

# Studies in Education Policy



May 2011

## Report Card on Secondary Schools in British Columbia and Yukon 2011

by Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas





May 2011

# Report Card on Secondary Schools in British Columbia and Yukon 2011

By Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas



## Contents

<i>Introduction</i> .....	3
<i>Key academic indicators of school performance</i> .....	5
<i>Other indicators of school performance</i> .....	10
<i>Detailed school reports</i> .....	12
<i>How does your school stack up?</i> .....	36
<i>Appendix: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10</i> .....	40
<i>About the authors and Acknowledgments</i> .....	42
<i>About this publication</i> .....	44
<i>About the Fraser Institute</i> .....	46



# Introduction

The *Report Card on Secondary Schools in British Columbia and Yukon* collects a variety of relevant, objective indicators of school performance into one easily accessible, public document so that all interested parties—parents, school administrators, teachers, students, and taxpayers—can analyze and compare the performance of individual schools. Parents use the *Report Card*'s indicator values, ratings, and rankings to compare schools when they choose an education provider for their children. Parents and school administrators use the results to identify areas of academic performance in which improvement can be made.

## **The Report Card helps parents choose**

Where parents can choose among several schools for their children, the *Report Card* provides a valuable tool for making a decision. Because it makes comparisons easy, the *Report Card* alerts parents to those nearby schools that appear to have more effective academic programs. Parents can also determine whether schools of interest are improving over time. By first studying the *Report Card*, parents will be better prepared to ask relevant questions when they interview the principal and teachers at the schools under consideration.

Of course, the choice of a school should not be made solely on the basis of any one source of information. Families choosing a school for their students should seek to confirm the *Report Card*'s findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers and school administrators. Parents who already have a child enrolled at the school can provide another point of view. Useful information may also be found on the web sites of the ministry of education, local school

boards, and individual schools. In addition, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*. Nevertheless, the *Report Card* provides a detailed picture of each school that is not easily available elsewhere.

## **The Report Card facilitates school improvement**

Certainly, the act of publicly rating and ranking schools attracts attention; attention can provide motivation. Schools that perform well or show consistent improvement are applauded. Poorly performing schools generate concern, as do those whose performance is deteriorating. This inevitable attention provides an incentive for all those connected with a school to focus on student results.

However, the *Report Card* offers more than motivation; it also offers opportunity. The *Report Card* includes a variety of indicators, each of which reports results for an aspect of school performance that might be improved. School administrators who are dedicated to improvement accept the *Report Card* as another source of opportunities for improvement.

## **Some schools do better than others**

To improve a school, one must believe that improvement is achievable. This *Report Card* provides evidence about what can be accomplished. It demonstrates clearly that, even when we take into account factors such as the students' family backgrounds, which some believe dictate the degree of academic success that students will have in school, some schools do better than others. This finding confirms the results of

research carried out in other countries.<sup>1</sup> Indeed, it will come as no great surprise to experienced parents and educators that the data consistently suggest that what goes on in the schools makes a difference to academic results and that some schools make more of a difference than others.

### *Comparisons are at the heart of the improvement process*

Comparative and historical data enable parents and school administrators to gauge their school's effectiveness more accurately. By comparing a school's latest results with those of earlier years, they can see if the school is improving. By comparing a school's results with those of neighbouring schools and of schools with similar school and student characteristics, they can identify more successful schools and learn from them. Reference to overall provincial results places an individual school's level of achievement in a broader context.

There is great benefit in identifying schools that are particularly effective. By studying the techniques used in schools where students are successful, less effective schools may find ways to improve.

Comparisons are at the heart of improvement: making comparisons among schools is made simpler and more meaningful by the *Report Card's* indicators, ratings, and rankings.

### **You can contribute to the *Report Card's* development**

The *Report Card* program benefits from the input of interested parties. We welcome your suggestions, comments, and criticisms. Please call Michael Thomas, Associate Director of School Performance Studies at 416.363.6575.

### **Notes**

- 1 See, for instance, Michael Rutter et al., *Fifteen Thousand Hours: Secondary Schools and Their Effects on Children* (Harvard University Press, 1979); Peter Mortimore et al., *School Matters: The Junior Years* (Open Books, 1988).



# Key academic indicators of school performance

The foundation of the *Report Card* is an overall rating of each school's academic performance. Building on data about student results provided by the Ministry of Education,<sup>1</sup> we rate each school on a scale from zero to 10. We base our overall rating of each school's academic performance on seven indicators:

- (1) the average exam mark in the grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 courses that include a mandatory provincial exam;
- (2) percentage of grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 mandatory provincial examinations failed;
- (3) average difference by which the school mark exceeds the examination mark in the courses considered in (1) and (2) above;
- (4) difference between male and female students in their exam mark in English 10 only;
- (5) difference between male and female students in their exam mark in Mathematics 10 only;
- (6) graduation rate;
- (7) delayed advancement rate.

We have selected this set of indicators because they provide systematic insight into a school's performance. Because they are based on annually generated data, we can assess not only each school's performance in a year but also its improvement or deterioration over time.

## Three indicators of effective teaching

### 1 *Average mandatory examination marks*

This indicator (in the tables *Average exam mark*) is the average percentage achieved by a school's students on the grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 final examinations in all of the courses that include a mandatory provincial exam.<sup>2</sup> For each school, the indicator is the average of the mean scores achieved by the school's students in each of these mandatory examinations at all sittings during the year, weighted by the relative number of students who wrote the examination.

Examinations are designed to achieve a distribution of results reflecting the differences in students' mastery of the course work. Differences among students in interests, abilities, motivation, and work-habits will inevitably have some impact upon the final results. There are, however, recognizable differences from school to school within a district in the average results on the provincial examinations. There is also variation within schools in the results obtained in different subject areas. Such differences in outcomes cannot be wholly explained by the individual and family characteristics of the school's students. It seems reasonable, therefore, to include the average examination mark for each school as one indicator of effective teaching.

### 2 *Percentage of provincial examinations failed*

For each school, this indicator (in the tables *Percentage of exams failed*) provides the rate of failure (as a percentage) in the grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 mandatory provincial examinations. It was derived by dividing the sum, for each school, of all the mandatory provincial examinations written where a fail-

ing grade was awarded by the total number of such examinations written by the students of that school.

