

Studies in Education Policy



June 2012

Report Card on Alberta's High Schools 2012

by Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas



June 2012

Report Card on Alberta's High Schools 2012

By Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas

Contents

Introduction / 3

Key academic indicators of school performance / 5

Other indicators of school performance / 10

Notes / 12

Detailed school reports / 13

How does your school stack up? / 35

Appendix: Calculating the *Overall rating out of 10* / 38

About the authors / 41

Publishing information / 42

Supporting the Fraser Institute / 43

Purpose, funding & independence / 44

About the Fraser Institute / 45

Editorial Board / 46

Introduction

The *Report Card on Alberta's High Schools 2012* (hereafter, *Report Card*) collects a variety of relevant, objective indicators of school performance into one, easily accessible public document so that anyone can analyze and compare the performance of individual schools. By doing so, the *Report Card* assists parents when they choose a school for their children and encourages and assists all those seeking to improve their schools.

The Report Card helps parents choose

Where parents can choose among several schools for their children, the *Report Card* provides a valuable tool for making a decision. Because it makes comparisons easy, the *Report Card* alerts parents to those nearby schools that appear to have more effective academic programs. Parents can also determine whether schools of interest are improving over time. By first studying the *Report Card*, parents are better prepared to ask relevant questions when they interview the principal and teachers at the schools under consideration.

Of course, the choice of a school should not be made solely on the basis of any one source of information. Families choosing a school for their students should seek more information by visiting the school and interviewing teachers and school administrators. The web sites of Alberta Education, local school districts, and individual schools can also be sources of useful information. And, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the Report Card. Nevertheless, the Report Card provides a

detailed picture of each school that is not easily available elsewhere.

The Report Card aids school improvement

Certainly, the act of publicly rating and ranking schools attracts attention. Schools that perform well or show consistent improvement are applauded. The results of poorly performing schools and those whose performance is deteriorating generate concern. This attention, in itself, provides an incentive for all those connected with a school to redouble their efforts to improve student results. However, the *Report Card* offers more than just incentive: it includes a variety of indicators, each of which reports results for an aspect of school performance that might be improved. School administrators who are dedicated to improvement accept the *Report Card* as another source of evidence that their schools can do a better job.

Some schools do better than others

In order to improve a school, one must believe that improvement is achievable. The *Report Card on Alberta's High Schools*, like all the other editions, provides evidence about what can be accomplished. It demonstrates clearly that even when we take into account factors such as the students' family background, which some believe dictates the degree of academic success that students will have in school, some schools do better than others. This finding confirms research results from other countries.¹ Indeed, it will come as no great surprise to experienced parents and educators that the data consistently suggest that

what goes on in the schools makes a difference to student success and that some schools make more of a difference than others.

Comparisons are at the heart of the improvement process

Many school authorities in Alberta use student report cards that include both the student's result and the median mark for each subject in which the student is enrolled. The report cards also show any marks awarded to the student earlier in the year. Historical and relative data like this enable students and parents to see a clearer picture of the individual student's progress. Likewise, by comparing a school's latest results with those of earlier years, we can see if the school is improving. By comparing a school's results with those of neighbouring schools, or of schools with similar school and student characteristics, we can identify more successful schools and learn from them. Reference to

overall provincial results places an individual school's level of achievement in a broader context.

There is great benefit in identifying schools that are particularly effective. By studying the proven techniques used in schools where students are successful, less effective schools may find ways to improve. Comparisons are at the heart of improvement and making comparisons among schools is made simpler and more meaningful by the Report Card's indicators, ratings, and rankings.

You can contribute to the development of the *Report Card*

The Report Card program benefits from the input of interested parties. We welcome your suggestions, comments, and criticisms. Please contact Michael Thomas at 416.363.6575.

Key academic indicators of school performance

The foundation of the *Report Card* is an overall rating of each school's academic performance. Building on data about student results provided by Alberta Education (the provincial ministry of education) we rate each school on a scale from zero to 10. We base our overall rating of each school's academic performance on eight indicators:

- (1) average diploma examination mark;
- (2) percentage of diploma examinations failed;
- (3) difference between the school mark and examination mark in diploma courses;
- (4) difference between male and female students in the average value of their exam marks in English 30-1;
- (5) difference between male and female students in the average value of their exam marks in Pure Mathematics 30;
- (6) diploma courses taken per student;
- (7) diploma completion rate;
- (8) delayed advancement rate.

We have selected this set of indicators because they provide systematic insight into a school's performance. Because they are based on annually generated data, we can assess not only each school's performance in a year but also its improvement or deterioration over time.

Three indicators of effective teaching

1 *Average diploma examination mark*

This indicator (in the tables *Average exam mark*) is the average percentage achieved by a school's regular day students on the uniform final examinations in all of the diploma courses.² For each school, the indicator is the average of the mean scores achieved by the school's students in each of the diploma examinations at all sittings during the year, weighted by the relative number of students who completed the course.

Examinations are designed to achieve a distribution of results reflecting the differences in students' mastery of the course work. Differences among students in interests, abilities, motivation, and work-habits will inevitably have some impact upon the final results. There are, however, recognizable differences from school to school within a district in the average results on the diploma examinations. There is also variation within schools in the results obtained in different subject areas. Such differences in outcomes cannot be wholly explained by the individual and family characteristics of the school's students. It seems reasonable, therefore, to include the average examination mark for each school as one indicator of effective teaching.

2 *Percentage of diploma examinations failed*

For each school, this indicator (in the tables *Percentage of exams failed*) provides the rate of failure (as a percentage) in the diploma examinations. It was derived by dividing the sum, for each school, of all diploma examinations written by regular day students where

a failing grade was awarded, by the total number of such examinations written by those students. In part, effective teaching can be measured by the ability of the students to pass any uniform examination that is a requirement for successful completion of a course. Schools have the responsibility of preparing their students to pass these final examinations.

There is good reason to have confidence in this indicator as a measure of effective teaching. A student need only successfully complete two diploma courses in order to graduate. Such a student's course of study may not include the prerequisites for all post-secondary educational options but it will be sufficient for graduation from high school. Thus, students enroll in the diploma courses, in large measure, because they want to take them. Further, their success in grade 12 reflects to a certain extent how well students have been prepared in the lower grades. All of the diploma courses have prerequisite courses. Indeed, depending on the school, admission to some of the grade-12 courses may require that the student have received a prescribed minimum grade in the prerequisite lower-level course. Since the decision to take diploma courses is, for the most part, voluntary and requires demonstrated success in previous courses, it seems reasonable to use the percentage of examinations failed in these courses as an additional indicator of the effectiveness of the teaching in high schools.

3 *Difference between school mark and examination mark*

For each school, this indicator (in the tables *School vs exam mark difference*) gives the average amount (for all of the diploma courses) by which the "school" mark—the assessment of each student's learning that is made by the school—exceeds the exam mark in that course.³

Effective teaching includes regular testing so that students may be aware of their progress. For such assessment to be useful, it must reflect the student's understanding of the course accurately. As a systematic policy, inflation of the grades awarded by the school will be counterproductive. Students who believe they are already successful when they are not will be less

likely to invest the extra effort needed to master the course material. In the end, they will be poorer for not having achieved the level of understanding that they could have through additional study.

The effectiveness of school-based assessments can be determined by a comparison to external assessments of the students. For each diploma course, Alberta Education, the authority that designed the course, administers its uniform examination. This examination will test the students' knowledge of the material contained in the course. If the mark assigned by the school is a reasonably accurate reflection of students' understanding, it should be roughly the same as the mark gained on the diploma examination. Thus, if a school has accurately assessed a student as consistently working at a C+ level, the student's examination result will be at a similar level. If, however, a school is consistently granting marks substantially higher or lower than those achieved by its students on the final examinations, then the school is not providing an accurate indicator of the extent to which knowledge of the course material is being acquired.

An indication of consistency in teaching and assessment

The Gender gap indicators

Research⁴ has shown that, in British Columbia's secondary schools, there are systematic differences between the academic results achieved by boys and those achieved by girls. These differences are particularly apparent where the local school makes the assessments. These findings are supported by data from Alberta Education. However, the same research found that "there appears to be no compelling evidence that girls and boys should, given effective teaching and counselling, experience differential rates of success."⁵ Further, "[t]he differences described by each indicator vary from school to school over a considerable range of values."⁶

The *Gender gap* indicators measure the difference, if any, between the average exam marks in English 30-1 and Pure Mathematics 30 for boys and girls.

The indicator reports the size of the difference and the more successful sex.

Three indicators of practical, well-informed counselling

While they are attending high school, students must make a number of decisions of considerable significance about their education. They will, for instance, annually decide whether to begin or continue learning a second language. In grade 10, they are required to choose between different streams in several core subject areas. In all the senior high-school years, they will face the choice of completing high school or abandoning it in favour of full-time work.

Will these young people make good decisions? It is unrealistic to presume that they can do so without advice. What practical, well-informed counselling can they call upon? While parents, in the main, are willing to help, many lack the information they need to be able to provide good advice. It falls, therefore, to the schools to shoulder some responsibility for advising students and their parents about educational choices.

The final three indicators used in the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10* assess the counsel given by the schools by measuring the quality of the decisions taken by the students about their education. Of course, wise students will seek guidance not only from the counsellors designated by the schools but also from teachers and administrators, parents, and other relatives. Where students have strong support from family and community, the school's responsibility for counselling may be lighter; where students do not have such strong support, the school's role may be more challenging. These indicators measure the school's success in using the tools at its disposal to help students make good decisions about their education.

There are two very important decisions that senior students must make. First, they must decide whether or not to remain in school, do the work, and graduate with their class. Second, they must decide whether or not to take a number of academically challenging

diploma courses. Effective counselling will encourage students to make appropriate choices.

1 *Delayed advancement rate*

This indicator measures the extent to which schools keep their students in school and progressing in a timely manner toward completion of their diploma program. It uses data that report the educational status of students one year after they have enrolled in a given grade at any school in Alberta. For example, we can determine from these data how many of a school's grade-10 students re-enroll in the following year in grade 11; are enrolled in grade 10 for a second time; or fail to re-enroll. With these raw data, following a technique that we introduced to Canada in the *Report Card on Quebec's Secondary Schools, 2001 Edition*,⁷ we calculate a statistic that will answer the question, "Based on this single year's school results, what is the likelihood that a student entering grade 10 at the school will graduate in the normal three-year period?"

The indicator is calculated as follows. For each school for each of grades 8, 10, 11, and 12, a rate of successful transition is determined by first summing the number of students who either receive a diploma in the current school year or re-enroll in a higher grade in the following year and then dividing that sum by the number of students enrolled in the grade in the current year. Then, for each grade, an unsuccessful transition rate is determined by subtracting the rate of successful transition from 1. The unsuccessful transition rates for grades 10, 11, and 12 are then reduced by the grade-8 unsuccessful transition rate at the school in order to produce a net unsuccessful transition rate for each grade of senior high school. We have adopted the grade-8 unsuccessful transition rate as an estimate of the effect on student transition of such events as emigration or death that lead to the disappearance of students from the school system.

The *Delayed advancement rate* indicator can now be calculated. The complements of the net unsuccessful transition rates ($1 - \text{net unsuccessful transition rate}$) for grades 10 through 12 are determined and their product is calculated. This three-year composite successful transition rate is then subtracted from 1 to

produce the *Delayed advancement rate* indicator that appears in the detailed tables.

Where a school does not enroll grade-8 students, the net dropout rate is calculated using the weighted average grade-8 dropout rate for all the schools in the relevant school district or division. Where a school does not enroll students in any of grade 10, 11, or 12, no *Delayed advancement rate* can be calculated.

2 *Diploma completion rate*

This indicator, related to the *Delayed advancement rate*, reports the percentage of first-time grade-12 students who received a diploma in the reported school year. It is derived from data provided by Alberta Education. Graduation from high school retains considerable value since it increases options for post-secondary education. Further, graduates from high school who decide to enter the work force immediately will, on average, find more job opportunities than those who have not graduated.

By completing the 11 years of schooling in preparation for the final high-school year, students have already demonstrated a reasonable ability to handle the basic courses offered by the school. Moreover, for the majority of students, the minimum requirements for graduation are not onerous. The chance that students will not graduate solely because they are unable to meet the intellectual demands of the curriculum is, therefore, relatively small.

Nevertheless, the graduation rate varies quite widely from school to school throughout the province. While there are factors not related to education—emigration from the province, sickness, death, and the like—that can affect the data, there is no reason to expect these factors to influence particular schools systematically. Accordingly, we take variations in the graduation rate to be an indicator of the extent to which students are being well coached in their educational choices.

3 *Diploma courses taken per student*

This indicator (in the tables *Courses taken per student*) measures the average number of diploma courses completed by those students at the school who

completed their third year of high school during the reported year. It is derived by summing each school's diploma course participation rates provided by Alberta Education.

In their senior years, students have freedom to choose from a considerable variety of courses. Their choices will have an impact upon their literacy, numeracy, and analytical skills upon graduation. Their choices also affect the post-secondary options open to them.

Diploma courses offer study at the senior level in a variety of core disciplines: English language arts (or French for francophone students), Mathematics, the sciences, and the humanities. Alberta Education has developed courses in each discipline that reflect the post-secondary ambitions of different groups of students and, far from being courses only for a university-bound elite, these courses teach skills and knowledge that will benefit students, no matter what they plan to do after graduation. Further, it is the marks obtained in these courses that are commonly used by post-secondary institutions—institutes of technology and community colleges as well as universities—to assess the applicant's readiness for further study and for admission to programs with limited enrollment. Thus, for most students a decision to take advantage of these courses is a good one and a school that is successful in encouraging students to take these courses shows that it offers practical, well-informed counselling.

In general, how is the school doing academically? **The Overall rating out of 10**

While each of the indicators is important, it is almost always the case that any school does better on some indicators than on others. So, just as a teacher must make a decision about a student's overall performance, we need an overall indicator of school performance (in the tables *Overall rating out of 10*). Just as teachers combine test scores, portfolio assessment, and class participation to rate a student, we have combined all

the indicators to produce an overall school rating. The Overall rating is not an absolute measure. That is, if a school scores a 10 out of 10 that does not mean that it has achieved perfection. It simply means that when all the indicators were taken into account, that school performed better than all the other schools in the *Report Card*. Thus, the overall rating of school performance answers the question, “In general, how is the school doing, academically compared to other schools in the province?”

To derive this rating, the results for each of the indicators, for each school year were first standardized. Standardization is a statistical procedure whereby sets of raw data with different characteristics are converted into sets of values with “standard” statistical properties. Standardized values can readily be combined and compared.

The standardized data were then combined as required to produce eight standardized scores—one

for each indicator—for each school, for each year. The eight standardized scores were weighted and combined to produce an overall standardized score. Finally, this score was converted into an overall rating. It is from this *Overall rating out of 10* that the school’s provincial rank is determined.

For schools where either of the *Gender gap* indicators could not be calculated, *Gender gap* results were not used in the calculation of the *Overall rating*. In such cases the *Overall rating* was derived using the remaining six indicators. (See Appendix 1 for an explanation of the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10*.)

Finally, note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its overall rating, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

Other indicators of school performance

Since the first edition of the *Report Card*, we have added other indicators that, while they are not used to derive the *Overall rating out of 10*, add more information on the school's effectiveness.

The *Trend* indicator

Is the school improving academically? For most schools, the *Report Card* includes several years of results. Unlike a simple snapshot of one year's results, this historical record provides evidence of change (or lack thereof) over time.

In order to detect trends in the performance indicators, we developed the *Trend* indicator. This indicator uses statistical analysis to identify those dimensions of school performance in which there has been real change rather than a fluctuation in results caused by random occurrences. To calculate the trends, the standardized scores rather than raw data are used. Standardizing makes historical data more comparable and the trend measurement more reliable. Because calculation of trends is uncertain when only a small number of data points is available, a trend is indicated only in those circumstances where five years of data are available and where a trend is determined to be statistically significant. For this indicator we have defined the term "statistically significant" to mean that, nine times out of 10, the trend that is noted is real, that is, it did not happen just by chance.

The socioeconomic indicator

To what extent do socioeconomic factors affect the school's *Overall rating out of 10*? Educators can and

should take into account the abilities, interests, and backgrounds of their students when they design lesson plans and teach the curriculum. By doing so, they can overcome disadvantages that their students may have. The socioeconomic indicator enables us to identify schools that are roughly similar to each other with respect to at least one important aspect of the home background of their students so that the schools' results can more usefully be compared. The effective school will produce good results regardless of the family background of its students.

The socioeconomic indicator was derived as follows. First, using data from Alberta Education that quantifies student enrollments by school, by census dissemination⁸ area, and socioeconomic data provided by Statistics Canada,⁹ we established a profile of the student body's family characteristics for each of the schools in the *Report Card*. We then used multiple regression—a tool used in statistical analysis—to determine which of these family characteristics were most closely associated with variations in school performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10*. As a result of this analysis, we have adopted the average parental income from employment as the socioeconomic indicator for this edition of the *Report Card*.¹⁰

As a measure of the success with which each school took into account the socioeconomic characteristics of the student body, we used the formula derived from the regression analysis to predict the Overall rating for each school. We then reported the difference (in the tables Actual rating vs predicted rating based on parents' avg. inc.) between the actual Overall rating and this predicted value in each school's results table. For example, during the 2010/2011 school year, Dr. E.P. Scarlett High School

a public high school in Calgary, achieved an Overall rating of 7.8 and yet, when the parental income of the student body was taken into account, the school was expected to achieve a rating of only about 6.7. The difference of 1.1 is reported in the tables. On the other hand, the actual Overall rating of Central Memorial High School, another Calgary public high school, was 6.0, although its predicted rating was 6.7. The reported difference for Central Memorial is -0.7. This measurement suggests that Dr. E.P. Scarlett is more successful than Central Memorial in enabling all of its students to reach their potential.

This measure of the socioeconomic background of a school's student body is presented with two important notes of caution. First, when all the schools in the *Report Card* are considered, only about 10% of the variation among schools in the *Overall rating* is associated with the parents' average parental income from employment. Clearly, many other factors—including good teaching, counselling, and school administration—contribute to the effectiveness of schools. Second, these statistical measures describe past relationships between a socioeconomic characteristic and a measure of school effectiveness. It should not be inferred that these relationships will or should remain static. The more effectively schools enable all their students to succeed, the weaker will be the rela-

tionship between the home characteristics of students and their academic success. Thus, this socioeconomic indicator should not be used as an excuse or rationale for poor school performance.

Indicators of student characteristics and programs

This edition includes three indicators that provide more information about the students that the school serves. The alternative French program indicator (in the tables *Alt. French (%)*) reports the proportion of the school's students who are registered in French immersion or other alternative French languages programs. This indicator does not include core French or Francophone programs.

The English as a second language indicator (in the tables *ESL (%)*) reports the proportion of the school's students who are registered in ESL programs. Finally, the special needs indicator (in the tables *Special needs (%)*) reports the proportion of the school's students who have identified special needs. This indicator excludes gifted students.

These indicators provide useful information that readers can use to compare the results at schools serving students with similar characteristics.

Notes

- 1 See, for instance, Michael Rutter et al., *Fifteen Thousand Hours: Secondary Schools and Their Effects on Children* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1979) and Peter Mortimore et al., *School Matters: The Junior Years* (Wells, Somerset: Open Books, 1988).
- 2 The following diploma courses were offered for at least some of the years between 1995/1996 and 2006/2007: English 30, English 33, Français 30, Mathematics 30, Mathematics 33, Biology 30, Chemistry 30, Physics 30, Science 30, Social Studies 30, Social Studies 33, Applied Mathematics 30, Pure Mathematics 30, French Lang Arts 30, English Lang Arts 30-1, English Lang Arts 30-2. Students enrolled in francophone programs may write the examinations for many of these courses in French.
- 3 For calculating the final mark, the school-awarded mark and the diploma examination mark each count for 50%.
- 4 Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Public Policy Sources 22 (Vancouver, BC: The Fraser Institute, 1999).
- 5 Cowley and Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades*: page 7.
- 6 Cowley and Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades*: page 17.
- 7 A detailed discussion of the Transition Rate indicator will be found on page 8 of Richard Marceau and Peter Cowley, *Bulletin des écoles secondaires du Québec: Édition 2001 / Report Card on Quebec's Secondary Schools: 2001 Edition* (Montréal, QC and Vancouver, BC: Institut économique de Montréal and The Fraser Institute, 2001), where it is called *Promotion rate* or *Taux de promotion*.
- 8 A Dissemination Area (DA) is a small geographic area comprising one or more neighbouring blocks, with a population of 400 to 700 persons. The DA is the smallest standard geographic area for which all census data are disseminated. All of Canada is divided into dissemination areas.
- 9 Census 2006 data for the custom geographies used in the development of the socioeconomic measures were provided by Statistics Canada.
- 10 The results of the regression of the *Overall rating* of Alberta high schools on a number of student family characteristics are presented in detail in Appendix 2, page 102, of Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Second Annual Report Card on Alberta's High Schools* (Vancouver, BC: The Fraser Institute, 2000).

Detailed school reports

How to read the tables

Use the sample table and the explanation of each line below to help you interpret the detailed results for each school. Families choosing a school for their students should seek to confirm the *Report Card's* findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers and school administrators. More information regarding schools may be found on Alberta Education's web site at <<http://www.education.alberta.ca/>> and on the web sites of Alberta's local school authorities and of individual schools. And, of course, a sound academic

program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*.

IMPORTANT: In order to get the most from the Report Card, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

A—	GEOGRAPHICAL AREA						
	School name [Affiliation] City			Gr 12 Enrollment: 65			— B
C—	ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 15.6	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
D—	Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,700: -1.5			2010-11 Last 5 Years Rank: 245/276 239/243			
	Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
E—	Average exam mark	62.8	55.4	56.1	60.3	60.6	—
F—	Percentage of exams failed	20.4	37.1	36.5	23.1	20.2	—
G—	School vs exam mark difference	7.6	9.7	7.2	3.8	4.2	▲
H—	English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
I—	Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 3.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
J—	Courses taken per student	2.9	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	▼
K—	Diploma completion rate	64.0	43.8	34.2	44.7	63.6	—
L—	Delayed advancement rate	47.5	66.4	69.7	70.1	37.4	—
M—	Overall rating out of 10	4.3	0.9	1.6	3.2	4.0	—

A—Geographical area

Each of the schools in the *Report Card* has been assigned to a geographical area based on the city or town in which the school is located. Grouping schools in these geographical areas makes it easier to compare them. The Edmonton, Calgary, and Red Deer areas include only schools located in those cities; other areas may include schools in several cities or towns. To find the results of a school, find the city or town where it is located in the *List of cities and geographical areas* below and note the geographical area to which

it has been assigned. Geographical areas appear in the *Detailed Tables* in alphabetical order and the page number upon which the results for each area begin may be found in the *Index of geographical areas* below. Within each geographical area, the schools are listed in alphabetical order.

