.3	66.7
Percentage of exams failed		12.1	10.3	10.0	6.9	6.5
School vs exam mark difference		5.9	6.2	5.7	5.4	7.5
English gender gap		F 3.3	M 1.1	F 4.6	F 4.7	F 4.7
Math gender gap		F 1.1	M 3.2	F 4.2	M 0.8	F 0.7
Graduation rate		98.4	98.5	97.2	98.1	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		0.0	1.3	4.0	6.7	2.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>

Point Grey [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 279				
ESL (%): 15.7	Special needs (%): 9.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 52/288		27/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$107,400: -0.2		Rank: 52/288		27/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		74.8	77.1	72.6	74.6	74.4
Percentage of exams failed		5.7	3.7	6.8	5.4	4.6
School vs exam mark difference		6.4	2.9	4.1	1.7	2.6
English gender gap		M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.9	F 1.7	F 3.2
Math gender gap		M 0.6	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 1.7	M 1.4
Graduation rate		96.8	97.9	95.3	97.3	95.0
Delayed advancement rate		8.9	14.5	15.6	11.9	18.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.4</b>

Prince Of Wales [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 205				
ESL (%): 14.6	Special needs (%): 8.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 30/288		19/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,800: 1.5		Rank: 30/288		19/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		74.7	75.8	74.7	75.7	77.2
Percentage of exams failed		8.3	4.5	4.4	2.9	3.5
School vs exam mark difference		6.2	4.4	4.2	3.1	3.5
English gender gap		E	F 0.5	F 3.1	F 3.2	F 2.7
Math gender gap		F 0.7	F 0.5	F 0.1	F 3.1	F 1.4
Graduation rate		97.4	98.7	96.3	98.3	98.0
Delayed advancement rate		5.4	10.5	5.0	17.9	8.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>

Sir Charles Tupper [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 188				
ESL (%): 9.2	Special needs (%): 18.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 232/288		227/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,300: -0.4		Rank: 232/288		227/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		64.6	65.0	63.1	65.2	66.1
Percentage of exams failed		19.8	16.3	16.6	14.8	10.1
School vs exam mark difference		9.3	7.2	7.8	6.3	6.7
English gender gap		F 2.3	F 2.2	F 4.1	F 4.6	F 2.9
Math gender gap		F 5.4	E	F 4.7	F 2.0	F 0.2
Graduation rate		95.3	94.0	92.0	91.2	92.4
Delayed advancement rate		33.6	20.8	30.4	36.8	42.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>3.5</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 415				
ESL (%): 10.4	Special needs (%): 5.3	French Imm (%): 17.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 74/288		41/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,100: 1.7		Rank: 74/288		41/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		75.4	75.4	71.6	71.4	71.9
Percentage of exams failed		8.2	6.0	8.4	7.7	7.7
School vs exam mark difference		3.8	3.5	4.0	2.4	3.1
English gender gap		M 1.2	F 0.5	F 2.2	F 5.8	F 3.9
Math gender gap		F 2.6	M 0.8	F 0.8	F 2.1	F 0.7
Graduation rate		96.5	96.0	95.9	97.4	96.8
Delayed advancement rate		19.9	19.6	20.0	9.5	15.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>

St George's [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 145				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 2/288		1/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2/288		1/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		81.7	82.6	82.5	84.8	84.5
Percentage of exams failed		1.4	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.1
School vs exam mark difference		3.1	2.9	2.9	1.6	2.9
English gender gap		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Graduation rate		99.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.9</b>

St John's [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 31				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 17/288		24/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,700: 1.3		Rank: 17/288		24/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		67.8	74.8	76.3	74.2	75.7
Percentage of exams failed		13.6	2.6	2.3	1.2	0.6
School vs exam mark difference		6.8	3.7	3.0	2.4	2.5
English gender gap		F 4.0	F 0.2	F 7.8	F 1.0	n/a
Math gender gap		F 0.2	F 0.3	F 7.5	M 11.6	n/a
Graduation rate		88.9	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		15.9	10.3	2.7	0.0	14.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.5</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.6</b>

St Patrick's [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 94				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-		



West Point Grey [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 60				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$124,300: 1.5		Rank:	3/288	4/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		80.8	80.9	81.2	84.0	82.5
Percentage of exams failed		1.3	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.0
School vs exam mark difference		3.5	3.0	3.2	0.3	1.6
English gender gap		F 5.8	M 0.6	F 1.3	F 1.4	F 3.1
Math gender gap		F 2.6	F 0.2	F 5.9	F 1.5	F 0.9
Graduation rate		100.0	100.0	98.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.8</b>

Windermere [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 238				
ESL (%): 6.4	Special needs (%): 12.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,600: 0.5		Rank:	168/288	167/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		67.0	67.1	64.9	67.4	66.6
Percentage of exams failed		13.5	10.7	12.5	9.0	8.6
School vs exam mark difference		7.0	3.8	3.2	1.6	3.4
English gender gap		M 1.0	M 4.8	F 2.5	F 3.3	F 6.8
Math gender gap		F 3.2	F 3.1	F 3.2	F 3.1	F 1.6
Graduation rate		90.0	88.0	93.2	92.1	93.7
Delayed advancement rate		31.4	29.6	23.8	18.5	23.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.6</b>

York House [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 54				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$115,500: 2.1		Rank:	1/288	1/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		84.5	84.3	82.8	85.7	85.2
Percentage of exams failed		0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0
School vs exam mark difference		3.0	1.8	2.8	0.4	1.9
English gender gap		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Graduation rate		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>

**WEST VANCOUVER**

Collingwood [Independent] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 94				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$155,800: 0.2		Rank:	5/288	11/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		76.7	76.6	77.1	79.1	81.7
Percentage of exams failed		4.6	3.4	1.4	1.6	0.2
School vs exam mark difference		6.1	4.3	4.3	2.7	1.8
English gender gap		F 2.0	M 3.1	F 1.2	F 4.7	F 0.7
Math gender gap		F 4.0	F 2.6	F 2.5	F 3.2	F 1.0
Graduation rate		98.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	2.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.9</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>9.7</b>

Rockridge [Public] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 156				
ESL (%): 4.2	Special needs (%): 13.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$200,200: -3.4		Rank:	33/288	23/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		76.2	73.9	71.7	74.3	73.9
Percentage of exams failed		4.9	4.2	3.9	2.3	3.7
School vs exam mark difference		4.1	4.4	4.4	2.2	3.7
English gender gap		M 1.2	F 2.4	F 1.4	F 2.9	F 0.4
Math gender gap		M 2.6	M 3.1	F 2.2	M 4.0	F 2.9
Graduation rate		99.4	97.5	99.3	96.7	99.3
Delayed advancement rate		6.7	7.5	3.2	10.4	8.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>7.9</b>

Sentinel [Public] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 171				
ESL (%): 7.2	Special needs (%): 4.4	French Imm (%): 28.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$134,600: -0.9		Rank:	35/288	19/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		74.5	74.7	73.2	74.0	74.8
Percentage of exams failed		4.9	4.9	5.6	3.1	4.1
School vs exam mark difference		4.6	3.3	2.9	1.9	2.3
English gender gap		M 4.3	M 2.5	F 5.6	F 5.5	F 5.0
Math gender gap		M 1.5	F 0.8	F 0.2	F 0.2	M 1.3
Graduation rate		99.3	99.2	98.7	98.0	96.9
Delayed advancement rate		8.4	5.8	9.2	5.9	10.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.6</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>7.8</b>

West Vancouver [Public] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 302				
ESL (%): 4.8	Special needs (%): 11.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$111,000: -0.4		Rank:	57/288	27/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		75.7	74.7	70.9	72.5	72.1
Percentage of exams failed		4.2	4.0	6.3	6.4	6.5
School vs exam mark difference		3.3	3.8	4.5	2.2	3.2
English gender gap		M 1.4	F 0.3	M 0.1	F 5.7	F 2.9
Math gender gap		M 0.5	F 2.1	F 0.4	M 3.7	M 2.1
Graduation rate		98.6	95.6	95.2	98.7	99.3
Delayed advancement rate		12.9	15.1	11.9	6.4	8.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.8</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.3</b>

# Vancouver Island and the Coast

## ALBERNI

Alberni District [Public] Port Alberni		Gr 12 Enrollment: 296				
ESL (%): 10.7	Special needs (%): 13.9	French Imm (%): 4.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 265/288		233/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,300: -1.6						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	68.7	62.9	61.6	62.7	61.7	
Percentage of exams failed	7.8	17.7	18.9	14.9	17.7	
School vs exam mark difference	4.3	6.0	5.7	5.8	5.3	
English gender gap	F 2.3	F 4.1	F 2.3	F 10.6	F 7.1	
Math gender gap	F 0.1	M 1.2	F 4.1	F 5.0	M 0.8	
Graduation rate	90.4	93.4	92.2	94.6	95.1	
Delayed advancement rate	28.1	24.8	29.4	30.6	31.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.8</b>	

## CAMPBELL RIVER

Campbell River Christian [Independent] Campbell River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 20				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 46/288		59/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.0	69.7	71.0	76.6	72.2	
Percentage of exams failed	5.3	9.3	6.5	1.0	4.3	
School vs exam mark difference	7.8	7.2	8.5	3.2	5.0	
English gender gap	F 3.8	F 5.9	M 0.4	F 6.2	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 0.6	F 14.7	n/a	
Graduation rate	95.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	2.2	0.0	0.4	17.5	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.5</b>	

Carihi [Public] Campbell River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 240				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 10.3	French Imm (%): 16.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 202/288		173/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.1	66.0	65.0	66.1	66.1	
Percentage of exams failed	7.0	13.1	13.4	12.9	11.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	4.6	5.1	3.6	4.3	
English gender gap	F 2.9	F 2.7	F 6.7	F 4.6	F 5.5	
Math gender gap	F 1.9	M 1.0	F 2.3	M 0.6	F 2.9	
Graduation rate	99.5	99.0	92.8	96.7	97.3	
Delayed advancement rate	45.0	45.9	38.1	35.3	31.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	

Timberline [Public] Campbell River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 260				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 10.0	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 218/288		184/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.8	66.0	64.3	63.4	64.3	
Percentage of exams failed	9.3	11.2	13.9	13.3	11.9	
School vs exam mark difference	7.1	5.7	5.7	5.8	7.2	
English gender gap	F 0.7	F 3.6	F 2.4	F 6.4	F 2.1	
Math gender gap	M 0.1	M 0.7	F 2.2	F 0.3	F 0.5	
Graduation rate	99.0	98.0	96.2	91.1	98.0	
Delayed advancement rate	26.8	22.4	24.3	29.4	26.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	

## COAST MOUNTAINS

Caledonia [Public] Terrace		Gr 12 Enrollment: 281				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 14.1	French Imm (%): 1.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 175/288		184/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,700: -0.1						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	67.2	65.8	64.4	65.8	66.7	
Percentage of exams failed	9.7	12.8	12.4	10.5	7.2	
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	5.3	4.4	3.5	4.7	
English gender gap	F 0.5	F 1.7	M 3.0	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	F 2.0	F 1.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	93.8	90.0	89.4	94.0	93.4	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

Hazelton [Public] Hazelton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 82				
ESL (%): 23.8	Special needs (%): 15.6	French Imm (%): 5.8		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 286/288		263/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$33,900: -4.0						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	67.1	57.8	57.6	56.8	59.5	
Percentage of exams failed	12.1	29.0	28.8	30.6	26.3	
School vs exam mark difference	5.2	10.5	9.8	9.3	7.3	
English gender gap	F 1.6	M 3.8	M 5.0	F 7.9	F 14.0	
Math gender gap	F 0.9	M 6.2	F 7.4	F 7.4	F 4.8	
Graduation rate	93.4	88.6	81.1	86.0	85.5	
Delayed advancement rate	28.9	36.9	31.4	29.2	49.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>0.7</b>	

Mount Elizabeth [Public] Kitimat		Gr 12 Enrollment: 140				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 10.9	French Imm (%): 5.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 260/288		245/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,300: -2.7						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	65.3	61.6	60.7	61.9	62.7	
Percentage of exams failed	18.0	23.0	23.5	18.7	17.5	
School vs exam mark difference	7.8	8.1	8.7	5.1	7.1	
English gender gap	F 4.9	F 5.7	M 3.9	F 4.9	F 4.7	
Math gender gap	F 6.5	M 2.3	F 1.3	M 0.3	M 1.1	
Graduation rate	94.1	95.0	90.9	88.8	93.2	
Delayed advancement rate	31.3	16.3	15.4	27.3	21.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	

## COMOX VALLEY

Georges P Vanier [Public] Courtenay		Gr 12 Enrollment: 296				
ESL (%): 0.1	Special needs (%): 12.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 168/288		167/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,000: 0.2						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.9	67.6	64.4	66.1	66.3	
Percentage of exams failed	9.1	11.1	13.3	11.5	10.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	5.0	6.8	5.5	3.8	
English gender gap	F 0.3	F 6.3	F 5.2	F 10.5	F 0.5	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 3.5	F 0.4	F 0.7	F 1.4	
Graduation rate	93.8	94.6	94.5	94.6	94.1	
Delayed advancement rate	17.1	31.1	18.3	19.6	32.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Highland [Public] Comox		Gr 12 Enrollment: 213				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 13.0	French Imm (%): 6.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 97/288		106/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,700: 0.9						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.2	70.4	67.8	69.8	70.3	
Percentage of exams failed	5.0	8.5	10.9	7.6	4.4	
School vs exam mark difference	3.8	6.7	7.8	5.3	2.5	
English gender gap	F 6.2	F 4.8	F 3.8	F 4.0	F 7.6	
Math gender gap	F 1.2	F 3.1	F 3.8	M 1.1	F 5.8	
Graduation rate	94.1	91.5	90.4	93.6	97.6	
Delayed advancement rate	2.7	7.5	13.8	23.6	18.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Mark R. Isfeld [Public] Courtenay		Gr 12 Enrollment: 158				
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 16.1	French Imm (%): 14.5		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 97/288		124/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,500: 1.0						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.9	67.7	65.6	66.7	66.6	
Percentage of exams failed	5.5	10.3	12.0	8.3	8.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	5.6	5.6	3.4	2.7	
English gender gap	F 1.2	M 0.7	F 2.3	F 6.6	F 3.8	
Math gender gap	F 1.9	F 1.9	F 1.5	F 4.1	F 0.2	
Graduation rate	92.3	95.0	88.9	97.1	98.6	
Delayed advancement rate	23.3	30.6	24.1	33.7	15.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

## COWICHAN VALLEY

Brentwood College [Independent] Mill Bay		Gr 12 Enrollment: 75				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 13/288		11/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	76.7	77.1	76.0	80.6	79.2	
Percentage of exams failed	4.3	3.1	1.6	1.0	0.3	
School vs exam mark difference	7.2	4.2	3.4	0.6	2.3	
English gender gap	F 0.8	F 0.6	F 1.8	F 4.1	F 4.7	
Math gender gap	F 2.6	M 3.3	F 0.8	M 9.6	M 4.6	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	4.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>8.9</b>	

Chemainus [Public] Chemainus		Gr 12 Enrollment: 69				
ESL (%): 2.7	Special needs (%): 11.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 86/288		106/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,000: 1.4						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	68.0	67.4	69.2	69.8	69.3	
Percentage of exams failed	10.0	12.4	7.5	5.1	6.1	
School vs exam mark difference	4.2	4.7	6.5	3.2	3.0	
English gender gap	F 5.3	M 1.3	F 2.1	F 5.8	F 4.1	
Math gender gap	M 2.0	F 2.2	F 2.1	M 5.7	F 1.9	
Graduation rate	100.0	88.5	97.2	95.1	93.8	
Delayed advancement rate	24.2	32.1	14.6	9.9	6.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.8</b>	