In part, effective teaching can be measured by the ability of the students to pass any uniform examination that is a requirement for successful completion of a course. Schools have the responsibility of preparing their students to pass these final examinations.

### 3 *Difference between school mark and examination mark*

For each school, this indicator (in the tables *School vs exam mark difference*) gives the average amount (for all grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 courses with a mandatory provincial exam) by which the “school” mark—the assessment of each student’s learning that is made by the school—exceeds the exam mark in that course.<sup>3</sup>

Effective teaching includes regular testing so that students may be aware of their progress. For such assessment to be useful, it must accurately reflect the student’s understanding of the course. As a systematic policy, inflation of school-awarded grades will be counterproductive. Students who believe they are already successful when they are not will be less likely to invest the extra effort needed to master the course material. In the end, they will be poorer for not having achieved the level of understanding that they could have gained through additional study.

The effectiveness of school-based assessments can be determined by a comparison to external assessments of the students. In each course that includes a mandatory provincial examination, the Ministry of Education, the same authority that designed the course, administers a uniform examination. This examination will test the students’ knowledge of the material contained in the course. If the marks assigned by the school are a reasonably accurate reflection of students’ understanding, they should be roughly the same as the mark gained on the provincial examination. Thus, if a school has accurately assessed a student as consistently working at a C+ level, the student’s examination result will be at a similar level. If, however, a school is consistently granting marks substantially higher than those

achieved by its students on the final examinations, then the school is not providing an accurate indicator of the extent to which knowledge of the course material is being acquired.

## **An indicator of consistency in teaching and assessment**

### *The Gender gap indicators*

Research<sup>4</sup> has shown systematic sex-based differences in academic results in British Columbia’s secondary schools. However, the same research found that “there appears to be no compelling evidence that girls and boys should, given effective teaching and counselling, experience differential rates of success.”<sup>5</sup> Further, “[t]he differences described by each indicator vary from school to school over a considerable range of values.”<sup>6</sup>

The *Gender gap* indicators measure the difference, if any, in the average exam marks in Mathematics 10 and English 10 for boys and girls. The indicator reports the size of the difference and the more successful sex.

## **Two indicators of practical, well-informed counselling**

While they are attending secondary school, students must make a number of decisions of considerable significance about their education. Once they have reached the age of 16, for instance, they are at liberty to continue or end their educational program.<sup>7</sup> Before grade 10, they are required to choose between different streams in Mathematics. They will annually decide whether to begin or continue the study of a second language.

Will these young people make good decisions? It is unrealistic to presume that they can do so without advice. What practical, well-informed counselling can they call upon? While parents, in the main, are willing to help, many lack the information they need to be able to provide good advice. It falls, therefore,

to the schools to shoulder some responsibility for advising students and their parents about educational choices.

The final two indicators used in the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10* assess the counsel given by the schools by measuring the quality of the decisions taken by the students about their education. Of course, wise students will seek guidance not only from the counsellors designated by the schools but also from teachers and administrators, parents, and other relatives. Where students have strong support from family and community, the school's responsibility for counselling may be lighter; where students do not have such strong support, the school's role may be more challenging. These indicators measure the school's success in using the tools at its disposal to help students make good decisions about their education.

Of the decisions that senior students must make, perhaps the most important is the decision to remain in school, do the work, and graduate with their class. Effective counselling will encourage students to make appropriate choices.

### 1 *Delayed advancement rate*

This indicator measures the extent to which schools keep their students in school and progressing in a timely manner toward completion of their graduation program. It uses data that report the educational status of students one year after they have enrolled in a given grade at a school in British Columbia. For example, we can determine from these data how many of a school's grade-10 students re-enroll in the following year in grade 11; are enrolled in grade 10 for a second time; or fail to re-enroll. With these raw data, following a technique that we introduced to Canada in the *Report Card on Quebec's Secondary Schools, 2001 Edition*,<sup>8</sup> we calculate a statistic that will answer the question, "Based on this single year's school results, what is the likelihood that a student entering grade 10 at the school will graduate in the normal three-year period?"

The indicator is calculated as follows. For each school, for each of grades 10, 11, and 12, a rate of

successful transition is determined by first summing the number of students who either graduate in the current school year or re-enroll in a higher grade in the following year and then dividing that sum by the number of students enrolled in the grade in the current year. Then, for each grade, a dropout rate is determined by subtracting the rate of successful transition from 1. Each of the three dropout rates is then reduced by the grade-8 dropout rate at the school to produce a net dropout rate for each grade. We have adopted the grade-8 drop-out rate as an estimate of the "involuntary" drop-out rate caused by events such as emigration or death that lead to the disappearance of students from the school system.

The *Delayed advancement rate* indicator can now be calculated. The complement of the net dropout rates ( $1 - \text{net drop-out rate}$ ) for grades 10 through 12 is determined and their product is calculated. This three-year composite successful transition rate is then subtracted from 1 to produce the *Delayed advancement rate* indicator that appears in the detailed tables.

Where a school does not enroll grade-8 students, the net dropout rate is calculated using the weighted average grade-8 dropout rate for all the schools in the relevant school district. Where a school does not enroll grade-10 or grade-11 students, no *Delayed advancement rate* can be calculated. The relative weighting in the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10* that is given to this and the other indicators is explained in the Appendix.

### 2 *Graduation rate*

This indicator, related to the *Delayed advancement rate*, compares the number of students eligible to graduate enrolled in the school on September 30 with the number of students who actually graduate by the end of the same school year. Only those enrollees who are capable of graduating with their class within the current school year are included in the count of eligible graduates.

Graduation from secondary school retains considerable value since it increases options for post-secondary education. Further, graduates from secondary school who decide to enter the work force immedi-

ately will, on average, find more job opportunities than those who have not graduated. By completing the 11 years of schooling in preparation for the final secondary school year, students have already demonstrated a reasonable ability to handle the basic courses offered by the school. Moreover, for the majority of students, the minimum requirements for graduation are not onerous. The chance that students will not graduate solely because they are unable to meet the intellectual demands of the curriculum is, therefore, relatively small.