B—Gr 12 Enrollment

The number of regular day students enrolled in grade 12 as of September 30, 2010. Indicator results for small schools tend to be more variable than do those

for larger schools and caution should be used in interpreting the results for smaller schools.

C—ESL (%); Special needs (%); Alt. French (%)

These statistics report the percentage of students enrolled in English-as-a-Second-Language programs; the percentage of students with special needs; and the percentage of students enrolled in French immersion or other alternative programs in French. This percentage does not include those enrolled in Francophone programs or in regular French courses.

When you want to compare academic results, these statistics can be used to find other schools where the student body has similar characteristics.

D (left)—Actual rating vs predicted based on average parental employment income

This statistic compares the school's actual *Overall rating out of 10* with the rating that is predicted by the average parental employment income of the students' families. Use this statistic to identify schools at which the students share similar family backgrounds.

D (right)—Overall academic ranking

The school's overall academic rank in the province for the school year 2010/2011 and for the most recent five years. The rankings show how the school has done academically compared to the other schools in the *Report Card*. A high ranking over five years indicates consistently strong results at the school.

E—Average exam mark

The average mark (as a percentage) achieved by the students on all the school's grade-12 diploma examinations. Note that all the indicators of academic performance (except the *Courses taken per student* and the *Overall rating out of 10*) are expressed as percentage points.

F—Percentage of exams failed

The percentage of all the diploma examinations written by students at the school that received a grade below 50%.

G—School vs exam mark

The difference (in percentage points) between the marks received from the school for diploma courses and the corresponding examination marks. Where the exam marks are higher, the result is set to zero. Large differences usually indicate grade inflation by the school.

H—English 30-1 gender gap

I—Pure Math 30 gender gap

The difference (in percentage points) between boys' and girls' average exam marks in English 30-1 and Pure Mathematics 30. Where the difference favours the girls, the value is preceded by an **F**; where boys are favoured, the value is preceded by an **M**. An **E** means that there is no difference between the girls and the boys on this measure. Smaller differences indicate that the school is doing a good job for all its students.

J—Courses taken per student

The average number of diploma courses completed by those students at the school who have completed their third year of high school. Taking more of these diploma courses provides students with greater post-secondary opportunities.

K—Diploma completion rate

The percentage of students, enrolled in grade 12 for the first time, who received a diploma in the same school year. Higher completion rates mean that the school is doing a good job of keeping students on track and focused on their work during their final year.

L—Delayed advancement rate

The percentage of the school's grade-10 students who are not likely to complete grade 12 within three years. Low *Delayed advancement rates* indicate that the school's students are likely to complete their high school program in the normal time.

M—Overall rating out of 10

The *Overall rating out of 10* takes into account the

school's performance on all eight of these indicators (*F to M* above) to answer the question, "In general, how is the school doing academically compared to other schools in the *Report Card*?"

N—Trend

In the Trend column, an upward-pointing arrow at the end of an indicator row means that the school is probably improving on that indicator; a downward-pointing arrow means that the school is probably getting worse. The researchers had to be at least 90% sure that the changes were not just random before indicating a trend. A dash (—) indicates that there is no significant change; "n/a" indicates that there were insufficient data available with which to calculate a trend. Note that for *Percentage of exams failed*, *School vs exam mark*, the two *Gender gap* indicators, and the *Delayed advancement rate*, a statistically significant downward trend in the data will lead to an upward-pointing arrow in the trend column. For example, a decreasing percentage of examinations failed indicates improvement and so an upward-pointing arrow is displayed.

Other notes

Note 1

Not all of the province's high schools are included in the tables or the ranking. Of all the schools in the province at which any diploma examination results were generated, 276 are included in this *Report Card*. Excluded are schools at which fewer than 15 regular day students were enrolled in grade 12 and schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an *Overall rating out of 10*. Also excluded from the ratings and rankings are centres for adult education and continuing education; home-schooling support centres, and certain alternative schools that do not offer a full program of courses.

The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* should not be considered to be a judgement of the school's effectiveness.

Note 2

Due to continuing improvements in methodology, along with updated data provided by Alberta Education for the 2007/2008 school year, some historical values for indicators and overall ratings are different than those previously reported.

Note 3

In accordance with its regulations regarding the privacy of personal information, where school results involved less than six students, Alberta Education provided no data.

Note 4

Where there were insufficient data available with which to calculate an indicator or where a school was not in operation during a specific year, "n/a" appears in the tables.

Note 5

You can compare a school's results with the all-schools average results shown below.

Average values for all schools 2010/2011						
						Average Gr 12 Enrollment: 148
Average Parents' Income: \$85,800						
ESL (%): 5.0		Special Needs (%): 12.5		Alt. French program (%): 2.9		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.9	65.6	64.3	64.3	63.8	▼
Percentage of exams failed	15.7	15.8	18.1	17.4	18.7	▼
School vs exam mark difference	6.4	6.0	7.0	7.6	8.1	▼
English 30-1 gender gap *	3.6	3.4	2.9	2.7	2.7	▲
Pure Math 30 gender gap *	3.3	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.2	-
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	-
Diploma completion rate	79.5	80.2	80.6	81.1	82.4	▲
Delayed advancement rate	24.1	23.3	22.5	21.5	20.2	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	n/a

* These results reflect the average size of the gender gaps. The English gender gap favoured females at 62.1% of schools, males at 35.7% of schools, and were even at 2.2% of schools. The Math gender gap favoured females at 34.6% of schools, males at 64.9% of schools, and were even at 0.5%.

Note 6

If you have questions about the *Report Card*, contact Michael Thomas, Associate Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute at 416.363.6575.

List of cities and school districts

School city	Geographical area	School city	Geographical area
Acme	Acme Area	Dunmore	Taber/Medicine Hat Area
Airdrie	Airdrie Area	Eckville	Rocky Mountain House Area
Alix	Stettler Area	Edmonton	Edmonton
Ardrossan	Vegreville/Fort Saskatchewan Area	Edson	Edson/Whitecourt Area
Ashmont	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area	Elk Point	St. Paul/Bonnyville Area
Athabasca	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area	Evansburg	St. Albert/Stony Plain Area
Banff	Banff Area	Fairview	Peace River Area
Barrhead	Barrhead/Westlock Area	Falun	Wetaskiwin/Drayton Valley Area
Bashaw	Stettler Area	Foremost	Taber/Medicine Hat Area
Bassano	Brooks Area	Forestburg	Stettler Area
Bawlf	Camrose Area	Fort Macleod	Fort MacLeod Area
Beaumont	Leduc Area	Fort McMurray	Fort McMurray Area
Beaverlodge	Grande Prairie Area	Fort Saskatchewan	Vegreville/Fort Saskatchewan Area
Beiseker	Acme Area	Fox Creek	Edson/Whitecourt Area
Bentley	Rocky Mountain House Area	Glendon	St. Paul/Bonnyville Area
Black Diamond	Okotoks Area	Grande Cache	Jasper/Hinton Area
Bonnyville	St. Paul/Bonnyville Area	Grande Prairie	Grande Prairie Area
Bow Island	Taber/Medicine Hat Area	Grimshaw	Peace River Area
Bowden	Olds/Didsbury Area	Hanna	Drumheller/Three Hills Area
Boyle	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area	Hay Lakes	Camrose Area
Breton	Wetaskiwin/Drayton Valley Area	High Level	High Level/Fort Vermilion Area
Brooks	Brooks Area	High Prairie	Grande Prairie Area
Buck Lake	Wetaskiwin/Drayton Valley Area	High River	High River Area
Calgary	Calgary	Hines Creek	Peace River Area
Calmar	Leduc Area	Hinton	Jasper/Hinton Area
Camrose	Camrose Area	Hughenden	Wainwright/Vermilion Area
Canmore	Banff Area	Innisfail	Olds/Didsbury Area
Cardston	Lethbridge Area	Irma	Wainwright/Vermilion Area
Caroline	Rocky Mountain House Area	Jasper	Jasper/Hinton Area
Carstairs	Acme Area	Kitscoty	Wainwright/Vermilion Area
Castor	Stettler Area	La Crete	High Level/Fort Vermilion Area
Chestermere	Strathmore Area	Lac La Biche	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area
Claresholm	Picture Butte Area	Lacombe	Lacombe/Ponoka Area
Coaldale	Lethbridge Area	Lamont	Vegreville/Fort Saskatchewan Area
Coalhurst	Lethbridge Area	Leduc	Leduc Area
Cochrane	Cochrane/Bragg Creek Area	Lethbridge	Lethbridge Area
Cold Lake	St. Paul/Bonnyville Area	Lundbreck	Fort MacLeod Area
Coleman	Picture Butte Area	Magrath	Lethbridge Area
Condor	Rocky Mountain House Area	Mallaig	St. Paul/Bonnyville Area
Consort	Stettler Area	Manning	High Level/Fort Vermilion Area
Coronation	Stettler Area	Marwayne	Wainwright/Vermilion Area
Cremona	Olds/Didsbury Area	Mayerthorpe	Edson/Whitecourt Area
Crooked Creek	Grande Prairie Area	Medicine Hat	Taber/Medicine Hat Area
Crossfield	Airdrie Area	Milk River	Lethbridge Area
Daysland	Camrose Area	Morinville	Barrhead/Westlock Area
Delburne	Stettler Area	Namao	Barrhead/Westlock Area
Devon	Leduc Area	Nanton	High River Area
Didsbury	Olds/Didsbury Area	New Norway	Camrose Area
Donnelly	Peace River Area	New Sarepta	Sherwood Park Area
Drayton Valley	Wetaskiwin/Drayton Valley Area	Okotoks	Okotoks Area
Drumheller	Drumheller/Three Hills Area	Olds	Olds/Didsbury Area
Duchess	Brooks Area	Onoway	St. Albert/Stony Plain Area

List of cities and school districts

School city	Geographical area	School city	Geographical area
Oyen	Drumheller/Three Hills Area	Stettler	Stettler Area
Peace River	Peace River Area	Stirling	Lethbridge Area
Picture Butte	Picture Butte Area	Stony Plain	St. Albert/Stony Plain Area
Pincher Creek	Fort MacLeod Area	Strathmore	Strathmore Area
Plamondon	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area	Sundre	Olds/Didsbury Area
Ponoka	Lacombe/Ponoka Area	Sylvan Lake	Rocky Mountain House Area
Provost	Wainwright/Vermilion Area	Taber	Taber/Medicine Hat Area
Raymond	Lethbridge Area	Thorhild	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area
Red Deer	Red Deer	Thorsby	Leduc Area
Redwater	Vegreville/Fort Saskatchewan Area	Three Hills	Drumheller/Three Hills Area
Rimbey	Lacombe/Ponoka Area	Tofield	Sherwood Park Area
Rocky Mountain House	Rocky Mountain House Area	Two Hills	Vegreville/Fort Saskatchewan Area
Rosemary	Brooks Area	Valleyview	Grande Prairie Area
Ryley	Camrose Area	Vauxhall	Taber/Medicine Hat Area
Sedgewick	Wainwright/Vermilion Area	Vegreville	Vegreville/Fort Saskatchewan Area
Sexsmith	Grande Prairie Area	Vermilion	Wainwright/Vermilion Area
Sherwood Park	Sherwood Park Area	Viking	Wainwright/Vermilion Area
Slave Lake	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area	Vilna	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area
Smoky Lake	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area	Vulcan	High River Area
Spirit River	Grande Prairie Area	Wabasca	Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area
Spruce Grove	St. Albert/Stony Plain Area	Wainwright	Wainwright/Vermilion Area
Spruce View	Olds/Didsbury Area	Warburg	Wetaskiwin/Drayton Valley Area
St. Albert	St. Albert/Stony Plain Area	Westlock	Barrhead/Westlock Area
St. Paul	St. Paul/Bonnyville Area	Wetaskiwin	Wetaskiwin/Drayton Valley Area
Standard	Strathmore Area	Whitecourt	Edson/Whitecourt Area

Index of geographical areas

Geographical area	Page
Acme Area	19
Airdrie Area	19
Athabasca/Smoky Lake Area	19
Banff Area	19
Barrhead/Westlock Area	20
Brooks Area	20
Calgary	20
Camrose Area	23
Cochrane/Bragg Creek Area	23
Drumheller/Three Hills Area	23
Edmonton	23
Edson/Whitecourt Area.	25
Fort Macleod Area.	25
Fort McMurray Area.	25
Grande Prairie Area	26
High Level/Fort Vermilion Area	26
High River Area	26
Jasper/Hinton Area	26

Geographical area	Page
Lacombe/Ponoka Area	27
Leduc Area	27
Lethbridge Area	27
Okotoks Area.	28
Olds/Didsbury Area	28
Peace River Area.	29
Picture Butte Area.	29
Red Deer	29
Rocky Mountain House Area.	30
Sherwood Park Area	30
St. Albert/Stony Plain Area	30
St. Paul/Bonnyville Area	31
Stettler Area	31
Strathmore Area.	32
Taber/Medicine Hat Area.	32
Vegreville/Fort Saskatchewan Area.	32
Wainwright/Vermilion Area	33
Wetaskiwin/Drayton Valley Area	33

ACME AREA

Acme [Public] Acme		Gr 12 Enrollment: 24				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 13.1	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 89/276			78/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,800: 1.0						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.7	69.3	64.0	64.5	66.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.0	8.4	16.0	10.6	15.7	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	3.6	5.5	6.3	7.1	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 8.5	M 5.8	F 7.5	F 4.5	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	M 13.6	F 3.1	M 6.7	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.2	4.1	—
Diploma completion rate	80.8	76.5	82.1	79.4	81.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	25.0	19.4	22.4	25.0	21.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.0	6.1	6.6	6.8	—

W. G. Murdoch [Public] Crossfield		Gr 12 Enrollment: 30				
ESL (%): 3.1	Special needs (%): 6.6	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 169/276			145/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,000: -0.1						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.4	63.8	64.7	61.3	58.6	▼
Percentage of exams failed	10.2	15.1	18.6	21.3	23.5	▼
School vs exam mark difference	6.2	6.2	4.6	8.1	14.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 11.3	n/a	F 10.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.2	n/a	M 6.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.4	3.5	3.7	4.5	—
Diploma completion rate	92.3	84.2	81.0	88.9	93.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	6.1	19.4	26.4	15.9	9.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	5.8	5.7	5.8	5.6	—

Mistassiny [Public] Wabasca		Gr 12 Enrollment: 40				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 17.2	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 273/276			243/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$31,100: -5.2						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	50.4	50.1	46.3	44.3	44.5	▼
Percentage of exams failed	45.7	46.7	57.1	71.2	69.2	▼
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	3.1	14.5	11.8	11.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	0.9	1.2	0.5	1.2	1.0	—
Diploma completion rate	58.6	30.0	35.3	41.4	29.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	91.4	91.8	85.8	66.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	▼

Beiseker [Public] Beiseker		Gr 12 Enrollment: 30				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 20.8	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 224/276			159/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,600: -0.9						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.7	62.9	62.3	61.8	58.8	▼
Percentage of exams failed	13.0	17.2	20.9	18.8	23.6	▼
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	2.9	4.9	7.3	6.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.6	3.4	2.7	3.1	▼
Diploma completion rate	100.0	94.1	100.0	67.9	74.1	▼
Delayed advancement rate	12.1	6.0	12.6	35.5	28.2	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.8	6.2	4.4	4.6	▼

Ashmont [Public] Ashmont		Gr 12 Enrollment: 29				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 31.4	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 266/276			n/a	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,600: -2.5						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	61.3	n/a	n/a	56.3	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	28.8	n/a	n/a	30.0	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	5.3	n/a	n/a	7.7	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	2.7	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	80.0	n/a	n/a	86.2	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	69.8	n/a	n/a	46.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	3.4	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a

Plamondon [Public] Plamondon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 29				
ESL (%): 8.9	Special needs (%): 11.9	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 249/276			202/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,400: -2.2						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.4	62.3	61.0	56.9	58.2	▼
Percentage of exams failed	18.1	23.1	30.0	30.7	26.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	4.4	7.8	10.8	8.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.7	M 3.1	n/a	F 3.2	F 12.6	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 5.8	M 3.1	M 4.3	M 10.6	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.6	3.3	3.1	4.3	3.3	—
Diploma completion rate	92.6	92.9	96.6	80.6	82.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	21.5	17.0	6.2	32.5	20.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.6	4.8	4.7	3.8	▼

Hugh Sutherland [Public] Castairs		Gr 12 Enrollment: 66				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 9.4	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 101/276			103/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,600: 1.1						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.7	65.0	69.1	66.5	64.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.4	12.8	9.6	12.0	14.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.8	7.6	5.1	6.7	4.1	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	M 1.1	F 9.5	M 1.8	F 2.8	M 1.9	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	M 7.2	F 7.3	M 4.0	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.3	3.6	4.0	3.3	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	68.9	86.6	96.0	91.8	92.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	38.9	18.3	21.2	14.0	18.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.0	7.5	6.5	6.6	—

Boyle [Public] Boyle		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 9.9	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 243/276			n/a	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,700: -1.5						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.2	60.5	n/a	n/a	60.4	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	18.4	24.1	n/a	n/a	29.6	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	6.2	n/a	n/a	9.7	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.5	2.9	n/a	n/a	3.3	n/a
Diploma completion rate	90.5	76.9	n/a	n/a	69.2	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	44.3	48.8	n/a	n/a	41.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	3.8	n/a	n/a	4.1	n/a

Roland Michener [Public] Slave Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 111				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 13.3	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 213/276			217/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,700: -1.3						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	57.1	58.9	57.8	58.4	59.5	▲
Percentage of exams failed	30.5	30.5	31.9	26.3	15.1	▲
School vs exam mark difference	11.6	9.9	13.1	9.3	11.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 5.8	M 7.1	F 1.6	F 7.8	F 11.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.3	M 9.1	F 4.0	M 5.5	M 14.3	—
Courses taken per student	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	77.8	80.6	84.8	79.2	83.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	22.4	19.0	18.3	15.0	12.3	▲
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	4.2	4.7	4.4	4.9	▲

AIRDRIE AREA

Bert Church [Public] Airdrie		Gr 12 Enrollment: 196				
ESL (%): 2.1	Special needs (%): 9.4	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 133/276			159/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,200: 0.2						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.3	65.6	63.9	63.3	66.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	9.4	14.8	16.5	17.5	11.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	2.0	3.4	4.0	3.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.4	F 4.1	F 1.9	F 5.7	F 3.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.4	F 2.0	M 0.9	F 6.1	F 1.0	—
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.2	▼
Diploma completion rate	71.6	63.8	74.5	72.7	76.6	—
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	39.9	38.2	32.0	27.7	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.4	5.7	5.0	6.2	—

Edwin Parr [Public] Athabasca		Gr 12 Enrollment: 117				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 9.2	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 182/276			194/243	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,600: -0.9						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.2	63.3	63.3	60.5	60.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	16.2	13.3	20.3	22.0	23.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.0	5.8	6.0	8.0	10.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.1	F 3.8	M 4.1	E	M 0.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.7	F 0.5	F 1.1	F 1.3	M 3.0	—
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	74.7	69.2	78.1	69.8	71.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	33.5	33.7	35.2	33.3	28.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.3	5.4	4.8	4.9	▼

Thorhild Central [Public] Thorhild		Gr 12 Enrollment: 32				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 13.1	2010-11			Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 264/276			n/a	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,800: -2.3						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	55.6	53.2	58.5	n/a	55.4	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	35.5	37.3	25.7	n/a	35.7	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	10.7	15.4	15.6	n/a	14.6	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 5.8	F 9.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	M 4.7	M 2.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.5	4.0	4.5	n/a	3.3	n/a
Diploma completion rate	71.4	79.3	93.9	n/a	75.9	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	40.5	26.1</				

Canmore Collegiate [Public] Canmore		Gr 12 Enrollment: 118				
ESL (%): 5.7	Special needs (%): 13.0	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,200: 1.2		Rank: 71/276 46/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	73.3	70.1	66.8	69.0	71.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	6.3	8.9	12.0	10.0	9.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.5	1.8	5.5	5.2	3.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.9	F 3.9	F 3.4	F 3.4	F 4.4	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.3	F 4.0	M 2.2	F 1.0	F 6.1	—
Courses taken per student	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	79.7	70.8	85.6	91.1	81.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	17.7	26.8	8.9	12.6	22.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.0	7.1	7.5	7.1	—

BARRHEAD/WESTLOCK AREA

Barrhead [Public] Barrhead		Gr 12 Enrollment: 131				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 13.0	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,500: 1.3		Rank: 71/276 121/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.1	65.9	65.6	64.6	66.3	▲
Percentage of exams failed	17.1	13.5	15.3	15.7	13.0	▲
School vs exam mark difference	7.1	7.1	9.1	11.3	8.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.8	F 3.6	M 1.4	F 2.9	M 1.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.6	F 0.4	M 3.1	M 7.7	M 2.3	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.4	4.0	3.8	4.1	—
Diploma completion rate	79.3	79.3	86.8	84.4	90.9	▲
Delayed advancement rate	23.9	25.2	16.5	19.9	13.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.9	6.6	5.8	7.1	—

Morinville [Public] Morinville		Gr 12 Enrollment: 100				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 14.9	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,600: 1.5		Rank: 50/276 n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.2	65.1	n/a	65.1	67.7	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	15.0	15.0	n/a	14.1	11.2	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	4.5	n/a	3.6	4.0	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	F 7.5	F 8.4	n/a	M 0.7	M 0.3	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.3	F 5.4	n/a	M 9.1	M 0.8	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.2	3.0	n/a	3.5	3.7	n/a
Diploma completion rate	65.5	71.8	n/a	89.9	87.8	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	37.7	33.8	n/a	21.0	11.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.1	n/a	6.4	7.4	n/a

Richard F Staples [Public] Westlock		Gr 12 Enrollment: 144				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 18.3	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: -0.1		Rank: 189/276 202/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.4	64.3	62.4	60.6	63.7	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.0	17.6	22.0	25.2	19.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.6	8.9	10.6	8.5	8.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.6	F 1.2	F 2.9	M 3.3	M 3.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 6.6	M 5.3	F 5.2	M 9.8	F 2.2	—
Courses taken per student	2.9	3.6	3.4	3.2	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	75.0	74.5	71.6	71.9	78.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	27.7	29.0	33.5	37.6	27.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.4	5.0	4.1	5.6	—

St. Mary [Separate] Westlock		Gr 12 Enrollment: 16				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 11.0	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,800: -0.9		Rank: 217/276 189/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.0	64.5	60.7	58.9	57.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	19.5	21.4	14.3	30.0	41.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	1.3	9.5	10.0	10.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 5.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 9.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.2	4.5	2.7	4.4	4.9	—
Diploma completion rate	83.3	80.0	47.1	82.4	81.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	10.2	28.4	53.9	19.8	31.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.9	3.7	5.2	4.8	—