Cowichan [Public] Duncan		Gr 12 Enrollment: 282				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 9.9	French Imm (%): 4.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 168/288		157/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,700: -0.1						
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	69.7	65.7	64.2	66.1	66.3	
Percentage of exams failed	7.9	12.6	13.8	10.2	9.1	
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	6.4	6.7	4.4	5.7	
English gender gap	F 3.6	F 1.7	F 2.4	F 8.8	F 7.8	
Math gender gap	F 1.1	F 3.8	F 1.9	F 0.8	F 2.5	
Graduation rate	97.4	96.4	94.7	94.9	97.8	
Delayed advancement rate	5.7	12.8	17.5	23.7	12.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Lake Cowichan [Public] Lake Cowichan		Gr 12 Enrollment: 57				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 16.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,200: -0.7		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		226/288	253/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	63.3	60.8	60.1	60.8	62.1	
Percentage of exams failed	15.9	24.7	22.5	14.9	14.5	
School vs exam mark difference	9.8	8.4	10.3	5.7	5.2	
English gender gap	F 1.1	F 7.2	F 2.2	F 14.8	F 0.4	
Math gender gap	M 8.0	F 5.0	F 3.4	F 5.2	M 4.5	
Graduation rate	91.1	88.7	83.3	88.9	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	23.2	33.1	25.6	40.1	26.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>4.8</b>	

Shawnigan Lake [Independent] Shawnigan Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 79				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		14/288	9/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	76.0	78.6	77.6	80.1	79.4	
Percentage of exams failed	2.1	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.0	
School vs exam mark difference	3.8	3.6	4.0	2.5	2.9	
English gender gap	F 2.7	M 4.3	F 2.2	F 2.8	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	M 0.4	F 2.0	F 0.3	F 1.8	F 0.8	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.4	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>8.8</b>	

**GREATER VICTORIA**

Belmont [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 432				
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 11.3	French Imm (%): 2.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,800: -0.9		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		202/288	167/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.9	66.7	65.4	66.4	66.0	
Percentage of exams failed	4.9	11.4	10.8	9.6	9.0	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	5.7	5.6	4.6	4.1	
English gender gap	F 2.7	F 2.1	F 2.5	F 5.2	F 7.2	
Math gender gap	M 0.7	M 2.0	F 2.9	F 1.3	F 2.7	
Graduation rate	93.6	90.2	90.2	95.8	92.6	
Delayed advancement rate	28.4	34.3	27.8	27.0	28.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.2</b>	

Claremont [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 237				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 8.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,600: 0.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		91/288	41/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	72.8	72.6	70.5	71.7	70.7	
Percentage of exams failed	5.4	6.3	6.3	3.6	3.6	
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	3.7	4.1	3.7	3.4	
English gender gap	F 3.0	F 3.8	F 4.4	F 3.3	F 4.9	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 0.6	F 2.2	M 0.3	F 2.6	
Graduation rate	96.8	96.2	96.8	97.5	91.4	
Delayed advancement rate	11.1	16.4	18.8	6.7	13.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Esquimalt [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 172				
ESL (%): 0.9	Special needs (%): 12.3	French Imm (%): 8.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,700: -0.9		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		222/288	184/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	72.0	69.6	66.9	68.2	67.9	
Percentage of exams failed	8.3	10.5	13.3	11.4	11.5	
School vs exam mark difference	5.1	4.6	4.7	3.6	4.7	
English gender gap	M 0.8	F 0.3	F 4.7	F 11.4	F 3.4	
Math gender gap	F 1.3	F 1.3	F 4.5	F 2.4	M 0.7	
Graduation rate	94.9	90.8	91.6	87.3	93.1	
Delayed advancement rate	48.8	51.1	53.4	56.3	50.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.9</b>	

Glenlyon Norfolk [Independent] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 70				
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		15/288	13/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	77.4	76.6	76.8	78.4	78.7	
Percentage of exams failed	2.3	3.6	2.5	0.7	1.4	
School vs exam mark difference	2.5	4.7	4.0	0.1	1.8	
English gender gap	F 1.2	F 1.2	F 4.0	F 0.5	F 8.1	
Math gender gap	M 0.5	F 0.1	F 1.9	F 2.9	F 4.0	
Graduation rate	100.0	98.2	96.4	95.7	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	4.3	0.0	6.4	5.6	4.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>8.7</b>	

Lambrick Park [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 185				
ESL (%): 3.0	Special needs (%): 8.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,700: 0.4		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		97/288	94/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	70.0	70.0	69.0	68.5	69.4	
Percentage of exams failed	9.7	6.9	6.9	8.1	5.5	
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	4.9	3.5	2.2	4.2	
English gender gap	F 0.9	F 3.5	F 2.5	F 5.8	F 3.2	
Math gender gap	M 2.6	M 0.9	F 3.8	F 3.8	M 0.6	
Graduation rate	94.8	94.9	93.7	95.9	95.6	
Delayed advancement rate	24.6	17.4	11.9	15.5	25.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

Mount Douglas [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 273				
ESL (%): 11.8	Special needs (%): 6.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,200: 0.1		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		133/288	52/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	77.3	74.3	69.0	73.1	72.4	
Percentage of exams failed	3.9	6.9	11.4	6.9	6.8	
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	3.7	5.7	2.2	2.1	
English gender gap	F 1.5	F 0.2	F 3.0	F 7.7	F 5.0	
Math gender gap	F 0.5	M 1.4	F 0.4	F 2.5	F 5.0	
Graduation rate	95.1	96.5	93.6	92.0	90.3	
Delayed advancement rate	10.6	6.6	9.8	25.2	27.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.2</b>	

Oak Bay [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 277				
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 9.8	French Imm (%): 12.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,400: 0.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		91/288	65/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.0	71.4	70.3	71.8	72.0	
Percentage of exams failed	9.3	9.0	8.6	5.3	5.4	
School vs exam mark difference	8.4	6.9	5.7	2.6	3.3	
English gender gap	M 0.8	F 1.2	F 0.3	F 3.2	F 4.2	
Math gender gap	F 0.4	F 3.4	F 3.7	M 0.2	F 1.0	
Graduation rate	95.2	93.4	94.9	95.8	94.5	
Delayed advancement rate	24.9	23.0	18.4	16.4	26.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Pacific Christian [Independent] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 79				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,600: 2.1		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		23/288	41/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	74.8	73.5	71.3	72.4	76.2	
Percentage of exams failed	3.6	5.5	6.5	6.4	1.8	
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	6.7	5.5	4.3	3.9	
English gender gap	F 4.1	F 2.0	F 2.3	F 1.6	F 1.9	
Math gender gap	F 4.8	M 3.8	F 0.7	F 5.9	F 3.6	
Graduation rate	92.1	98.6	100.0	96.8	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	7.6	5.4	10.9	14.4	10.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>8.3</b>	

Reynolds [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 183				
ESL (%): 1.6	Special needs (%): 11.2	French Imm (%): 24.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,500: -0.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		168/288	142/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	67.2	70.5	68.1	69.2	68.3	
Percentage of exams failed	11.2	8.8	10.6	8.5	10.0	
School vs exam mark difference	7.0	5.6	5.1	3.9	3.6	
English gender gap	F 1.8	M 2.0	F 2.8	F 7.0	F 5.4	
Math gender gap	F 3.8	F 1.5	F 3.8	F 2.4	F 2.7	
Graduation rate	96.5	89.8	94.3	96.0	94.1	
Delayed advancement rate	29.5	34.8	28.9	28.0	29.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Spectrum [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 351				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 10.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,800: -0.6		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		197/288	227/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.4	64.6	62.8	63.8	65.0	
Percentage of exams failed	11.2	15.4	18.3	15.9	11.4	
School vs exam mark difference	6.9	7.5	8.1	5.9	4.5	
English gender gap	F 7.9	F 4.0	F 1.8	F 4.7	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	M 1.1	E	F 2.1	M 1.2	F 2.3	
Graduation rate	91.9	86.3	82.9	95.3	96.6	
Delayed advancement rate	41.7	38.0	35.3	28.1	26.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.3</b>	

St Andrew's [Independent] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 62				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,800: 1.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		52/288	41/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	72.0	71.0	69.1	70.0	72.0	
Percentage of exams failed	6.2	5.7	6.5	7.3	5.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.1	5.8	4.4	5.0	4.4	
English gender gap	F 3.2	F 0.3	F 0.5	F 3.5	F 5.0	
Math gender gap	F 0.3	F 1.4	M 2.3	F 2.4	M 0.4	
Graduation rate	97.7	95.0	96.4	98.7	98.3	
Delayed advancement rate	0.4	7.8	4.8	11.0	5.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.4</b>	

St Margaret's [Independent] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%): 5.1	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		11/288	14/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	78.1	77.9	76.6	81.5	80.3	
Percentage of exams failed	3.3	6.9	7.4	1.6	2.1	
School vs exam mark difference	4.5	5.3	4.5	0.8	1.6	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	96.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	2				

**NANAIMO-LADYSMITH**

Cedar [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 85				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 11.3	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,300: -2.9		Rank:	280/288	259/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	67.1	58.5	58.7	57.4	59.5	
Percentage of exams failed	8.8	25.7	24.5	29.0	19.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	11.6	10.4	12.5	12.8	
English gender gap	F 3.2	F 2.9	F 1.5	F 5.6	F 8.4	
Math gender gap	M 4.0	F 2.3	M 0.5	F 0.4	M 1.9	
Graduation rate	95.1	94.9	90.0	98.6	97.2	
Delayed advancement rate	7.9	22.5	30.8	21.3	23.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	

Dover Bay [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 306				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 7.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,900: 1.6		Rank:	42/288	52/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	72.9	70.7	69.4	70.6	71.3	
Percentage of exams failed	4.4	6.1	7.3	3.8	3.3	
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	5.2	4.1	2.5	1.8	
English gender gap	F 2.7	F 3.4	F 4.2	F 5.1	F 2.9	
Math gender gap	F 0.4	F 0.2	F 2.3	F 0.7	F 1.6	
Graduation rate	96.9	96.9	94.4	96.0	98.2	
Delayed advancement rate	21.8	13.8	12.9	9.7	15.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.6</b>	

John Barsby [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 143				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 13.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$39,900: -2.4		Rank:	283/288	257/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	62.3	59.7	60.6	62.5	61.2	
Percentage of exams failed	20.3	21.7	21.2	16.7	16.0	
School vs exam mark difference	9.9	6.5	4.9	4.3	5.8	
English gender gap	F 3.6	M 5.3	F 3.6	F 3.2	F 8.8	
Math gender gap	M 1.4	M 5.4	F 4.0	M 4.9	M 0.9	
Graduation rate	94.9	93.7	81.0	92.8	86.3	
Delayed advancement rate	52.9	33.2	32.0	34.2	53.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	

Ladysmith [Public] Ladysmith		Gr 12 Enrollment: 169				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,000: -1.2		Rank:	246/288	202/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	68.9	65.1	62.5	65.3	64.1	
Percentage of exams failed	6.4	13.1	18.9	8.9	10.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	6.0	8.6	4.9	5.3	
English gender gap	F 0.1	F 2.1	M 4.1	F 5.1	F 6.4	
Math gender gap	F 1.1	M 2.3	M 2.2	M 0.8	F 0.2	
Graduation rate	100.0	96.6	82.5	92.8	92.0	
Delayed advancement rate	31.7	15.3	36.3	36.2	38.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	

Nanaimo Christian [Independent] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 19				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,200: 2.5		Rank:	30/288	n/a		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	67.8	67.6	70.7	71.1	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	4.1	9.6	4.9	3.4	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	5.7	4.7	2.5	2.4	
English gender gap	n/a	F 1.7	F 15.6	F 6.3	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 7.6	M 0.7	n/a	
Graduation rate	n/a	100.0	100.0	95.2	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	6.3	17.5	0.0	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.1</b>	

Nanaimo District [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 198				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 11.7	French Imm (%): 22.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,200: 0.0		Rank:	175/288	210/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	68.5	65.6	64.6	66.8	68.4	
Percentage of exams failed	8.1	14.5	14.4	10.6	7.0	
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	6.4	5.8	4.7	3.9	
English gender gap	E	F 4.7	F 5.8	F 10.7	F 5.8	
Math gender gap	M 5.5	M 0.6	F 1.4	F 5.2	F 5.6	
Graduation rate	91.2	87.9	85.0	90.7	94.0	
Delayed advancement rate	44.2	34.4	30.8	38.4	34.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

Wellington [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 180				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,200: -0.5		Rank:	202/288	157/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	72.1	66.1	65.3	66.5	65.5	
Percentage of exams failed	6.2	12.4	15.3	11.0	10.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	7.9	7.3	6.4	6.8	
English gender gap	F 2.1	F 4.3	F 4.1	F 5.4	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	E	M 1.1	F 2.3	M 0.7	F 1.6	
Graduation rate	95.7	93.8	91.1	97.1	96.5	
Delayed advancement rate	19.4	18.3	26.0	15.0	18.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.2</b>	

Woodlands [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 135				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,900: -1.2		Rank:	261/288	220/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	66.5	64.4	62.1	64.7	63.8	
Percentage of exams failed	14.6	17.1	17.1	11.7	15.2	
School vs exam mark difference	10.1	6.7	8.3	4.3	7.2	
English gender gap	F 2.2	M 0.9	F 3.8	F 5.2	F 4.8	
Math gender gap	F 1.1	F 1.4	F 5.7	M 1.2	F 9.8	
Graduation rate	99.0	93.2	91.1	92.3	97.0	
Delayed advancement rate	21.2	17.4	32.8	22.7	27.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>3.9</b>	

**NISGA'A**

Nisga'a [Public] New Aiyansh		Gr 12 Enrollment: 47				
ESL (%): 33.1	Special needs (%): 6.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$28,800: -4.5		Rank:	287/288	265/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	55.0	52.0	44.0	47.7	46.2	
Percentage of exams failed	28.1	44.9	67.1	55.6	54.1	
School vs exam mark difference	10.5	10.0	16.8	9.3	9.9	
English gender gap	n/a	M 0.2	M 5.0	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	95.5	100.0	52.9	90.0	61.9	
Delayed advancement rate	50.2	55.3	81.1	71.6	67.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.9</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	

**POWELL RIVER**

Brooks [Public] Powell River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 232				
ESL (%): 0.1	Special needs (%): 13.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,100: -0.6		Rank:	210/288	202/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	71.3	66.6	64.6	66.2	67.1	
Percentage of exams failed	5.4	10.2	11.8	12.0	8.4	
School vs exam mark difference	6.6	4.9	4.9	2.3	2.6	
English gender gap	F 3.1	E	F 2.3	F 8.6	F 7.2	
Math gender gap	M 2.2	M 1.3	F 2.7	F 0.9	F 2.4	
Graduation rate	83.5	82.0	80.7	89.2	88.8	
Delayed advancement rate	42.8	46.4	38.0	32.0	30.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.1</b>	

**PRINCE RUPERT**

Charles Hays [Public] Prince Rupert		Gr 12 Enrollment: 83				
ESL (%): 3.1	Special needs (%): 17.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,900: -1.5		Rank:	265/288	253/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	64.6	62.3	59.8	62.1	60.5	
Percentage of exams failed	13.4	17.8	23.2	18.0	19.0	
School vs exam mark difference	8.5	5.4	8.8	4.4	7.5	
English gender gap	F 2.4	F 4.6	F 7.0	F 6.8	F 4.0	
Math gender gap	M 4.4	F 1.1	F 10.8	M 8.8	F 1.3	
Graduation rate	97.5	94.3	94.3	86.4	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	44.7	51.3	22.4	59.6	42.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.8</b>	

Prince Rupert [Public] Prince Rupert		Gr 12 Enrollment: 109				
ESL (%): 4.9	Special needs (%): 13.6	French Imm (%): 7.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,200: -0.1		Rank:	210/288	240/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	66.7	64.5	62.6	63.3	65.2	
Percentage of exams failed	13.5	19.7	19.5	20.0	12.8	
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	7.1	6.6	3.0	1.9	
English gender gap	M 0.9	M 1.4	F 5.4	F 4.3	F 1.3	
Math gender gap	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 7.1	F 2.1	F 0.8	
Graduation rate	86.7	83.7	85.2	85.1	95.1	
Delayed advancement rate	41.4	49.1	50.2	37.3	51.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>5.1</b>	