Nevertheless, the graduation rate varies quite widely from school to school throughout the province. While there are factors not related to education—emigration from the province, sickness, death, and the like—that can affect the data, there is no reason to expect these factors to influence particular schools systematically. Accordingly, we take variations in the graduation rate to be an indicator of the extent to which students are being well coached in their educational choices.

## **In general, how is the school doing academically?**

### **The Overall rating out of 10**

While each of the indicators is important, it is almost always the case that a school does better on some indicators than on others. So, just as a teacher must make a decision about a student's overall performance, we need an overall indicator of school performance (in the tables *Overall rating out of 10*). Just as teachers combine test scores, homework, and class participation to rate a student, we have combined all the indicators to produce an overall school rating. The overall rating of school performance answers the question, "In general, how is the school doing, academically compared to others in the *Report Card*?"

To derive this rating, the results for each of the indicators for each school year were first standardized. Standardization is a statistical procedure whereby sets of raw data with different characteristics are converted into sets of values with "standard" sta-

tistical properties. Standardized values can readily be combined and compared.

The standardized data were then combined as required to produce seven standardized scores—one for each indicator—for each school, for each year. The standardized scores were weighted and combined to produce an overall standardized score. Finally, this score was converted into an overall rating out of 10. It is from this *Overall rating out of 10* that the school's provincial rank is determined. For schools teaching only one sex, there are, of course, no results for the *Gender gap* indicators. In these cases, the *Overall rating* is derived using the remaining seven indicators. (See the Appendix for an explanation of the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10*.)

Finally, note that the Overall rating out of 10, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its overall rating, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

## **Notes**

- 1 The data from which these indicators are derived is provided by British Columbia's Ministry of Education.
- 2 In 2009/2010 school year, mandatory provincial examinations were administered in the following grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 subjects: Applications of Mathematics 10; BC First Nations Studies 12; Civic Studies 11; Communications 12; English 10; English 12; English 12 First Peoples; Essentials of Mathematics 10; Français Langue Première 10; Français Langue Première 12; Principles of Mathematics 10; Science 10; and Social Studies 11.
- 3 A student's final mark for all courses that include a mandatory provincial examination is derived from both the mark received on the course's pro-

- 
- vincial examination and a mark provided by the school.
- 4 Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, Public Policy Sources 22 (Fraser Institute, 1999).
- 5 Cowley and Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades*, page 7.
- 6 Cowley and Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades*, page 17.
- 7 See *School Act*, BC, Part II, Section 3, Subsection 1b.
- 8 Richard Marceau and Peter Cowley, *Report Card on Quebec's Secondary Schools: 2001 Edition*, Studies in Education Policy (Fraser Institute, 2001), pages 8–9.

# Other indicators of school performance

Since the inception of the *Report Card*, we have added other indicators that, while they are not used to derive the *Overall rating out of 10*, add more information about a school's effectiveness.

## The Socioeconomic indicator

When they design their lesson plans and deliver the curriculum, educators can and should take into account the abilities, interests, and backgrounds of their students. By doing so, educators can overcome disadvantages that their students may have. The socioeconomic indicator enables us to identify schools that are successful despite adverse conditions faced by their students at home. Similarly, it identifies schools where students with a relatively positive home situation appear not to be reaching their presumed potential.

The socioeconomic indicator was derived as follows. First, using enrollment data from the Ministry of Education sorted by Dissemination Area (a census geography) and 2006 census data provided by Statistics Canada, we established a profile of the student body's home characteristics for each of the schools in the *Report Card*. We then used multiple regression analysis to determine which of the home characteristics were associated with variations in school performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10*. Taking into account all of the socioeconomic variables simultaneously, we identified one characteristic that was significantly associated with the *Overall rating*: the average parental income from wages, salaries, and unemployment. When a school had higher income parents, the *Overall rating* at the school was likely to be higher.<sup>1</sup>

As a measure of the success with which each school took into account the socioeconomic characteristics of the student body, we used the formula derived from the regression analysis to predict the *Overall rating* for each school. We then reported the difference (in the tables *Actual rating vs predicted rating based on parents' avg. inc.*) between the actual *Overall rating* and this predicted value in each school's results table.

For example, during the 2009/2010 school year, Gleneagle Secondary School, a public school in Coquitlam, achieved an *Overall rating* of 7.2 and yet, when the average parental income of the student body is taken into account, the school was expected to achieve a rating of only about 5.9. The difference of 1.3 is reported in the tables. On the other hand, the actual *Overall rating* of Centennial Secondary School, another public school in Coquitlam was 4.9, although its predicted rating was 5.9. The reported difference for Centennial Secondary is -1.0. This measurement suggests that Gleneagle Secondary is more successful than Centennial Secondary in enabling all of its students to reach their potential.

This measure of the effect of the socioeconomic background of a school's student body is presented with two important notes of caution. First, only about 30% of the variation among BC schools in the overall rating is associated with average parental income. Clearly, many other factors—including good teaching, counselling, and school administration—contribute to the effectiveness of schools. Second, the statistical measures used describe past relationships between a socioeconomic characteristic and a measure of school effectiveness. These relationships may not remain static. The more effectively the school enables all of its students to succeed, the weaker will be the relationship between the home characteristics

of its students and their academic success. Thus, this socioeconomic indicator should not be used as an excuse or rationale for poor school performance. The effective school will produce good results, regardless of the family background of its students.

### **The *Student characteristics* indicators**

For each public school, the *Report Card* notes the percentage of its students who are enrolled in ESL programs, in French Immersion programs, or who

have identified special needs. As was noted in the introduction, it is sometimes useful to compare a school's results to those of similar schools. These three indicators can be used to identify schools with similar student-body characteristics.

### **Notes**

- 1 Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Third Annual Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, Studies in Education Policy (Fraser Institute, 2000), pages 12, 119.

# Detailed school reports

## How to read the tables

Use the sample table and the explanation of each line below to help you interpret the detailed results for individual schools. Families choosing a school for their students should seek to confirm the *Report Card*'s findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers, school administrators, and other parents. And, of course, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*.

More information regarding schools may be found

on the Ministry of Education's web site at <<http://www.gov.bc.ca/bced/>> and on the web sites of local school districts and individual schools.