Sturgeon [Public] Namao		Gr 12 Enrollment: 319				
ESL (%): 1.9	Special needs (%): 16.5	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,100: -0.6		Rank: 182/276 151/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.7	66.8	64.2	61.6	63.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	14.8	11.4	17.6	18.9	17.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.2	2.7	4.3	7.1	5.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.4	F 3.2	F 1.5	F 1.7	F 0.7	▲
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.5	F 4.9	M 2.8	M 1.7	M 1.7	—
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.1	—
Diploma completion rate	85.4	82.8	79.7	76.4	77.7	▼
Delayed advancement rate	26.5	29.7	35.3	33.0	35.5	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.1	6.0	5.2	5.4	▼

BROOKS AREA

Bassano [Public] Bassano		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 17.5	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,000: 1.0		Rank: 110/276 227/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	54.3	55.1	56.2	60.6	62.5	▲
Percentage of exams failed	34.1	35.5	29.9	23.1	15.5	▲
School vs exam mark difference	14.2	9.5	11.0	5.6	6.0	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.1	2.5	4.1	3.3	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	69.2	81.3	91.7	84.6	100.0	▲
Delayed advancement rate	36.4	31.3	21.4	25.3	9.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.1	2.7	4.7	5.2	6.5	▲

Brooks [Public] Brooks		Gr 12 Enrollment: 183				
ESL (%): 5.6	Special needs (%): 17.5	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,700: -1.3		Rank: 220/276 202/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.3	64.6	59.8	60.5	61.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.8	19.8	27.7	24.1	22.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	6.0	8.6	8.1	6.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.6	M 1.0	F 0.1	M 2.0	F 4.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.6	M 5.0	M 10.4	M 7.8	F 5.6	—
Courses taken per student	3.1	2.5	4.1	3.3	3.4	▼
Diploma completion rate	71.9	69.8	77.8	75.2	71.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	29.2	35.9	21.4	25.1	28.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.1	5.0	4.6	4.7	▼

Duchess [Public] Duchess		Gr 12 Enrollment: 36				
ESL (%): 2.0	Special needs (%): 19.2	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,600: -1.4		Rank: 232/276 217/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.1	64.9	56.2	59.1	59.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	28.6	18.8	33.8	24.1	28.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	11.5	11.9	11.4	12.5	12.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 2.1	n/a	F 1.1	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	M 7.2	n/a	M 10.8	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	92.6	73.1	66.7	76.3	77.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	11.4	30.2	20.0	25.7	23.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.1	3.4	4.7	4.4	—

Rosemary [Public] Rosemary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 16				
ESL (%): 13.4	Special needs (%): 15.8	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,300: -1.1		Rank: 232/276 n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	65.0	55.1	63.7	60.9	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	18.0	35.7	17.2	27.7	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	11.3	14.3	12.2	13.2	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	4.9	3.3	3.9	3.7	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	93.3	80.0	94.4	87.5	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	11.3	20.0	8.7	10.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	6.5	3.0	5.8	4.8	n/a

St. Joseph's [Separate] Brooks		Gr 12 Enrollment: 67				
ESL (%): 16.2	Special needs (%): 10.1	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,300: 0.4		Rank: 110/276 51/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	72.7	71.9	66.2	67.7	64.2	▼
Percentage of exams failed	5.6	8.5	16.5	10.9	16.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	3.6	8.4	7.4	10.8	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.5	F 1.8	M 5.6	M 3.2	M 2.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 4.7	M 3.3	M 6.2	M 8.0	M 1.6	—
Courses taken per student	4.7	3.6	4.3	3.9	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	93.1	82.6	97.7	90.9	92.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	9.2	21.1	4.4	10.3	12.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	7.2	7.1	7.0	6.5	▼

CALGARY

Bears paw Christian [Private] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 8.8	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$126,600: 2.2		Rank: 110/276 n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	77.8	n/a	75.7	69.2	73.0	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	1.0	n/a	2.7	11.2	5.7	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	2.0	n/a	4.8	7.4	6.0	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.1	n/a	n/a	F 2.6	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 4.3	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.7	n/a	4.6	4.9	5.0	n/a
Diploma completion rate	100.0	n/a	96.7	86.1	88.5	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	n/a	1.6	9.1	7.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	9.8	n/a	9.3	7.9	8.8	n/a

Bishop Carroll [Separate] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 309				
ESL (%): 3.0	Special needs (%): 8.5	2010-11		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$134,400: -0.2		Rank: 110/276 51/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	75.3	74.4	73.0	72.5	70.5	▼
Percentage of exams failed	4.5	5.1	6.9	8.2	9.8	▼
School vs exam mark difference	1.8	2.1	4.8</			

Calgary Christian [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 62				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 12.2	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 29/276	11/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.0	67.5	62.7	71.2	69.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	19.9	15.8	22.2	8.4	10.1	▲
School vs exam mark difference	8.8	5.6	11.5	4.9	5.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.2	F 4.2	M 4.1	F 9.6	M 1.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 6.4	F 3.0	M 4.9	M 5.1	M 5.9	—
Courses taken per student	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	88.9	85.7	96.8	95.0	91.9	—
Delayed advancement rate	13.0	12.4	0.0	1.3	5.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	7.3	6.6	8.0	7.9	▲

Edge School [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 46				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 0.0	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 84/276	36/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.7	68.7	66.7	65.0	64.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	16.8	11.3	11.2	17.2	19.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.2	3.1	6.0	7.1	6.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 7.7	F 1.5	F 11.8	F 10.2	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	F 0.4	M 0.7	F 8.3	F 9.5	n/a
Courses taken per student	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.1	—
Diploma completion rate	92.6	82.8	97.1	88.9	91.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	4.0	34.2	0.0	5.3	12.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.3	8.0	6.6	6.9	—

Henry Wise Wood [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 447				
ESL (%): 17.9	Special needs (%): 13.8	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 50/276	46/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	72.0	71.7	71.7	70.4	73.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.8	8.8	10.1	11.3	8.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.0	2.2	3.2	4.2	3.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.7	E	F 4.0	M 1.7	F 4.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.8	M 1.0	M 1.3	M 2.4	F 0.7	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.1	—
Diploma completion rate	80.2	74.4	76.2	76.0	73.6	▼
Delayed advancement rate	20.2	26.3	25.3	25.0	28.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.4	7.3	7.1	7.4	—

Centennial [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 524				
ESL (%): 10.7	Special needs (%): 19.6	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 58/276	83/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.4	68.6	67.4	68.1	69.2	▲
Percentage of exams failed	15.0	9.7	11.3	10.6	9.6	▲
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	2.8	4.4	3.6	2.9	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.1	F 0.6	M 1.0	F 4.1	F 1.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.5	M 4.6	M 5.3	F 3.2	F 0.5	—
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.8	▲
Diploma completion rate	70.7	77.8	79.1	84.4	77.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	31.2	22.1	21.5	13.2	22.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.8	6.7	7.2	7.3	▲

Ernest Manning [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 247				
ESL (%): 21.8	Special needs (%): 21.3	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 182/276	145/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.9	68.2	69.3	67.7	67.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	12.9	11.1	10.6	13.7	12.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	3.3	4.1	4.2	4.0	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.7	F 0.1	M 2.4	E	F 2.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.2	M 3.8	M 2.9	F 5.4	F 3.2	—
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.7	3.9	3.5	3.3	—
Diploma completion rate	55.1	65.3	68.3	58.9	52.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	48.9	38.1	37.9	46.4	45.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.2	6.7	5.7	5.4	—

Heritage Christian [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 32				
ESL (%): 11.7	Special needs (%): 19.9	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 64/276	65/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.5	72.6	64.9	64.4	67.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.9	8.3	17.8	16.2	13.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	4.9	9.0	12.9	9.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 3.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	F 6.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.7	5.0	4.3	4.1	4.5	—
Diploma completion rate	73.7	91.7	95.2	79.3	90.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	24.0	6.2	8.0	21.6	10.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	8.4	6.7	5.7	7.2	—

Central Memorial [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 506				
ESL (%): 4.1	Special needs (%): 15.6	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 147/276	111/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.4	67.5	66.9	65.2	64.2	▼
Percentage of exams failed	11.4	11.6	12.8	14.9	16.7	▼
School vs exam mark difference	4.3	2.8	3.8	6.0	6.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.1	F 3.3	F 2.5	F 2.8	F 2.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.1	M 1.9	M 0.3	M 1.9	M 1.9	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.1	3.4	3.6	3.7	—
Diploma completion rate	81.3	68.9	75.5	73.8	75.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	18.4	31.3	25.9	24.0	26.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	5.9	6.5	6.1	6.0	—

Father Lacombe [Separate] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 282				
ESL (%): 29.3	Special needs (%): 12.5	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 224/276	222/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.5	62.7	62.0	59.4	58.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	24.8	19.7	20.6	28.2	29.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	3.3	4.5	6.1	7.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 3.0	F 0.8	M 0.4	F 1.4	M 1.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.9	M 9.8	M 0.3	M 2.5	M 2.4	—
Courses taken per student	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.5	▲
Diploma completion rate	58.9	55.4	59.5	59.9	76.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	35.4	46.0	43.3	42.5	28.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	4.3	4.9	4.0	4.6	—

Int'l School of Excellence [Private] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 19				
ESL (%): 23.2	Special needs (%): 0.0	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 273/276	n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	45.1	64.0	n/a	n/a	37.0	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	69.6	11.1	n/a	n/a	88.9	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	21.9	11.0	n/a	n/a	33.0	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.3	n/a	n/a	3.6	n/a
Diploma completion rate	52.2	42.9	n/a	n/a	69.2	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	19.9	24.0	n/a	n/a	35.2	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	4.4	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a

Chestermere [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 239				
ESL (%): 8.5	Special needs (%): 9.2	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 139/276	121/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.8	64.7	64.4	63.6	61.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.6	15.9	16.5	17.2	23.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	4.7	4.8	6.0	6.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.3	F 2.8	M 2.6	F 1.8	M 0.1	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.8	M 2.8	M 8.6	F 0.8	M 2.8	—
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.8	3.7	4.0	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	82.3	80.3	80.8	85.4	85.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	25.5	22.2	16.8	15.7	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.1	6.1	6.6	6.1	—

Foot Hills Academy [Private] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 22				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 100.0	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 224/276	121/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.1	60.2	68.3	61.1	64.7	—
Percentage of exams failed	20.2	31.4	16.3	25.0	8.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	11.2	12.4	4.5	7.4	8.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.5	3.4	4.0	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	90.5	90.0	95.2	95.5	100.0	▲
Delayed advancement rate	2.8	10.6	4.8	4.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	4.4	7.3	6.2	7.2	—

James Fowler [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 455				
ESL (%): 43.3	Special needs (%): 19.6	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 266/276	235/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.1	59.6	57.4	58.2	58.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	26.0	28.4	31.4	29.7	30.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	5.6	9.3	6.8	6.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.8	F 3.3	M 0.5	F 1.5	F 2.3	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.8	M 3.5	M 4.7	M 1.8	F 3.9	—
Courses taken per student	2.6	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.7	—
Diploma completion rate	43.6	46.5	45.6	51.4	45.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	60.9	55.2	57.3	51.6	51.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.4	2.9	—

Crescent Heights [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 410				
ESL (%): 23.7	Special needs (%): 10.2	Alt. French (%): 0.0			2010-11 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based	Rank: 161/276	198/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.1	63.4	62.1	63.7	64.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	16.6	19.4	23.3	21.3	17.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	4.1	5.7	6.4	5.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.7	F 4.4	M 0.6	F 2.0	M 1.9	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.3	F 5.2	M 2.3	F 1.3	M 6.3	—
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.8	—
Diploma completion rate	62.8	57.9	60.0	63.3	67.6	—
Delayed advancement rate	45.0	46.0	45.3	37.2		

Lester B. Pearson [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 462				
ESL (%)	35.0	Special needs (%)	11.6	Alt. French (%)		7.5
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,900		-0.1		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		139/276		171/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.8	63.8	61.7	60.9	61.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	15.7	20.7	23.6	26.2	25.8	▼
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	5.1	5.3	7.5	7.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 1.1	F 2.6	F 3.7	F 1.1	F 0.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.3	M 2.8	M 3.8	F 1.5	M 1.9	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.0	—
Diploma completion rate	70.8	72.1	69.5	73.2	70.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	28.9	25.4	30.2	27.8	28.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.6	5.3	5.3	5.4	—

Queen Elizabeth [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 182				
ESL (%)	16.6	Special needs (%)	16.0	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$119,400		1.0		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		45/276		29/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	73.1	70.7	72.1	72.7	70.7	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.9	13.4	12.0	8.9	10.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	4.0	4.6	4.0	4.3	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 5.9	M 0.2	M 1.6	F 1.4	M 2.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.2	F 1.6	M 2.9	M 1.9	M 6.7	—
Courses taken per student	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.6	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	84.9	72.1	76.2	81.5	80.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	20.4	28.4	22.0	15.6	20.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	6.9	7.7	8.3	7.5	—

St. Mary's [Separate] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 374				
ESL (%)	24.8	Special needs (%)	7.4	Alt. French (%)		11.2
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$121,700		0.0		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		101/276		83/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.1	68.3	66.2	66.4	66.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	14.7	12.9	16.1	17.3	15.7	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	3.4	4.9	6.1	6.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.8	F 4.0	F 0.8	F 0.8	M 1.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.7	F 1.0	M 0.4	M 2.3	F 2.4	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.4	▲
Diploma completion rate	73.2	75.5	75.3	77.8	72.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	25.7	27.9	25.8	23.3	31.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.6	6.9	6.8	6.6	—

Lord Beaverbrook [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 564				
ESL (%)	9.3	Special needs (%)	21.4	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,200		-0.1		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		139/276		121/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.9	66.6	65.8	65.7	64.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.3	12.3	12.9	13.3	15.1	▼
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	2.7	4.0	4.5	3.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.1	M 2.0	F 2.3	F 3.6	F 1.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.4	M 5.3	M 2.7	M 1.1	F 2.3	—
Courses taken per student	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	77.6	75.8	78.6	72.0	75.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	28.7	24.6	24.7	31.8	24.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.1	6.3	5.9	6.1	—

Rundle College [Private] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 77				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	0.0	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$256,300		1.4		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		1/276		1/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	80.9	81.3	79.5	78.8	81.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	0.9	1.5	0.8	0.8	0.8	▲
School vs exam mark difference	1.4	1.9	1.6	2.5	2.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.7	F 3.5	M 0.2	F 2.6	F 3.9	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.3	M 1.7	F 1.6	M 0.5	F 1.8	—
Courses taken per student	4.9	4.8	5.1	5.1	5.1	▲
Diploma completion rate	98.5	98.6	97.5	100.0	96.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	▼
Overall rating out of 10	9.9	9.9	10.0	10.0	10.0	—

Webber [Private] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 46				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	0.0	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$226,500		1.9		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		1/276		3/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	83.7	80.6	79.6	84.0	82.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	1.0	1.1	1.6	0.6	0.4	▲
School vs exam mark difference	2.7	3.6	4.7	3.2	3.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.9	F 5.9	F 9.6	F 1.6	M 0.3	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	F 0.1	M 3.7	M 1.7	M 1.0	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.9	5.2	4.9	4.8	5.4	—
Diploma completion rate	100.0	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	3.0	5.3	5.8	7.5	▼
Overall rating out of 10	10.0	9.9	9.3	10.0	10.0	—

Master's Academy [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 15				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	2.5	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$210,000		-0.2		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		35/276		24/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.4	71.4	70.5	66.3	66.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.0	3.6	9.4	13.2	18.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	7.2	7.3	8.9	9.9	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.8	M 4.0	F 13.0	M 5.3	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.2	M 4.7	M 4.4	M 1.2	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.7	4.1	4.3	4.7	5.1	—
Diploma completion rate	95.1	97.0	92.9	97.2	100.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	5.1	0.9	11.3	5.4	3.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.9	7.3	7.6	7.7	—

Rundle College Academy [Private] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 28				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	100.0	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$207,600		-0.3		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		45/276		n/a		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	60.5	62.7	59.9	65.4	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	21.7	16.1	25.0	16.2	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	8.9	9.9	10.8	8.3	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	M 5.1	n/a	n/a	F 2.1	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 6.0	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	4.3	4.5	5.0	4.7	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	96.2	100.0	87.0	100.0	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	6.0	6.8	5.9	7.5	n/a

West Island College [Private] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 60				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	0.0	Alt. French (%)		26.9
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$386,400		-0.8		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		4/276		4/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	77.6	77.7	76.3	76.4	77.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	3.4	3.5	3.0	4.9	4.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	1.9	3.1	3.8	4.3	5.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.8	M 4.4	F 2.9	F 2.2	F 2.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 5.6	M 4.0	M 6.7	F 3.0	M 7.3	—
Courses taken per student	5.3	5.8	5.4	5.5	5.5	—
Diploma completion rate	95.2	100.0	95.0	98.3	98.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	20.3	28.8	5.3	6.8	5.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.3	10.0	9.5	9.7	9.7	—

Mountain View Academy [Private] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 15				
ESL (%)	8.7	Special needs (%)	0.0	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$156,000		-2.1		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		211/276		n/a		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	63.7	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	24.5	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	20.1	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.8	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	86.7	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.0	n/a

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 666				
ESL (%)	29.2	Special needs (%)	9.1	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$131,800		2.2		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		8/276		6/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	75.2	77.6	76.1	75.3	74.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	5.6	3.8	5.4	5.7	6.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	2.7	0.3	0.8	1.0	1.2	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.4	F 1.6	F 2.0	F 1.0	M 0.1	▲
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.7	M 0.6	M 0.7	M 1.8	F 2.3	▼
Courses taken per student	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	—
Diploma completion rate	87.4	90.0	88.6	87.3	85.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	14.4	6.5	8.5	10.1	14.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	9.3	9.1	9.0	8.9	—

Western Canada [Public] Calgary		Gr 12 Enrollment: 736				
ESL (%)	17.3	Special needs (%)	6.3	Alt. French (%)		24.5
Actual rating vs predicted based						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$252,400		0.4		2010-11	Last 5 Years	
Rank:		8/276		7/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	76.3	76.2	75.1	73.8	74.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	5.5	4.8	6.9	7.8		

CAMROSE AREA

Bawlf [Public] Bawlf		Gr 12 Enrollment: 25				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	13.7	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,800:	3.2	Rank:	148/276	11/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	70.5	72.9	73.4	73.0	70.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	7.6	2.9	5.7	3.7	6.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.3	2.9	3.0	3.5	4.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 1.3	M 5.3	M 0.9	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.6	F 6.3	M 4.9	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.5	—
Diploma completion rate	95.5	97.6	100.0	100.0	96.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	14.9	0.0	6.7	3.7	7.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.5	8.8	9.0	8.7	▲

Camrose [Public] Camrose		Gr 12 Enrollment: 290				
ESL (%)	1.6	Special needs (%)	8.2	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,900:	0.0	Rank:	58/276	151/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.6	64.7	66.4	64.0	63.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	12.1	16.3	13.8	19.9	18.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	5.1	4.8	6.0	7.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.5	F 2.1	F 4.8	M 0.2	F 0.4	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.7	F 0.6	F 3.5	M 4.1	M 4.4	▼
Courses taken per student	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.8	—
Diploma completion rate	70.9	67.3	77.4	66.5	76.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	34.8	38.2	31.2	39.2	30.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.3	6.2	5.3	5.9	—

Daysland [Public] Daysland		Gr 12 Enrollment: 29				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	14.7	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,800:	1.8	Rank:	58/276	46/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	72.3	70.6	63.5	65.0	65.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	4.3	4.4	15.6	11.1	14.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	2.8	7.2	7.2	7.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	M 8.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	M 4.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.9	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	84.6	94.6	88.6	91.4	92.6	—
Delayed advancement rate	5.5	0.0	5.5	10.3	5.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.9	6.4	6.8	7.3	—

Hay Lakes [Public] Hay Lakes		Gr 12 Enrollment: 18				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	8.6	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,500:	0.2	Rank:	69/276	135/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.7	60.0	59.7	67.9	62.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	14.7	27.0	25.0	14.5	13.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.0	12.1	8.0	10.1	6.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	M 0.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	5.0	4.4	4.5	4.2	3.9	▼
Diploma completion rate	95.2	89.5	90.5	80.0	61.1	▼
Delayed advancement rate	1.3	25.0	6.5	21.0	39.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	4.9	6.1	6.4	5.6	—

New Norway [Public] New Norway		Gr 12 Enrollment: 25				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	9.6	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,900:	1.2	Rank:	224/276	51/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.7	64.7	62.6	66.1	65.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.1	13.6	15.6	16.7	9.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.3	4.0	3.6	7.1	4.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.2	F 3.5	F 1.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 2.3	n/a	F 2.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.8	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.6	▼
Diploma completion rate	100.0	87.5	92.3	90.3	91.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	6.7	12.5	19.6	26.6	18.1	▼
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.0	7.1	6.7	7.1	—

Our Lady of Mount Pleasant [Separate] Camrose		Gr 12 Enrollment: 16				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	9.6	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,100:	-1.3	Rank:	224/276	n/a		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	50.5	n/a	67.0	56.4	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	56.0	n/a	0.0	22.2	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	17.1	n/a	0.1	11.4	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	3.9	n/a	2.1	3.6	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	76.5	n/a	83.3	80.0	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	26.7	n/a	27.2	25.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	1.1	n/a	6.9	4.6	n/a

Ryley [Public] Ryley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	19.2	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,600:	1.9	Rank:	50/276	121/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.2	69.2	67.1	60.6	70.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	4.3	13.1	16.1	27.8	9.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	3.5	1.5	7.3	5.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.6	3.0	3.3	4.4	—
Diploma completion rate	90.9	68.8	65.4	72.7	75.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	18.5	40.9	45.2	51.4	40.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.1	6.1	4.2	7.4	—

COCHRANE/BRAGG CREEK AREA

Bow Valley [Public] Cochrane		Gr 12 Enrollment: 109				
ESL (%)	2.6	Special needs (%)	11.9	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$121,400:	0.8	Rank:	50/276	36/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	72.3	72.1	68.8	69.1	70.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	5.1	5.2	7.7	7.8	7.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	1.0	4.3	2.8	3.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.4	F 2.4	F 4.1	F 0.5	M 2.1	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.9	F 6.1	F 4.5	F 6.2	M 10.1	—
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.5	3.5	3.8	4.1	—
Diploma completion rate	92.8	83.8	85.6	80.5	86.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	5.7	16.9	14.9	27.6	23.3	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.5	7.0	7.2	7.4	—