**QUALICUM**

Ballenas [Public] Parksville		Gr 12 Enrollment: 227				
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 10.7	French Imm (%): 7.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,300: 0.6		Rank:	133/288	124/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	69.1	67.7	65.6	67.4	67.6	
Percentage of exams failed	7.7	10.3	8.8	8.5	7.5	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	7.0	6.9	4.8	4.5	
English gender gap	F 9.3	F 0.9	F 1.7	F 3.6	F 4.4	
Math gender gap	F 3.4	F 1.2	M 0.1	F 3.7	M 2.8	
Graduation rate	95.3	91.5	93.1	96.2	96.1	
Delayed advancement rate	20.0	23.2	14.2	9.8	10.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.2</b>	

Kwalikum [Public] Qualicum Beach		Gr 12 Enrollment: 198				
ESL (%): 1.7	Special needs (%): 11.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,000: 1.2						

Whistler [Public] Whistler		Gr 12 Enrollment: 61				
ESL (%): 1.2	Special needs (%): 7.3	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,700: 1.0	Rank: 42/288	2008-09	Last 5 Years			52/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	75.7	74.0	72.7	73.9	73.1	
Percentage of exams failed	4.0	3.3	3.8	1.5	2.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.3	3.8	5.2	2.8	3.5	
English gender gap	F 4.5	F 3.8	M 0.4	F 6.2	M 0.6	
Math gender gap	F 1.6	F 9.5	M 0.7	F 4.9	M 0.3	
Graduation rate	87.8	97.7	92.3	100.0	97.9	
Delayed advancement rate	45.1	18.1	22.9	17.2	27.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.6</b>	

**SOOKE**

Edward Milne [Public] Sooke		Gr 12 Enrollment: 133				
ESL (%): 3.9	Special needs (%): 14.1	French Imm (%): 5.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,100: -1.4	Rank: 261/288	2008-09	Last 5 Years			245/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	71.7	64.5	61.0	62.4	62.4	
Percentage of exams failed	5.7	16.1	22.8	18.6	18.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	7.6	6.9	5.5	4.3	
English gender gap	M 2.9	M 0.7	F 4.9	F 7.6	F 5.9	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 5.4	F 0.5	F 0.4	F 2.2	
Graduation rate	85.3	83.0	86.2	92.2	89.7	
Delayed advancement rate	65.2	57.6	47.7	41.4	25.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.9</b>	

**SUNSHINE COAST**

Chatelech [Public] Sechelt		Gr 12 Enrollment: 133				
ESL (%): 4.9	Special needs (%): 16.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,900: -1.2	Rank: 218/288	2008-09	Last 5 Years			184/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	73.6	65.7	61.9	63.0	66.6	
Percentage of exams failed	3.1	13.2	19.7	16.2	9.9	
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	4.8	6.7	5.8	4.5	
English gender gap	F 4.6	F 3.7	F 2.0	M 1.3	F 10.7	
Math gender gap	F 1.4	M 1.8	F 4.3	M 8.6	F 4.6	
Graduation rate	95.8	96.7	97.0	93.4	94.6	
Delayed advancement rate	32.0	27.6	18.3	25.9	22.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>5.0</b>	

Elphinstone [Public] Gibsons		Gr 12 Enrollment: 135				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 14.3	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,000: -0.4	Rank: 123/288	2008-09	Last 5 Years			150/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	67.9	66.3	65.8	66.5	68.2	
Percentage of exams failed	9.9	12.3	9.8	9.5	6.6	
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	8.1	7.9	4.5	4.2	
English gender gap	F 0.7	M 1.1	F 2.9	F 9.1	F 10.0	
Math gender gap	M 6.9	F 2.1	F 4.3	M 4.2	F 0.6	
Graduation rate	96.2	95.0	98.4	97.5	97.0	
Delayed advancement rate	29.4	19.8	21.6	11.0	10.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

Pender Harbour [Public] Madeira Park		Gr 12 Enrollment: 29				
ESL (%): 2.0	Special needs (%): 17.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 240/288	2008-09	Last 5 Years			173/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	67.9	67.8	64.5	66.5	64.8	
Percentage of exams failed	6.8	10.2	16.2	13.1	12.0	
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	6.7	8.4	4.1	7.1	
English gender gap	F 1.0	F 1.0	F 5.8	F 3.8	F 11.3	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 4.4	M 5.4	M 3.1	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	87.0	96.6	93.1	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	14.0	21.4	11.5	1.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>4.6</b>	

**VANCOUVER ISLAND NORTH**

North Island [Public] Port McNeill		Gr 12 Enrollment: 78				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 21.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,600: -2.1	Rank: 271/288	2008-09	Last 5 Years			249/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	67.0	61.1	58.8	60.4	59.2	
Percentage of exams failed	14.0	21.6	26.1	20.8	18.9	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	5.7	7.6	7.1	8.8	
English gender gap	M 0.7	M 3.4	F 8.4	F 16.7	F 9.2	
Math gender gap	F 11.2	M 1.3	F 8.5	M 1.0	M 0.4	
Graduation rate	97.4	92.4	92.2	97.4	98.5	
Delayed advancement rate	21.0	26.7	33.2	21.6	11.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	

Port Hardy [Public] Port Hardy		Gr 12 Enrollment: 55				
ESL (%): 27.2	Special needs (%): 20.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,300: -1.4	Rank: 261/288	2008-09	Last 5 Years			258/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	62.7	60.7	57.4	60.3	61.2	
Percentage of exams failed	13.0	18.6	25.5	22.8	19.3	
School vs exam mark difference	8.5	5.7	8.0	8.6	3.4	
English gender gap	F 0.5	M 2.0	F 4.9	F 5.5	F 5.3	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 5.6	M 0.8	F 1.5	
Graduation rate	88.9	96.7	100.0	90.9	94.9	
Delayed advancement rate	58.7	44.8	52.8	44.5	39.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>3.9</b>	

**VANCOUVER ISLAND WEST**

Gold River [Public] Gold River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%): 22.3	Special needs (%): 13.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 284/288	2008-09	Last 5 Years			263/265
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	60.8	54.6	56.3	61.9	60.2	
Percentage of exams failed	16.7	34.1	31.2	19.7	20.0	
School vs exam mark difference	10.6	12.7	12.9	4.8	3.5	
English gender gap	F 0.2	M 10.2	F 1.3	F 0.9	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 5.5	M 12.7	n/a	
Graduation rate	93.8	75.0	76.5	85.2	85.7	
Delayed advancement rate	33.2	37.5	5.4	33.4	38.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>2.4</b>	



# Fraser Valley and Southern British Columbia

## ABBOTSFORD

Abbotsford Christian [Independent] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 42				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 19/288 27/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,900: 2.1						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		71.9	72.3	69.1	74.7	74.1
Percentage of exams failed		5.2	4.0	5.7	1.5	0.3
School vs exam mark difference		4.7	4.2	3.7	2.0	0.6
English gender gap		F 5.0	F 2.3	M 0.7	F 1.8	F 5.7
Math gender gap		n/a	M 0.1	M 2.5	M 1.7	F 1.3
Graduation rate		95.8	93.3	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		14.6	12.4	13.4	14.7	8.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.5</b>

Abbotsford Collegiate [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 303				
ESL (%): 1.8	Special needs (%): 16.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 280/288 245/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,900: -3.1						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		69.0	65.4	61.7	61.4	60.4
Percentage of exams failed		10.5	14.3	20.5	18.5	20.5
School vs exam mark difference		7.2	8.8	9.7	7.8	10.9
English gender gap		F 4.2	F 0.1	F 5.2	F 8.1	F 8.1
Math gender gap		M 2.5	M 1.3	F 2.1	F 3.1	F 1.9
Graduation rate		93.1	93.6	93.3	94.1	95.7
Delayed advancement rate		31.3	25.7	18.6	32.9	25.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>

Abbotsford Traditional [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 75				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 3.0	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 175/288 n/a		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,500: -0.5						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		n/a	65.3	65.6	69.9	67.3
Percentage of exams failed		n/a	12.3	13.8	8.1	9.6
School vs exam mark difference		n/a	8.0	6.7	4.9	6.6
English gender gap		n/a	F 3.7	F 8.0	F 4.3	F 2.0
Math gender gap		n/a	F 9.2	F 2.3	F 8.2	M 0.9
Graduation rate		n/a	94.1	91.4	91.7	90.3
Delayed advancement rate		n/a	25.5	18.6	5.5	13.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>n/a</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>

Mennonite Educational Institute [Independent] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 150				
ESL (%): 0.1	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 33/288 25/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,900: 1.7						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		74.4	72.3	72.1	73.2	72.2
Percentage of exams failed		3.3	4.3	4.0	2.2	3.4
School vs exam mark difference		3.7	5.0	4.2	2.9	4.2
English gender gap		F 3.1	M 2.4	F 2.0	F 1.5	F 2.4
Math gender gap		M 2.3	M 3.0	F 1.0	M 3.8	M 2.0
Graduation rate		100.0	100.0	98.2	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		4.6	4.3	3.6	8.2	0.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>7.9</b>

Rick Hansen [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 235				
ESL (%): 5.8	Special needs (%): 7.0	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 168/288 157/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,900: -0.1						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		68.8	66.6	65.2	68.2	66.8
Percentage of exams failed		10.3	13.3	12.4	10.0	9.5
School vs exam mark difference		4.9	5.5	6.1	4.2	6.1
English gender gap		F 3.1	F 0.9	F 4.3	F 9.3	F 2.2
Math gender gap		F 0.1	F 3.3	F 1.3	F 7.1	F 3.1
Graduation rate		95.7	94.5	96.9	94.9	94.9
Delayed advancement rate		29.3	25.7	16.5	24.4	14.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.6</b>

Robert Bateman [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 205				
ESL (%): 2.1	Special needs (%): 9.9	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 74/288 65/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,500: 0.3						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		76.5	69.5	69.0	71.1	68.5
Percentage of exams failed		1.4	7.3	7.5	4.7	5.8
School vs exam mark difference		3.4	3.0	4.4	2.2	3.2
English gender gap		F 1.6	F 3.1	F 5.4	F 3.9	F 2.8
Math gender gap		F 1.8	F 2.4	F 1.4	F 1.1	M 0.8
Graduation rate		96.4	97.6	97.8	98.5	96.8
Delayed advancement rate		26.7	16.0	29.2	10.3	9.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>6.9</b>

St John Brebeuf [Independent] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 60				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 164/288 117/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,400: -0.8						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		67.6	66.4	67.4	69.6	68.0
Percentage of exams failed		5.4	13.2	12.8	8.3	7.1
School vs exam mark difference		6.3	9.9	8.5	7.9	9.3
English gender gap		M 2.4	M 5.1	F 3.0	F 2.4	F 4.1
Math gender gap		M 3.7	M 5.8	F 0.9	M 0.3	M 2.1
Graduation rate		100.0	98.0	98.2	97.7	98.3
Delayed advancement rate		2.7	2.0	8.9	10.1	16.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>5.7</b>

W J Mouat [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 368				
ESL (%): 2.8	Special needs (%): 6.5	French Imm (%): 21.2		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 52/288 83/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,500: 1.8						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		73.2	70.7	68.6	70.7	70.9
Percentage of exams failed		3.1	6.8	7.3	6.1	5.3
School vs exam mark difference		3.6	3.4	3.8	3.3	3.5
English gender gap		F 2.2	F 0.6	F 1.5	F 3.4	F 3.0
Math gender gap		M 2.2	M 1.5	F 1.9	M 3.2	F 2.0
Graduation rate		98.6	94.2	96.5	97.0	99.7
Delayed advancement rate		21.3	25.6	22.9	11.1	6.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.4</b>

Yale [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 285				
ESL (%): 1.6	Special needs (%): 8.8	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 149/288 83/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,300: -0.6						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		76.7	71.6	69.5	69.4	69.4
Percentage of exams failed		2.2	8.3	8.5	8.3	7.3
School vs exam mark difference		3.9	4.7	4.4	4.1	5.9
English gender gap		F 1.7	F 0.8	F 2.5	F 5.9	F 6.7
Math gender gap		F 0.6	F 0.7	F 3.5	M 2.1	F 3.6
Graduation rate		95.6	97.2	94.8	97.0	95.8
Delayed advancement rate		24.9	23.1	17.8	22.4	16.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.9</b>

## ARROW LAKES

Nakusp [Public] Nakusp		Gr 12 Enrollment: 45				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 5.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 255/288 192/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,600: -1.7						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		70.2	66.6	64.1	67.4	66.6
Percentage of exams failed		5.7	11.8	14.1	7.7	11.7
School vs exam mark difference		4.6	7.6	6.9	5.9	8.2
English gender gap		F 3.6	M 0.8	F 8.5	M 6.1	F 12.2
Math gender gap		n/a	F 1.9	F 8.4	M 13.8	F 1.9
Graduation rate		100.0	97.8	88.0	93.0	95.2
Delayed advancement rate		15.7	23.4	29.0	32.9	37.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.1</b>

## BOUNDARY

Boundary Central [Public] Midway		Gr 12 Enrollment: 28				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 6.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 140/288 124/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,200: 1.0						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		70.1	67.1	70.1	69.1	70.1
Percentage of exams failed		5.2	12.9	6.8	5.7	6.1
School vs exam mark difference		6.1	9.6	5.4	4.7	4.8
English gender gap		F 5.3	F 8.2	F 5.3	F 3.1	n/a
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	M 2.5	F 14.2	n/a
Graduation rate		94.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.8
Delayed advancement rate		14.2	10.7	31.5	19.5	32.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>

Grand Forks [Public] Grand Forks		Gr 12 Enrollment: 104				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.5	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 251/288 197/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,700: -0.8						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		72.9	67.2	63.1	65.0	64.9
Percentage of exams failed		3.9	11.0	15.0	14.0	13.6
School vs exam mark difference		6.1	7.7	8.4	8.7	9.0
English gender gap		M 2.3	F 5.1	F 6.9	F 9.6	F 5.7
Math gender gap		E M 1.4	F 1.2	F 10.8	F 8.9	F 8.9
Graduation rate		92.1	93.0	94.9	97.9	96.7
Delayed advancement rate		18.0	25.7	29.4	22.1	13.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.7</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.2</b>

## CENTRAL OKANAGAN

George Elliot [Public] Winfield		Gr 12 Enrollment: 138				
ESL (%): 0.1	Special needs (%): 9.5	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09 Last 5 Years		Rank: 86/288 101/265		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,700: 1.0						
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		68.9	66.4	68.2	68.4	68.0
Percentage of exams failed		12.2	12.0	7.1	7.9	6.5
School vs exam mark difference		5.9	5.2	4.4	5.9	5.3
English gender gap		E M 1.4	F 1.2	F 10.8	F 3.1	F 3.1
Math gender gap		F 6.0	F 5.0	F 1.1	F 7.5	F 1.0
Graduation rate		93.8	95.3	97.2	98.0	97.6
Delayed advancement rate		23.1	6.6	7.1	10.0	8.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.7</b>			

Kelowna [Public] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 551				
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 5.3	French Imm (%): 15.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,700: 0.4		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 71/288	52/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	71.7	69.3	68.9	70.9	70.4	
Percentage of exams failed	6.1	7.8	7.0	5.2	5.3	
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	4.6	4.7	2.6	3.3	
English gender gap	F 0.9	F 1.0	F 3.8	F 4.0	F 4.7	
Math gender gap	F 1.5	F 1.8	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.8	
Graduation rate	94.7	96.0	94.1	95.7	95.8	
Delayed advancement rate	29.5	12.0	13.5	9.4	11.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>	

Kelowna Christian [Independent] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 59				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,400: 1.5		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 23/288	19/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	75.4	75.7	75.2	75.0	74.9	
Percentage of exams failed	3.4	1.3	2.0	1.6	0.9	
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	4.8	4.8	3.9	1.7	
English gender gap	F 1.4	F 2.9	F 6.1	F 8.4	F 7.3	
Math gender gap	F 0.9	F 3.8	M 1.1	M 2.0	F 4.3	
Graduation rate	96.8	100.0	98.2	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	3.8	0.0	5.3	0.7	1.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.3</b>	