---

**IMPORTANT** — In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

---

### SCHOOL DISTRICT

School name [Affiliation] City	Gr 12 Enrollment: 223 – A				
B – ESL (%): 3.8	Special needs (%): 7.0		French Imm (%): 3.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
C – on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,100: 1.0	Rank:		74/274	94/256	
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
D – Average exam mark	69.4	66.8	69.1	68.5	69.1
E – Percentage of exams failed	8.1	9.9	7.2	6.6	6.5
F – School vs exam mark difference	2.7	3.3	1.5	2.8	1.9
G – English gender gap	F 3.9	F 6.5	F 7.5	F 2.0	F 3.8
H – Math gender gap	F 1.4	F 4.2	F 6.1	F 0.6	F 1.7
I – Graduation rate	96.3	92.0	92.9	94.7	97.4
J – Delayed advancement rate	17.1	21.6	15.5	16.0	12.8
K – <b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>

### A—Gr 12 Enrollment

The grade-12 enrollment on September 30, 2009. Indicator results for small schools tend to be more variable than do those for larger schools and caution should be used in interpreting the results for smaller schools.

### B—ESL (%); Special needs (%); French Imm (%)

These statistics report the percentage of students for whom English is a second-language; the percentage of

students with special needs; and the percentage of students registered in French Immersion programs at the school. When you want to compare academic results, these statistics can be used to find other schools where the student body has similar characteristics.

### C (left)—Actual rating vs predicted based on average parental employment income

This statistic compares the school's actual *Overall rating out of 10* with the rating that is predicted by the average parental employment income in each stu-



dent's family. A positive difference suggests that the school is effective in enabling its students to succeed regardless of their family's characteristics.

### *C (right)—Academic ranking*

The school's overall academic rank in the province for 2009/2010 and for the most recent five years. These rankings show how the school has done academically compared to the other schools in the province. A high ranking over five years indicates consistently strong results at the school.

### *D—Average exam mark*

The average provincial mark (%) achieved by the school's students in all the grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 courses in which the provincial exam is mandatory.

### *E—Percentage of exams failed*

The percentage of all the mandatory grade-10, grade-11, and grade-12 provincial examinations written by students at the school that received a failing grade.

### *F—School vs exam mark difference*

The average difference (in percentage points) between the mark received at the school and the provincial examination mark in all the courses in which the provincial exam is mandatory. A large difference usually indicates that the school has been "inflating" grades.

### *G—English 10 gender gap*

### *H—Math 10 gender gap*

The difference (in percentage points) between boys' and girls' average exam marks in English 10 and Math 10. Where the difference favours girls, the value is preceded by an **F**; where the difference favours boys, the value is preceded by an **M**. An **E** means that there is no difference between the girls and the boys on this measure. Small differences indicate that the school is doing a good job for all its students.

### *I—Graduation rate*

The percentage of eligible graduates enrolled on

September 30 who actually graduate in the same school year. Higher rates of graduation indicate that the school is doing a good job of keeping students on track and focused on their work during their final year.

### *J—Delayed advancement rate*

The estimated percentage of the schools grade-10 students who will not complete grade 12 within three years. Low *Delayed advancement rates* indicate that the school's students are likely to complete the last three grades of secondary school in the normal time.

### *K—Overall rating out of 10*

The *Overall rating out of 10* takes into account the school's performance on all of these indicators and answers the question, "In general, how is the school doing in academics compared to other schools in the *Report Card*?"

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its overall rating, it must improve at a greater rate than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

## **Other notes**

### *Note 1*

Due to the substantial changes to the report card's design that are introduced in this and the previous edition, care should be taken when making comparisons between a school's 2007 and 2008 results and those of previous years.

### *Note 2*

The tables showing the detailed school results are organized by five geographic regions as follows: (1) Lower Mainland, (2) Vancouver Island and the Coast, (3) Fraser Valley and Southern British Columbia, (4) Interior, Northern British Columbia, and (5) Yukon. Within each geographic region, school districts are grouped alphabetically. Finally, within

each school district, both public and independent (private) schools are listed alphabetically.

### Note 3

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. Of all the schools for which any mandatory provincial examination results were reported, this *Report Card* rated 274. Excluded are schools at which fewer than 15 students were enrolled in grade 12 and schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an *Overall rating out of 10*. Also excluded from the ratings and rankings are: centres for adult education and continuing education; schools that cater largely to non-resident foreign students; and certain alternative schools that do not offer a full program of courses.

**The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* should not be construed as a judgement of the school's effectiveness.**

### Note 4

Where there were insufficient data available with

which to calculate an indicator or where a school was not in operation during a specific year, "n/a" appears in the tables.

### Note 5

The complete *Report Card on Secondary Schools in British Columbia and Yukon* may be downloaded from the Fraser Institute's web site at <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org/reportcards/schoolperformance/>>.

### Note 6

Due to continuing improvements in methodology, some historical values for indicators and overall ratings are different from those previously reported.

### Note 7

You can compare a school's results with the all-schools results shown below.

### Note 8

If you have questions about the *Report Card*, please contact Michael Thomas at the Fraser Institute at 416.363.6575.

<b>Average values for all schools 2009/2010</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 178</b>			
ESL (%): 3.4	Special Needs (%): 10.7	French Immersion (%): 13.9			
Average Parents' Income: \$68,700					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	67.9	66.9	68.7	68.6	69.0
Percentage of exams failed	11.9	11.8	9.1	8.7	8.1
School vs exam mark difference	6.2	5.8	4.1	4.4	4.3
English gender gap	2.6	3.8	6.0	4.5	5.1
Math gender gap	2.4	3.0	3.3	2.6	2.7
Graduation rate	94.7	93.6	94.9	95.8	95.8
Delayed advancement rate	22.0	20.8	23.1	19.0	17.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>

\* These results reflect the average size of the gender gaps. In 2009/2010, the English gender gap favoured females at 97.6% of schools, males at 2.0% of schools and was even at 0.4% schools. The Math gender gap favoured females at 68.8% of schools, males at 30.0% of schools, and was even at 1.2% schools.