Cochrane [Public] Cochrane		Gr 12 Enrollment: 152				
ESL (%)	0.9	Special needs (%)	11.1	Alt. French (%)	14.8	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$151,200:	0.9	Rank:	29/276	24/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	73.8	72.2	67.9	69.2	70.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	5.3	7.2	14.9	10.6	9.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	4.1	5.8	5.6	6.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.0	F 3.2	M 2.5	F 2.1	F 3.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 5.2	M 5.1	M 6.8	F 5.3	M 4.3	—
Courses taken per student	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.6	—
Diploma completion rate	92.0	89.5	81.4	89.9	87.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	8.9	10.5	21.2	12.8	10.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.0	6.7	7.6	7.9	—

St. Timothy [Separate] Cochrane		Gr 12 Enrollment: 48				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	12.6	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$125,500:	1.1	Rank:	29/276	111/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.7	64.3	62.9	65.0	70.3	▲
Percentage of exams failed	18.8	12.5	6.3	12.5	5.7	▲
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	8.3	5.1	7.1	4.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 11.3	F 1.7	n/a	M 5.1	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	M 6.4	M 2.8	n/a	M 9.2	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.2	3.7	3.2	3.7	4.0	—
Diploma completion rate	60.7	84.8	73.3	82.1	97.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	39.9	19.1	32.3	21.6	6.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.7	6.6	6.4	7.7	▲

DRUMHELLER/THREE HILLS AREA

Drumheller [Public] Drumheller		Gr 12 Enrollment: 94				
ESL (%)	5.4	Special needs (%)	13.1	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,900:	-1.9	Rank:	249/276	227/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.4	61.3	62.0	56.8	58.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	20.6	25.8	22.7	33.7	33.7	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	7.2	10.2	13.4	10.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.3	M 9.1	F 4.8	M 1.1	F 2.9	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 2.6	M 6.7	M 0.5	M 9.4	F 5.3	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	4.1	3.5	4.1	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	57.4	70.5	65.1	60.4	65.9	—
Delayed advancement rate	50.3	38.0	30.3	41.3	36.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	4.5	4.8	3.4	3.8	—

J. C. Charyk Hanna [Public] Hanna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 44				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	7.0	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,800:	-1.4	Rank:	232/276	145/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.2	64.9	68.1	60.4	59.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	14.4	15.4	11.9	22.1	24.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	7.4	5.9	11.8	10.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.5	M 0.1	n/a	F 9.1	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.5	M 3.2	n/a	M 1.6	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.7	4.4	4.0	3.8	3.3	—

Archbishop Oscar Romero [Separate] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 184				
ESL (%): 7.0	Special needs (%): 4.6	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,700: 0.3		Rank: 126/276 98/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.0	64.8	65.6	65.4	63.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	18.0	17.5	14.4	12.6	17.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	4.0	4.6	4.8	4.9	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.5	M 0.5	M 0.8	M 0.8	F 0.2	▲
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.0	M 0.9	M 8.5	M 8.2	M 5.4	—
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.7	—
Diploma completion rate	80.3	82.3	82.3	85.4	84.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	16.7	23.5	19.5	15.1	14.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.4	6.7	6.8	6.3	—

Austin O'Brien [Separate] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 303				
ESL (%): 3.1	Special needs (%): 9.1	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,800: 1.7		Rank: 40/276 51/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.1	66.3	67.1	66.8	66.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.5	12.5	11.7	12.3	10.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.1	3.5	3.0	4.8	4.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.6	M 0.5	F 2.3	F 2.7	F 0.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	E	M 2.2	F 2.7	M 0.8	M 0.8	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	85.8	82.9	82.4	85.3	86.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	14.0	21.2	17.4	16.8	14.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	6.8	7.3	7.2	7.6	—

Braemar [Public] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 100				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 100.0	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,700: -5.5		Rank: 273/276 n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	58.1	56.8	57.3	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	23.4	24.8	26.0	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	6.7	8.9	8.0	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	n/a	1.0	1.0	0.7	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	n/a	47.1	8.8	10.9	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	83.9	92.5	86.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	1.9	0.1	0.0	n/a

Concordia [Private] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 42				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 0.0	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,500: -1.5		Rank: 273/276 233/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	72.8	64.6	61.2	63.7	60.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	9.0	17.7	22.9	22.1	27.4	▼
School vs exam mark difference	1.6	7.2	10.4	10.3	11.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 9.2	F 3.4	F 2.0	F 9.5	F 6.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 5.2	F 1.4	M 1.6	F 8.6	M 4.2	—
Courses taken per student	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	75.0	71.9	61.3	61.5	69.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	23.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.1	5.4	4.8	4.8	▼

Eastlen [Public] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 333				
ESL (%): 4.5	Special needs (%): 17.5	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,200: -2.2		Rank: 263/276 233/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.3	58.9	59.9	56.4	59.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	20.3	26.9	25.8	32.6	25.7	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.8	8.5	6.5	9.2	6.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.0	F 5.8	F 4.2	F 3.5	F 0.9	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.2	F 1.8	M 2.4	M 6.4	F 0.8	—
Courses taken per student	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.6	▼
Diploma completion rate	62.5	61.3	52.8	56.5	51.6	▼
Delayed advancement rate	34.3	46.6	49.9	46.7	52.1	▼
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	3.2	3.6	2.6	3.3	—

Edmonton Christian [Public] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 95				
ESL (%): 10.7	Special needs (%): 2.1	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,200: 1.7		Rank: 40/276 30/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.1	70.3	66.6	69.0	67.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	15.1	9.4	16.4	12.3	14.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	2.3	8.4	7.3	7.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.6	F 1.5	M 1.1	F 0.8	F 5.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 7.0	F 1.2	M 7.7	F 5.4	F 0.3	—
Courses taken per student	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.6	—
Diploma completion rate	79.1	86.0	91.2	89.0	93.7	▲
Delayed advancement rate	20.1	15.3	5.8	8.1	3.7	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	7.9	7.4	7.7	7.6	—

Harry Ainlay [Public] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 796				
ESL (%): 9.7	Special needs (%): 2.5	Alt. French (%): 12.2		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,500: 1.9		Rank: 24/276 20/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	71.7	70.7	70.1	70.0	69.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.3	11.1	11.5	11.6	11.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.0	4.2	5.3	5.6	4.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.5	F 4.3	F 2.4	F 4.6	M 0.1	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.8	M 2.9	M 1.0	M 1.7	M 2.4	—
Courses taken per student	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.9	▲
Diploma completion rate	80.7	81.0	83.5	82.3	81.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	16.5	16.0	15.8	17.6	15.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.5	7.9	7.7	8.0	—

Holy Trinity [Separate] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 292				
ESL (%): 10.9	Special needs (%): 6.0	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,300: 0.7		Rank: 110/276 111/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.4	63.7	62.4	63.6	63.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	19.0	18.5	22.0	19.5	17.2	▲
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	5.1	6.1	5.2	6.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 2.7	F 2.7	M 0.4	F 0.9	F 0.1	▲
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.9	M 2.0	M 5.3	M 1.4	M 5.5	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.2	—
Diploma completion rate	79.7	80.1	82.1	77.6	80.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	21.9	23.1	16.8	23.1	18.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.0	6.1	6.5	6.5	—

J H Picard [Separate] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 68				
ESL (%): 1.7	Special needs (%): 2.8	Alt. French (%): 100.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,200: 1.4		Rank: 50/276 30/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.4	70.2	62.5	62.5	64.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.8	10.0	11.7	23.0	16.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.2	6.7	21.3	8.9	9.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 5.6	F 1.3	F 0.8	F 1.6	M 3.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.6	F 3.5	F 1.8	M 4.2	M 1.1	—
Courses taken per student	5.5	5.6	5.4	5.7	5.1	—
Diploma completion rate	87.8	89.5	88.0	87.1	100.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	11.0	9.2	15.8	13.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.4	6.9	7.0	7.3	—

J Percy Page [Public] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 353				
ESL (%): 17.1	Special needs (%): 14.1	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: -2.1		Rank: 257/276 232/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.2	59.8	58.5	59.9	57.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	22.7	26.7	29.7	27.2	30.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	6.6	6.4	5.8	6.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.6	F 1.1	M 0.7	F 1.4	M 0.9	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.7	M 3.0	M 4.7	F 2.6	M 6.4	—
Courses taken per student	3.2	3.0	3.3	3.6	3.3	—
Diploma completion rate	53.1	50.8	52.7	57.3	53.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	55.5	55.5	50.4	44.5	47.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	3.3	3.9	4.3	3.6	—

Jasper Place [Public] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 740				
ESL (%): 10.1	Special needs (%): 7.7	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,600: -0.6		Rank: 182/276 178/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.4	67.0	64.4	63.0	62.5	▼
Percentage of exams failed	16.9	14.3	18.3	20.9	22.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	4.1	6.5	7.5	8.8	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.1	F 2.4	F 2.0	F 3.0	F 3.2	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.0	M 1.1	M 2.7	M 0.2	M 1.0	—
Courses taken per student	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	▲
Diploma completion rate	57.3	70.9	69.0	70.0	72.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	46.1	31.8	33.8	31.6	28.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	6.0	5.7	5.4	5.4	—

Louis St. Laurent [Separate] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 238				
ESL (%): 9.8	Special needs (%): 5.4	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,400: 0.0		Rank: 182/276 135/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.5	66.6	64.3	62.4	63.5	▼
Percentage of exams failed	13.2	18.3	20.8	24.5	22.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	6.5	7.7	8.9	8.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.8	M 1.9	F 1.5	F 3.9	F 5.6	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.4	M 3.4	F 4.1	M 2.0	M 3.5	—
Courses taken per student	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	69.7	71.4	74.8	74.3	82.6	▲
Delayed advancement rate	27.9	31.9	28.1	28.3	17.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.1	6.1	5.4	6.1	—

M. E. LaZerte [Public] Edmonton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 641				
ESL (%): 12.8	Special needs (%): 7.5	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,900: -0.3		Rank: 182/276 189/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.4	63.0	62.3	60.6	59.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.8	20.1	22.3	24.8	28.2	▼
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	7.2	8.8	9.6	10.7	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.9	M 0.1	F 0.7	F 3.0	F 2.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.9	M 2.1	M 2.8	F 1.2	M 1.6	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.6	4.1	4.0	4.4	▲

St. Francis Xavier [Separate] Edmonton Gr 12 Enrollment: 291
 ESL (%): 1.0 Special needs (%): 2.5 Alt. French (%): 6.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,500: 0.8 Rank: 77/276 51/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.1	68.6	64.8	66.1	64.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	12.2	11.2	15.3	14.3	18.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	3.4	3.8	4.2	5.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.0	F 2.0	F 4.0	F 0.2	F 3.1	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 0.2	F 2.9	F 0.6	F 0.1	M 1.5	—
Courses taken per student	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	83.5	83.8	85.8	90.5	89.8	▲
Delayed advancement rate	15.3	15.6	12.9	8.7	9.3	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.3	7.0	7.7	7.0	—

Hilltop [Public] Whitecourt Gr 12 Enrollment: 161
 ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 7.2 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,100: -0.6 Rank: 193/276 202/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.3	63.8	60.9	59.9	60.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.1	19.0	22.6	25.3	23.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	6.7	10.0	8.4	6.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.5	M 1.5	F 1.7	E	F 0.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 7.2	M 0.7	F 1.2	F 0.5	M 0.4	—
Courses taken per student	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	72.7	65.5	74.1	70.5	76.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	33.7	43.6	44.6	40.8	28.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.9	4.8	4.7	5.3	—

Livingstone [Public] Lundbreck Gr 12 Enrollment: 24
 ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): 13.4 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,100: 3.0 Rank: 14/276 73/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.1	72.1	68.0	65.8	69.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	15.9	7.7	12.8	12.6	4.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	1.8	6.9	5.1	4.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	2.9	3.3	4.3	3.8	4.3	▲
Diploma completion rate	55.6	85.0	84.0	80.0	91.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	56.9	22.0	13.1	31.5	14.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	7.4	7.2	6.6	8.4	—

Strathcona [Public] Edmonton Gr 12 Enrollment: 526
 ESL (%): 4.6 Special needs (%): 3.6 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$111,200: 1.1 Rank: 45/276 20/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	71.6	70.5	68.6	69.9	67.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.9	9.4	11.3	10.6	14.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.6	4.4	5.5	5.9	6.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.8	F 2.3	F 3.6	F 2.2	F 2.4	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.9	F 0.3	M 1.5	M 2.8	M 2.5	—
Courses taken per student	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.9	4.7	▲
Diploma completion rate	84.8	85.6	86.1	88.8	81.9	—
Delayed advancement rate	17.1	12.1	13.2	8.4	16.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.9	7.6	8.1	7.5	—

Holy Redeemer [Separate] Edson Gr 12 Enrollment: 56
 ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 14.5 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,300: -1.4 Rank: 224/276 135/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.5	63.9	69.5	66.3	61.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	9.8	15.5	7.3	12.5	22.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	5.5	2.3	7.4	10.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.3	F 0.9	n/a	M 1.7	M 1.5	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 7.9	n/a	n/a	F 9.8	M 3.2	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.9	3.2	—
Diploma completion rate	77.5	79.4	81.6	89.8	76.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	22.6	30.8	24.3	10.8	34.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.6	7.5	6.7	4.6	—

Matthew Halton [Public] Pincher Creek Gr 12 Enrollment: 53
 ESL (%): 1.8 Special needs (%): 14.4 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$44,000: 0.8 Rank: 133/276 83/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.3	69.2	66.6	65.4	63.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.2	5.3	11.3	11.6	16.3	▼
School vs exam mark difference	3.1	2.4	3.4	3.4	4.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 2.5	M 3.1	n/a	F 3.9	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 1.1	M 2.7	n/a	F 0.8	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.1	3.6	3.2	3.3	—
Diploma completion rate	82.5	76.5	92.5	75.0	83.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	23.5	36.4	16.7	27.4	18.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.5	7.3	6.2	6.2	—

Victoria [Public] Edmonton Gr 12 Enrollment: 281
 ESL (%): 2.5 Special needs (%): 3.6 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,800: -1.3 Rank: 158/276 183/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.2	66.8	65.3	61.6	61.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	14.8	14.6	18.1	22.6	23.6	▼
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	6.2	6.8	10.5	10.1	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.1	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 1.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.4	M 5.4	M 0.7	M 0.8	M 8.9	—
Courses taken per student	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	58.2	73.5	73.7	75.1	68.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	49.0	26.0	25.8	24.6	30.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	6.0	6.0	5.3	4.5	—

Mayerthorpe [Public] Mayerthorpe Gr 12 Enrollment: 34
 ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 10.3 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,300: 0.3 Rank: 158/276 171/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.8	60.2	57.9	61.6	61.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.6	22.3	31.1	17.4	24.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.1	4.2	6.6	4.2	8.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.6	F 1.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 11.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.3	2.9	4.5	3.8	3.8	—
Diploma completion rate	92.6	77.8	95.0	97.4	94.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	27.2	31.4	25.2	12.5	5.9	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.6	5.6	6.7	5.8	—

St. Michael's [Separate] Pincher Creek Gr 12 Enrollment: 22
 ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): 15.5 Alt. French (%): 20.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,000: 0.7 Rank: 139/276 n/a

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	70.3	70.5	n/a	69.7	68.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	12.7	15.9	n/a	9.8	15.1	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	4.2	n/a	6.7	7.3	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 1.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	M 9.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.0	4.0	n/a	3.8	4.2	n/a
Diploma completion rate	85.7	69.2	n/a	73.3	66.7	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	29.0	52.0	n/a	51.1	51.7	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.0	n/a	6.6	6.1	n/a

FORT McMURRAY AREA

Vimy Ridge [Public] Edmonton Gr 12 Enrollment: 105
 ESL (%): 1.7 Special needs (%): 5.3 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$93,300: -0.2 Rank: 148/276 159/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.2	64.1	62.2	61.4	62.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	13.5	17.1	20.2	25.1	20.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.1	7.0	7.6	9.9	9.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.3	F 4.8	F 1.9	F 5.2	F 5.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.7	M 0.8	M 3.3	M 4.2	F 10.5	—
Courses taken per student	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.5	—
Diploma completion rate	79.6	73.4	71.9	66.0	83.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	21.6	29.8	35.7	38.0	24.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.9	5.8	4.8	5.9	—

Parkland [Public] Edson Gr 12 Enrollment: 139
 ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 10.0 Alt. French (%): 6.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,300: -0.3 Rank: 169/276 141/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.5	64.2	64.0	66.3	62.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	13.7	18.6	15.8	11.5	21.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.7	5.6	2.5	3.4	6.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.7	F 0.2	M 6.2	M 0.2	M 0.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.8	F 3.8	M 4.7	M 1.6	M 2.9	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.4	▼
Diploma completion rate	71.1	85.2	76.0	81.7	87.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	37.3	20.8	22.9	20.3	16.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.0	6.0	6.7	5.6	—

Father Patrick Mercredi [Separate] Fort McMurray Gr 12 Enrollment: 288
 ESL (%): 5.6 Special needs (%): 14.4 Alt. French (%): 5.8
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$146,100: -1.6 Rank: 193/276 189/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.6	64.3	63.0	61.2	60.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	15.1	15.3	19.4	21.1	23.1	▼
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	5.4	6.9	10.3	9.7	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.2	F 0.1	F 1.4	F 3.2	M 1.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.0	M 1.6	M 6.9	F 6.5	M 5.0	—
Courses taken per student	3.5	3.3	3.7	3.2	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	75.2	69.9	66.1	73.3	84.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	29.5	23.0	35.9	21.9	13.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.5	5.3	4.6	5.3	—

W P Wagner [Public] Edmonton Gr 12 Enrollment: 514
 ESL (%): 1.5 Special needs (%): 6.4 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,700: 0.9 Rank: 92/276 73/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.3	68.5	66.4	65.9	65.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.4	10.2	12.8	14.9	15.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	3.7	5.1	5.2	7.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.6	F 1.8	F 3.3	F 4.5	F 1.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.9	M 3.1	F 1.5	M 0.1	M 3.2	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.2	—
Diploma completion rate	78.7	81.4	80.0	76.9	80.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	25.3	19.8	18.7	22.2	18.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.1	7.0	6.6	6.7	—

St. Joseph [Separate] Whitecourt Gr 12 Enrollment: 48
 ESL (%): 1.3 Special needs (%): 8.4 Alt. French (%): 10.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,400: -0.3 Rank: 169/276 159/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.4	63.6	63.9	59.8	62.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.1	16.5	11.5	28.3	18.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	7.8	7.3	15.4	9.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 7.0	M 2.4	n/a	n/a	M 2.1	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 12.3	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.3	3.6	3.2	3.5	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	86.5	87.5	90.6	94.3	93.6	▲
Delayed advancement rate	12.9	18.7	9.1	0.0	1.4	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	5.6	6.1	4.2	5.6	—

Fort McMurray [Public] Fort McMurray Gr 12 Enrollment: 196
 ESL (%): 9.8 Special needs (%): 18.9 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$133,600: -2.4 Rank: 236/276 222/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.8	65.0	64.8	60.7	61.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	16.0	16.5	16.1	20.9	18.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	1.3	5.4	7.6	6.5	—
English						

GRANDE PRAIRIE AREA

Beaverlodge [Public] Beaverlodge			Gr 12 Enrollment: 162			
ESL (%)	1.7	Special needs (%)	6.2	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$117,800: -2.3 Rank: 239/276 216/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	60.7	63.1	63.4	62.5	59.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	24.2	21.1	19.7	20.4	24.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	10.5	9.3	9.2	8.3	10.0	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.9	F 0.5	M 1.7	F 3.3	M 1.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.4	M 13.0	M 10.4	F 3.5	F 3.9	—
Courses taken per student	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.9	▼
Diploma completion rate	80.6	81.5	85.0	79.1	77.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	27.9	25.3	30.0	33.2	34.0	▼
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	4.7	5.2	4.9	4.2	—

E W Pratt [Public] High Prairie			Gr 12 Enrollment: 65			
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	15.6	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,700: -1.5 Rank: 245/276 239/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	62.8	55.4	56.1	60.3	60.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	20.4	37.1	36.5	23.1	20.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.6	9.7	7.2	3.8	4.2	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 3.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	2.9	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	▼
Diploma completion rate	64.0	43.8	34.2	44.7	63.6	—
Delayed advancement rate	47.5	66.4	69.7	70.1	37.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	0.9	1.6	3.2	4.0	—

Grande Prairie [Public] Grande Prairie			Gr 12 Enrollment: 624			
ESL (%)	2.1	Special needs (%)	6.2	Alt. French (%)	3.3	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$96,800: -2.7 Rank: 260/276 222/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.6	60.6	62.2	61.8	58.7	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.7	23.5	20.1	20.9	28.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	5.4	6.4	6.6	9.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.6	E M 0.6	M 2.5	M 1.9	—	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.6	M 4.4	M 0.1	M 6.4	F 3.0	—
Courses taken per student	3.2	2.9	3.1	3.2	2.9	—
Diploma completion rate	62.2	61.1	63.5	71.2	62.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	46.0	50.2	42.5	40.1	45.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	3.9	5.0	4.7	3.5	—

Hillside [Public] Valleyview			Gr 12 Enrollment: 54			
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	15.4	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,200: -0.3 Rank: 161/276 222/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.1	60.0	65.4	59.5	61.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	6.7	22.4	19.5	27.6	20.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.4	7.9	6.9	10.2	6.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 7.5	n/a	F 6.7	n/a	F 1.3	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.9	n/a	M 12.0	n/a	M 2.4	n/a
Courses taken per student	2.6	3.1	3.9	4.3	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	58.3	57.1	70.2	95.3	92.3	▲
Delayed advancement rate	66.3	56.4	54.6	32.5	30.6	▲
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	3.3	5.1	5.1	5.7	—

Peace Wapiti Academy [Public] Grande Prairie			Gr 12 Enrollment: 182			
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	7.1	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,900: -2.3 Rank: 248/276 227/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	59.6	59.4	59.0	55.7	56.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	23.3	28.6	25.0	34.8	29.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	4.8	8.2	10.7	10.7	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.1	F 5.2	M 1.4	M 1.4	F 3.3	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 5.4	M 2.1	M 3.9	M 6.9	M 3.9	—
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	79.4	74.0	72.6	62.4	67.2	▼
Delayed advancement rate	27.0	28.1	28.0	36.3	34.0	▼
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	4.5	4.7	3.2	3.9	—

Ridgevalley [Public] Crooked Creek			Gr 12 Enrollment: 15			
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	5.6	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,800: -2.6 Rank: 268/276 n/a						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	53.2	51.0	n/a	56.0	61.0	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	43.2	45.7	n/a	34.5	30.4	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	19.0	21.8	n/a	14.2	13.8	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.8	n/a	2.5	3.1	n/a
Diploma completion rate	90.0	78.9	n/a	62.5	70.0	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	32.1	30.7	n/a	49.2	51.6	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	2.2	1.2	n/a	1.9	2.8	n/a