Mount Boucherie [Public] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 488				
ESL (%): 0.1	Special needs (%): 6.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,700: -0.4		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 175/288	133/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	71.1	65.6	64.5	65.1	64.9	
Percentage of exams failed	5.8	12.1	15.0	14.2	13.7	
School vs exam mark difference	4.5	5.4	6.3	7.6	7.5	
English gender gap	F 0.4	F 1.2	F 0.7	F 6.9	F 3.6	
Math gender gap	F 2.3	F 2.2	F 3.5	F 2.1	F 2.3	
Graduation rate	96.2	98.4	97.4	98.6	99.1	
Delayed advancement rate	24.3	6.0	5.8	9.1	5.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

Okanagan Mission [Public] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 174				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 5.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$108,600: 0.7		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 175/288	25/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.0	73.3	72.3	76.0	75.1	
Percentage of exams failed	5.7	4.6	3.8	2.0	1.8	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	5.5	6.3	3.1	2.9	
English gender gap	F 0.5	F 2.1	F 2.5	F 7.4	F 4.0	
Math gender gap	F 0.7	F 3.3	F 2.6	F 2.8	F 2.7	
Graduation rate	97.9	100.0	99.4	100.0	99.4	
Delayed advancement rate	17.6	12.1	3.2	5.3	4.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.3</b>	

Rutland [Public] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 418				
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 10.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,700: 0.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 175/288	192/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	69.1	64.1	63.7	64.9	65.3	
Percentage of exams failed	6.6	14.2	13.8	10.6	10.0	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	7.5	7.1	5.2	6.0	
English gender gap	M 1.7	F 1.6	F 0.7	F 5.5	F 2.3	
Math gender gap	M 0.3	F 5.7	F 1.2	M 0.9	M 1.3	
Graduation rate	93.3	94.3	95.7	94.3	97.2	
Delayed advancement rate	51.4	27.6	32.3	23.1	22.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

Chilliwack [Public] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 316				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 9.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,100: -1.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 210/288	180/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.2	63.7	63.6	65.4	64.0	
Percentage of exams failed	13.0	15.8	16.4	11.9	12.8	
School vs exam mark difference	7.8	6.1	5.0	2.8	6.5	
English gender gap	F 0.6	F 3.4	F 2.2	F 3.6	F 2.4	
Math gender gap	F 5.1	F 4.1	F 1.9	M 1.8	M 2.3	
Graduation rate	96.1	92.3	95.3	96.7	94.5	
Delayed advancement rate	28.1	23.6	5.5	14.9	8.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.1</b>	

GW Graham [Public] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 127				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 6.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,000: 0.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 123/288	n/a			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	66.3	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.3	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.2	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.7	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.2	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	95.7	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	21.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

Highroad [Independent] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 29				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,900: 2.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 19/288	27/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	75.6	72.6	75.0	74.1	75.7	
Percentage of exams failed	0.0	7.3	8.3	7.1	1.9	
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	6.1	4.0	1.4	2.5	
English gender gap	F 2.8	n/a	M 0.7	F 5.0	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	M 0.4	F 0.7	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	4.5	3.9	16.7	1.2	7.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.5</b>	

Mount Cheam Christian [Independent] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 21				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$93,900: 1.3		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 23/288	n/a			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	n/a	64.8	69.1	67.8	71.0	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	13.0	1.9	1.2	0.0	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	9.6	5.9	4.8	2.5	
English gender gap	n/a	F 1.6	F 3.7	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	M 0.7	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	n/a	95.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	100.0	15.5	11.3	20.9	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>8.3</b>	

Sardis [Public] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 428				
ESL (%): 1.8	Special needs (%): 7.5	French Imm (%): 11.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,700: 0.2		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 116/288	101/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	69.4	69.1	66.1	67.9	68.2	
Percentage of exams failed	9.5	9.4	10.9	9.0	8.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	5.0	4.8	2.7	3.0	
English gender gap	F 4.3	F 1.1	F 0.9	F 4.7	F 6.2	
Math gender gap	F 0.4	F 2.6	F 3.2	M 2.0	F 1.2	
Graduation rate	97.5	94.5	94.4	96.5	95.5	
Delayed advancement rate	18.5	6.7	19.2	16.5	9.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>	

Unity Christian [Independent] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 37				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,100: -1.5		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 149/288	117/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.4	64.0	66.8	71.4	69.6	
Percentage of exams failed	13.8	14.5	9.9	2.9	5.7	
School vs exam mark difference	10.5	9.5	5.5	3.1	7.6	
English gender gap	n/a	M 1.2	F 9.1	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 6.1	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.5	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	12.9	0.0	5.1	5.9	16.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>5.9</b>	

FRASER-CASCADE		Gr 12 Enrollment: 56				
ESL (%): 1.7	Special needs (%): 15.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,000: 0.0		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 218/288	220/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.2	63.5	62.4	65.2	64.0	
Percentage of exams failed	12.7	17.2	17.0	10.1	11.3	
School vs exam mark difference	8.3	6.9	8.5	5.5	5.7	
English gender gap	F 3.1	F 13.8	F 4.4	F 5.0	M 5.3	
Math gender gap	F 5.2	M 1.0	F 2.8	F 3.2	M 0.4	
Graduation rate	91.3	94.7	95.5	88.9	90.2	
Delayed advancement rate	30.9	18.7	0.0	21.7	11.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.0</b>	

Hope [Public] Hope		Gr 12 Enrollment: 76				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,500: 0.4		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 155/288	173/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	68.9	66.5	67.0	65.0	65.7	
Percentage of exams failed	7.6	11.0	9.6	11.1	8.9	
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	6.9	7.3	2.0	2.9	
English gender gap	M 1.4	F 3.2	F 3.4	F 7.4	F 2.5	
Math gender gap	M 2.5	F 6.9	F 0.1	M 1.3	M 1.5	
Graduation rate	97.2	100.0	88.7	83.3	96.8	
Delayed advancement rate	32.7	26.4	26.2	47.7	29.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.8</b>	

KOOTENAY LAKE		Gr 12 Enrollment: 25				
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 7.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 240/288	157/265			
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.6	67.4	67.4	68.1	68.4	
Percentage of exams failed	12.5	7.4	11.0	11.7	7.3	
School vs exam mark difference	11.2	7.7	8.4	5.7	9.1	
English gender gap	F 7.9	F 9.8	F 3.3	F 6.7	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 2.6	M 6.7	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.5	
Delayed advancement rate	15.6	12.8	12.9	19.5	23.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>4.6</b>	

Rosland [Public] Rosland		Gr 12 Enrollment: 69				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 10.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$96,900: 0.1	Rank: 57/288	52/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	72.9	71.4	71.6	74.0	72.9	
Percentage of exams failed	4.1	4.7	3.9	3.7	4.3	
School vs exam mark difference	7.0	5.7	3.5	2.1	1.5	
English gender gap	F 2.5	F 1.1	F 0.5	F 10.2	F 11.0	
Math gender gap	F 0.5	F 6.0	F 5.2	F 11.7	F 4.8	
Graduation rate	97.7	94.6	97.3	98.4	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	16.8	10.7	9.6	17.5	17.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.3</b>	

Stanley Humphries [Public] Castlegar		Gr 12 Enrollment: 166				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 8.3	French Imm (%): 15.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,400: 1.0	Rank: 97/288	88/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	69.4	68.0	68.7	70.1	69.7	
Percentage of exams failed	9.0	7.4	6.2	5.1	6.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	5.0	3.4	1.2	3.2	
English gender gap	F 3.7	F 1.2	F 1.8	F 4.4	F 6.2	
Math gender gap	F 4.9	F 1.7	M 0.8	F 1.4	F 1.7	
Graduation rate	98.7	95.4	98.3	95.3	96.1	
Delayed advancement rate	11.4	24.7	20.9	28.6	17.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

**LANGLEY**

Aldergrove Community [Public] Aldergrove		Gr 12 Enrollment: 186				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 11.9	French Imm (%): 14.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,500: -2.3	Rank: 269/288	227/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	69.0	65.6	62.3	64.5	62.4	
Percentage of exams failed	8.2	12.5	17.1	12.2	14.9	
School vs exam mark difference	7.2	7.3	7.4	4.9	8.0	
English gender gap	F 7.6	F 3.7	F 6.5	F 5.3	F 3.4	
Math gender gap	F 6.1	F 0.5	F 8.1	F 1.0	M 2.1	
Graduation rate	93.1	96.1	89.7	93.0	93.2	
Delayed advancement rate	21.0	25.5	33.2	30.0	33.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>3.7</b>	

Brookswood [Public] Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 233				
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 8.8	French Imm (%): 15.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,400: 0.1	Rank: 116/288	117/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	71.8	66.9	64.6	67.5	68.4	
Percentage of exams failed	6.5	10.3	13.3	8.4	7.3	
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	5.9	5.1	3.2	3.5	
English gender gap	F 0.6	F 1.3	F 9.1	F 5.2	F 5.8	
Math gender gap	M 1.6	F 3.7	F 4.9	E	F 0.6	
Graduation rate	94.9	94.6	94.9	94.3	97.1	
Delayed advancement rate	22.0	23.9	20.6	25.6	23.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.4</b>	

Credo Christian [Independent] Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 45				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,200: 0.9	Rank: 57/288	59/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	71.0	68.3	69.5	73.9	72.2	
Percentage of exams failed	1.4	6.8	6.9	2.4	1.3	
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	7.1	6.2	4.5	6.3	
English gender gap	F 3.4	F 2.5	F 4.5	F 8.2	F 6.0	
Math gender gap	M 0.1	F 5.0	F 5.9	F 2.1	F 2.5	
Graduation rate	98.1	100.0	98.5	100.0	97.8	
Delayed advancement rate	0.8	0.2	4.4	0.0	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	

D W Poppy [Public] Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 173				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 12.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$109,500: -0.9	Rank: 86/288	106/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	72.1	67.6	67.8	69.6	70.1	
Percentage of exams failed	6.9	11.2	8.3	5.3	5.8	
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	5.4	4.3	2.3	2.6	
English gender gap	F 2.6	F 1.0	F 0.3	F 7.2	F 0.1	
Math gender gap	F 5.0	F 2.9	F 0.3	M 0.1	M 3.0	
Graduation rate	96.0	92.7	96.3	87.4	95.9	
Delayed advancement rate	21.1	25.4	21.3	33.9	23.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.8</b>	

Fraser Valley Adventist [Independent] Aldergrove		Gr 12 Enrollment: 28				
ESL (%): 2.3	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,500: -2.5	Rank: 277/288	243/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	64.6	60.6	62.0	66.6	63.6	
Percentage of exams failed	16.4	24.7	20.4	13.5	18.2	
School vs exam mark difference	10.5	10.7	9.7	2.7	8.2	
English gender gap	F 3.9	F 8.4	F 8.9	M 1.6	n/a	
Math gender gap	F 1.6	F 8.3	F 1.8	F 16.1	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	96.3	89.3	89.7	92.6	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	2.3	21.7	23.9	10.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>3.2</b>	

Langley [Public] Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 261				
ESL (%): 5.7	Special needs (%): 10.8	French Imm (%): 4.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,800: -0.6	Rank: 187/288	173/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	70.7	64.9	66.0	67.3	66.6	
Percentage of exams failed	8.2	11.8	12.2	7.0	9.2	
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	5.6	6.4	1.9	1.5	
English gender gap	M 3.8	F 5.0	F 2.2	F 6.6	F 4.4	
Math gender gap	F 3.3	F 5.0	F 4.6	M 0.2	F 1.7	
Graduation rate	92.9	88.7	86.7	95.0	91.1	
Delayed advancement rate	25.8	40.0	33.5	42.0	34.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>	

Langley Christian [Independent] Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 78				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,400: 0.8	Rank: 46/288	65/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	70.7	67.5	69.9	70.6	70.9	
Percentage of exams failed	6.7	8.4	7.9	7.5	6.3	
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	7.8	8.0	4.2	3.3	
English gender gap	F 0.6	F 3.2	F 2.3	F 6.2	F 1.6	
Math gender gap	F 0.4	F 5.8	F 3.6	M 0.8	F 5.9	
Graduation rate	98.5	98.5	98.3	98.5	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	11.6	0.0	2.1	4.1	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.5</b>	

Langley Fine Arts [Public] Fort Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 109				
ESL (%): 2.2	Special needs (%): 5.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,900: 0.7	Rank: 52/288	32/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	76.6	73.1	71.3	73.4	71.8	
Percentage of exams failed	4.4	6.6	6.9	3.8	4.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	5.8	3.7	1.6	2.6	
English gender gap	M 0.5	M 0.6	F 0.5	F 6.0	F 2.2	
Math gender gap	M 1.5	F 5.7	F 1.0	F 1.3	F 0.7	
Graduation rate	98.7	97.4	97.7	97.7	97.1	
Delayed advancement rate	5.3	5.7	0.0	8.6	19.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.4</b>	

Langley Fundamental [Public] Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 76				
ESL (%): 1.6	Special needs (%): 6.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,800: 0.9	Rank: 46/288	n/a				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	72.0	72.7	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.6	5.7	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.8	4.9	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 8.4	F 5.2	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.6	M 1.3	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	98.2	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	10.7	8.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.5</b>	

R. E. Mountain [Public] Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 151				
ESL (%): 4.5	Special needs (%): 6.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,600: 1.0	Rank: 68/288	83/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	71.9	69.0	70.1	70.9	70.9	
Percentage of exams failed	6.9	8.2	5.9	7.5	4.2	
School vs exam mark difference	2.7	4.0	2.3	2.4	1.3	
English gender gap	M 0.6	M 1.3	M 0.2	F 8.3	F 7.9	
Math gender gap	M 2.2	M 2.0	F 3.5	F 7.3	F 1.6	
Graduation rate	96.9	92.0	94.4	96.5	97.1	
Delayed advancement rate	22.8	32.6	27.2	11.9	16.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.1</b>	

Walnut Grove [Public] Langley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 369				
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 9.1	French Imm (%): 12.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based	2008-09	Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,200: 0.4	Rank: 63/288	83/265				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	71.2	68.1	67.7	69.0	69.1	
Percentage of exams failed	8.2	10.4	9.1	5.5	5.6	
School vs exam mark difference	5.2	7.6	5.5	2.7	2.9	
English gender gap	F 2.1	M 2.3	F 4.4	F 3.3	F 0.7	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 1.0	F 1.8	F 1.0	F 1.1	
Graduation rate	94.1	96.7	94.8	97.7	97.7	
Delayed advancement rate	19.1	11.8	14.7	16.4	14.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	



**OKANAGAN SIMILKAMEEN**

Osoyoos [Public] Osoyoos		Gr 12 Enrollment: 54				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 14.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 210/288		133/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	63.2	71.3	68.0	72.7	68.1	
Percentage of exams failed	16.4	5.4	7.9	4.1	4.6	
School vs exam mark difference	6.7	3.9	4.5	2.4	2.6	
English gender gap	M 3.5	F 6.5	F 0.7	F 9.0	F 0.3	
Math gender gap	M 9.3	M 0.9	F 2.6	F 1.3	F 3.0	
Graduation rate	94.4	95.8	100.0	97.8	81.8	
Delayed advancement rate	15.0	27.4	26.4	34.2	51.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>5.1</b>	

Similkameen [Public] Keremeos		Gr 12 Enrollment: 47				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 14.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 133/288		133/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	69.8	66.5	68.3	66.1	68.2	
Percentage of exams failed	2.4	17.3	7.5	7.9	7.3	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	7.4	2.8	3.3	0.7	
English gender gap	M 2.7	M 2.7	F 9.6	F 11.5	F 4.7	
Math gender gap	F 9.5	n/a	M 1.6	F 4.1	F 6.9	
Graduation rate	91.9	100.0	97.4	97.6	97.5	
Delayed advancement rate	41.4	28.1	15.0	33.4	28.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.2</b>	

Southern Okanagan [Public] Oliver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 96				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,900: -1.3		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 197/288		157/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	69.5	66.6	66.2	67.6	66.2	
Percentage of exams failed	5.4	12.6	9.3	10.4	8.9	
School vs exam mark difference	3.6	5.0	4.4	2.0	3.4	
English gender gap	M 0.3	F 1.2	F 6.9	F 8.0	F 1.5	
Math gender gap	F 1.9	F 2.5	F 3.5	M 2.6	F 9.5	
Graduation rate	97.3	96.8	95.7	97.8	94.2	
Delayed advancement rate	46.9	42.0	59.7	29.9	19.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.3</b>	