**List of cities and school districts**

<b>City</b>	<b>School district</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>School district</b>
100 Mile House	Cariboo-Chilcotin	Midway	Boundary
Abbotsford	Abbotsford	Mill Bay	Cowichan Valley
Agassiz	Fraser-Cascade	Mission	Mission
Aldergrove	Langley	Nakusp	Arrow Lakes
Armstrong	North Okanagan-Shuswap	Nanaimo	Nanaimo-Ladysmith
Ashcroft	Gold Trail	Nelson	Kootenay Lake
Barriere	Kamloops/Thompson	New Westminster	New Westminster
Burnaby	Burnaby	North Vancouver	North Vancouver
Burns Lake	Nechako Lakes	Oliver	Okanagan Similkameen
Campbell River	Campbell River	Osoyoos	Okanagan Similkameen
Castlegar	Kootenay-Columbia	Parksville	Qualicum
Chase	Kamloops/Thompson	Pemberton	Sea to Sky
Chemainus	Cowichan Valley	Penticton	Okanagan Skaha
Chetwynd	Peace River South	Pitt Meadows	Maple Ridge-Pitt Meadows
Chilliwack	Chilliwack	Port Alberni	Alberni
Clearwater	Kamloops/Thompson	Port Coquitlam	Coquitlam
Comox	Comox Valley	Port Hardy	Vancouver Island North
Coquitlam	Coquitlam	Port McNeill	Vancouver Island North
Courtenay	Comox Valley	Port Moody	Coquitlam
Cranbrook	Southeast Kootenay	Powell River	Powell River
Creston	Kootenay Lake	Prince George	Prince George
Dawson Creek	Peace River South	Prince Rupert	Prince Rupert
Delta	Delta	Princeton	Nicola-Similkameen
Duncan	Cowichan Valley	Qualicum Beach	Qualicum
Enderby	North Okanagan-Shuswap	Quesnel	Quesnel
Fernie	Southeast Kootenay	Revelstoke	Revelstoke
Fort Langley	Langley	Richmond	Richmond
Fort Nelson	Fort Nelson	Rossland	Kootenay-Columbia
Fort St James	Nechako Lakes	Saanichton	Saanich
Fort St John	Peace River North	Salmon Arm	North Okanagan-Shuswap
Fraser Lake	Nechako Lakes	Salt Spring Island	Gulf Islands
Gibsons	Sunshine Coast	Sechelt	Sunshine Coast
Golden	Rocky Mountain	Shawnigan Lake	Cowichan Valley
Grand Forks	Boundary	Sicamous	North Okanagan-Shuswap
Hazelton	Coast Mountains	Sidney	Saanich
Hope	Fraser-Cascade	Smithers	Bulkley Valley
Houston	Bulkley Valley	Sooke	Sooke
Invermere	Rocky Mountain	South Slokan	Kootenay Lake
Kamloops	Kamloops/Thompson	Sparwood	Southeast Kootenay
Kaslo	Kootenay Lake	Squamish	Sea to Sky
Kelowna	Central Okanagan	Summerland	Okanagan Skaha
Keremeos	Okanagan Similkameen	Surrey	Surrey
Kimberley	Rocky Mountain	Terrace	Coast Mountains
Kitimat	Coast Mountains	Trail	Kootenay-Columbia
Ladysmith	Nanaimo-Ladysmith	Vancouver	Vancouver
Lake Cowichan	Cowichan Valley	Vanderhoof	Nechako Lakes
Langley	Langley	Vernon	Vernon
Lillooet	Gold Trail	Victoria	Greater Victoria
Logan Lake	Kamloops/Thompson	West Vancouver	West Vancouver
Lumby	Vernon	Whistler	Sea to Sky
Mackenzie	Prince George	Whitehorse	Yukon
Madeira Park	Sunshine Coast	Williams Lake	Cariboo-Chilcotin
Maple Ridge	Maple Ridge-Pitt Meadows	Winfield	Central Okanagan
Merritt	Nicola-Similkameen		

**Index of school districts**

<b>School district</b>	<b>Page</b>
Abbotsford . . . . .	28
Alberni . . . . .	24
Arrow Lakes . . . . .	28
Boundary . . . . .	28
Bulkley Valley . . . . .	32
Burnaby . . . . .	17
Campbell River . . . . .	24
Cariboo-Chilcotin . . . . .	32
Central Okanagan . . . . .	28
Chilliwack . . . . .	29
Coast Mountains . . . . .	24
Comox Valley . . . . .	24
Coquitlam . . . . .	17
Cowichan Valley . . . . .	24
Delta . . . . .	18
Fort Nelson . . . . .	32
Fraser-Cascade . . . . .	29
Gold Trail . . . . .	32
Greater Victoria . . . . .	25
Gulf Islands . . . . .	25
Kamloops/Thompson . . . . .	32
Kootenay-Columbia . . . . .	29
Kootenay Lake . . . . .	29
Langley . . . . .	30
Maple Ridge-Pitt Meadows . . . . .	18
Mission . . . . .	30
Nanaimo-Ladysmith . . . . .	25
Nechako Lakes . . . . .	33

<b>School district</b>	<b>Page</b>
New Westminster . . . . .	19
Nicola-Similkameen . . . . .	30
North OkanaGan-Shuswap . . . . .	33
North Vancouver . . . . .	19
Okanagan Similkameen . . . . .	30
Okanagan Skaha . . . . .	31
Peace River North . . . . .	33
Peace River South . . . . .	33
Powell River . . . . .	26
Prince George . . . . .	33
Prince Rupert . . . . .	26
Qualicum . . . . .	26
Quesnel . . . . .	34
Revelstoke . . . . .	34
Richmond . . . . .	19
Rocky Mountain . . . . .	34
Saanich . . . . .	26
Sea To Sky . . . . .	26
Sooke . . . . .	26
Southeast Kootenay . . . . .	31
Sunshine Coast . . . . .	26
Surrey . . . . .	20
Vancouver . . . . .	21
Vancouver Island North . . . . .	27
Vernon . . . . .	31
West Vancouver . . . . .	23
Yukon . . . . .	35

# Lower Mainland

## BURNABY

Alpha [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 180				
ESL (%)	5.3	Special needs (%)	12.4	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,400:	-1.3	Rank:	245/274	207/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	66.8	65.2	66.4	63.7	64.1	
Percentage of exams failed	13.7	15.6	14.2	17.6	15.4	
School vs exam mark difference	6.5	5.5	4.6	6.3	6.9	
English gender gap	F 5.1	F 2.0	F 4.9	F 3.0	F 1.7	
Math gender gap	M 2.6	M 1.0	F 3.6	F 1.9	F 2.2	
Graduation rate	92.9	93.0	94.7	96.2	91.0	
Delayed advancement rate	26.2	31.8	29.8	22.8	20.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	