Sexsmith [Public] Sexsmith			Gr 12 Enrollment: 125			
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	7.5	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$173,000: -3.2 Rank: 243/276 202/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.4	67.6	61.2	61.7	58.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	18.3	10.0	22.0	19.2	26.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.4	4.4	5.7	5.9	10.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.5	F 16.6	F 1.6	M 0.7	F 4.4	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.4	n/a	F 3.6	M 5.8	M 1.9	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.0	3.0	3.1	2.8	3.2	—
Diploma completion rate	71.1	69.2	74.7	70.9	72.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	41.6	39.3	35.6	36.1	37.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.8	5.1	4.7	4.1	—

Spirit River Academy [Public] Spirit River			Gr 12 Enrollment: 54			
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	10.0	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$94,700: -0.6 Rank: 179/276 194/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.9	64.3	55.0	62.6	58.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	19.5	22.9	32.7	13.6	22.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.2	6.0	8.8	8.3	5.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 4.4	F 4.4	n/a	F 3.0	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.6	F 8.3	n/a	F 11.4	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.5	3.5	3.6	4.2	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	73.3	79.2	80.4	91.3	86.0	▲
Delayed advancement rate	25.0	27.0	36.4	16.6	29.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	4.9	3.9	6.2	5.5	—

St. Andrew's [Separate] High Prairie			Gr 12 Enrollment: 31			
ESL (%)	1.5	Special needs (%)	13.3	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,000: -0.2 Rank: 199/276 198/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.9	64.7	64.9	60.3	59.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	25.7	10.2	13.6	24.5	26.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.3	7.4	2.7	5.9	8.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.7	2.6	2.8	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	66.7	78.6	83.3	84.6	92.9	▲
Delayed advancement rate	55.0	30.6	54.8	31.9	17.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	5.9	5.6	4.8	5.2	—

St. Joseph [Separate] Grande Prairie			Gr 12 Enrollment: 250			
ESL (%)	2.6	Special needs (%)	9.3	Alt. French (%)	6.5	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$108,400: -1.3 Rank: 220/276 194/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.0	63.2	58.4	60.6	59.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	23.4	18.6	30.1	25.4	26.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	5.7	8.7	8.6	7.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.0	M 0.6	M 2.1	F 4.1	M 1.3	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.6	M 5.5	F 0.3	F 0.1	M 3.1	—
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8	—
Diploma completion rate	75.0	75.1	70.4	72.6	71.3	▼
Delayed advancement rate	22.5	28.9	30.1	30.0	31.2	▼
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.6	4.9	5.1	5.1	—

HIGH LEVEL/FORT VERMILION AREA

High Level [Public] High Level			Gr 12 Enrollment: 70			
ESL (%)	2.8	Special needs (%)	16.1	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$44,000: -0.7 Rank: 220/276 212/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.2	63.6	61.7	60.5	62.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	16.9	17.0	18.5	24.8	21.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	7.4	8.7	11.6	9.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.4	M 12.5	F 1.3	M 2.4	F 6.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	M 12.9	F 5.0	M 4.7	F 5.8	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.1	3.4	2.8	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	80.5	69.8	80.0	54.9	73.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	27.7	30.6	20.1	51.6	33.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	4.4	5.5	3.5	4.7	—

La Crete [Public] La Crete			Gr 12 Enrollment: 56			
ESL (%)	4.1	Special needs (%)	9.8	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,600: 0.0 Rank: 193/276 121/243						
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.5	68.1	64.8	64.4	61.2	▼
Percentage of exams failed	4.8	8.7	14.7	11.9	23.0	▼
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	3.8	5.8	6.4	8.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 12.8	F 1.4	F 4.9	F 0.5	F 0.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 8.0	M 3.5	F 4.3	F 2.8	F 13.4	—
Courses taken per student	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.3	—
Diploma completion rate	87.0	100.0	96.2	93.5	96.4	—

Grande Cache [Public] Grande Cache		Gr 12 Enrollment: 58				
ESL (%)	2.5	Special needs (%)	14.5	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,200:		Rank: 224/276		222/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.0	60.6	61.0	61.9	58.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	24.1	15.0	30.3	19.1	26.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	9.2	8.2	15.7	7.8	10.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 15.6	F 3.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 6.5	M 1.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.2	3.3	3.4	2.7	3.1	—
Diploma completion rate	79.5	75.8	80.7	74.1	85.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	30.3	n/a	20.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	5.5	3.5	4.6	4.6	—

Ponoka [Public] Ponoka		Gr 12 Enrollment: 134				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	10.0	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,700:		Rank: 203/276		151/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.8	66.2	60.5	63.5	61.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	9.6	12.3	24.4	17.2	20.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	4.5	6.6	8.5	9.8	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 7.8	F 4.7	F 0.7	M 0.9	M 5.4	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.6	F 2.6	F 1.6	F 0.9	M 13.1	—
Courses taken per student	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.8	—
Diploma completion rate	73.5	80.2	81.1	83.1	81.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	21.9	29.2	27.0	20.0	22.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.0	5.5	6.0	5.1	—

John Maland [Public] Devon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 104				
ESL (%)	2.2	Special needs (%)	12.5	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,200:		Rank: 95/276		65/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.8	66.3	65.9	67.8	65.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.8	12.0	16.2	10.5	15.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.1	4.1	5.2	6.0	5.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 4.4	F 0.5	F 5.1	F 0.6	M 1.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 0.3	M 2.5	F 3.0	F 0.9	F 7.7	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.7	4.1	4.1	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	92.9	83.9	86.6	86.4	89.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	11.6	16.2	17.1	18.1	19.9	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.8	6.8	7.4	6.7	—

Harry Collinge [Public] Hinton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 106				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	10.0	Alt. French (%)		10.6
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,800:		Rank: 239/276		217/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.2	60.6	61.0	61.3	56.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	19.3	22.0	26.1	23.8	33.7	—
School vs exam mark difference	10.9	11.1	9.7	9.4	14.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 7.2	F 6.6	M 4.1	F 4.4	F 0.1	▲
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.6	M 8.0	M 17.8	F 6.4	F 5.7	—
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	85.6	80.6	85.6	79.2	85.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	23.7	21.8	14.9	21.6	14.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.2	—

Rimby [Public] Rimby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 68				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	10.8	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,600:		Rank: 71/276		78/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.9	68.5	66.6	64.6	63.0	▼
Percentage of exams failed	8.0	8.9	13.5	13.3	15.0	▼
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	5.0	6.2	7.4	9.1	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 5.6	F 7.1	F 3.2	F 2.0	F 0.7	▲
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 4.6	F 1.8	F 7.4	M 4.3	M 4.9	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.7	4.1	3.7	4.2	—
Diploma completion rate	79.4	86.6	89.3	91.8	95.5	▲
Delayed advancement rate	30.3	11.9	15.2	7.7	1.2	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.9	6.9	6.7	7.1	—

Leduc [Public] Leduc		Gr 12 Enrollment: 329				
ESL (%)	1.5	Special needs (%)	5.7	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700:		Rank: 126/276		98/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.9	69.0	67.6	66.8	66.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.3	8.3	9.8	13.5	12.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	2.1	3.0	3.5	4.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.4	F 0.7	M 1.0	M 1.9	F 3.1	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.5	M 1.2	M 3.3	M 0.8	F 2.0	—
Courses taken per student	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	74.7	76.3	78.1	73.7	76.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	35.9	30.9	26.6	30.7	28.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.7	6.7	6.2	6.3	—

Jasper [Public] Jasper		Gr 12 Enrollment: 40				
ESL (%)	9.7	Special needs (%)	11.3	Alt. French (%)		13.9
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,300:		Rank: 148/276		65/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	73.1	66.2	67.0	67.0	66.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	6.6	10.3	11.6	7.6	12.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.3	5.5	4.9	7.6	7.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 5.5	F 4.1	F 2.4	F 4.3	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.9	F 1.9	M 9.8	F 0.7	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.4	▼
Diploma completion rate	95.5	93.0	85.0	84.1	81.6	▼
Delayed advancement rate	23.7	17.2	16.1	5.9	21.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	6.7	6.9	7.0	6.3	—

St. Augustine [Separate] Ponoka		Gr 12 Enrollment: 31				
ESL (%)	5.7	Special needs (%)	9.8	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,800:		Rank: 161/276		n/a		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	58.1	63.0	60.2	63.8	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	32.4	18.4	29.4	14.6	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	7.7	11.0	9.9	10.1	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.8	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	66.7	47.6	58.6	80.0	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	37.3	49.2	41.9	21.7	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	3.5	4.1	3.8	5.7	n/a

Thorsby [Public] Thorsby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 33				
ESL (%)	3.4	Special needs (%)	14.6	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,800:		Rank: 245/276		83/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	71.6	67.0	67.8	66.6	58.3	▼
Percentage of exams failed	3.0	10.4	7.9	7.3	26.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	5.2	4.7	4.6	14.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 1.3	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 8.2	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.4	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.6	▼
Diploma completion rate	79.2	81.8	79.2	81.8	79.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	30.1	21.1	12.9	18.6	21.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.8	7.6	6.9	4.0	—

LACOMBE/PONOKA AREA

Central Alberta Christian [Private] Lacombe		Gr 12 Enrollment: 54				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	n/a	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,900:		Rank: 148/276		89/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.7	63.4	65.2	61.7	59.7	—
Percentage of exams failed	13.7	17.9	13.1	18.2	25.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	9.7	9.8	10.0	10.7	12.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.9	F 5.9	M 1.6	F 5.3	M 3.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 1.9	F 0.7	M 5.7	F 5.7	M 6.8	▼
Courses taken per student	4.4	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.2	—
Diploma completion rate	100.0	98.1	94.8	97.7	96.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	4.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.4	7.1	6.6	5.9	—

LEUDUC AREA

Beaumont [Public] Beaumont		Gr 12 Enrollment: 218				
ESL (%)	1.0	Special needs (%)	12.5	Alt. French (%)		18.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$106,400:		Rank: 29/276		30/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	70.1	66.4	66.9	69.7	68.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	7.8	9.2	8.6	7.0	9.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.0	2.9	3.4	3.3	3.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.1	F 2.4	F 4.0	F 0.3	F 0.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.6	M 5.7	M 2.2	M 2.3	F 0.4	▲
Courses taken per student	4.2	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	85.9	78.5	85.0	86.2	84.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	17.7	19.0	17.0	12.7	14.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.7	7.3	8.1	7.9	—

LETHBRIDGE AREA

Cardston [Public] Cardston		Gr 12 Enrollment: 137				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	17.3	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$39,300:		Rank: 220/276		121/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.8	67.4	65.8	66.8	63.0	—

Coalhurst [Public] Coalhurst			Gr 12 Enrollment: 26			
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	28.6	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,500:	2.5	Rank:	24/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	111/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	58.8	65.9	69.0	72.0	72.7	▲
Percentage of exams failed	32.6	10.1	8.7	1.5	4.7	▲
School vs exam mark difference	11.6	5.7	6.5	3.7	4.5	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.3	4.0	3.5	2.9	4.0	—
Diploma completion rate	71.4	82.6	81.3	62.5	80.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	35.3	10.4	27.4	42.0	15.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	6.7	6.7	6.6	8.0	▲

Erie Rivers [Public] Milk River			Gr 12 Enrollment: 17			
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	19.1	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,200:	1.2	Rank:	95/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	89/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.2	66.1	66.9	61.7	63.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.3	7.9	11.7	23.5	22.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.5	2.9	4.6	10.5	8.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.0	3.8	3.9	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	94.7	81.3	96.3	94.7	94.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	17.9	18.8	0.0	0.0	16.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.6	7.6	5.9	6.7	—

Immanuel Christian [Private] Lethbridge			Gr 12 Enrollment: 37			
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	8.8	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,500:	1.8	Rank:	45/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	30/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.2	66.0	72.8	71.5	69.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.4	13.9	6.4	4.5	9.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.6	6.7	3.4	5.9	7.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.8	n/a	F 1.2	n/a	M 2.0	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 8.2	n/a	M 11.3	n/a	M 10.8	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.8	4.0	4.5	3.9	4.4	—
Diploma completion rate	86.8	92.9	92.2	95.0	91.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	14.8	7.1	12.0	13.8	15.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.8	8.4	8.0	7.5	—

Kate Andrews [Public] Coaldale			Gr 12 Enrollment: 108			
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	18.2	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,400:	1.7	Rank:	101/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	117/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	73.8	78.3	74.5	72.0	69.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	5.3	1.3	4.4	3.8	7.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	0.6	2.0	4.0	4.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 2.0	F 4.7	M 8.1	F 1.1	F 3.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.0	M 6.9	M 6.4	M 1.4	M 2.2	—
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	▼
Diploma completion rate	90.0	89.7	94.2	90.8	86.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	17.6	9.2	5.2	9.5	14.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	8.6	8.0	8.1	7.4	—

Lethbridge Collegiate [Public] Lethbridge			Gr 12 Enrollment: 310			
ESL (%)	4.5	Special needs (%)	17.8	Alt. French (%)	9.4	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,900:	0.4	Rank:	121/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	111/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.5	66.4	66.9	65.6	66.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	12.7	14.1	14.7	14.6	15.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.2	5.6	6.8	7.7	6.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.9	F 2.0	M 3.0	F 0.8	M 0.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 5.1	M 3.6	M 4.4	M 3.4	M 3.7	—
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	79.4	74.8	75.6	77.8	80.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	30.1	34.4	29.9	23.9	23.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.1	6.4	6.5	6.4	—

Magrath [Public] Magrath			Gr 12 Enrollment: 59			
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	12.1	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,300:	0.8	Rank:	101/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	36/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.7	73.5	65.4	69.1	67.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.2	7.9	13.7	7.8	16.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.6	4.8	9.6	7.4	9.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.9	F 4.4	F 3.9	M 6.4	M 7.2	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.1	F 1.4	F 3.4	F 1.4	M 7.8	—
Courses taken per student	4.7	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.0	▼
Diploma completion rate	98.0	85.4	89.6	89.5	93.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	2.1	21.0	5.6	7.4	5.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.7	7.0	7.4	6.6	▼

Raymond [Public] Raymond			Gr 12 Enrollment: 69			
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	8.5	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300:	1.6	Rank:	50/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	89/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.1	66.0	65.1	66.3	68.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.2	13.2	14.9	12.9	9.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.9	10.4	11.2	10.8	10.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 9.0	M 0.2	F 2.8	F 0.4	F 2.4	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.4	F 6.3	M 2.8	M 1.4	M 3.4	—
Courses taken per student	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	81.3	76.6	86.2	81.4	92.6	—
Delayed advancement rate	19.9	28.5	16.6	20.8	7.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.1	6.3	6.7	7.4	—

Stirling [Public] Stirling			Gr 12 Enrollment: 15			
ESL (%)	3.2	Special needs (%)	21.7	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,300:	0.2	Rank:	158/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	183/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.9	53.6	63.6	73.4	64.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	28.9	47.4	18.4	4.7	14.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	12.1	17.7	8.8	4.5	9.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 6.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.3	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.0	—
Diploma completion rate	78.9	94.1	75.0	93.3	93.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	24.5	2.4	29.2	8.3	5.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	2.6	5.5	8.3	5.8	—

Winston Churchill [Public] Lethbridge			Gr 12 Enrollment: 227			
ESL (%)	3.6	Special needs (%)	16.4	Alt. French (%)	n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,600:	0.2	Rank:	148/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	103/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.0	70.0	66.6	65.4	63.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.6	8.2	11.0	15.3	18.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	2.8	3.0	4.5	5.0	6.0	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	M 1.4	F 4.3	M 0.4	F 6.0	M 5.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.9	M 0.7	M 3.2	M 7.4	F 0.1	—
Courses taken per student	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.7	▲
Diploma completion rate	80.1	76.8	77.0	78.8	81.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	22.6	24.4	25.6	26.1	22.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.8	6.6	5.8	5.9	▼

OKOTOKS AREA

Foothills [Public] Okotoks			Gr 12 Enrollment: 299			
ESL (%)	1.2	Special needs (%)	10.7	Alt. French (%)	2.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,300:	0.3	Rank:	110/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	103/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.7	67.7	65.7	63.7	65.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.2	11.2	14.4	14.2	14.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	5.2	3.9	5.8	6.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.5	M 1.0	F 0.1	M 1.0	F 0.7	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.1	F 3.3	M 0.6	M 5.5	M 0.3	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	77.1	79.1	81.6	83.2	82.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	25.4	27.4	16.4	19.5	20.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.2	6.7	6.0	6.5	—

Holy Trinity Academy [Separate] Okotoks			Gr 12 Enrollment: 228			
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	10.5	Alt. French (%)	6.3	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,400:	1.9	Rank:	21/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	13/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	70.4	69.9	68.7	68.6	69.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	7.8	8.6	8.3	8.1	7.7	▲
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	4.9	4.9	5.6	6.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 1.1	F 6.9	M 2.1	F 1.3	F 5.9	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.9	M 1.6	M 0.9	F 1.4	F 0.6	▲
Courses taken per student	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.5	—
Diploma completion rate	93.9	94.2	93.6	96.3	94.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	5.9	8.7	1.8	0.5	2.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.8	8.1	8.2	8.1	—

Oilfields [Public] Black Diamond			Gr 12 Enrollment: 55			
ESL (%)	4.2	Special needs (%)	15.2	Alt. French (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,700:	-0.1	Rank:	148/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	178/243	
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	62.7	64.0	61.9	67.6	64.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	22.2	14.2	21.7	8.4	13.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	5.7	7.5	4.3	9.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.1	M 0.9	n/a	M 2.2	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 8.4	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.2	2.9	3.2	3.2	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	64.9	73.6	84.4	88.4	78.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	46.6	36.2	16.7	14.3	23.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	5.1	5.3	6.7	5.9	▲

Strathcona-Tweedsmuir [Private] Okotoks			Gr 12 Enrollment: 68		
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	0.0	Alt. French (%)	0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$367,000:	-0.8	Rank:	5/276	2010-11 Last 5 Years	5/243
Academic Performance	2007	2008			

Olds [Public] Olds		Gr 12 Enrollment: 165				
ESL (%): 3.1	Special needs (%): 6.8	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 21/276		24/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	69.2	70.9	71.3	68.1	71.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.2	3.7	4.2	5.4	3.1	▲
School vs exam mark difference	3.2	2.7	1.9	2.5	2.5	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.2	F 3.0	F 1.6	M 4.0	M 1.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 0.7	F 1.4	F 1.0	F 1.8	M 0.1	—
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.4	3.8	—
Diploma completion rate	86.7	85.5	94.6	86.6	90.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	17.1	22.6	17.2	21.3	17.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.6	8.2	7.1	8.1	—

Glenmary [Separate] Peace River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 70				
ESL (%): 3.1	Special needs (%): 21.8	Alt. French (%): 15.3		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 169/276		212/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	61.6	59.0	58.4	60.8	62.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	21.8	26.9	27.6	21.6	18.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	12.4	11.5	12.9	9.2	8.0	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.9	M 0.7	F 2.2	M 1.0	F 4.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.3	M 11.0	M 0.5	F 5.5	M 1.6	—
Courses taken per student	4.2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.7	—
Diploma completion rate	74.0	58.7	74.1	75.3	78.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	18.2	52.5	28.7	23.8	23.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	3.2	4.8	5.1	5.6	—

Picture Butte [Public] Picture Butte		Gr 12 Enrollment: 38				
ESL (%): 6.3	Special needs (%): 22.0	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 16/276		46/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	67.7	69.6	66.0	70.5	73.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	5.2	7.0	13.6	5.1	3.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	5.1	5.2	5.5	3.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 5.6	F 6.2	n/a	n/a	M 4.8	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.6	M 5.3	n/a	n/a	M 1.3	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.5	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	95.3	84.4	69.4	93.5	88.9	—
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	16.7	27.8	1.2	4.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.0	6.0	7.8	8.3	—

Olds Koinonia [Public] Olds		Gr 12 Enrollment: 23				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 4.5	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 64/276		78/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	68.3	68.2	62.3	64.1	67.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	16.9	12.4	15.5	20.3	18.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.8	4.2	9.9	7.6	8.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 2.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	F 6.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.9	4.3	4.0	3.7	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	93.8	100.0	95.2	95.0	100.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	7.4	4.8	5.0	3.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.6	6.3	6.2	7.2	—

Grimshaw [Public] Grimshaw		Gr 12 Enrollment: 36				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 8.3	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 260/276		237/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	58.2	55.5	54.1	58.0	55.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	37.5	40.6	36.8	32.4	33.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	10.8	11.6	12.2	8.3	13.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 11.1	n/a	F 8.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.8	n/a	F 1.7	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.1	2.7	3.0	3.3	—
Diploma completion rate	68.2	71.4	85.0	60.0	77.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	65.2	50.3	44.9	39.9	24.8	▲
Overall rating out of 10	3.1	2.1	2.4	3.2	3.5	—

Willow Creek [Public] Claresholm		Gr 12 Enrollment: 90				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 15.4	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 203/276		151/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	69.2	63.9	61.9	63.5	63.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.4	13.9	18.8	18.0	20.6	▼
School vs exam mark difference	6.5	6.5	7.4	9.3	8.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.0	M 0.8	F 0.9	M 1.9	M 2.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.8	F 0.4	F 5.0	F 2.9	M 1.3	—
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.2	▼
Diploma completion rate	77.2	76.9	78.6	79.5	73.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	27.2	22.8	27.2	20.8	27.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.2	5.8	5.3	5.1	▼

RED DEER

Hunting Hills [Public] Red Deer		Gr 12 Enrollment: 352				
ESL (%): 1.8	Special needs (%): 7.5	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 77/276		89/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	67.2	67.5	66.0	66.8	69.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	12.8	12.1	14.2	13.3	11.6	▲
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	2.9	5.1	4.8	3.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 5.1	F 1.6	F 1.9	F 1.8	F 0.5	▲
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.7	M 4.5	F 1.6	M 1.7	M 0.6	▲
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.7	—
Diploma completion rate	76.3	76.5	80.7	80.0	76.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	32.1	28.5	25.2	24.6	25.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.3	6.6	6.8	7.0	▲

Spruce View [Public] Spruce View		Gr 12 Enrollment: 18				
ESL (%): 1.9	Special needs (%): 10.4	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 121/276		171/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	59.3	61.3	63.0	60.3	62.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	29.0	20.5	13.3	20.0	24.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	10.1	7.1	6.7	12.3	9.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 6.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7	4.1	—
Diploma completion rate	87.5	96.0	87.5	95.8	100.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	15.5	0.0	31.6	11.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.7	6.1	5.2	6.4	—