**OKANAGAN SKAHA**

Penticton [Public] Penticton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 279				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 14.8	French Imm (%): 22.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,100: 0.4		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 97/288		88/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	73.3	70.2	67.9	68.5	68.8	
Percentage of exams failed	4.5	7.1	10.6	8.7	6.5	
School vs exam mark difference	3.4	5.6	5.2	3.4	4.7	
English gender gap	F 3.1	F 1.0	F 2.1	F 3.3	F 4.2	
Math gender gap	F 1.7	F 0.3	F 1.7	M 2.6	M 0.9	
Graduation rate	98.4	98.7	98.3	98.3	97.8	
Delayed advancement rate	27.4	25.6	34.9	33.6	15.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

Princess Margaret [Public] Penticton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 145				
ESL (%): 0.1	Special needs (%): 16.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,400: 0.3		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 123/288		142/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	66.8	67.7	68.0	66.9	69.6	
Percentage of exams failed	14.7	9.9	10.8	9.3	5.5	
School vs exam mark difference	8.1	5.0	3.6	5.2	5.5	
English gender gap	F 1.3	F 1.1	F 4.9	F 10.4	F 0.4	
Math gender gap	M 0.4	F 5.2	F 3.0	F 0.7	M 4.9	
Graduation rate	95.9	96.8	96.5	97.3	98.4	
Delayed advancement rate	25.4	18.9	42.6	33.4	27.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

Summerland [Public] Summerland		Gr 12 Enrollment: 155				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 21.2	French Imm (%): 3.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,700: 0.1		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 187/288		124/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	74.2	67.5	67.8	66.8	67.3	
Percentage of exams failed	3.9	9.9	11.7	10.4	10.2	
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	6.5	7.1	7.3	6.8	
English gender gap	F 1.8	F 3.4	F 6.3	F 2.7	F 5.2	
Math gender gap	F 2.1	F 0.6	F 1.8	M 1.6	M 4.2	
Graduation rate	96.3	94.4	95.9	92.8	95.6	
Delayed advancement rate	28.0	24.7	22.1	7.2	12.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	

**SOUTHEAST KOOTENAY**

Elkford [Public] Elkford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 28				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,600: 0.7		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 42/288		76/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	75.9	67.5	66.3	64.9	70.8	
Percentage of exams failed	3.6	9.3	11.3	6.9	4.9	
School vs exam mark difference	6.5	5.7	6.5	4.7	2.2	
English gender gap	F 0.8	F 1.1	F 3.2	F 6.0	n/a	
Math gender gap	F 1.6	n/a	M 0.3	M 12.8	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	6.4	11.2	8.5	8.3	1.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>7.6</b>	

Fernie [Public] Fernie		Gr 12 Enrollment: 88				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.5	French Imm (%): 7.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,600: -0.9		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 210/288		173/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	66.2	67.5	67.2	67.9	67.7	
Percentage of exams failed	13.1	9.5	8.5	7.5	6.1	
School vs exam mark difference	6.7	5.9	6.2	6.3	6.1	
English gender gap	F 4.4	F 1.4	F 6.8	F 8.2	F 5.3	
Math gender gap	F 2.9	F 5.5	F 2.7	F 3.5	F 8.1	
Graduation rate	88.2	97.6	95.4	100.0	92.4	
Delayed advancement rate	10.9	17.0	14.0	19.4	23.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	

Mount Baker [Public] Cranbrook		Gr 12 Enrollment: 320				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.1	French Imm (%): 1.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,700: -0.9		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 197/288		150/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	68.5	64.4	64.0	65.8	65.9	
Percentage of exams failed	10.9	14.8	12.7	9.7	10.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	5.4	6.5	2.8	4.2	
English gender gap	F 2.6	M 0.1	F 1.9	F 3.9	F 6.0	
Math gender gap	M 0.7	M 0.4	F 0.9	F 0.6	F 4.6	
Graduation rate	96.6	96.9	92.9	95.5	94.4	
Delayed advancement rate	15.4	16.8	14.5	14.7	18.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.3</b>	

Sparwood [Public] Sparwood		Gr 12 Enrollment: 68				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 16.3	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,200: 1.0		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 113/288		94/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	68.2	69.7	66.9	67.8	67.1	
Percentage of exams failed	6.2	7.0	9.7	6.1	5.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	5.5	3.9	1.4	0.6	
English gender gap	M 0.9	M 2.1	F 4.0	F 4.5	F 5.5	
Math gender gap	n/a	M 6.5	F 6.0	M 2.8	F 3.8	
Graduation rate	98.0	97.9	92.5	91.4	96.3	
Delayed advancement rate	13.4	29.0	25.0	11.9	21.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>	

**VERNON**

Charles Bloom [Public] Lumby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 87				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,700: -0.6		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 251/288		184/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	68.6	64.1	64.5	63.6	63.3	
Percentage of exams failed	6.5	12.5	12.5	12.8	13.3	
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	5.7	4.3	5.3	8.7	
English gender gap	M 3.3	F 6.0	F 3.5	F 4.6	F 8.7	
Math gender gap	F 5.5	n/a	F 5.9	M 0.3	M 2.6	
Graduation rate	94.4	95.0	98.5	96.0	96.4	
Delayed advancement rate	26.0	19.6	19.3	10.1	9.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	

Clarence Fulton [Public] Vernon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 156				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 8.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,000: 0.4		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 140/288		157/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	67.5	66.1	64.7	65.9	67.2	
Percentage of exams failed	11.7	10.7	12.4	9.0	5.9	
School vs exam mark difference	9.6	5.7	7.2	6.5	5.2	
English gender gap	F 1.2	F 1.5	F 2.5	F 3.8	F 6.9	
Math gender gap	F 4.5	F 0.4	M 0.5	F 1.2	F 3.0	
Graduation rate	97.1	96.0	98.2	98.8	98.0	
Delayed advancement rate	24.0	21.9	12.7	8.6	13.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	

Kalamalka [Public] Vernon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 139				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 4.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,100: 1.2		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 35/288		39/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	71.2	71.1	71.1	71.2	71.5	
Percentage of exams failed	5.9	6.0	5.1	5.7	4.2	
School vs exam mark difference	6.7	4.1	3.5	2.3	3.0	
English gender gap	F 0.3	F 3.8	F 5.9	F 1.0	F 2.5	
Math gender gap	F 2.9	F 3.7	F 0.3	F 2.0	F 1.1	
Graduation rate	100.0	97.2	99.2	99.2	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	7.3	8.5	15.8	2.6	9.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.8</b>	

Vernon [Public] Vernon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 212				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,400: -0.7		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 210/288		184/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	
Average exam mark	69.					

# Interior and Northern British Columbia

## BULKLEY VALLEY

Bulkley Valley Christian [Independent] Smithers		Gr 12 Enrollment: 32				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	35/288	59/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		73.5	68.0	71.5	70.4	71.5
Percentage of exams failed		6.0	7.3	5.4	7.3	2.8
School vs exam mark difference		7.5	8.6	4.9	5.1	3.7
English gender gap		F 2.3	F 4.8	F 0.7	F 14.6	n/a
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	F 1.8	F 11.8	n/a
Graduation rate		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		0.0	0.0	1.2	19.8	n/a
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.8</b>

Houston [Public] Houston		Gr 12 Enrollment: 55				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 16.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,900: 0.7		Rank:	74/288	101/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		71.7	63.3	64.8	70.3	69.6
Percentage of exams failed		1.9	12.1	10.1	4.7	3.2
School vs exam mark difference		2.5	5.8	5.0	2.3	3.3
English gender gap		F 2.2	M 0.7	F 7.0	F 2.7	F 8.3
Math gender gap		M 0.1	n/a	M 1.6	M 2.5	F 2.4
Graduation rate		95.8	98.0	93.2	96.8	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		18.9	15.0	19.3	27.9	18.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>

Smithers [Public] Smithers		Gr 12 Enrollment: 165				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	97/288	133/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		72.3	67.2	65.7	68.6	70.4
Percentage of exams failed		6.0	11.3	12.8	8.6	5.3
School vs exam mark difference		4.9	4.4	5.1	3.2	1.7
English gender gap		F 1.7	M 2.0	F 4.8	F 7.7	F 4.9
Math gender gap		M 3.3	F 1.5	F 2.2	F 3.8	M 2.8
Graduation rate		95.7	96.4	88.5	100.0	94.6
Delayed advancement rate		41.5	33.9	34.5	47.7	31.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>

## CARIBOO-CHILCOTIN

Columneetza [Public] Williams Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 150				
ESL (%): 9.6	Special needs (%): 5.2	French Imm (%): 4.4		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,500: -2.0		Rank:	265/288	224/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		65.9	65.6	63.5	63.5	63.2
Percentage of exams failed		14.9	14.4	17.3	16.1	14.4
School vs exam mark difference		6.7	5.4	5.4	4.2	5.8
English gender gap		F 2.1	F 5.2	F 4.0	F 3.8	F 7.5
Math gender gap		M 1.0	F 0.1	F 3.7	M 2.0	F 0.7
Graduation rate		89.3	87.4	87.8	89.4	88.2
Delayed advancement rate		39.1	36.7	38.3	33.5	29.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>3.8</b>

Peter Skene Ogden [Public] 100 Mile House		Gr 12 Enrollment: 163				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.8	French Imm (%): 1.6		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,900: -0.4		Rank:	210/288	210/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		67.0	64.8	61.6	62.5	64.3
Percentage of exams failed		9.1	14.5	19.8	16.7	13.3
School vs exam mark difference		7.0	6.0	6.4	4.4	3.4
English gender gap		M 0.3	F 5.6	F 3.2	F 9.4	F 9.8
Math gender gap		M 1.1	F 4.7	F 5.1	F 1.1	F 4.8
Graduation rate		96.9	93.2	95.1	93.3	98.4
Delayed advancement rate		0.0	0.0	23.3	24.0	12.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.1</b>

Williams Lake [Public] Williams Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 117				
ESL (%): 21.0	Special needs (%): 7.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,700: -1.2		Rank:	246/288	240/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		65.7	63.3	64.2	66.3	66.3
Percentage of exams failed		16.2	16.8	12.9	9.8	10.7
School vs exam mark difference		6.0	7.8	5.2	4.3	3.6
English gender gap		F 1.7	M 4.6	F 0.7	F 5.3	F 2.4
Math gender gap		M 2.1	M 1.9	M 2.6	F 0.1	M 0.2
Graduation rate		96.3	76.8	80.8	83.1	85.4
Delayed advancement rate		51.4	65.7	55.4	50.1	53.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>4.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.4</b>

## FORT NELSON

Fort Nelson [Public] Fort Nelson		Gr 12 Enrollment: 56				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 7.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	272/288	253/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		64.2	61.2	59.0	58.6	62.1
Percentage of exams failed		12.2	22.0	25.6	22.9	15.6
School vs exam mark difference		3.4	6.2	7.3	6.2	8.9
English gender gap		F 4.1	M 3.9	F 5.4	F 7.3	F 2.7
Math gender gap		n/a	M 0.7	F 3.6	M 0.8	F 2.3
Graduation rate		91.4	91.8	82.6	97.0	95.5
Delayed advancement rate		51.0	29.1	40.1	47.1	39.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.5</b>

## GOLD TRAIL

Ashcroft [Public] Ashcroft		Gr 12 Enrollment: 45				
ESL (%): 13.6	Special needs (%): 20.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	251/288	243/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		68.7	58.9	58.3	62.4	63.1
Percentage of exams failed		6.1	26.9	27.3	13.0	11.7
School vs exam mark difference		7.7	12.4	7.8	6.3	7.2
English gender gap		F 1.1	F 3.5	F 7.4	F 12.5	F 6.8
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	F 1.3	M 6.0	F 7.5
Graduation rate		94.1	96.2	97.8	100.0	94.6
Delayed advancement rate		55.7	30.0	38.2	18.4	31.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.2</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>

Brocklehurst [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 157				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,600: -0.6		Rank:	222/288	180/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		69.6	65.9	64.0	64.3	64.5
Percentage of exams failed		5.1	12.1	14.4	12.3	10.4
School vs exam mark difference		5.6	5.7	4.7	5.7	4.3
English gender gap		F 3.5	F 1.7	F 3.5	F 3.9	F 5.0
Math gender gap		F 3.4	E	F 4.7	M 2.8	F 2.6
Graduation rate		91.6	95.8	94.6	96.3	95.2
Delayed advancement rate		18.2	25.5	21.6	24.5	32.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.9</b>

Chase [Public] Chase		Gr 12 Enrollment: 49				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 8.0	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,500: -4.1		Rank:	275/288	220/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		72.8	59.2	60.7	62.1	59.2
Percentage of exams failed		6.0	25.7	22.4	16.7	20.8
School vs exam mark difference		5.5	10.6	8.1	8.8	7.5
English gender gap		F 5.7	M 0.2	F 7.9	F 5.8	F 16.6
Math gender gap		n/a	F 2.9	F 1.6	F 0.3	F 0.9
Graduation rate		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.6
Delayed advancement rate		0.0	17.9	12.3	21.9	10.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.2</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>3.3</b>

Clearwater [Public] Clearwater		Gr 12 Enrollment: 39				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 16.0	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	280/288	253/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		62.2	59.0	62.5	65.6	65.5
Percentage of exams failed		19.7	23.8	19.7	8.4	13.7
School vs exam mark difference		10.8	9.0	6.3	5.8	8.0
English gender gap		F 10.8	F 2.5	M 2.0	F 17.0	F 2.1
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	F 7.5	M 2.2	M 8.7
Graduation rate		87.7	88.0	98.3	100.0	85.7
Delayed advancement rate		32.8	38.3	25.3	23.3	60.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>2.4</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>

Kamloops Christian [Independent] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 24				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,100: 0.0		Rank:	149/288	117/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		66.6	67.4	71.4	69.4	67.3
Percentage of exams failed		14.9	11.0	7.1	3.6	6.9
School vs exam mark difference		7.2	7.9	6.5	6.4	7.3
English gender gap		F 2.3	F 3.3	F 5.6	F 2.2	n/a
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	M 0.8	M 3.1	n/a
Graduation rate		95.0	100.0	100.0	77.8	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		0.0	0.0	3.5	36.8	0.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.5</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.9</b>

## KAMLOOPS/THOMPSON

Barriere [Public] Barriere		Gr 12 Enrollment: 42				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.8	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$36,100: 0.0		Rank:	232/288	261/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		57.4	59.0	58.9	62.1	61.7
Percentage of exams failed		28.4	26.6	23.7	15.6	11.6
School vs exam mark difference		13.8	9.3	8.4	7.4	8.4
English gender gap		F 7.5	F 8.4	F 2.4	F 12.6	F 3.3
Math gender gap		n/a	F 11.5	F 9.4	F 10.6	F 5.5
Graduation rate		94.9	93.2	81.8	96.6	97.4
Delayed advancement rate		34.4	36.0	44.9	38.9	6.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>0.5</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4.7</b>

Norkam [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 174				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 13.8	French Imm (%): 0.0		2008-09 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,400: 1.2		Rank:	97/288	180/265		
Academic Performance		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Average exam mark		67.1	62.9	62.6	64.7	

Sa-Hali [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 150				
ESL (%): 1.2	Special needs (%): 7.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,000: -0.9		Rank:	155/288	117/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	72.8	69.1	66.9	67.3	68.5	
Percentage of exams failed	4.9	8.0	9.3	11.1	10.6	
School vs exam mark difference	2.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.3	
English gender gap	F 4.0	F 5.9	F 2.9	F 7.7	F 5.6	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 2.4	F 5.3	F 3.5	F 3.9	
Graduation rate	95.7	93.8	95.9	94.4	95.1	
Delayed advancement rate	10.1	17.3	4.2	18.0	19.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.8</b>	