Burnaby Central [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 301				
ESL (%)	10.3	Special needs (%)	6.6	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500:	0.5	Rank:	141/274	127/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	71.5	67.5	69.4	67.5	69.2	
Percentage of exams failed	7.7	11.2	8.4	11.4	9.1	
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	6.0	3.3	5.4	4.6	
English gender gap	M 0.8	F 1.0	F 6.8	F 7.2	F 4.0	
Math gender gap	M 0.6	F 4.2	F 4.9	F 2.2	F 1.8	
Graduation rate	95.5	93.2	94.9	95.7	96.3	
Delayed advancement rate	25.1	17.6	17.2	21.0	18.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>	

Burnaby Mountain [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 252				
ESL (%)	4.9	Special needs (%)	8.2	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,900:	1.0	Rank:	87/274	94/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	70.6	68.4	70.3	72.1	71.3	
Percentage of exams failed	9.5	11.2	6.4	6.5	5.8	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	4.5	2.4	2.4	1.8	
English gender gap	F 1.6	F 5.8	F 6.1	F 2.1	F 4.7	
Math gender gap	E	F 1.5	F 1.6	M 4.5	F 3.4	
Graduation rate	96.0	92.6	97.8	93.8	96.7	
Delayed advancement rate	27.2	21.0	14.3	24.1	17.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Burnaby North [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 472				
ESL (%)	7.7	Special needs (%)	4.4	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,200:	0.8	Rank:	119/274	85/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	72.9	69.2	70.6	71.4	70.9	
Percentage of exams failed	7.9	11.8	8.9	8.4	7.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	5.9	4.8	4.5	6.2	
English gender gap	F 1.5	F 1.2	F 4.3	F 4.0	F 4.2	
Math gender gap	F 1.5	F 1.7	F 2.4	M 2.1	F 1.7	
Graduation rate	97.3	94.8	97.4	97.8	97.4	
Delayed advancement rate	12.1	17.3	14.6	12.7	9.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

Burnaby South [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 294				
ESL (%)	9.4	Special needs (%)	6.8	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,700:	0.0	Rank:	182/274	146/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	71.5	67.8	70.1	69.8	69.7	
Percentage of exams failed	9.9	10.6	7.6	9.7	9.4	
School vs exam mark difference	6.6	5.3	5.1	5.7	5.9	
English gender gap	M 2.1	F 5.3	F 6.6	F 4.0	F 10.0	
Math gender gap	F 2.7	F 4.3	F 1.7	F 0.8	F 1.9	
Graduation rate	92.6	92.7	95.6	92.9	93.9	
Delayed advancement rate	35.2	33.3	22.1	19.3	16.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.4</b>	

Byrne Creek [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 288				
ESL (%)	16.1	Special needs (%)	11.2	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,600:	-0.5	Rank:	227/274	n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	n/a	64.0	66.2	65.7	66.1	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	17.8	13.0	13.6	12.4	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	8.5	6.2	6.1	5.6	
English gender gap	n/a	F 6.5	F 5.5	F 4.3	F 6.4	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 5.9	M 1.5	F 2.1	M 1.5	
Graduation rate	n/a	90.4	91.5	97.4	94.8	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	38.0	49.4	35.8	33.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	

Cariboo Hill [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 114				
ESL (%)	5.4	Special needs (%)	9.1	French Imm (%)	10.4	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,200:	0.6	Rank:	135/274	177/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.6	64.2	67.1	67.0	67.7	
Percentage of exams failed	14.3	17.6	11.4	11.2	8.4	
School vs exam mark difference	7.1	8.1	3.3	3.3	1.8	
English gender gap	M 1.1	F 5.5	F 9.1	F 10.4	F 5.4	
Math gender gap	F 0.7	F 0.6	F 2.9	F 1.0	F 3.0	
Graduation rate	98.8	90.6	95.7	93.4	96.0	
Delayed advancement rate	25.9	44.8	29.9	35.9	20.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>6.1</b>	

Carver Christian [Independent] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 36				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	n/a	French Imm (%)	n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,300:	2.0	Rank:	37/274	n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	n/a	70.2	70.3	69.8	74.2	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	5.8	7.8	6.0	3.8	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	7.6	6.1	8.6	4.1	
English gender gap	n/a	F 3.8	F 3.4	M 1.0	F 1.6	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 4.2	M 2.1	F 5.6	M 4.6	
Graduation rate	n/a	94.7	96.3	96.2	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	4.7	1.6	12.2	0.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	

Moscrop [Public] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 274				
ESL (%)	10.7	Special needs (%)	4.7	French Imm (%)	21.2	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,800:	0.9	Rank:	129/274	74/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	71.2	70.0	72.2	69.9	69.9	
Percentage of exams failed	7.6	6.5	4.4	7.5	6.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	4.6	2.2	4.3	4.8	
English gender gap	M 1.6	F 5.2	F 2.1	F 1.3	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	M 0.6	F 0.1	F 3.7	M 2.2	M 0.8	
Graduation rate	95.8	91.0	98.8	94.0	96.1	
Delayed advancement rate	24.7	25.2	15.3	13.7	20.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.2</b>	

St Thomas More [Independent] Burnaby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 127				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	n/a	French Imm (%)	n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,400:	2.4	Rank:	21/274	16/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	74.4	72.4	76.3	75.7	75.7	
Percentage of exams failed	3.4	3.5	2.9	1.4	1.6	
School vs exam mark difference	3.8	3.3	1.8	2.1	1.7	
English gender gap	F 1.4	F 4.6	F 5.2	F 3.1	F 4.1	
Math gender gap	F 2.5	F 0.3	F 2.9	F 1.3	F 0.7	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.2	98.4	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.2	4.1	3.4	2.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.3</b>	