Hines Creek Composite [Public] Hines Creek		Gr 12 Enrollment: 16				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 5.7	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 253/276		n/a		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54.4	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	33.3	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12.2	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.1	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87.5	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.7	n/a

Sundre [Public] Sundre		Gr 12 Enrollment: 66				
ESL (%): 2.6	Special needs (%): 7.7	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 24/276		98/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	63.5	64.7	64.0	63.5	67.1	▲
Percentage of exams failed	18.7	19.5	19.6	21.2	10.7	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.1	7.3	6.3	10.2	6.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.1	M 3.3	F 5.9	F 7.1	M 1.1	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 12.0	M 0.8	M 21.7	M 4.2	M 4.0	—
Courses taken per student	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.4	▲
Diploma completion rate	88.7	93.4	93.5	95.7	100.0	▲
Delayed advancement rate	16.0	4.5	7.7	8.6	3.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.6	6.1	6.1	8.0	—

Peace River [Public] Peace River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 70				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 13.1	Alt. French (%): 10.1		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 189/276		189/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	65.1	64.2	58.7	62.9	61.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.5	16.6	28.1	19.8	20.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	5.3	7.0	2.9	8.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.6	F 0.9	F 6.3	M 2.0	M 1.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 5.1	F 0.6	F 2.8	M 4.3	M 2.2	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.1	3.7	—
Diploma completion rate	71.4	77.6	62.0	76.6	76.9	—
Delayed advancement rate	50.2	22.1	38.0	27.7	23.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.9	4.2	5.5	5.6	—

Lindsay Thurber [Public] Red Deer		Gr 12 Enrollment: 511				
ESL (%): 2.6	Special needs (%): 9.2	Alt. French (%): 14.9		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 179/276		171/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	66.1	64.5	65.4	66.8	62.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	14.9	17.8	14.2	20.0	20.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	4.9	4.9	7.5	7.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 7.1	F 1.1	F 0.3	F 0.8	F 1.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.0	M 4.7	M 4.2	F 0.4	M 1.1	—
Courses taken per student	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	67.8	69.3	76.1	70.5	75.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	37.9	39.6	33.2	32.3	30.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.2	6.2	5.5	5.5	—

PEACE RIVER AREA

Fairview [Public] Fairview		Gr 12 Enrollment: 47				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.7	Alt. French (%): 0.0		2010-11 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 126/276		178/243		
Academic Performance		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Average exam mark	63.8	61.3	65.6	64.9	65.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	19.2	24.2	13.1	15.7	12.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.7	6.8	6.0	8.8	11.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.9	M 7.0	M 1.4	M 0.1	F 1.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.8	M 1.5	M 1.1	F 1.4	M 2.9	—
Courses taken per student	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	68.2	74.1	68.2	72.4	79.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	36.4	34.7	43.7	37.5	19.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	4.7	5.9	5.8	6.3	▲

ROCKY MOUNTAIN HOUSE AREA

Bentley [Public] Bentley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 15				
ESL (%)	6.9	Special needs (%)	12.5	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,000:	-1.8	Rank:	245/276	151/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.4	60.0	66.4	62.5	61.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.2	22.6	13.3	17.4	28.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.2	9.7	8.0	10.4	6.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 4.6	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 2.1	n/a	n/a	M 3.1	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.7	4.2	4.0	4.1	2.6	—
Diploma completion rate	80.0	90.5	96.7	89.2	64.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	23.3	18.2	0.0	15.1	47.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.4	7.1	6.2	4.0	—

Caroline [Public] Caroline		Gr 12 Enrollment: 25				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	12.4	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,400:	-1.4	Rank:	246/276	235/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	50.5	59.9	54.1	55.4	57.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	49.1	29.9	40.4	31.8	34.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	14.6	6.0	7.7	14.5	13.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	2.0	4.0	2.6	3.6	4.2	—
Diploma completion rate	45.0	78.9	66.7	80.0	81.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	51.4	13.0	35.8	23.1	23.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	5.0	2.4	3.2	4.3	—

David Thompson [Public] Condor		Gr 12 Enrollment: 29				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	10.3	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,600:	-1.9	Rank:	249/276	198/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.0	60.4	63.5	62.4	62.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	15.9	18.8	21.0	20.5	18.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	6.9	6.9	8.7	12.6	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 11.7	n/a	F 2.5	F 10.5	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 10.0	n/a	F 12.8	M 2.6	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.2	3.6	3.6	4.1	3.1	—
Diploma completion rate	90.5	70.6	86.5	85.7	53.6	—
Delayed advancement rate	25.4	33.5	13.2	13.8	51.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	4.8	5.9	5.8	3.8	—

Edville [Public] Edville		Gr 12 Enrollment: 25				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	6.9	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,700:	0.6	Rank:	121/276	121/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.9	62.3	59.1	60.3	59.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	17.6	15.4	24.5	22.0	19.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.9	9.8	6.0	9.9	12.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 9.1	n/a	n/a	F 6.5	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 2.6	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.7	4.1	4.0	4.9	4.4	—
Diploma completion rate	93.1	94.4	91.7	95.7	100.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	3.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	4.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.0	6.2	6.6	6.4	▲

H. J. Cody [Public] Sylvan Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 168				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	9.5	Alt. French (%)		2.6
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,800:	-0.2	Rank:	169/276	151/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.6	62.7	64.9	61.4	61.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	13.1	17.3	16.7	18.2	21.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	5.6	5.4	8.0	8.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.3	F 3.6	F 0.1	M 1.3	F 1.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.8	F 3.1	F 1.1	F 2.0	F 0.4	—
Courses taken per student	3.3	3.2	3.7	3.5	3.7	—
Diploma completion rate	78.9	79.9	76.8	83.5	78.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	26.2	21.6	29.7	27.0	29.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.5	6.3	5.7	5.7	—

St. Dominic [Separate] Rocky Mountain House		Gr 12 Enrollment: 43				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	4.3	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,700:	-0.6	Rank:	169/276	78/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	71.7	68.5	67.4	66.3	59.4	▼
Percentage of exams failed	3.3	10.7	15.0	8.8	19.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.5	5.7	4.7	7.3	10.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.4	n/a	n/a	F 4.1	F 5.5	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 12.4	n/a	n/a	M 13.1	F 4.6	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.8	▼
Diploma completion rate	100.0	93.1	85.7	87.2	88.4	▼
Delayed advancement rate	4.3	11.6	11.7	20.7	12.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.3	6.9	6.4	5.6	▼

Will Sindair [Public] Rocky Mountain House		Gr 12 Enrollment: 160				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	8.7	Alt. French (%)		n/a
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$94,200:	-0.9	Rank:	199/276	159/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.3	68.0	64.2	63.3	61.3	▼
Percentage of exams failed	9.7	10.9	16.0	18.0	23.6	▼
School vs exam mark difference	3.4	2.9	8.1	4.9	7.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 2.7	F 2.0	M 2.9	M 4.5	F 4.4	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 2.6	M 1.3	M 6.4	M 6.6	M 2.7	—
Courses taken per student	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	75.3	71.5	78.2	79.5	82.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	31.3	36.1	35.3	28.9	28.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.1	5.6	5.3	5.2	▼

SHERWOOD PARK AREA

Archbishop John [Separate] Sherwood Park		Gr 12 Enrollment: 298				
ESL (%)	1.4	Special needs (%)	9.0	Alt. French (%)		13.2
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$117,600:	1.1	Rank:	40/276	36/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.5	68.4	66.0	66.4	67.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	13.2	11.5	14.7	13.7	12.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	3.9	5.9	6.2	5.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.4	M 0.8	M 1.4	F 2.0	F 3.8	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.4	M 1.5	M 2.5	M 2.6	F 2.4	—
Courses taken per student	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.5	—
Diploma completion rate	84.2	86.9	87.2	89.6	88.9	—
Delayed advancement rate	16.3	11.9	11.7	11.9	12.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.6	7.3	7.4	7.6	—

Bev Facey [Public] Sherwood Park		Gr 12 Enrollment: 373				
ESL (%)	n/a	Special needs (%)	7.9	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$127,300:	0.4	Rank:	77/276	51/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.3	68.8	66.7	65.5	65.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.4	10.1	12.2	13.7	15.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	4.6	5.3	5.9	5.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.3	M 1.5	F 4.0	F 2.8	F 4.4	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.2	M 0.1	M 1.6	F 4.8	F 0.8	—
Courses taken per student	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	—
Diploma completion rate	84.7	88.9	85.9	86.8	85.3	—
Delayed advancement rate	15.0	10.5	15.7	14.2	14.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.5	7.1	6.9	7.0	▼

New Sarepta [Public] New Sarepta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 34				
ESL (%)	2.9	Special needs (%)	21.6	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,500:	0.6	Rank:	95/276	141/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.8	63.3	62.7	64.3	64.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	9.8	16.7	23.6	14.1	13.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.3	7.6	8.3	7.6	5.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 0.4	F 3.8	M 5.5	F 7.7	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.0	n/a	M 27.7	M 14.7	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.2	4.1	3.8	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	91.4	82.6	86.0	80.6	93.9	—
Delayed advancement rate	9.5	22.7	3.7	16.5	3.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	5.4	5.5	5.6	6.7	—

Salisbury [Public] Sherwood Park		Gr 12 Enrollment: 385				
ESL (%)	1.3	Special needs (%)	7.6	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$115,200:	-0.6	Rank:	148/276	103/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.8	66.5	64.5	63.5	62.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.5	13.0	16.2	18.2	20.6	▼
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	3.5	5.0	5.4	5.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.7	F 3.5	F 1.3	F 3.2	F 4.3	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.7	M 4.1	M 3.0	F 0.8	F 2.3	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	▼
Diploma completion rate	84.7	77.0	82.2	80.4	78.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	21.5	24.8	23.2	24.2	24.3	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.4	6.5	6.1	5.9	▼

Strathcona Christian [Public] Sherwood Park		Gr 12 Enrollment: 68				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	3.1	Alt. French (%)		0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$127,000:	1.6	Rank:	18/276	17/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.8	66.8	70.2	68.1	70.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	14.2	12.8	10.5	11.8	11.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	4.3	5.3	7.0	5.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.7	F 1.5	F 3.5	E 6.2	—	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.6	F 6.8	M 7.7	M 6.3	F 1.5	—
Courses taken per student	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	—
Diploma completion rate	94.1	96.5	93.3	97.2	98.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	4.0	0.0	10.1	4.1	1.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.8	8.0	8.0	8.2	▲

Tofield [Public] Tofield		Gr 12 Enrollment: 50				
ESL (%)	2.4	Special needs (%)	16.2	Alt. French (%)		0.0

Spruce Grove [Public] Spruce Grove		Gr 12 Enrollment: 350				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.4	Alt. French (%): 6.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,300: 0.5		Rank: 110/276 89/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.2	68.0	66.7	65.0	64.9	▲
Percentage of exams failed	11.3	11.8	11.7	15.2	16.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	2.9	5.2	5.5	5.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 2.7	F 1.9	F 1.8	F 3.7	F 2.3	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.2	F 1.4	F 2.7	F 7.4	M 2.5	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.9	3.7	—
Diploma completion rate	80.9	81.9	82.2	82.7	85.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	24.6	21.5	20.0	23.4	19.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.6	6.8	6.2	6.5	—

St. Albert [Public] St. Albert		Gr 12 Enrollment: 110				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 13.4	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$106,700: 0.9		Rank: 64/276 51/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.5	68.5	65.3	67.4	68.2	▲
Percentage of exams failed	13.6	10.4	18.7	12.3	11.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	3.1	4.7	6.1	6.4	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.6	F 3.6	M 0.2	F 5.0	F 6.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.4	M 3.4	F 8.6	F 0.1	M 3.4	—
Courses taken per student	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	—
Diploma completion rate	90.9	86.8	81.9	89.5	86.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	8.8	12.9	17.0	12.9	14.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.6	6.9	7.3	7.2	—

St. Gabriel Cyber [Public] St. Albert		Gr 12 Enrollment: 114				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 0.0	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,900: 0.0		Rank: 33/276 210/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	57.6	58.8	60.8	63.2	62.8	▲
Percentage of exams failed	39.6	25.7	23.7	17.7	20.0	▲
School vs exam mark difference	9.8	7.7	5.2	5.2	8.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 2.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.0	3.6	4.0	3.8	—
Diploma completion rate	64.3	55.3	67.6	85.0	81.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	12.0	n/a	4.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	2.7	3.2	5.5	6.7	6.2	▲

St. Thomas Aquinas [Separate] Spruce Grove		Gr 12 Enrollment: 133				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 9.4	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,100: 1.7		Rank: 33/276 210/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	70.0	67.6	68.3	66.7	67.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	7.2	9.7	11.0	12.1	9.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	3.2	3.1	3.3	4.2	4.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.3	F 1.2	M 0.6	M 2.8	M 3.1	▼
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.8	M 3.9	F 3.7	M 5.4	M 7.3	▼
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.8	4.0	4.2	4.4	▲
Diploma completion rate	87.6	85.3	90.0	87.4	93.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	12.7	12.9	8.1	11.7	5.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.2	7.7	7.4	7.8	—

Ste Marguerite d'Youville [Public] St. Albert		Gr 12 Enrollment: 29				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a	Alt. French (%): 99.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$111,800: 2.6		Rank: 7/276 7/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.7	68.6	68.4	68.8	68.3	▲
Percentage of exams failed	10.8	7.9	8.0	4.3	4.9	▲
School vs exam mark difference	7.1	5.4	5.7	8.8	6.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.1	F 0.7	n/a	F 0.2	F 5.2	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.1	M 2.5	n/a	M 9.2	M 0.4	n/a
Courses taken per student	6.0	5.5	5.8	6.3	5.6	—
Diploma completion rate	96.6	93.9	94.4	95.2	100.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	7.8	2.7	11.1	11.6	4.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.8	8.8	9.1	9.0	▲

ST. PAUL/BONNYVILLE AREA

Assumption [Separate] Cold Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 77				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 9.9	Alt. French (%): 25.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,500: 1.3		Rank: 64/276 36/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.2	69.2	65.4	67.5	65.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	8.7	9.0	14.2	7.4	13.7	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	4.0	6.3	5.1	7.2	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.1	F 4.4	M 1.8	M 1.0	M 3.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 8.7	M 12.1	M 3.1	M 2.1	M 7.1	—
Courses taken per student	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.8	—
Diploma completion rate	84.4	84.0	87.9	86.3	85.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	10.6	9.8	4.0	18.3	16.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.3	7.5	7.7	7.2	—

Bonnyville [Public] Bonnyville		Gr 12 Enrollment: 110				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 10.2	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,200: -1.2		Rank: 236/276 212/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.2	63.3	59.0	55.5	58.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	18.8	18.4	30.0	34.9	30.7	▼
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	7.0	11.4	14.6	9.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.5	E	M 6.1	F 3.7	M 5.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.1	M 9.4	M 1.6	M 0.8	F 2.6	—
Courses taken per student	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	83.8	80.0	75.3	80.6	75.8	▼
Delayed advancement rate	12.9	32.7	30.5	15.1	21.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.3	4.6	4.0	4.3	▼

Cold Lake [Public] Cold Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 181				
ESL (%): 1.5	Special needs (%): 12.1	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,600: 0.0		Rank: 148/276 n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.2	68.2	n/a	64.0	65.1	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	8.3	11.2	n/a	16.1	15.2	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	3.0	3.2	n/a	5.7	4.4	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.1	M 0.8	n/a	M 4.1	F 2.4	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.2	M 5.1	n/a	F 5.5	F 2.8	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.7	n/a	3.5	3.6	n/a
Diploma completion rate	73.8	75.2	n/a	66.5	70.7	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	29.4	33.6	n/a	41.4	38.2	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.5	n/a	5.2	5.9	n/a

F.G Miller [Public] Elk Point		Gr 12 Enrollment: 39				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 9.3	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,300: 1.9		Rank: 35/276 65/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	62.8	66.6	62.8	70.2	65.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	23.6	13.0	13.4	5.4	8.9	▲
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	4.8	4.2	2.4	2.3	▲
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.6	3.5	4.0	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	82.8	87.5	88.2	96.0	100.0	▲
Delayed advancement rate	28.5	20.2	13.1	3.7	4.6	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.5	6.7	8.4	7.7	▲

Glendon [Public] Glendon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 13.6	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,400: 0.0		Rank: 35/276 n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.6	61.2	n/a	57.5	59.3	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	21.0	26.2	n/a	33.9	25.9	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	9.2	12.8	n/a	11.3	12.6	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.3	3.8	n/a	3.3	4.0	n/a
Diploma completion rate	70.8	78.9	n/a	84.2	88.5	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	25.8	34.3	n/a	17.6	11.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.1	n/a	3.8	5.3	n/a

Mallaig [Public] Mallaig		Gr 12 Enrollment: 21				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 14.6	Alt. French (%): 17.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,000: -2.0		Rank: 260/276 n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.1	63.1	56.1	n/a	57.8	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	24.6	22.7	31.8	n/a	38.6	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	12.5	10.4	18.9	n/a	24.1	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	F 14.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.7	4.8	3.7	n/a	4.6	n/a
Diploma completion rate	93.3	94.1	95.7	n/a	90.5	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	6.7	0.9	4.3	n/a	13.1	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	6.3	3.7	n/a	3.5	n/a

Notre Dame [Separate] Bonnyville		Gr 12 Enrollment: 59				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 13.5	Alt. French (%): 17.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2010-11 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,100: 0.3		Rank: 160/276 145/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.3	66.1	58.1	60.0	61.0	—
Percentage of exams failed	12.7	11.2	28.1	22.5	23.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.0	4.2	13.4	10.0	9.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 8.5	F 5.2	n/a	M 0.6	M 5.7	n/a

Forestburg [Public] Forestburg		Gr 12 Enrollment: 25				
ESL (%):	n/a	Special needs (%):	6.2	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,000:	1.8	Rank:	58/276	n/a		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.7	63.4	60.6	n/a	64.2	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	14.9	14.9	20.7	n/a	17.2	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	9.7	10.7	n/a	7.9	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 12.1	F 4.7	n/a	F 0.3	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	F 6.8	M 9.9	n/a	F 4.1	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.5	4.7	4.4	n/a	4.4	n/a
Diploma completion rate	90.9	100.0	88.0	n/a	95.7	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	12.3	5.0	22.4	n/a	8.3	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.6	5.7	n/a	7.3	n/a

Gus Wetter [Public] Castor		Gr 12 Enrollment: 24				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%):	9.9	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,400:	0.4	Rank:	133/276	198/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	59.7	63.4	59.9	61.4	60.7	—
Percentage of exams failed	23.1	22.4	23.4	26.6	23.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	14.0	8.1	15.2	11.4	10.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.6	3.8	4.4	4.0	4.6	▲
Diploma completion rate	87.5	90.5	95.2	87.0	91.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	26.2	27.0	24.5	38.2	16.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	5.4	4.9	4.9	6.2	—

William E Hay [Public] Stettler		Gr 12 Enrollment: 141				
ESL (%):	n/a	Special needs (%):	4.0	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,400:	-0.5	Rank:	203/276	151/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.0	64.2	63.2	60.9	57.7	▼
Percentage of exams failed	16.4	15.9	19.1	20.4	29.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.2	4.8	6.0	8.8	8.2	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	M 1.4	F 1.7	F 0.7	M 1.0	M 1.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.0	M 7.3	M 3.4	F 1.6	F 3.0	—
Courses taken per student	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.8	3.7	—
Diploma completion rate	85.6	82.9	82.2	86.7	84.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	16.9	23.8	21.6	18.1	17.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.9	6.0	5.9	5.1	▼

STRATHMORE AREA

Holy Cross [Separate] Strathmore		Gr 12 Enrollment: 36				
ESL (%):	2.2	Special needs (%):	16.8	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,200:	0.8	Rank:	182/276	145/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	65.1	60.3	61.2	65.3	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	6.8	21.8	18.0	14.5	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	8.6	10.3	11.2	9.6	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 16.8	n/a	F 3.6	M 4.5	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 7.3	F 4.0	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	3.8	4.2	4.5	4.3	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	90.5	100.0	93.1	87.1	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	24.1	9.0	12.1	23.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	6.3	5.8	6.2	6.6	n/a

St. Gabriel the Archangel [Separate] Chestermere		Gr 12 Enrollment: 60				
ESL (%):	11.7	Special needs (%):	7.8	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$110,800:	-1.0	Rank:	182/276	145/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	58.5	65.1	62.5	66.1	60.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	27.9	13.8	17.3	11.9	24.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.4	2.7	4.6	2.7	8.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 4.3	M 2.8	n/a	n/a	F 9.4	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	M 3.3	F 0.5	n/a	n/a	F 3.5	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.3	4.0	—
Diploma completion rate	73.0	77.5	92.0	80.0	85.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	32.4	25.7	14.9	20.2	15.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	6.4	6.6	6.7	5.4	—

Standard [Public] Standard		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%):	15.6	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,000:	-3.2	Rank:	271/276	n/a		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.2	63.9	n/a	60.6	50.3	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	8.1	18.2	n/a	29.6	45.4	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	8.4	14.8	n/a	15.3	17.9	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.0	4.4	n/a	4.4	4.0	n/a
Diploma completion rate	82.4	88.2	n/a	92.6	80.0	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	31.7	22.7	n/a	10.5	36.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	5.2	n/a	4.6	2.4	n/a

Strathmore [Public] Strathmore		Gr 12 Enrollment: 254				
ESL (%):	9.4	Special needs (%):	14.0	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,900:	0.0	Rank:	161/276	159/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.9	66.2	63.7	64.9	63.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	12.3	13.3	19.0	14.3	19.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	2.3	2.6	4.1	4.2	6.1	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.2	F 5.8	F 3.4	F 3.8	F 1.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.1	M 9.7	M 3.8	F 3.6	F 0.9	—
Courses taken per student	3.2	3.4	3.1	3.3	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	74.7	80.4	71.8	75.0	79.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	34.9	28.5	32.3	30.0	24.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.8	5.3	5.7	5.7	—

TABER/MEDICINE HAT AREA

Crescent Heights [Public] Medicine Hat		Gr 12 Enrollment: 186				
ESL (%):	0.5	Special needs (%):	10.2	Alt. French (%):	11.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,600:	0.5	Rank:	121/276	121/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	66.0	64.7	64.3	62.1	63.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	14.0	16.6	18.4	19.0	18.8	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	5.3	7.6	6.3	6.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	E	M 3.8	F 2.0	M 1.0	F 3.3	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.3	M 3.6	M 2.1	M 1.3	M 0.8	▲
Courses taken per student	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	81.6	83.1	79.6	73.9	85.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	24.2	21.1	27.4	32.7	18.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.1	6.2	5.7	6.4	—