South Kamloops [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 295				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 6.1	French Imm (%): 16.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,200: -0.1		Rank:	116/288	76/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	73.6	69.6	68.3	68.5	68.9	
Percentage of exams failed	4.4	6.8	8.5	6.4	6.4	
School vs exam mark difference	3.8	4.1	3.1	4.0	4.5	
English gender gap	M 0.6	F 5.5	F 4.1	F 4.7	F 6.7	
Math gender gap	F 1.7	M 0.8	F 1.9	F 2.3	F 2.9	
Graduation rate	97.2	96.6	93.3	97.1	95.0	
Delayed advancement rate	18.4	16.6	16.9	16.5	8.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.4</b>	

St Ann's [Independent] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 54				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,300: 1.2		Rank:	42/288	65/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	63.7	67.3	70.8	71.5	72.7	
Percentage of exams failed	18.1	12.0	5.6	4.3	4.1	
School vs exam mark difference	7.0	5.8	4.1	3.1	2.5	
English gender gap	F 4.3	F 1.6	M 4.1	F 1.0	F 4.7	
Math gender gap	F 4.1	F 0.1	F 2.8	F 3.7	M 2.0	
Graduation rate	96.9	97.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	5.5	6.1	7.5	9.1	15.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.6</b>	

Valleyview [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 149				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 5.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,900: -0.5		Rank:	155/288	113/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	72.7	65.7	67.3	67.5	68.3	
Percentage of exams failed	6.0	13.0	10.1	7.2	6.8	
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	5.6	4.0	4.4	4.5	
English gender gap	F 4.6	M 0.4	F 1.4	F 6.3	F 7.3	
Math gender gap	M 1.6	F 3.3	M 7.6	F 7.7	F 5.8	
Graduation rate	95.9	98.0	95.7	97.1	94.4	
Delayed advancement rate	7.8	3.6	13.6	11.9	17.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	

Westside [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 139				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,200: -0.5		Rank:	187/288	167/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	68.1	64.5	64.7	66.2	64.7	
Percentage of exams failed	7.6	14.1	14.1	10.4	10.9	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	7.3	6.2	4.8	4.8	
English gender gap	F 3.6	F 1.7	F 2.6	F 9.1	F 6.1	
Math gender gap	F 3.1	F 1.3	F 3.3	M 1.2	F 5.0	
Graduation rate	90.4	93.8	97.4	95.1	95.7	
Delayed advancement rate	15.2	9.3	8.1	8.5	6.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	

**NECHAKO LAKES**

Fort St James [Public] Fort St James		Gr 12 Enrollment: 39				
ESL (%): 18.4	Special needs (%): 15.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$/n/a: n/a		Rank:	240/288	251/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	62.4	61.8	61.3	62.6	62.9	
Percentage of exams failed	17.8	16.7	23.0	18.9	15.7	
School vs exam mark difference	11.9	9.1	10.3	9.1	7.8	
English gender gap	F 5.2	M 0.5	M 9.1	M 2.5	E	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 9.6	F 7.2	M 0.3	F 2.5	
Graduation rate	95.1	93.2	95.4	97.9	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	24.7	25.7	32.9	54.5	29.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.6</b>	

Fraser Lake [Public] Fraser Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 32				
ESL (%): 5.3	Special needs (%): 12.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$144,800: -4.3		Rank:	226/288	220/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	62.0	62.1	62.7	64.8	63.5	
Percentage of exams failed	22.2	16.9	14.7	11.7	12.2	
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	6.5	7.8	3.2	4.7	
English gender gap	M 2.4	F 7.9	F 4.9	F 4.0	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	M 2.4	M 5.0	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	94.4	97.3	100.0	96.2	
Delayed advancement rate	35.4	26.5	25.8	24.0	34.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	

Lakes District [Public] Burns Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 59				
ESL (%): 5.7	Special needs (%): 15.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$27,300: -0.5		Rank:	261/288	233/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.9	65.6	62.0	60.7	60.2	
Percentage of exams failed	9.2	14.8	16.9	22.7	18.4	
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	5.5	5.9	8.4	7.5	
English gender gap	F 1.2	M 1.7	F 5.2	F 3.4	F 9.6	
Math gender gap	F 8.8	F 4.7	F 0.7	M 2.1	F 1.6	
Graduation rate	94.3	93.0	97.5	94.0	95.7	
Delayed advancement rate	43.5	23.6	17.5	42.6	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.9</b>	

Nechako Valley [Public] Vanderhoof		Gr 12 Enrollment: 113				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$/n/a: n/a		Rank:	272/288	250/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	63.5	62.7	62.7	62.4	62.5	
Percentage of exams failed	15.0	17.9	17.7	12.9	16.2	
School vs exam mark difference	8.6	5.6	5.8	5.4	8.3	
English gender gap	F 4.3	F 2.9	F 3.4	F 9.5	F 8.2	
Math gender gap	M 0.8	F 4.2	F 6.7	F 2.2	F 4.9	
Graduation rate	91.0	88.2	87.6	96.0	98.2	
Delayed advancement rate	52.9	41.7	51.4	42.4	32.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>3.5</b>	

**NORTH OKANAGAN-SHUSWAP**

A.L. Fortune [Public] Enderby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 72				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 11.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,500: -0.6		Rank:	232/288	227/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	64.2	61.1	61.9	64.8	64.5	
Percentage of exams failed	18.0	20.6	22.1	12.0	11.6	
School vs exam mark difference	7.7	7.9	4.9	5.2	7.6	
English gender gap	M 2.3	F 2.7	F 6.9	F 13.2	F 7.5	
Math gender gap	F 4.4	M 6.4	F 4.4	F 3.2	F 4.0	
Graduation rate	98.7	93.5	97.0	96.1	96.6	
Delayed advancement rate	23.9	22.0	24.7	23.8	22.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.7</b>	

Eagle River [Public] Sicamous		Gr 12 Enrollment: 62				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 13.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,500: -1.4		Rank:	255/288	233/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	60.9	65.3	62.7	66.9	63.2	
Percentage of exams failed	19.5	14.5	15.1	14.1	11.4	
School vs exam mark difference	9.1	5.9	6.8	2.2	4.4	
English gender gap	M 4.9	F 3.9	F 5.2	F 14.7	F 5.7	
Math gender gap	F 2.8	F 1.5	F 4.5	F 3.1	F 0.2	
Graduation rate	89.7	94.4	92.6	92.0	88.1	
Delayed advancement rate	26.8	31.4	34.2	38.8	49.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.1</b>	

King's Christian [Independent] Salmon Arm		Gr 12 Enrollment: 17				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,000: -0.1		Rank:	175/288	n/a		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	70.7	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.4	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.7	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 1.5	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 13.3	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	93.8	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

Pleasant Valley [Public] Armstrong		Gr 12 Enrollment: 165				
ESL (%): 0.2	Special needs (%): 10.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,500: 0.5		Rank:	168/288	192/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	67.8	64.5	63.9	65.8	66.0	
Percentage of exams failed	11.8	15.8	15.3	13.0	10.4	
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	6.7	5.9	5.1	6.2	
English gender gap	F 7.6	F 4.8	F 1.9	F 11.1	F 3.4	
Math gender gap	F 3.2	F 0.6	M 1.5	F 2.3	F 1.7	
Graduation rate	89.5	93.4	95.0	91.6	97.1	
Delayed advancement rate	20.0	22.5	18.1	32.9	18.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Salmon Arm [Public] Salmon Arm		Gr 12 Enrollment: 375				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 10.7	French Imm (%): 6.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,600: 0.6		Rank:	123/288	142/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	67.8	67.0	65.4	66.6	67.1	
Percentage of exams failed	11.0	11.5	11.1	9.8	7.0	
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	6.5	5.9	4.0	4.3	
English gender gap	F 3.3	F 3.2	F 3.3	F 5.6	F 0.9	
Math gender gap	F 1.6	F 0.9	F 2.2	F 0.6	M 4.3	
Graduation rate	95.9	94.7	92.4	96.5	97.8	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	20.8	20.0	16.0	17.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7&lt;/</b>					

**PRINCE GEORGE**

<b>Cedars Christian [Independent] Prince George Gr 12 Enrollment: 29</b>					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a		French Imm (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,200: -0.1	Rank: 116/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			133/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	68.3	71.1	70.8	70.9	73.4
Percentage of exams failed	7.9	5.8	3.6	6.0	2.7
School vs exam mark difference	9.3	7.8	8.7	6.0	7.3
English gender gap	F 10.0	F 6.7	F 4.1	F 2.4	F 4.5
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 7.0	F 1.8	F 12.1
Graduation rate	93.1	94.4	94.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	16.1	8.7	15.6	18.2	23.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.4</b>

<b>College Heights [Public] Prince George Gr 12 Enrollment: 163</b>					
ESL (%): 3.0	Special needs (%): 6.6		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,700: -0.9	Rank: 155/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			202/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	69.8	64.1	62.2	63.8	66.7
Percentage of exams failed	7.5	14.5	18.8	14.3	8.0
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	7.8	8.9	7.3	4.2
English gender gap	F 5.4	F 4.1	F 3.7	F 5.6	F 7.3
Math gender gap	F 1.8	F 3.6	F 4.6	M 4.3	F 2.3
Graduation rate	96.6	94.0	90.6	97.8	96.2
Delayed advancement rate	35.1	35.1	35.0	21.7	18.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.8</b>

<b>D P Todd [Public] Prince George Gr 12 Enrollment: 141</b>					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 5.4		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,600: 0.4	Rank: 97/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			142/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	69.9	67.3	65.0	68.5	69.8
Percentage of exams failed	6.8	11.1	10.6	6.5	4.9
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	4.1	6.2	3.7	4.0
English gender gap	F 5.7	F 6.0	F 3.4	F 1.9	F 3.2
Math gender gap	F 2.7	M 1.0	F 2.7	M 2.3	F 2.4
Graduation rate	89.7	91.9	86.9	97.5	96.0
Delayed advancement rate	41.7	34.8	29.1	21.9	18.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.6</b>

<b>Duchess Park [Public] Prince George Gr 12 Enrollment: 172</b>					
ESL (%): 2.5	Special needs (%): 6.8		French Imm (%): 15.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,100: -0.2	Rank: 164/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			150/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	70.4	67.2	64.8	67.9	68.9
Percentage of exams failed	7.7	11.0	14.4	6.2	7.6
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	6.9	5.7	2.8	2.8
English gender gap	F 1.8	F 0.6	M 3.6	F 7.0	F 4.7
Math gender gap	F 4.9	F 5.7	F 2.9	F 2.7	F 1.1
Graduation rate	94.4	96.2	94.8	92.2	90.7
Delayed advancement rate	33.8	35.1	29.0	33.7	35.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.7</b>

<b>Kelly Road [Public] Prince George Gr 12 Enrollment: 237</b>					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.6		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,800: -1.0	Rank: 187/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			192/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	66.8	64.2	62.4	63.8	65.6
Percentage of exams failed	11.7	15.1	18.5	11.7	8.7
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	4.5	6.2	3.6	3.2
English gender gap	F 0.6	F 2.5	F 4.3	F 0.7	F 4.5
Math gender gap	F 1.3	M 3.5	F 5.5	M 3.2	M 1.8
Graduation rate	95.2	95.6	87.4	97.3	93.9
Delayed advancement rate	32.2	26.6	22.7	20.1	30.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.4</b>

<b>MacKenzie [Public] Mackenzie Gr 12 Enrollment: 57</b>					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 6.8		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 175/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			202/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	66.2	63.6	66.0	63.4	64.4
Percentage of exams failed	13.8	16.2	10.5	11.3	10.3
School vs exam mark difference	8.5	7.4	3.8	4.2	3.6
English gender gap	M 5.0	M 5.5	F 2.0	F 4.1	F 1.8
Math gender gap	M 5.7	n/a	M 0.5	F 2.7	F 5.7
Graduation rate	95.8	88.3	89.1	94.1	95.5
Delayed advancement rate	27.5	43.5	34.1	19.6	11.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>

<b>McBride [Public] McBride Gr 12 Enrollment: 23</b>					
ESL (%): 0.9	Special needs (%): 7.1		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,800: 0.8	Rank: 133/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			233/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	62.0	64.8	62.6	68.7	68.8
Percentage of exams failed	19.6	16.3	14.2	10.6	7.3
School vs exam mark difference	15.7	9.9	9.4	9.5	5.6
English gender gap	F 1.0	M 1.2	F 3.1	F 22.3	n/a
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 4.4	F 7.6	n/a
Graduation rate	95.2	100.0	84.2	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	17.8	20.0	32.2	26.8	20.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>6.2</b>

<b>Prince George [Public] Prince George Gr 12 Enrollment: 381</b>					
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 10.4		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,900: -1.7	Rank: 251/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			227/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	64.8	62.7	63.1	64.2	64.0
Percentage of exams failed	14.2	17.4	15.9	13.1	12.1
School vs exam mark difference	9.3	7.0	6.9	7.6	6.7
English gender gap	F 1.6	F 1.2	F 1.9	F 6.9	F 4.7
Math gender gap	M 0.4	M 0.4	M 3.3	F 3.2	M 3.3
Graduation rate	88.5	95.2	86.0	92.5	91.1
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.6	18.6	37.1	30.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.2</b>

<b>Valemount [Public] Valemount Gr 12 Enrollment: 17</b>					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 4.2		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,100: -0.9	Rank: 197/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			210/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	67.0	64.4	65.5	65.0	64.7
Percentage of exams failed	6.8	10.9	8.4	13.9	14.3
School vs exam mark difference	7.6	7.6	7.7	7.2	5.9
English gender gap	F 4.5	M 10.3	F 3.0	M 5.7	n/a
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 8.5	M 8.0	n/a
Graduation rate	96.3	87.5	95.5	92.6	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	31.1	48.6	0.0	42.8	0.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5.3</b>

**QUESNEL**

<b>Correlieu [Public] Quesnel Gr 12 Enrollment: 161</b>					
ESL (%): 4.8	Special needs (%): 12.7		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,200: -0.9	Rank: 226/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			202/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	65.0	65.8	62.3	64.6	65.1
Percentage of exams failed	11.9	12.5	15.3	10.5	11.1
School vs exam mark difference	6.5	6.0	5.7	4.9	3.8
English gender gap	F 5.3	M 0.5	F 4.8	F 7.9	F 9.5
Math gender gap	F 1.6	M 2.1	F 3.5	M 1.1	M 1.2
Graduation rate	95.1	94.4	90.2	97.2	96.1
Delayed advancement rate	23.4	31.1	30.8	40.0	37.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.8</b>

<b>Quesnel [Public] Quesnel Gr 12 Enrollment: 179</b>					
ESL (%): 2.2	Special needs (%): 11.1		French Imm (%): 6.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,100: -1.0	Rank: 202/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			202/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	67.4	65.5	62.9	64.6	64.9
Percentage of exams failed	9.1	13.4	16.1	12.6	11.3
School vs exam mark difference	6.5	8.0	8.8	7.1	5.3
English gender gap	M 0.9	M 2.0	F 2.5	F 7.5	F 9.2
Math gender gap	M 1.9	F 0.6	F 6.2	F 4.1	M 0.4
Graduation rate	96.3	95.1	88.5	97.7	97.2
Delayed advancement rate	33.3	21.3	27.2	15.7	24.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.2</b>

**REVELSTOKE**

<b>Revelstoke [Public] Revelstoke Gr 12 Enrollment: 102</b>					
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.0		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 123/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			133/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	69.6	64.5	64.6	68.4	67.0
Percentage of exams failed	7.0	12.7	13.0	5.3	5.6
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	5.6	6.4	3.1	3.8
English gender gap	F 3.3	F 4.0	F 6.0	F 5.4	F 2.5
Math gender gap	F 2.3	M 1.2	F 2.3	M 0.8	F 4.4
Graduation rate	100.0	96.3	94.4	96.7	96.7
Delayed advancement rate	31.7	39.2	33.5	9.9	22.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.3</b>