## COQUITLAM

Archbishop Carney [Independent] Port Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 130				
ESL (%)	0.3	Special needs (%)	n/a	French Imm (%)	n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,900:	1.8	Rank:	27/274	29/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	72.9	70.2	72.3	72.6	73.4	
Percentage of exams failed	5.5	6.6	4.5	4.0	3.8	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	5.3	2.7	2.2	2.6	
English gender gap	M 0.6	F 3.4	F 5.4	F 5.6	F 2.7	
Math gender gap	F 0.7	F 3.5	F 3.0	F 4.6	F 0.5	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.3	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	1.0	2.2	2.2	1.6	0.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.0</b>	

Centennial [Public] Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 388				
ESL (%)	7.1	Special needs (%)	12.6	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,200:	-1.0	Rank:	209/274	134/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	72.3	66.7	68.3	67.4	66.7	
Percentage of exams failed	6.2	10.9	8.4	10.0	10.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	5.5	3.6	4.2	6.1	
English gender gap	F 1.8	F 1.6	F 4.3	F 1.8	F 6.1	
Math gender gap	F 0.1	F 0.4	F 0.1	F 1.7	F 5.7	
Graduation rate	93.6	88.1	93.6	93.7	94.7	
Delayed advancement rate	26.5	19.4	26.0	20.9	20.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.9</b>	

Dr. Charles Best [Public] Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 270				
ESL (%)	1.4	Special needs (%)	10.7	French Imm (%)	28.4	
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,900:	0.9	Rank:	63/274	55/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	71.0	68.2	70.2	71.3	70.9	
Percentage of exams failed	6.9	8.6	5.6	4.6	4.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	5.9	1.6	1.5	1.9	
English gender gap	F 2.9	F 2.4	F 3.6	F 1.9	F 3.5	
Math gender gap	F 0.5	F 3.3	M 0.8	M 0.8	E	

Pinetree [Public] Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 375				
ESL (%): 14.5	Special needs (%): 6.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,700: 1.1		Rank:	82/274	55/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	6.2	7.1	4.8	6.9	4.7	
School vs exam mark difference	3.1	3.2	1.1	3.3	2.0	
English gender gap	M 0.5	F 4.5	F 5.2	F 3.8	F 4.8	
Math gender gap	M 0.3	F 1.2	F 1.0	F 0.4	F 4.7	
Graduation rate	98.0	92.0	93.8	94.8	94.8	
Delayed advancement rate	16.0	18.3	24.6	18.1	14.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.8</b>	

Port Moody [Public] Port Moody		Gr 12 Enrollment: 333				
ESL (%): 6.2	Special needs (%): 6.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,300: 0.9		Rank:	82/274	74/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	71.9	70.0	70.6	72.5	72.8	
School vs exam mark difference	8.9	9.6	8.9	7.6	6.5	
English gender gap	M 0.5	F 2.5	F 2.7	F 3.5	F 2.5	
Math gender gap	F 1.4	F 2.2	F 3.4	F 1.8	F 0.6	
Graduation rate	93.8	92.6	94.8	94.6	92.7	
Delayed advancement rate	20.9	15.4	24.0	24.0	19.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.8</b>	

Riverside [Public] Port Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 324				
ESL (%): 1.7	Special needs (%): 12.5	French Imm (%): 12.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,500: 0.0		Rank:	129/274	112/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	69.0	66.4	68.2	67.0	67.7	
School vs exam mark difference	9.5	10.7	8.5	8.6	7.3	
English gender gap	M 1.7	F 1.0	F 5.3	F 5.2	F 4.3	
Math gender gap	M 1.7	F 2.2	F 3.8	F 3.7	M 1.9	
Graduation rate	94.1	94.9	94.6	95.7	95.3	
Delayed advancement rate	16.5	8.9	13.5	10.9	8.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.2</b>	

Terry Fox [Public] Port Coquitlam		Gr 12 Enrollment: 448				
ESL (%): 2.2	Special needs (%): 13.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,800: 0.3		Rank:	119/274	127/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	66.0	63.5	66.5	66.2	66.9	
School vs exam mark difference	13.2	15.4	9.9	9.9	9.9	
English gender gap	M 1.8	F 2.4	F 5.8	F 5.9	F 6.6	
Math gender gap	M 1.2	F 1.0	F 1.5	M 0.5	F 0.9	
Graduation rate	96.7	95.3	97.8	95.3	99.3	
Delayed advancement rate	12.6	8.0	12.9	13.6	10.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

## DELTA

Burnsview [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 157				
ESL (%): 3.3	Special needs (%): 9.3	French Imm (%): 49.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,400: 1.0		Rank:	66/274	n/a		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	65.4	68.2	68.9	69.4	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	9.4	6.5	5.7	4.5	
English gender gap	n/a	F 0.6	F 8.5	F 6.7	F 2.4	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 0.6	F 1.1	F 2.0	F 0.9	
Graduation rate	n/a	93.0	93.7	98.3	94.6	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	18.4	13.5	6.6	9.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.0</b>	

Delta [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 323				
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 17.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,400: 0.8		Rank:	50/274	68/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	68.8	66.7	68.9	70.2	71.1	
School vs exam mark difference	8.6	9.7	5.7	5.6	3.2	
English gender gap	5.8	5.4	1.2	1.7	2.1	
Math gender gap	F 0.2	F 3.5	F 4.0	F 4.9	F 5.6	
Graduation rate	96.8	97.4	98.9	97.5	96.5	
Delayed advancement rate	23.8	12.0	14.0	12.7	10.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.3</b>	

Delview [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 112				
ESL (%): 3.7	Special needs (%): 12.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,400: 0.1		Rank:	135/274	n/a		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	10.6	7.9	8.8	10.4	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	4.3	2.3	3.5	2.9	
English gender gap	n/a	F 7.0	F 5.8	F 7.8	F 2.7	
Math gender gap	n/a	M 2.7	F 0.2	F 1.8	E	
Graduation rate	n/a	92.1	92.3	93.5	94.4	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	27.9	35.5	10.4	7.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.1</b>	

North Delta [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 218				
ESL (%): 4.7	Special needs (%): 12.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,300: -1.1		Rank:	236/274	199/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	68.5	64.3	66.1	65.5	64.8	
School vs exam mark difference	10.1	15.2	11.5	11.8	12.5	
English gender gap	F 2.3	F 10.6	F 7.0	F 5.5	F 7.4	
Math gender gap	F 0.7	M 1.9	F 2.5	F 1.6	M 0.2	
Graduation rate	95.1	89.4	89.0	89.1	92.9	
Delayed advancement rate	18.6	27.6	36.6	33.4	26.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	