Eagle Butte [Public] Dunmore		Gr 12 Enrollment: 145				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%):	9.3	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,900:	1.1	Rank:	95/276	51/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.0	68.7	66.1	65.4	64.0	▼
Percentage of exams failed	11.0	8.6	12.2	10.9	17.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	3.0	3.8	6.3	8.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 6.0	M 3.4	F 0.8	F 4.0	F 2.3	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.2	M 3.8	M 8.2	M 0.8	E	—
Courses taken per student	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	93.8	89.5	91.6	95.7	90.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	3.0	5.4	3.6	0.2	4.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.4	7.2	7.4	6.7	—

Foremost [Public] Foremost		Gr 12 Enrollment: 20				
ESL (%):	4.8	Special needs (%):	11.0	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,300:	0.2	Rank:	148/276	30/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	69.4	70.4	67.6	70.5	61.2	—
Percentage of exams failed	9.2	3.0	9.6	7.2	20.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.2	7.1	7.3	6.2	11.0	—
English 30-1 gender gap	M 0.8	F 11.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.3	3.9	—
Diploma completion rate	96.0	100.0	87.5	100.0	90.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	2.4	4.2	12.5	0.0	9.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	8.3	7.4	8.3	5.9	—

McCoy [Separate] Medicine Hat		Gr 12 Enrollment: 187				
ESL (%):	3.0	Special needs (%):	13.3	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,100:	0.9	Rank:	89/276	111/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.8	63.0	63.5	64.9	66.0	▲
Percentage of exams failed	17.7	19.3	17.4	14.3	13.5	▲
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	6.1	7.8	6.9	5.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.7	M 1.2	F 1.1	F 1.9	F 6.3	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 2.5	M 1.3	F 4.8	F 3.2	F 1.5	—
Courses taken per student	3.6	3.8	3.7	4.1	3.8	—
Diploma completion rate	81.6	83.6	85.6	88.2	91.2	▲
Delayed advancement rate	22.6	24.3	21.7	14.6	14.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.9	6.1	6.8	6.8	▲

Medicine Hat [Public] Medicine Hat		Gr 12 Enrollment: 296				
ESL (%):	3.2	Special needs (%):	12.7	Alt. French (%):	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based	2010-11 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,100:	0.5	Rank:	126/276	121/243		
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.1	68.9	65.2	66.9	64.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	10.8	12.7	14.1	11.6	15.4	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.2	2.6	4.5	3.5	4.8	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.1	F 0.3	F 1.2	F 0.6	F 0.5	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 3.2	M 3.1	F 3.1	M 2.2	F 1.2	

John Paul II [Separate] Fort Saskatchewan Gr 12 Enrollment: 62

ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): 11.9 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,900: -0.3 Rank: 158/276 145/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.3	65.7	61.0	56.9	63.7	—
Percentage of exams failed	13.8	14.4	20.6	34.3	18.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	3.9	5.9	15.5	7.1	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.4	M 0.5	F 5.2	M 2.3	F 0.6	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.3	M 4.2	M 1.0	M 6.0	M 13.4	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.7	▼
Diploma completion rate	92.4	82.3	87.7	79.7	79.7	▼
Delayed advancement rate	3.6	18.9	11.8	19.9	24.2	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.6	6.2	4.1	5.8	—

Lamont [Public] Lamont Gr 12 Enrollment: 60

ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): 16.8 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,800: -2.3 Rank: 257/276 202/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	65.0	60.0	58.4	57.8	55.9	▼
Percentage of exams failed	16.9	11.1	28.0	24.4	26.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	5.1	6.3	5.4	7.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	M 0.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.3	2.7	▼
Diploma completion rate	75.0	70.0	68.8	73.8	65.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	25.2	31.0	36.5	40.9	43.6	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.0	4.8	4.6	3.6	▼

Redwater [Public] Redwater Gr 12 Enrollment: 43

ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): 18.4 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,700: 0.7 Rank: 101/276 36/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.2	72.3	67.9	69.6	67.8	—
Percentage of exams failed	11.2	4.7	11.1	8.6	12.6	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.0	4.4	6.5	4.7	6.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.0	M 3.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 5.9	M 0.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.6	4.9	3.9	4.2	3.6	—
Diploma completion rate	91.9	92.3	92.6	82.4	82.9	▼
Delayed advancement rate	10.8	0.2	11.1	30.7	21.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.8	7.1	7.4	6.6	—

St. Mary's [Separate] Vegreville Gr 12 Enrollment: 61

ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): 8.6 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,400: -0.2 Rank: 182/276 159/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	58.6	65.5	57.5	67.9	58.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	25.8	18.9	28.4	11.1	26.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	10.5	8.7	8.8	6.2	11.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.7	F 4.4	F 1.4	F 5.8	F 3.4	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.2	F 8.1	F 0.4	E	F 1.3	—
Courses taken per student	4.0	4.6	4.4	3.9	4.4	—
Diploma completion rate	70.4	86.0	86.0	80.0	77.2	—
Delayed advancement rate	33.9	22.2	18.5	25.8	26.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	6.3	5.8	6.6	5.4	—

Two Hills [Public] Two Hills Gr 12 Enrollment: 19

ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): 18.1 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,400: 0.4 Rank: 133/276 n/a

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.3	76.8	n/a	65.4	62.0	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	13.3	1.6	n/a	21.1	19.7	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	7.2	6.3	n/a	11.8	9.1	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	F 7.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.7	4.8	n/a	4.3	4.1	n/a
Diploma completion rate	95.7	93.8	n/a	100.0	89.5	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	5.5	21.3	n/a	0.0	10.5	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	8.7	n/a	6.8	6.2	n/a

Vegreville [Public] Vegreville Gr 12 Enrollment: 73

ESL (%): 2.7 Special needs (%): 8.5 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,300: 0.9 Rank: 101/276 98/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.0	68.1	70.6	67.3	66.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	13.1	12.8	9.6	12.4	12.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	4.9	3.7	6.5	6.3	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 3.8	F 2.9	M 0.4	F 5.1	F 3.8	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 11.7	M 8.5	M 3.2	M 9.6	M 0.6	—
Courses taken per student	4.1	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.7	▼
Diploma completion rate	82.6	83.3	75.4	81.0	75.7	—
Delayed advancement rate	28.8	18.1	38.6	22.5	19.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.5	7.0	6.2	6.6	—

WAINWRIGHT/VERMILION AREA

Blessed Sacrament [Separate] Wainwright Gr 12 Enrollment: 33

ESL (%): 3.8 Special needs (%): 11.9 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,200: 0.2 Rank: 139/276 159/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.5	64.7	57.3	67.2	63.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	9.5	19.8	34.7	20.9	16.9	—
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	8.7	11.9	8.9	12.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	F 10.7	n/a	n/a	F 6.0	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	F 12.6	n/a	n/a	F 8.5	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.4	4.6	4.3	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	87.0	84.2	71.4	95.0	90.6	—
Delayed advancement rate	20.9	28.7	27.1	0.0	4.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	4.7	4.3	6.9	6.1	—

Central High Sedgewick [Public] Sedgewick Gr 12 Enrollment: 42

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 14.4 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$106,400: 0.3 Rank: 101/276 78/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	70.1	70.4	65.8	66.4	65.9	—
Percentage of exams failed	7.7	6.7	19.8	7.8	9.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	2.3	8.0	6.4	5.7	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.7	M 0.8	F 1.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.2	M 2.9	F 13.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.9	3.2	4.0	3.6	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	74.5	94.7	88.9	83.8	75.6	—
Delayed advancement rate	30.4	8.5	12.7	13.9	25.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.4	6.4	6.9	6.6	—

Hughenden [Public] Hughenden Gr 12 Enrollment: 20

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 11.7 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,800: 1.6 Rank: 64/276 202/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	54.5	64.4	57.7	62.2	66.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	43.4	17.1	35.0	22.8	13.2	—
School vs exam mark difference	13.8	9.2	12.7	14.6	8.4	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.0	3.8	3.6	4.0	4.0	—
Diploma completion rate	87.5	86.4	90.5	92.9	100.0	▲
Delayed advancement rate	28.1	27.0	9.5	13.9	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	3.3	5.6	3.9	4.9	7.2	—

Irma [Public] Irma Gr 12 Enrollment: 15

ESL (%): 4.4 Special needs (%): 7.4 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$26,900: 1.3 Rank: 181/276 n/a

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	64.3	64.1	n/a	n/a	62.0	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	12.6	19.1	n/a	n/a	13.3	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	10.3	n/a	n/a	11.1	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.0	4.2	n/a	n/a	4.0	n/a
Diploma completion rate	92.6	94.1	n/a	n/a	92.9	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	7.4	17.6	n/a	n/a	0.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	5.9	n/a	n/a	6.4	n/a

J. R. Robson [Public] Vermilion Gr 12 Enrollment: 66

ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): 6.9 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,200: 1.1 Rank: 84/276 103/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	63.6	66.2	65.1	58.4	63.6	—
Percentage of exams failed	16.6	12.4	17.2	25.3	16.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	7.2	4.9	7.8	14.5	9.9	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.7	M 4.2	M 4.4	M 2.1	F 0.2	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.1	M 9.7	F 2.0	F 11.9	F 6.5	—
Courses taken per student	4.1	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.1	—
Diploma completion rate	93.5	95.2	92.8	96.7	95.5	—
Delayed advancement rate	10.3	0.0	3.1	4.7	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.9	6.9	4.9	6.9	—

Kitscoty [Public] Kitscoty Gr 12 Enrollment: 38

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 8.5 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,600: 0.1 Rank: 161/276 159/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	59.3	63.5	61.6	60.8	61.5	—
Percentage of exams failed	26.8	20.5	21.1	24.6	20.3	—
School vs exam mark difference	9.9	9.0	8.7	12.8	13.5	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 11.3	M 0.3	M 2.3	n/a	F 0.4	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 0.8	M 3.8	M 4.8	n/a	M 17.9	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.2	—
Diploma completion rate	85.7	100.7	77.3	91.4	92.1	—
Delayed advancement rate	16.8	13.1	21.9	10.1	12.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	6.5	5.9	5.3	5.7	—

Marwayne Jubilee [Public] Marwayne Gr 12 Enrollment: 22

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 11.2 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,900: 0.7 Rank: 110/276 n/a

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	60.2	61.9	59.6	64.4	64.4	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	23.4	23.3	27.8	n/a	11.8	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	9.7	7.4	9.9	n/a	5.9	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.1	3.4	3.7	n/a	3.4	n/a
Diploma completion rate	95.2	81.8	95.5	n/a	90.9	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	3.4	13.0	35.6	n/a	21.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.0	5.0	n/a	6.5	n/a

Provost [Public] Provost Gr 12 Enrollment: 22

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 10.7 Alt. French (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2010-11 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$131,900: -1.6 Rank: 203/276 135/243

Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	57.0	64.4	66.4	63.3	59.1	—
Percentage of exams failed	32.2	11.1	13.3			

Buck Mountain [Public] Buck Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 24				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 20.7	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,000: -0.6	Rank: 193/276	2010-11	Last 5 Years			
		178/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	62.5	61.4	59.9	62.3	60.4	—
Percentage of exams failed	18.8	21.4	27.7	17.3	24.1	—
School vs exam mark difference	8.6	9.1	7.8	8.2	12.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.3	3.4	4.2	3.5	4.3	—
Diploma completion rate	82.6	84.6	91.3	90.0	87.0	—
Delayed advancement rate	15.9	0.0	25.0	16.0	28.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.2	5.4	5.9	5.3	—

Holy Trinity Academy [Separate] Drayton Valley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 18				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 6.9	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,900: -1.9	Rank: 232/276	2010-11	Last 5 Years			
		n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	59.7	51.6	57.6	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	23.8	41.5	31.5	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	8.4	15.1	11.4	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	n/a	n/a	4.1	3.5	3.9	n/a
Diploma completion rate	n/a	n/a	80.8	81.3	77.8	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	29.1	21.8	20.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	5.3	2.4	4.4	n/a

Warburg [Public] Warburg		Gr 12 Enrollment: 21				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 13.8	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$34,800: -0.3	Rank: 213/276	2010-11	Last 5 Years			
		n/a				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	67.4	66.2	n/a	61.7	59.4	n/a
Percentage of exams failed	12.3	12.0	n/a	11.9	27.3	n/a
School vs exam mark difference	8.3	7.6	n/a	11.1	12.8	n/a
English 30-1 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Courses taken per student	4.4	3.6	n/a	3.3	3.7	n/a
Diploma completion rate	66.7	93.3	n/a	77.8	81.0	n/a
Delayed advancement rate	36.8	21.6	n/a	25.2	17.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.3	n/a	5.1	4.9	n/a

Frank Maddock [Public] Drayton Valley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 193				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 7.6	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,400: -1.0	Rank: 203/276	2010-11	Last 5 Years			
		178/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	60.4	61.0	63.0	63.5	60.7	—
Percentage of exams failed	22.7	22.3	18.6	16.5	25.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	5.5	5.4	5.8	7.6	—
English 30-1 gender gap	F 4.2	M 4.4	M 1.1	F 4.4	F 3.0	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 4.1	M 13.5	F 0.1	M 5.8	M 3.8	—
Courses taken per student	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.5	—
Diploma completion rate	82.2	81.1	86.3	87.2	83.4	—
Delayed advancement rate	16.4	18.7	17.7	12.0	24.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.9	6.1	6.0	5.1	—

Pigeon Lake [Public] Falun		Gr 12 Enrollment: 46				
ESL (%): 3.8	Special needs (%): 18.5	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$36,800: -0.1	Rank: 199/276	2010-11	Last 5 Years			
		183/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	61.2	66.9	58.5	61.7	62.3	—
Percentage of exams failed	18.7	11.6	28.3	18.9	20.5	—
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	2.8	8.2	8.6	10.9	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.2	n/a	n/a	F 9.6	F 0.6	n/a
Pure Math 30 gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 10.7	F 0.8	n/a
Courses taken per student	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.4	—
Diploma completion rate	78.0	80.0	70.2	75.6	71.1	▼
Delayed advancement rate	17.6	9.3	28.7	32.5	26.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.8	4.5	4.8	5.2	—

Wetaskiwin [Public] Wetaskiwin		Gr 12 Enrollment: 268				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 17.4	Alt. French (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,700: -0.3	Rank: 199/276	2010-11	Last 5 Years			
		151/243				
Academic Performance	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Trend
Average exam mark	68.0	68.2	66.6	66.1	63.8	▼
Percentage of exams failed	10.6	8.5	13.1	13.3	16.0	—
School vs exam mark difference	2.5	2.2	3.2	3.8	4.6	▼
English 30-1 gender gap	F 1.4	F 0.9	M 0.6	F 2.9	F 1.9	—
Pure Math 30 gender gap	F 1.4	M 6.4	M 1.1	M 1.8	F 7.2	—
Courses taken per student	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	—
Diploma completion rate	68.6	69.4	63.3	65.9	68.8	—
Delayed advancement rate	34.6	34.6	38.0	33.7	38.6	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.0	5.9	5.7	5.2	▼

How does your school stack up?

Important notes to the rankings

In this table, schools are ranked (on the left hand side of the page) in descending order (from 1 to 273) according to their academic performance as measured by the Overall rating out of 10 (shown on the right hand side of the table) for the school year 2010/2011. Each school's five-year average ranking and Overall rating out of 10 are also listed. The higher the overall rating (out of 10), the higher the rank awarded to the school. Where schools tied in the overall rating, they were awarded the same rank. Where less than five years of data were available, "n/a" appears in the table.

Not all the province's high schools are included in the tables or the ranking. Excluded are schools at which fewer than 15 regular day students were enrolled in grade-12 and schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable

the calculation of an Overall rating out of 10. Also excluded from the ratings and rankings are: centres for adult education and continuing education; schools that cater largely to non-resident foreign students; and certain alternative schools that do not offer a full program of courses.

The exclusion of a school from the Report Card should in no way be construed as a judgement of the school's effectiveness.

IMPORTANT: In order to get the most from the Report Card, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future

Rank	Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
	2010/2011	Last 5 years			2010/2011	Last 5 years
1	1	1	Old Scona	Edmonton	10.0	10.0
1	1	1	Rundle College	Calgary	10.0	10.0
1	3	3	Webber	Calgary	10.0	9.8
4	4	4	West Island College	Calgary	9.7	9.6
5	5	5	Strathcona-Tweedsmuir	Okotoks	9.4	9.5
6	7	7	Archbishop MacDonald	Edmonton	9.1	8.8
7	7	7	Ste Marguerite d'Youville	St. Albert	9.0	8.8
8	6	6	Sir Winston Churchill	Calgary	8.9	9.0
8	7	7	Springbank	Calgary	8.9	8.8
8	7	7	Western Canada	Calgary	8.9	8.8
11	n/a	n/a	Bearspaw Christian	Calgary	8.8	n/a
12	11	11	Bawlf	Bawlf	8.7	8.6
13	13	13	South Central	Oyen	8.5	8.1
14	51	51	National Sport	Calgary	8.4	7.2
14	73	73	Livingstone	Lundbreck	8.4	6.9
16	11	11	Vauxhall	Vauxhall	8.3	8.6
16	46	46	Picture Butte	Picture Butte	8.3	7.3
18	13	13	Westmount	Calgary	8.2	8.1

Rank	Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
	2010/2011	Last 5 years			2010/2011	Last 5 years
18	17	17	Strathcona Christian	Sherwood Park	8.2	8.0
18	36	36	Viking	Viking	8.2	7.4
21	13	13	Holy Trinity Academy	Okotoks	8.1	8.1
21	13	13	William Aberhart	Calgary	8.1	8.1
21	24	24	Olds	Olds	8.1	7.7
24	20	20	Harry Ainlay	Edmonton	8.0	7.8
24	20	20	Senator Gershaw	Bow Island	8.0	7.8
24	36	36	County Central	Vulcan	8.0	7.4
24	98	98	Sundre	Sundre	8.0	6.5
24	111	111	Coalhurst	Coalhurst	8.0	6.3
29	17	17	Christ The King	Leduc	7.9	8.0
29	24	24	Cochrane	Cochrane	7.9	7.7
29	30	30	Beaumont	Beaumont	7.9	7.5
29	51	51	Calgary Christian	Calgary	7.9	7.2
33	20	20	Dr. E. P. Scarlett	Calgary	7.8	7.8
33	30	30	St. Thomas Aquinas	Spruce Grove	7.8	7.5
35	24	24	Master's Academy	Calgary	7.7	7.7
35	36	36	Foundations for the Future	Calgary	7.7	7.4

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2010/2011	Last 5 years			2010/2011	Last 5 years	2010/2011	Last 5 years			2010/2011	Last 5 years
35	63	Millwoods Christian	Edmonton	7.7	7.1	95	89	Bishop Grandin	Calgary	6.7	6.6
35	65	F G Miller	Elk Point	7.7	7.0	95	89	Erle Rivers	Milk River	6.7	6.6
35	111	St. Timothy	Cochrane	7.7	6.3	95	141	New Sarepta	New Sarepta	6.7	6.0
40	24	John G Diefenbaker	Calgary	7.6	7.7	101	36	Magrath	Magrath	6.6	7.4
40	30	Edmonton Christian	Edmonton	7.6	7.5	101	36	Redwater	Redwater	6.6	7.4
40	36	Archbishop Jordan	Sherwood Park	7.6	7.4	101	78	Central High Sedgewick	Sedgewick	6.6	6.8
40	51	Austin O'Brien	Edmonton	7.6	7.2	101	83	St. Mary's	Calgary	6.6	6.7
40	51	Parkview Adventist	Lacombe	7.6	7.2	101	98	Vegreville	Vegreville	6.6	6.5
45	20	Strathcona	Edmonton	7.5	7.8	101	103	Hugh Sutherland	Carstairs	6.6	6.4
45	24	St. Francis	Calgary	7.5	7.7	101	111	Memorial	Stony Plain	6.6	6.3
45	29	Queen Elizabeth	Calgary	7.5	7.6	101	121	Bowness	Calgary	6.6	6.2
45	30	Immanuel Christian	Lethbridge	7.5	7.5	101	n/a	Holy Cross	Strathmore	6.6	n/a
45	n/a	Rundle College Academy	Calgary	7.5	n/a	110	51	Bishop Carroll	Calgary	6.5	7.2
50	17	Kate Andrews	Coaldale	7.4	8.0	110	51	St. Joseph's	Brooks	6.5	7.2
50	36	Bow Valley	Cochrane	7.4	7.4	110	65	Westwood	Fort McMurray	6.5	7.0
50	36	W. R. Myers	Taber	7.4	7.4	110	89	Spruce Grove	Spruce Grove	6.5	6.6
50	46	Henry Wise Wood	Calgary	7.4	7.3	110	103	Foothills	Okotoks	6.5	6.4
50	51	Highwood	High River	7.4	7.2	110	103	Notre Dame	Red Deer	6.5	6.4
50	89	Raymond	Raymond	7.4	6.6	110	111	Holy Trinity	Edmonton	6.5	6.3
50	121	Ryley	Ryley	7.4	6.2	110	141	Archbishop O'Leary	Edmonton	6.5	6.0
50	n/a	Morinville	Morinville	7.4	n/a	110	141	Catholic Central	Lethbridge	6.5	6.0
58	30	J H Picard	Edmonton	7.3	7.5	110	227	Bassano	Bassano	6.5	4.2
58	46	Daysland	Daysland	7.3	7.3	110	n/a	Marwayne Jubilee	Marwayne	6.5	n/a
58	65	Ardrossan	Ardrossan	7.3	7.0	121	111	Lethbridge Collegiate	Lethbridge	6.4	6.3
58	73	George McDougall	Airdrie	7.3	6.9	121	121	Crescent Heights	Medicine Hat	6.4	6.2
58	83	Centennial	Calgary	7.3	6.7	121	121	Eckville	Eckville	6.4	6.2
58	n/a	Forestburg	Forestburg	7.3	n/a	121	171	Spruce View	Spruce View	6.4	5.6
64	36	Assumption	Cold Lake	7.2	7.4	121	n/a	Irma	Irma	6.4	n/a
64	51	St. Albert	St. Albert	7.2	7.2	126	65	Jasper	Jasper	6.3	7.0
64	65	Heritage Christian	Calgary	7.2	7.0	126	98	Archbishop Oscar Romero	Edmonton	6.3	6.5
64	73	Coronation	Coronation	7.2	6.9	126	98	Didsbury	Didsbury	6.3	6.5
64	78	Olds Koinonia	Olds	7.2	6.8	126	98	Leduc	Leduc	6.3	6.5
64	121	Foothills Academy	Calgary	7.2	6.2	126	121	Medicine Hat	Medicine Hat	6.3	6.2
64	202	Hughenden	Hughenden	7.2	5.0	126	159	Calgary Academy	Calgary	6.3	5.7
71	46	Canmore Collegiate	Canmore	7.1	7.3	126	178	Fairview	Fairview	6.3	5.5
71	51	New Norway	New Norway	7.1	7.2	133	83	Matthew Halton	Pincher Creek	6.2	6.7
71	65	Consort	Consort	7.1	7.0	133	159	Bert Church	Airdrie	6.2	5.7
71	78	Rimbey	Rimbey	7.1	6.8	133	159	Notre Dame	Calgary	6.2	5.7
71	89	Three Hills	Three Hills	7.1	6.6	133	198	Gus Wetter	Castor	6.2	5.1
71	121	Barrhead	Barrhead	7.1	6.2	133	210	St. Gabriel Cyber	St. Albert	6.2	4.9
77	46	St. Martin De Porres	Airdrie	7.0	7.3	133	n/a	Two Hills	Two Hills	6.2	n/a
77	51	Bev Facey	Sherwood Park	7.0	7.2	139	111	Lacombe	Lacombe	6.1	6.3
77	51	St. Francis Xavier	Edmonton	7.0	7.2	139	121	Chestermere	Calgary	6.1	6.2
77	73	Bishop O'Byrne	Calgary	7.0	6.9	139	121	Lord Beaverbrook	Calgary	6.1	6.2
77	89	Banff	Banff	7.0	6.6	139	121	Tofield	Tofield	6.1	6.2
77	89	Hunting Hills	Red Deer	7.0	6.6	139	135	Louis St. Laurent	Edmonton	6.1	6.1
77	135	Calmar	Calmar	7.0	6.1	139	159	Blessed Sacrament	Wainwright	6.1	5.7
84	36	Edge School	Calgary	6.9	7.4	139	217	F. P. Walshe	Fort Macleod	6.1	4.5
84	63	Notre Dame Collegiate	High River	6.9	7.1	139	n/a	St. Michael's	Pincher Creek	6.1	n/a
84	89	Onoway	Onoway	6.9	6.6	147	111	Central Memorial	Calgary	6.0	6.3
84	103	J. R. Robson	Vermilion	6.9	6.4	148	30	Foremost	Foremost	5.9	7.5
84	111	Breton	Breton	6.9	6.3	148	89	Central Alberta Christian	Lacombe	5.9	6.6
89	65	Paul Kane	St. Albert	6.8	7.0	148	103	McNally	Edmonton	5.9	6.4
89	78	Acme	Acme	6.8	6.8	148	103	Salisbury	Sherwood Park	5.9	6.4
89	83	Crowsnest	Coleman	6.8	6.7	148	103	Winston Churchill	Lethbridge	5.9	6.4
89	83	Ross Sheppard	Edmonton	6.8	6.7	148	151	Camrose	Camrose	5.9	5.8
89	103	St. Jerome's	Vermilion	6.8	6.4	148	159	Vimy Ridge	Edmonton	5.9	5.7
89	111	McCoy	Medicine Hat	6.8	6.3	148	178	Oilfields	Black Diamond	5.9	5.5
95	51	Eagle Butte	Dunmore	6.7	7.2	148	n/a	Cold Lake	Cold Lake	5.9	n/a
95	65	John Maland	Devon	6.7	7.0	148	n/a	St. Anthony's	Drumheller	5.9	n/a
95	73	W P Wagner	Edmonton	6.7	6.9	158	145	John Paul II	Fort Saskatchewan	5.8	5.9