**ROCKY MOUNTAIN**

<b>David Thompson [Public] Invermere Gr 12 Enrollment: 95</b>					
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 8.2		French Imm (%): 0.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$39,800: 0.5	Rank: 187/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			157/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Average exam mark	68.5	66.3	65.3	67.1	65.4
Percentage of exams failed	7.1	9.6	14.3	10.2	11.3
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	5.2	6.9	5.1	8.0
English gender gap	M 4.3	F 0.9	F 4.0	F 5.4	F 0.2
Math gender gap	F 2.6	F 3.9	F 4.0	M 0.7	M 6.9
Graduation rate	97.6	98.0	88.2	93.0	96.7
Delayed advancement rate	31.4	37.5	41.2	13.3	3.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>

<b>Golden [Public] Golden Gr 12 Enrollment: 80</b>					
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 9.6		French Imm (%): 18.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 155/288		2008-09	Last 5 Years	
			167/265		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b></b>	

# Yukon

## YUKON

F.H. Collins (Public) Whitehorse		Gr 12 Enrollment: 124				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 0.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	255/288	192/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	64.3	66.5	64.0	67.7	68.2	
Percentage of exams failed	17.0	15.6	17.7	12.0	12.0	
School vs exam mark difference	8.6	4.8	5.3	2.8	2.8	
English gender gap	F 3.0	F 2.9	F 9.4	F 4.7	F 0.2	
Math gender gap	F 2.8	F 5.4	F 2.3	F 7.1	F 6.3	
Graduation rate	96.8	95.8	96.3	97.0	84.2	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>4.1</b>	

Porter Creek (Public) Whitehorse		Gr 12 Enrollment: 129				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 0.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	240/288	233/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	65.3	62.2	60.8	64.2	64.2	
Percentage of exams failed	18.4	18.8	25.4	15.0	13.9	
School vs exam mark difference	9.9	7.5	5.9	5.4	2.8	
English gender gap	F 3.6	F 7.3	F 5.3	F 8.0	F 10.6	
Math gender gap	F 1.8	F 2.6	M 5.1	F 5.6	F 8.7	
Graduation rate	98.3	95.1	91.9	98.5	97.5	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.6</b>	

Vanier Catholic (Public) Whitehorse		Gr 12 Enrollment: 83				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 0.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2008-09	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	155/288	106/265		
Academic Performance	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Average exam mark	66.5	70.4	67.4	70.8	67.1	
Percentage of exams failed	17.9	9.6	9.5	5.5	9.0	
School vs exam mark difference	8.0	7.3	5.4	3.2	2.7	
English gender gap	F 0.5	F 4.8	F 1.2	F 5.3	M 3.0	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 0.2	M 0.7	M 3.0	F 5.0	
Graduation rate	95.2	98.6	94.3	98.7	93.7	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>5.8</b>	



# How does your school stack up?

## Important notes to the rankings

In this table, schools are ranked (on the left hand side of the page) in descending order (from 1 to 287) according to their academic performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10* (shown on the right hand side of the table) for the school year 2008/2009. Each school's five-year average ranking and *Overall rating out of 10* are also listed. The higher the overall rating (out of 10), the higher the rank awarded to the school. Where schools tied in the overall rating, they were awarded the same rank. Where less than five years of data was available, "n/a" appears in the table.

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. Excluded are schools at which fewer than 15 regular day students were enrolled in grade 12 and schools that did not generate a suffi-

ciently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an *Overall rating out of 10*. Also excluded from the ratings and rankings are centres for adult education and continuing education; schools that cater largely to non-resident foreign students; and certain alternative schools that do not offer a full program of courses.

**The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* should not be construed as a judgement of the school's effectiveness.**

**IMPORTANT: In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.**

Rank	Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
	2008/2009	Last 5 years			2008/2009	Last 5 years
1	1	1	York House	Vancouver	10.0	10.0
2	1	1	St George's	Vancouver	9.9	10.0
3	1	1	Southridge	Surrey	9.8	10.0
3	4	4	West Point Grey	Vancouver	9.8	9.9
5	5	5	Crofton House	Vancouver	9.7	9.8
5	11	11	Collingwood	West Vancouver	9.7	9.2
5	n/a	n/a	Southpointe	Delta	9.7	n/a
8	5	5	Little Flower	Vancouver	9.5	9.8
8	7	7	St Michaels	Victoria	9.5	9.5
8	9	9	Meadowridge	Maple Ridge	9.5	9.3
11	14	14	St Margaret's	Victoria	9.4	9.0
12	7	7	Vancouver College	Vancouver	9.2	9.5
13	11	11	Brentwood College	Mill Bay	8.9	9.2
14	9	9	Shawnigan Lake	Shawnigan Lake	8.8	9.3
15	13	13	Glenlyon Norfolk	Victoria	8.7	9.1
15	16	16	Pacific Academy	Surrey	8.7	8.7
17	19	19	St Thomas More	Burnaby	8.6	8.4
17	24	24	St John's	Vancouver	8.6	8.2
19	17	17	Lord Byng	Vancouver	8.5	8.6

Rank	Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
	2008/2009	Last 5 years			2008/2009	Last 5 years
19	27	27	Abbotsford Christian	Abbotsford	8.5	8.0
19	27	27	Highroad	Chilliwack	8.5	8.0
22	15	15	University Hill	Vancouver	8.4	8.9
23	19	19	Kelowna Christian	Kelowna	8.3	8.4
23	25	25	Okanagan Mission	Kelowna	8.3	8.1
23	41	41	Pacific Christian	Victoria	8.3	7.6
23	n/a	n/a	Mount Cheam Christian	Chilliwack	8.3	n/a
27	17	17	St Thomas Aquinas	North Vancouver	8.2	8.6
27	32	32	Immaculata	Kelowna	8.2	7.9
27	n/a	n/a	Queen Charlotte	Queen Charlotte	8.2	n/a
30	19	19	Prince Of Wales	Vancouver	8.1	8.4
30	32	32	Handsworth	North Vancouver	8.1	7.9
30	n/a	n/a	Nanaimo Christian	Nanaimo	8.1	n/a
33	23	23	Rockridge	West Vancouver	7.9	8.3
33	25	25	Mennonite Educational Institute	Abbotsford	7.9	8.1
35	19	19	Sentinel	West Vancouver	7.8	8.4
35	27	27	Archbishop Carney	Port Coquitlam	7.8	8.0
35	39	39	Kalamalka	Vernon	7.8	7.7
35	59	59	Bulkley Valley Christian	Smithers	7.8	7.3

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2008/2009	Last 5 years			2008/2009	Last 5 years	2008/2009	Last 5 years			2008/2009	Last 5 years
39	32	Maple Ridge Christian	Maple Ridge	7.7	7.9	97	59	Richmond	Richmond	6.6	7.3
39	37	Elgin Park	Surrey	7.7	7.8	97	76	Burnaby North	Burnaby	6.6	7.1
39	41	Holy Cross	Surrey	7.7	7.6	97	88	Penticton	Penticton	6.6	6.9
42	52	Dover Bay	Nanaimo	7.6	7.4	97	88	South Delta	Delta	6.6	6.9
42	52	Whistler	Whistler	7.6	7.4	97	88	Stanley Humphries	Castlegar	6.6	6.9
42	65	St Ann's	Kamloops	7.6	7.2	97	94	Clayton Heights	Surrey	6.6	6.8
42	76	Elkford	Elkford	7.6	7.1	97	94	Lambrick Park	Victoria	6.6	6.8
46	37	Magee	Vancouver	7.5	7.8	97	94	Sullivan Heights	Surrey	6.6	6.8
46	59	Campbell River Christian	Campbell River	7.5	7.3	97	101	Burnaby Mountain	Burnaby	6.6	6.7
46	59	Dr. Charles Best	Coquitlam	7.5	7.3	97	106	Kwalikum	Qualicum Beach	6.6	6.6
46	65	Langley Christian	Langley	7.5	7.2	97	124	Mark R. Isfeld	Courtenay	6.6	6.3
46	n/a	Langley Fundamental	Langley	7.5	n/a	97	124	Mount Sentinel	South Slocan	6.6	6.3
46	n/a	Regent Christian	Surrey	7.5	n/a	97	133	Smithers	Smithers	6.6	6.2
52	27	Point Grey	Vancouver	7.4	8.0	97	142	D P Todd	Prince George	6.6	6.1
52	32	Langley Fine Arts	Fort Langley	7.4	7.9	97	180	Norkam	Kamloops	6.6	5.6
52	41	St Andrew's	Victoria	7.4	7.6	97	n/a	Duncan Christian	Duncan	6.6	n/a
52	65	W J Mouat	Abbotsford	7.4	7.2	113	65	New Westminster	New Westminster	6.5	7.2
52	n/a	Heritage Woods	Port Moody	7.4	n/a	113	94	Sparwood	Sparwood	6.5	6.8
57	27	West Vancouver	West Vancouver	7.3	8.0	113	133	Maple Ridge	Maple Ridge	6.5	6.2
57	39	Semiahmoo	Surrey	7.3	7.7	116	76	Moscrop	Burnaby	6.4	7.1
57	41	Seycove	North Vancouver	7.3	7.6	116	76	South Kamloops	Kamloops	6.4	7.1
57	52	Rossland	Rossland	7.3	7.4	116	88	Eric Hamber	Vancouver	6.4	6.9
57	59	Argyle	North Vancouver	7.3	7.3	116	94	Windsor	North Vancouver	6.4	6.8
57	59	Credo Christian	Langley	7.3	7.3	116	101	Sardis	Chilliwack	6.4	6.7
63	52	St Patrick's	Vancouver	7.2	7.4	116	117	Brookwood	Langley	6.4	6.4
63	65	Fleetwood Park	Surrey	7.2	7.2	116	133	Cedars Christian	Prince George	6.4	6.2
63	83	Delta	Delta	7.2	7.0	123	88	Fraser Valley Christian	Surrey	6.3	6.9
63	83	Walnut Grove	Langley	7.2	7.0	123	94	Notre Dame	Vancouver	6.3	6.8
63	n/a	King David	Vancouver	7.2	n/a	123	101	Johnston Heights	Surrey	6.3	6.7
68	65	Gleneagle	Coquitlam	7.1	7.2	123	133	Revelstoke	Revelstoke	6.3	6.2
68	76	Earl Marriott	Surrey	7.1	7.1	123	142	Princess Margaret	Penticton	6.3	6.1
68	83	R. E. Mountain	Langley	7.1	7.0	123	142	Salmon Arm	Salmon Arm	6.3	6.1
71	52	Kelowna	Kelowna	7.0	7.4	123	150	Elphinstone	Gibsons	6.3	6.0
71	52	L V Rogers	Nelson	7.0	7.4	123	202	Pemberton	Pemberton	6.3	5.2
71	65	Robert Alexander McMath	Richmond	7.0	7.2	123	n/a	GW Graham	Chilliwack	6.3	n/a
74	41	Hugh McRoberts	Richmond	6.9	7.6	123	n/a	Panorama Ridge	Surrey	6.3	n/a
74	41	Sir Winston Churchill	Vancouver	6.9	7.6	133	52	Mount Douglas	Victoria	6.2	7.4
74	41	White Rock Christian	Surrey	6.9	7.6	133	94	North Surrey	Surrey	6.2	6.8
74	51	Pinetree	Coquitlam	6.9	7.5	133	113	Lord Tweedsmuir	Surrey	6.2	6.5
74	65	J N Burnett	Richmond	6.9	7.2	133	117	Stelly's	Saanichton	6.2	6.4
74	65	Robert Bateman	Abbotsford	6.9	7.2	133	124	Ballenas	Parksville	6.2	6.3
74	65	Seaquam	Delta	6.9	7.2	133	133	Similkameen	Keremeos	6.2	6.2
74	76	Port Moody	Port Moody	6.9	7.1	133	233	McBride	McBride	6.2	4.6
74	83	J Lloyd Crowe	Trail	6.9	7.0	140	106	Killarney	Vancouver	6.1	6.6
74	101	Houston	Houston	6.9	6.7	140	113	Riverside	Port Coquitlam	6.1	6.5
74	n/a	Burnsview	Delta	6.9	n/a	140	117	Prince Charles	Creston	6.1	6.4
74	n/a	Steveston-London	Richmond	6.9	n/a	140	124	Boundary Central	Midway	6.1	6.3
86	32	Richmond Christian	Richmond	6.8	7.9	140	157	Clarence Fulton	Vernon	6.1	5.9
86	41	Kitsilano	Vancouver	6.8	7.6	145	88	Princess Margaret	Surrey	6.0	6.9
86	101	George Elliot	Winfield	6.8	6.7	145	124	Tamanawis	Surrey	6.0	6.3
86	106	Chemainus	Chemainus	6.8	6.6	145	133	Terry Fox	Port Coquitlam	6.0	6.2
86	106	D W Poppy	Langley	6.8	6.6	145	n/a	Sands	Delta	6.0	n/a
91	41	Claremont	Victoria	6.7	7.6	149	83	Yale	Abbotsford	5.9	7.0
91	41	Fraser Heights	Surrey	6.7	7.6	149	106	Gulf Islands	Salt Spring Island	5.9	6.6
91	65	Oak Bay	Victoria	6.7	7.2	149	117	Kamloops Christian	Kamloops	5.9	6.4
91	76	Parkland	Sidney	6.7	7.1	149	117	Unity Christian	Chilliwack	5.9	6.4
91	106	Highland	Comox	6.7	6.6	149	150	R C Palmer	Richmond	5.9	6.0
91	124	David Thompson	Vancouver	6.7	6.3	149	173	Thomas Haney	Maple Ridge	5.9	5.7