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2010/2011	Last 5 years			2010/2011	Last 5 years	2010/2011	Last 5 years			2010/2011	Last 5 years
158	171	Mayerthorpe	Mayerthorpe	5.8	5.6	217	189	St. Mary	Westlock	4.8	5.3
158	183	Stirling	Stirling	5.8	5.4	217	n/a	Rosemary	Rosemary	4.8	n/a
161	121	Cremona	Cremona	5.7	6.2	220	121	Cardston	Cardston	4.7	6.2
161	145	Notre Dame	Bonnyville	5.7	5.9	220	202	Brooks	Brooks	4.7	5.0
161	151	H. J. Cody	Sylvan Lake	5.7	5.8	220	212	High Level	High Level	4.7	4.8
161	159	Kitscoty	Kitscoty	5.7	5.7	220	n/a	Fox Creek	Fox Creek	4.7	n/a
161	159	Strathmore	Strathmore	5.7	5.7	224	135	Holy Redeemer	Edson	4.6	6.1
161	198	Crescent Heights	Calgary	5.7	5.1	224	159	Beiseker	Beiseker	4.6	5.7
161	212	Hillside	Valleyview	5.7	4.8	224	222	Father Lacombe	Calgary	4.6	4.4
161	n/a	St. Augustine	Ponoka	5.7	n/a	224	222	Grande Cache	Grande Cache	4.6	4.4
169	78	St. Dominic	Rocky Mountain House	5.6	6.8	224	n/a	Chinook	Lethbridge	4.6	n/a
169	135	Hay Lakes	Hay Lakes	5.6	6.1	224	n/a	Our Lady of Mount Pleasant	Camrose	4.6	n/a
169	141	Parkland	Edson	5.6	6.0	230	171	Prairie Christian	Three Hills	4.5	5.6
169	145	W. G. Murdoch	Crossfield	5.6	5.9	230	183	Victoria	Edmonton	4.5	5.4
169	159	St. Joseph	Whitecourt	5.6	5.7	232	145	J. C. Charyk Hanna	Hanna	4.4	5.9
169	189	Grand Trunk	Evansburg	5.6	5.3	232	217	Duchess	Duchess	4.4	4.5
169	189	Peace River	Peace River	5.6	5.3	232	226	Georges P Vanier	Donnelly	4.4	4.3
169	194	St. Paul	St. Paul	5.6	5.2	232	n/a	Holy Trinity Academy	Drayton Valley	4.4	n/a
169	202	Richard F Staples	Westlock	5.6	5.0	236	212	Bonnyville	Bonnyville	4.3	4.8
169	212	Glenmary	Peace River	5.6	4.8	236	222	Fort McMurray	Fort McMurray	4.3	4.4
179	121	Bowden Grandview	Bowden	5.5	6.2	236	235	Caroline	Caroline	4.3	3.0
179	171	Lindsay Thurber	Red Deer	5.5	5.6	239	135	St. Mary's	Taber	4.2	6.1
179	194	Spirit River Academy	Spirit River	5.5	5.2	239	216	Beaverlodge	Beaverlodge	4.2	4.7
182	111	H. A. Kostash	Smoky Lake	5.4	6.3	239	217	Harry Collinge	Hinton	4.2	4.5
182	145	Ernest Manning	Calgary	5.4	5.9	239	217	Paul Rowe	Manning	4.2	4.5
182	145	St. Gabriel the Archangel	Chestermere	5.4	5.9	243	202	Sexsmith	Sexsmith	4.1	5.0
182	151	Sturgeon	Namao	5.4	5.8	243	n/a	Boyle	Boyle	4.1	n/a
182	159	St. Mary's	Vegreville	5.4	5.7	245	83	Thorsby	Thorsby	4.0	6.7
182	171	Lester B. Pearson	Calgary	5.4	5.6	245	151	Bentley	Bentley	4.0	5.8
182	178	Jasper Place	Edmonton	5.4	5.5	245	239	E W Pratt	High Prairie	4.0	2.8
182	183	J. T. Foster	Nanton	5.4	5.4	248	227	Peace Wapiti Academy	Grande Prairie	3.9	4.2
182	183	Wainwright	Wainwright	5.4	5.4	249	198	David Thompson	Condor	3.8	5.1
182	189	M. E. LaZerte	Edmonton	5.4	5.3	249	202	Plamondon	Plamondon	3.8	5.0
182	n/a	St. Thomas More	Fairview	5.4	n/a	249	210	Delburne Centralized	Delburne	3.8	4.9
193	121	La Crete	La Crete	5.3	6.2	249	227	Drumheller	Drumheller	3.8	4.2
193	171	Innisfail	Innisfail	5.3	5.6	253	202	Bashaw	Bashaw	3.7	5.0
193	178	Buck Mountain	Buck Lake	5.3	5.5	253	233	Forest Lawn	Calgary	3.7	3.4
193	189	Father Patrick Mercredi	Fort McMurray	5.3	5.3	253	n/a	Hines Creek Composite	Hines Creek	3.7	n/a
193	202	Hilltop	Whitecourt	5.3	5.0	253	n/a	Northstar	Didsbury	3.7	n/a
193	n/a	Glendon	Glendon	5.3	n/a	257	202	Lamont	Lamont	3.6	5.0
199	151	Wetaskiwin	Wetaskiwin	5.2	5.8	257	231	Bishop McNally	Calgary	3.6	4.1
199	159	Will Sinclair	Rocky Mountain House	5.2	5.7	257	232	J Percy Page	Edmonton	3.6	3.8
199	183	Pigeon Lake	Falun	5.2	5.4	260	222	Grande Prairie	Grande Prairie	3.5	4.4
199	198	St. Andrew's	High Prairie	5.2	5.1	260	237	Grimshaw	Grimshaw	3.5	2.9
203	135	Provost	Provost	5.1	6.1	260	n/a	Mallaig	Mallaig	3.5	n/a
203	151	Ponoka	Ponoka	5.1	5.8	263	233	Eastglen	Edmonton	3.3	3.4
203	151	William E Hay	Stettler	5.1	5.8	264	n/a	Thorhild Central	Thorhild	3.2	n/a
203	151	Willow Creek	Claresholm	5.1	5.8	265	237	Queen Elizabeth	Edmonton	3.0	2.9
203	171	Bellerose	St. Albert	5.1	5.6	266	235	James Fowler	Calgary	2.9	3.0
203	178	Frank Maddock	Drayton Valley	5.1	5.5	266	n/a	Ashmont	Ashmont	2.9	n/a
203	194	St. Joseph	Grande Prairie	5.1	5.2	268	239	J A Williams	Lac La Biche	2.8	2.8
203	227	Alix (Mirror and Alix Central)	Alix	5.1	4.2	268	242	Juno Beach	Calgary	2.8	0.8
211	183	Fort Saskatchewan	Fort Saskatchewan	5.0	5.4	268	n/a	Ridgevalley	Crooked Creek	2.8	n/a
211	n/a	Mountain View Academy	Calgary	5.0	n/a	271	n/a	Standard	Standard	2.4	n/a
213	194	Edwin Parr	Athabasca	4.9	5.2	272	241	River Glen	Red Deer	1.6	1.5
213	217	Roland Michener	Slave Lake	4.9	4.5	273	243	Mistassiny	Wabasca	0.0	0.0
213	n/a	Gerard Redmond	Hinton	4.9	n/a	273	n/a	Braemar	Edmonton	0.0	n/a
213	n/a	Warburg	Warburg	4.9	n/a	273	n/a	Int'l School of Excellence	Calgary	0.0	n/a
217	159	Concordia	Edmonton	4.8	5.7	273	n/a	Vilna	Vilna	0.0	n/a

Appendix: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10

The *Overall rating out of 10* is intended to answer the question, “In general, how is the school doing, academically compared with other schools in the *Report Card*?” The following is a simplified description of the procedure used to convert the raw indicator data into the *Overall rating out of 10*.

- 1 Course by course, the average diploma examination marks and failure rates for each school were standardized by calculating Z , which is defined by:

$$Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$$

where X is the individual school’s result, μ is the mean of the all-schools distribution of results, and σ is the standard deviation of the same all-schools distribution.

- 2 The *School vs exam mark difference* for each course was calculated using the raw data and then standardized as described in step 1 above.
- 3 The course-by-course standardized data were then aggregated to produce weighted average indicator values. The weighting used was the number of student course completions in each course at the school relative to the total number of student course completions at the school.
- 4 These weighted average results were then re-standardized.
- 5 The *Gender gap* indicators were calculated using the raw data and then standardized as described in step 1 above.
- 6 The *Courses taken per student*, *Diploma completion rate*, and *Delayed advancement rate* indicators were calculated using the raw data and then standardized as described in step 1 above.
- 7 The eight standardized indicator results were then combined to produce a weighted average summary standardized score for the school. The weightings used in these calculations were *Average exam mark*—20%, *Percentage of exams failed*—20%, *School vs exam mark*—10%, *English 30 gender gap*—5%, *Pure Math 30 gender gap*—5%, *Courses taken per student*—20%, *Diploma completion rate*—10%, and *Delayed advancement rate*—10%. In instances when fewer than two *Gender gap* indicators could be calculated, *Gender gap* results did not contribute to the *Overall rating*. In such instances, the *School vs exam mark difference* was weighted at 20%. Similarly, when the *Delayed advancement rate* could not be calculated, the *Diploma completion rate* was weighted at 20%.
- 8 This summary standardized score was re-standardized.

This standardized score was converted into an *Overall rating* between 0 and 10 as follows:

9 The maximum and minimum standardized scores were set at 2.2 and -3.29 respectively. Scores equal to, or greater than, 2.2 received the highest overall rating of 10. This cut-off was chosen because it allows more than one school in a given year to be awarded 10 out of 10. Scores of equal to, or less than, -3.29 received the lowest overall rating of 0. Schools with scores below -3.29 are likely to be outliers, a statistical term used to denote members of a population that appear to have characteristics substantially different from the rest of the population. We chose, therefore, to set the minimum score so as to disregard such extreme differences.

10 The resulting standardized scores were converted into *Overall ratings* according to the formula:

$$OR = \mu + (\sigma * StanScore),$$

where *OR* is the resulting *Overall rating*, μ is the average calculated according to the formula:

$$\mu = (OR_{min} - 10 (Z_{min} / Z_{max})) / (1 - (Z_{min} / Z_{max})),$$

where σ is the standard deviation calculated according to the formula:

$$\sigma = (10 - \mu) / Z_{max},$$

and StanScore is the standardized score calculated in (8) above and adjusted as required for minimum and maximum values as noted in (9) above. As noted in (9) above, OR_{min} equals zero, Z_{min} equals -3.29 ; and Z_{max} equals 2.2.

11 Finally, the derived *Overall rating* is rounded to one place of the decimal to reflect the significant number of places of the decimal in the original raw data.

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating*, it must improve more than the average. If it improves but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

About the authors

Peter Cowley

Peter Cowley is the Senior Vice President, Operations and Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from the University of British Columbia with a B.Comm. in 1974. Shortly thereafter, he began a long career in marketing and general management in several sectors. During his assignments in general management, process improvement was a special focus and interest. In 1994, Mr Cowley independently wrote and published *The Parent's Guide*, a popular handbook for parents of British Columbia's secondary-school students. *The Parent's Guide* web site replaced the handbook in 1995. In 1998, Mr Cowley was co-author of the Fraser Institute's *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia*, the first of the Institute's continuing series of annual reports on school performance. This was followed by *The 1999 Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools, Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, and *The 1999 Report Card on Alberta's High Schools*. Since then, Mr Cowley has co-authored all of the Institute's annual *Report Cards*. Annual editions now include *Report Cards* on secondary schools in British Columbia & Yukon, Alberta, Ontario, and Quebec; and *Report Cards* on elementary schools in British Columbia, Alberta, and Ontario. *The Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia* is published every second year. He continues his research on education and related issues for the Fraser Institute.

Stephen T. Easton

Stephen T. Easton is a professor of Economics at Simon Fraser University and a Senior Scholar at the Fraser Institute. He received his A.B. from Oberlin College and his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago. Recent works published by the Fraser Institute include *Privatizing Prisons* (editor, 1998), *The Costs of Crime: Who Pays and How Much? 1998 Update* (with Paul Brantingham, 1998), and

Rating Global Economic Freedom (editor, 1992). A co-author of *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia* (1998), *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools* (1999) and the *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia* (2004), he has continued to co-author the *Report Cards* of British Columbia, Alberta, New Brunswick and, most recently, Ontario. Other publications about education include "Do We Have a Problem Yet? Women and Men in Higher Education," in David Laidler (ed.), *Renovating the Ivory Tower: Canadian Universities and the Knowledge Economy* (Toronto: C.D. Howe Institute, 2002), pp. 60–79; "Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose" in Stephen B. Lawton, Rodney Reed, and Fons van Wieringen, *Restructuring Public Schooling* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1997) and *Education in Canada: An Analysis of Elementary, Secondary and Vocational Schooling* (Vancouver: the Fraser Institute, 1988). His editorials have been carried by the *Vancouver Sun*, the *Globe and Mail*, the *National Post*, the *Ottawa Citizen*, the *Stirling chain* and many other newspapers around the country.

Michael Thomas

Michael Thomas is the Associate Director, Report Cards Program, School Performance Studies and Program Director of Children First: School Choice Trust at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from York University with a B.A. in Political Science in 1997. Before joining the Fraser Institute in 2005, Mr. Thomas served as a policy advisor to the Ontario Minister of Education, where his files included adult education, students at risk, new curriculum initiatives, student health initiatives, and the community use of schools. Mr. Thomas is a former public school trustee, who served on the Toronto District School Board from 1997 to 2000. He has also held positions within the City of Toronto, and the Ontario Ministries of Tourism and Recreation and Citizenship.

Publishing information

Distribution

These publications are available from <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org>> in Portable Document Format (PDF) and can be read with Adobe Acrobat® 7 or Adobe Reader®, versions 7 or later. Adobe Reader® X, the most recent version, is available free of charge from Adobe Systems Inc. at <<http://get.adobe.com/reader/>>. Readers who have trouble viewing or printing our PDF files using applications from other manufacturers (e.g., Apple's Preview) should use Reader® or Acrobat®.

Ordering publications

For information about ordering the printed publications of the Fraser Institute, please contact the publications coordinator:

- ✎ e-mail: sales@fraserinstitute.org
- ✎ telephone: 604.688.0221 ext. 580 or, toll free, 1.800.665.3558 ext. 580
- ✎ fax: 604.688.8539.

Media

For media enquiries, please contact our Communications Department:

- ✎ 604.714.4582
- ✎ e-mail: communications@fraserinstitute.org.

Copyright

Copyright © 2012 by the Fraser Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

ISSN / ISBN

- ✎ 1707–2395 Studies in Education Policy (English online edition)
- ✎ 1492–1863 Studies in Education Policy (English print edition)

Date of issue

June 2012

Editing

Lindsey Thomas Martin

Typesetting

Nick Murphy

Cover design

Bill Ray

Images for cover

- ✎ Boys in school ©Steve Stone; iStock
- ✎ Girl solving a math problem on blackboard ©Bart Coenders; iStock
- ✎ Attentive reading ©Grigory Bibikov; iStock
- ✎ Library series ©Willie B. Thomas; iStock
- ✎ 8-year old schoolgirl doing homework ©mamahoooba; iStock
- ✎ Student working in class ©Bonnie Jacobs; iStock

Supporting the Fraser Institute

To learn how to support the Fraser Institute, please contact

- ✦ Development Department, Fraser Institute
Fourth Floor, 1770 Burrard Street
Vancouver, British Columbia, V6J 3G7 Canada
- ✦ telephone, toll-free: 1.800.665.3558 ext. 586
- ✦ e-mail: development@fraserinstitute.org

Lifetime patrons

For their long-standing and valuable support contributing to the success of the Fraser Institute, the following people have been recognized and inducted as Lifetime Patrons of the Fraser Institute.

Sonja Bata	Serge Darkazanli	Fred Mannix
Charles Barlow	John Dobson	Con Riley
Ev Berg	Raymond Heung	Catherine Windels
Art Grunder	Bill Korol	
Jim Chaplin	Bill Mackness	

Purpose, funding & independence

The Fraser Institute provides a useful public service. We report objective information about the economic and social effects of current public policies, and we offer evidence-based research and education about policy options that can improve the quality of life.

The Institute is a non-profit organization. Our activities are funded by charitable donations, unrestricted grants, ticket sales, and sponsorships from events, the licensing of products for public distribution, and the sale of publications.

All research is subject to rigorous review by external experts, and is conducted and published separately from the Institute's Board of Trustees and its donors.

The opinions expressed by the authors are those

of the individuals themselves, and do not necessarily reflect those of the Institute, its Board of Trustees, its donors and supporters, or its staff. This publication in no way implies that the Fraser Institute, its trustees, or staff are in favour of, or oppose the passage of, any bill; or that they support or oppose any particular political party or candidate.

As a healthy part of public discussion among fellow citizens who desire to improve the lives of people through better public policy, the Institute welcomes evidence-focused scrutiny of the research we publish, including verification of data sources, replication of analytical methods, and intelligent debate about the practical effects of policy recommendations.

About the Fraser Institute

Our vision is a free and prosperous world where individuals benefit from greater choice, competitive markets, and personal responsibility. Our mission is to measure, study, and communicate the impact of competitive markets and government interventions on the welfare of individuals.

Founded in 1974, we are an independent Canadian research and educational organization with locations throughout North America and international partners in over 85 countries. Our work is financed by tax-deductible contributions from thousands of indi-

viduals, organizations, and foundations. In order to protect its independence, the Institute does not accept grants from government or contracts for research.

Nous envisageons un monde libre et prospère, où chaque personne bénéficie d'un plus grand choix, de marchés concurrentiels et de responsabilités individuelles. Notre mission consiste à mesurer, à étudier et à communiquer l'effet des marchés concurrentiels et des interventions gouvernementales sur le bien-être des individus.

Peer review—validating the accuracy of our research

The Fraser Institute maintains a rigorous peer review process for its research. New research, major research projects, and substantively modified research conducted by the Fraser Institute are reviewed by a minimum of one internal expert and two external experts. Reviewers are expected to have a recognized expertise in the topic area being addressed. Whenever possible, external review is a blind process.

Commentaries and conference papers are reviewed by internal experts. Updates to previously reviewed research or new editions of previously reviewed

research are not reviewed unless the update includes substantive or material changes in the methodology.

The review process is overseen by the directors of the Institute's research departments who are responsible for ensuring all research published by the Institute passes through the appropriate peer review. If a dispute about the recommendations of the reviewers should arise during the Institute's peer review process, the Institute has an Editorial Advisory Board, a panel of scholars from Canada, the United States, and Europe to whom it can turn for help in resolving the dispute.

Editorial Board

Members

Prof. Armen Alchian	Prof. Bev Dahlby	Prof. Ronald W. Jones
Prof. Terry L. Anderson	Prof. Erwin Diewert	Dr. Jerry Jordan
Prof. Robert Barro	Prof. Stephen Easton	Prof. Ross McKittrick
Prof. Michael Bliss	Prof. J.C. Herbert Emery	Prof. Michael Parkin
Prof. James M. Buchanan†	Prof. Jack L. Granatstein	Prof. Friedrich Schneider
Prof. Jean-Pierre Centi	Prof. Herbert G. Grubel	Prof. Lawrence B. Smith
Prof. John Chant	Prof. James Gwartney	Mr. Vito Tanzi

Past members

Prof. Friedrich A. Hayek* †	Prof. F.G. Penance*	Sir Alan Walters*
Prof. H.G. Johnson*	Prof. George Stigler* †	Prof. Edwin G. West*

* deceased; † Nobel Laureate