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2008/2009	Last 5 years			2008/2009	Last 5 years	2008/2009	Last 5 years			2008/2009	Last 5 years
155	106	Vanier Catholic	Whitehorse	5.8	6.6	210	184	Vernon	Vernon	5.1	5.5
155	113	Valleyview	Kamloops	5.8	6.5	210	202	Brooks	Powell River	5.1	5.2
155	117	Sa-Hali	Kamloops	5.8	6.4	210	210	Peter Skene Ogden	100 Mile House	5.1	5.1
155	167	Golden	Golden	5.8	5.8	210	233	John Oliver	Vancouver	5.1	4.6
155	173	Hope	Hope	5.8	5.7	210	240	Prince Rupert	Prince Rupert	5.1	4.5
155	180	Hatzic	Mission	5.8	5.6	218	184	Chatelech	Sechelt	5.0	5.5
155	202	College Heights	Prince George	5.8	5.2	218	184	Timberline	Campbell River	5.0	5.5
155	n/a	Carver Christian	Burnaby	5.8	n/a	218	220	Agassiz	Agassiz	5.0	4.9
155	n/a	Delview	Delta	5.8	n/a	218	n/a	A.R. MacNeill	Richmond	5.0	n/a
164	113	Centennial	Coquitlam	5.7	6.5	222	173	Kwantlen Park	Surrey	4.9	5.7
164	117	St John Brebeuf	Abbotsford	5.7	6.4	222	180	Brocklehurst	Kamloops	4.9	5.6
164	142	Burnaby South	Burnaby	5.7	6.1	222	184	Esquimalt	Victoria	4.9	5.5
164	150	Duchess Park	Prince George	5.7	6.0	222	197	L A Matheson	Surrey	4.9	5.3
168	142	Reynolds	Victoria	5.6	6.1	226	197	South Peace	Dawson Creek	4.8	5.3
168	157	Cowichan	Duncan	5.6	5.9	226	202	Correlieu	Quesnel	4.8	5.2
168	157	Rick Hansen	Abbotsford	5.6	5.9	226	219	W L Seaton	Vernon	4.8	5.0
168	167	Georges P Vanier	Courtenay	5.6	5.8	226	220	Fraser Lake	Fraser Lake	4.8	4.9
168	167	Guildford Park	Surrey	5.6	5.8	226	242	Queen Elizabeth	Surrey	4.8	4.4
168	167	Windermere	Vancouver	5.6	5.8	226	253	Lake Cowichan	Lake Cowichan	4.8	3.7
168	192	Pleasant Valley	Armstrong	5.6	5.4	232	150	Frances Kelsey	Mill Bay	4.7	6.0
175	133	Cambie	Richmond	5.5	6.2	232	184	Vancouver Technical	Vancouver	4.7	5.5
175	133	Mount Boucherie	Kelowna	5.5	6.2	232	197	Cariboo Hill	Burnaby	4.7	5.3
175	150	Pitt Meadows	Pitt Meadows	5.5	6.0	232	227	A L Fortune	Enderby	4.7	4.7
175	157	Hugh Boyd	Richmond	5.5	5.9	232	227	Sir Charles Tupper	Vancouver	4.7	4.7
175	157	Westview	Maple Ridge	5.5	5.9	232	261	Barriere	Barriere	4.7	2.9
175	184	Caledonia	Terrace	5.5	5.5	232	n/a	Byrne Creek	Burnaby	4.7	n/a
175	184	Howe Sound	Squamish	5.5	5.5	232	n/a	Samuel Robertson Tech	Maple Ridge	4.7	n/a
175	192	Rutland	Kelowna	5.5	5.4	240	157	J V Humphries	Kaslo	4.6	5.9
175	202	MacKenzie	Mackenzie	5.5	5.2	240	173	Pender Harbour	Madeira Park	4.6	5.7
175	210	Nanaimo District	Nanaimo	5.5	5.1	240	202	Carson Graham	North Vancouver	4.6	5.2
175	n/a	Abbotsford Traditional	Abbotsford	5.5	n/a	240	210	Gladstone	Vancouver	4.6	5.1
175	n/a	King's Christian	Salmon Arm	5.5	n/a	240	233	Porter Creek	Whitehorse	4.6	4.6
187	124	Summerland	Summerland	5.4	6.3	240	251	Fort St James	Fort St James	4.6	3.8
187	124	Sutherland	North Vancouver	5.4	6.3	246	197	North Delta	Delta	4.4	5.3
187	142	Mission	Mission	5.4	6.1	246	202	Ladysmith	Ladysmith	4.4	5.2
187	157	David Thompson	Invermere	5.4	5.9	246	227	Merritt	Merritt	4.4	4.7
187	167	Westsyde	Kamloops	5.4	5.8	246	240	Williams Lake	Williams Lake	4.4	4.5
187	173	Langley	Langley	5.4	5.7	250	210	Alpha	Burnaby	4.3	5.1
187	192	Kelly Road	Prince George	5.4	5.4	251	184	Charles Bloom	Lumby	4.2	5.5
187	210	Heritage Park	Mission	5.4	5.1	251	197	Grand Forks	Grand Forks	4.2	5.3
187	210	Selkirk	Kimberley	5.4	5.1	251	227	Prince George	Prince George	4.2	4.7
187	n/a	Heritage Christian	Kelowna	5.4	n/a	251	243	Ashcroft	Ashcroft	4.2	4.3
197	150	Matthew McNair	Richmond	5.3	6.0	255	192	F.H. Collins	Whitehorse	4.1	5.4
197	150	Mount Baker	Cranbrook	5.3	6.0	255	192	Nakusp	Nakusp	4.1	5.4
197	157	Southern Okanagan	Oliver	5.3	5.9	255	224	King George	Vancouver	4.1	4.8
197	210	Valemount	Valemount	5.3	5.1	255	233	Eagle River	Sicamous	4.1	4.6
197	227	Spectrum	Victoria	5.3	4.7	255	233	Victoria High	Victoria	4.1	4.6
202	124	Burnaby Central	Burnaby	5.2	6.3	260	245	Mount Elizabeth	Kitimat	4.0	4.2
202	142	Enver Creek	Surrey	5.2	6.1	261	220	Woodlands	Nanaimo	3.9	4.9
202	142	Templeton	Vancouver	5.2	6.1	261	233	Lakes District	Burns Lake	3.9	4.6
202	157	Frank Hurt	Surrey	5.2	5.9	261	245	Edward Milne	Sooke	3.9	4.2
202	157	Wellington	Nanaimo	5.2	5.9	261	258	Port Hardy	Port Hardy	3.9	3.5
202	167	Belmont	Victoria	5.2	5.8	265	224	Columneetza	Williams Lake	3.8	4.8
202	173	Carihi	Campbell River	5.2	5.7	265	233	Alberni District	Port Alberni	3.8	4.6
202	202	Quesnel	Quesnel	5.2	5.2	265	251	Princeton	Princeton	3.8	3.8
210	133	Osoyoos	Osoyoos	5.1	6.2	265	253	Charles Hays	Prince Rupert	3.8	3.7
210	173	Fernie	Fernie	5.1	5.7	269	210	Garibaldi	Maple Ridge	3.7	5.1
210	180	Chilliwack	Chilliwack	5.1	5.6	269	227	Aldergrove Community	Aldergrove	3.7	4.7



Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2008/ 2009	Last 5 years			2008/ 2009	Last 5 years	2008/ 2009	Last 5 years			2008/ 2009	Last 5 years
271	249	North Island	Port McNeill	3.6	4.1	280	245	Abbotsford Collegiate	Abbotsford	2.6	4.2
272	250	Nechako Valley	Vanderhoof	3.5	4.0	280	253	Clearwater	Clearwater	2.6	3.7
272	253	Fort Nelson	Fort Nelson	3.5	3.7	280	259	Cedar	Nanaimo	2.6	3.4
274	210	North Peace	Fort St John	3.4	5.1	283	257	John Barsby	Nanaimo	2.5	3.6
275	220	Chase	Chase	3.3	4.9	284	263	Gold River	Gold River	2.4	2.3
275	224	Chetwynd	Chetwynd	3.3	4.8	285	262	Lillooet	Lillooet	1.9	2.7
277	243	Fraser Valley Adventist	Aldergrove	3.2	4.3	286	263	Hazelton	Hazelton	0.7	2.3
277	260	Tumbler Ridge	Tumbler Ridge	3.2	3.1	287	265	Nisga'a	New Aiyansh	0.0	0.2
279	245	Britannia	Vancouver	3.0	4.2	287	n/a	Century International	Vancouver	0.0	n/a

# Appendix 1: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10

The *Overall rating out of 10* is intended to answer the question, “In general, how is the school doing, academically compared to other schools in the *Report Card*?” The following is a simplified description of the procedure used to convert the raw indicator data into the *Overall rating out of 10*.

- 1 The *School vs exam mark difference* for each course and the English 10 and Mathematics 10 *Gender gap* indicators were calculated using the raw data.

- 2 Course by course, all the results were then converted into standardized or “Z” scores by solving the equation

$$Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$$

where  $X$  is the individual school’s result,  $\mu$  is the mean of the all-schools distribution of results, and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the same all-schools distribution.

- 3 With the exception of the *Gender gap* indicators (these use the results from a single course), the course-by-course standardized data were then aggregated to produce weighted average indicator values. The weighting used was the number of examinations written in each course at the school relative to the total number of examinations written at the school.

- 4 These weighted average results were then re-standardized.

- 5 The seven standardized indicator results were then combined to produce a weighted average summary standardized score for the school. The weightings used in this calculation were *Average exam mark*—25%, *Percentage of exams failed*—25%, *School vs exam mark difference*—13%, *English 10 gender gap*—6%, *Math 10 gender gap*—6%, *Graduation rate*—12.5%, and *Delayed advancement rate*—12.5%. For schools for which there were no gender-gap results because only boys or girls were enrolled, the *School vs exam mark difference* was weighted at 25%. Where no *Composite dropout rate* could be calculated, the *Graduation rate* was weighted at 25%.

- 6 This summary standardized score was then standardized.

This standardized score was converted into an overall rating between 0 and 10 as follows:

- 7 The maximum and minimum standardized scores were set at 2.2 and  $-3.29$  respectively. Scores equal to, or greater than 2.2 receive the highest overall rating of 10. This cut-off was chosen because it allows more than one school in a given year to be awarded 10 out of 10. Scores of equal to, or less than,  $-3.29$  receive the lowest overall rating of 0. Schools with scores below  $-3.29$  are likely to be outliers—a

statistical term used to denote members of a population that appear to have characteristics substantially different from the rest of the population. We chose, therefore, to set the minimum score so as to disregard such extreme differences.

- 8 The resulting standardized scores were converted into *Overall ratings* according to the formula:

$$OR = \mu + (\sigma * \text{StanScore}),$$

where *OR* is the resulting *Overall rating*,  $\mu$  is the average calculated according to the formula:

$$\mu = (OR_{\min} - 10 (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max})) / (1 - (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max}))$$

where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation calculated according to the formula:

$$\sigma = (10 - \mu) / Z_{\max}$$

and StanScore is the standardized score calculated in (6) above and adjusted as required for minimum and maximum values as noted in (7) above. As noted in (7) above,  $OR_{\min}$  equals zero,  $Z_{\min}$  equals -3.29; and  $Z_{\max}$  equals 2.2.

- 9 Finally, the derived *Overall rating* is rounded to one decimal place to reflect the significant number of places of the decimal in the original raw data.

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its overall rating, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.



# About the authors

## Peter Cowley

Peter Cowley is Senior Vice-President, Operations and Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from the University of British Columbia with a B.Comm. in 1974. Shortly thereafter, he began a long career in marketing and general management in several sectors. During his assignments in general management, process improvement was a special focus and interest. In 1994, Mr Cowley independently wrote and published *The Parent's Guide*, a popular handbook for parents of British Columbia's secondary-school students. *The Parent's Guide* web site replaced the handbook in 1995.

In 1998, Mr Cowley was co-author of the Fraser Institute's *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British*

*Columbia*, the first of the Institute's continuing series of annual reports on school performance. This was followed by *The 1999 Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, *The 1999 Report Card on Alberta's High Schools*, and *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Since then, Mr Cowley has co-authored all of the Institute's annual report cards on Canadian schools. Annual editions now include report cards on secondary schools in British Columbia, Yukon, Alberta, Ontario, and Quebec and on elementary schools in British Columbia, Alberta, and Ontario as well as the occasional *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia*.

## Stephen T. Easton

Stephen T. Easton in addition to being a Senior Scholar at the Fraser Institute, is a professor of Economics, a member of the School for International Studies, and an Associate member of the School of Criminology at Simon Fraser University. He received his A.B. from Oberlin College and his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago. Recent works published by the Fraser Institute include *Marijuana Growth in British Columbia* (2004), *Privatizing Prisons* (editor, 1998), *The Costs of Crime: Who Pays and How Much? 1998 Update* (with Paul Brantingham, 1998), and *Rating Global Economic Freedom* (editor, 1992). A co-author of *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia* (1998), *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools* (1999) and the *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia*

(2004), he has continued to co-author the *Report Cards* for British Columbia, Alberta, New Brunswick and, most recently, Ontario. Other publications about education include "Do We Have a Problem Yet? Women and Men in Higher Education," in David Laidler (ed.), *Renovating the Ivory Tower: Canadian Universities and the Knowledge Economy* (C.D. Howe Institute, 2002), pp. 60–79; "Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose" in Stephen B. Lawton, Rodney Reed, and Fons van Wieringen, *Restructuring Public Schooling* (Springer-Verlag, 1997) and *Education in Canada: An Analysis of Elementary, Secondary, and Vocational Schooling* (Fraser Institute, 1988). His editorials have been carried by the *Vancouver Sun*, the *Globe and Mail*, the *National Post*, the *Ottawa Citizen*, the *Stirling chain* and many other newspapers around the country.

### *Michael Thomas*

Michael Thomas is the Associate Director, Report Cards Program, School Performance Studies and Program Director of Children First: School Choice Trust at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from York University with a B.A. in Political Science in 1997. Before joining the Fraser Institute in 2005, Mr. Thomas served as a policy advisor to the Ontario Minister of Education, where

his files included adult education, students at risk, new curriculum initiatives, student health initiatives, and the community use of schools. Mr. Thomas is a former public school trustee, who served on the Toronto District School Board from 1997 to 2000. He has also held positions within the City of Toronto, and the Ontario Ministries of Tourism and Recreation and Citizenship.

## **Acknowledgments**

The Fraser Institute wishes to acknowledge the generous support for this project from the Lotte and John Hecht Memorial Foundation.

# About this publication

Studies in Education Policy are published periodically throughout the year by the Fraser Institute.

## *Distribution*

These publications are available from <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org>> in Portable Document Format (PDF) and can be read with Adobe Acrobat® or with Adobe Reader®, which is available free of charge from Adobe Systems Inc. To down-load Adobe Reader, go to this link: <<http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html>> with your Browser. We encourage you to install the most recent version.

## *Ordering publications*

For information about ordering the printed publications of the Fraser Institute, please contact the publications coordinator

- e-mail: [sales@fraserinstitute.ca](mailto:sales@fraserinstitute.ca)
- telephone: 604.688.0221 ext. 580 or, toll free, 1.800.665.3558 ext. 580
- fax: 604.688.8539.

## *Media*

For media enquiries, please contact our Communications Department

- 604.714.4582
- e-mail: [communications@fraserinstitute.org](mailto:communications@fraserinstitute.org).

## *Disclaimer*

The authors of this publication have worked independently and opinions expressed by them are, therefore, their own, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the supporters, trustees, or other staff of the Fraser Institute. This publication in no way implies that the Fraser Institute, its trustees, or staff are in favor of, or oppose the passage of, any bill; or that they support or oppose any particular political party or candidate.

## *Copyright*

Copyright© 2010 by the Fraser Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

*ISSNs*

1707–2395 Studies in Education Policy (English online edition)

1492–1863 Studies in Education Policy (English print edition)

Printed and bound in Canada.

*Date of issue*

June 2010

July 2010, version 1.1: sections “Detailed school reports” and “How does your school stack up?” contain recalculated tables.

*Editing & typesetting*

Lindsey Thomas Martin; Nick Murphy

*Cover design*

Bill Ray

*Images for cover*

Boys in school ©Steve Stone; iStock

Girl solving a math problem on blackboard ©Bart Coenders; iStock

Attentive reading ©Grigory Bibikov; iStock

Library series ©Willie B. Thomas; iStock

8-year old schoolgirl doing homework ©mamahoooba; iStock

Student working in class ©Bonnie Jacobs; iStock

## Supporting the Fraser Institute

To learn how to support the Fraser Institute, please contact

- Development Department, Fraser Institute  
Fourth Floor, 1770 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, British Columbia, V6J 3G7 Canada
- telephone, toll-free: 1.800.665.3558 ext. 586
- e-mail: [development@fraserinstitute.org](mailto:development@fraserinstitute.org).



# About the Fraser Institute

Our vision is a free and prosperous world where individuals benefit from greater choice, competitive markets, and personal responsibility. Our mission is to measure, study, and communicate the impact of competitive markets and government interventions on the welfare of individuals.

Founded in 1974, we are an independent research and educational organization with locations throughout North America and international partners in over 70 countries. Our work is financed by tax-deductible contributions from thousands of individuals, organizations, and foundations. In order to protect its independence, the Institute does not accept grants from government or contracts for research.

Nous envisageons un monde libre et prospère, où chaque personne bénéficie d'un plus grand choix, de marchés concurrentiels et de responsabilités individuelles. Notre mission consiste à mesurer, à étudier et à communiquer l'effet des marchés concurrentiels et des interventions gouvernementales sur le bien-être des individus.

# Editorial Advisory Board

Prof. Armen Alchian

Prof. James Gwartney

Prof. Terry Anderson

Prof. H.G. Johnson\*

Prof. Robert Barro

Prof. Ronald W. Jones

Prof. Michael Bliss

Dr. Jerry Jordan

Prof. James M. Buchanan†

Prof. David Laidler\*\*

Prof. Jean-Pierre Centi

Prof. Richard G. Lipsey\*\*

Prof. Thomas J. Courchene\*\*

Prof. Ross McKittrick

Prof. John Chant

Prof. Michael Parkin

Prof. Bev Dahlby

Prof. F.G. Pennance\*

Prof. Erwin Diewert

Prof. Friedrich Schneider

Prof. Stephen Easton

Prof. L.B. Smith

Prof. J.C. Herbert Emery

Prof. George Stigler\* †

Prof. Jack L. Granatstein

Mr. Vito Tanzi

Prof. Herbert G. Grubel

Sir Alan Walters

Prof. Friedrich A. Hayek\* †

Prof. Edwin G. West\*

\* deceased; \*\* withdrawn; † Nobel Laureate