Sands [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 162				
ESL (%): 1.8	Special needs (%): 12.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,900: 0.6		Rank:	82/274	127/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	66.2	64.5	65.9	66.4	68.5	
School vs exam mark difference	15.5	13.3	12.2	11.9	6.8	
English gender gap	F 3.6	F 5.6	F 7.8	F 4.8	F 4.9	
Math gender gap	F 4.1	M 1.5	F 2.3	F 1.1	F 2.1	
Graduation rate	95.6	93.2	94.2	95.9	98.6	
Delayed advancement rate	20.7	17.5	16.1	5.9	6.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.8</b>	

Seaquam [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 240				
ESL (%): 3.5	Special needs (%): 11.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,100: 0.0		Rank:	129/274	81/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	70.0	68.5	69.7	70.4	70.1	
School vs exam mark difference	9.2	10.7	8.2	8.2	8.1	
English gender gap	F 0.3	F 0.3	F 7.1	F 3.0	F 5.7	
Math gender gap	M 0.2	F 2.3	F 0.3	M 1.4	M 2.0	
Graduation rate	96.7	95.6	94.8	95.1	95.3	
Delayed advancement rate	16.0	11.7	10.3	8.1	10.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.2</b>	

South Delta [Public] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 236				
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 14.2	French Imm (%): 16.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,200: -0.5		Rank:	87/274	74/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	70.0	67.8	70.9	69.3	69.3	
School vs exam mark difference	7.9	9.0	4.8	6.6	4.6	
English gender gap	F 1.4	F 2.9	F 7.9	F 3.6	F 5.0	
Math gender gap	M 2.1	F 2.1	F 5.0	F 3.4	F 1.6	
Graduation rate	99.2	95.0	97.0	95.5	97.7	
Delayed advancement rate	6.1	9.9	14.3	9.6	10.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Southpointe [Independent] Delta		Gr 12 Enrollment: 34				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,800: 2.4		Rank:	9/274	n/a		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	74.5	78.8	80.9	82.9	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	3.0	1.1	1.2	1.6	
English gender gap	n/a	F 6.3	2.0	0.8	2.0	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 4.0	M 3.6	M 2.3	n/a	
Graduation rate	n/a	F 2.7	M 13.9	M 0.4	n/a	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>8.9</b>	

## MAPLE RIDGE-PITT MEADOWS

Garibaldi [Public] Maple Ridge		Gr 12 Enrollment: 146				
ESL (%): 0.2	Special needs (%): 14.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,000: -0.9		Rank:	194/274	216/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	64.1	64.2	64.5	63.4	63.1	
School vs exam mark difference	15.2	13.7	10.9	15.1	10.6	
English gender gap	6.4	5.6	4.8	6.4	5.1	
Math gender gap	M 2.9	F 1.9	F 1.7	F 7.7	F 4.6	
Graduation rate	F 0.9	F 4.5	F 1.2	F 0.3	F 1.2	
Delayed advancement rate	39.9	24.1	25.1	26.5	15.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>5.2</b>	

Maple Ridge [Public] Maple Ridge		Gr 12 Enrollment: 286				
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 8.9	French Imm (%): 26.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,900: 0.5		Rank:	96/274	112/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	67.3	65.1	68.2	67.3	67.4	
School vs exam mark difference	10.2	12.4	8.5	8.4	7.9	
English gender gap	5.1	4.4	1.4	3.8	3.1	
Math gender gap	F 2.8	F 2.4	F 5.3	F 2.2	F 5.2	
Graduation rate	F 5.4	F 3.5	M 3.1	M 2.0	M 1.3	
Delayed advancement rate	93.2	92.5	97.0	96.9	98.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

Westview [Public] Maple Ridge		Gr 12 Enrollment: 169				
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 14.3	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,400: -1.1		Rank: 209/274		161/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	10.1	13.6	14.8	14.2	12.6	
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	3.5	3.0	3.2	3.1	
English gender gap	M 3.0	M 2.7	F 3.6	F 1.2	F 5.1	
Math gender gap	F 1.3	F 3.5	M 3.8	M 1.0	M 3.6	
Graduation rate	98.1	95.3	96.2	97.1	94.9	
Delayed advancement rate	18.5	25.1	31.6	25.9	24.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.9</b>	

**NEW WESTMINSTER**

New Westminster [Public] New Westminster		Gr 12 Enrollment: 443				
ESL (%): 4.2	Special needs (%): 6.1	French Imm (%): 12.4		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,300: 0.9		Rank: 96/274		81/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	71.3	67.3	69.3	69.4	70.7	
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	4.1	1.8	1.9	1.3	
English gender gap	F 0.7	F 3.3	F 5.9	F 4.5	F 5.1	
Math gender gap	M 1.3	F 1.2	F 1.5	M 0.3	F 1.6	
Graduation rate	98.9	96.9	99.8	96.8	97.1	
Delayed advancement rate	8.0	13.1	23.8	27.1	21.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

**NORTH VANCOUVER**

Argyle [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 308				
ESL (%): 3.3	Special needs (%): 6.6	French Imm (%): 22.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,600: 0.7		Rank: 54/274		61/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	8.8	7.1	4.2	4.2	3.0	
School vs exam mark difference	6.0	5.5	3.2	2.5	1.9	
English gender gap	M 2.6	F 3.4	F 4.4	F 3.8	F 5.1	
Math gender gap	F 2.9	F 3.2	F 2.0	F 5.3	F 4.9	
Graduation rate	94.5	94.5	94.8	98.2	96.6	
Delayed advancement rate	16.4	14.1	10.1	13.1	8.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.2</b>	

Carson Graham [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 275				
ESL (%): 7.1	Special needs (%): 9.6	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,700: -2.2		Rank: 242/274		207/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	13.4	16.3	11.2	12.9	12.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	7.5	4.0	5.3	7.0	
English gender gap	F 0.7	M 1.3	F 4.8	F 5.4	F 5.9	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 6.7	F 3.7	F 3.7	F 4.3	
Graduation rate	89.0	90.5	90.8	92.1	94.0	
Delayed advancement rate	29.5	26.2	23.9	22.4	15.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>	

Handsworth [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 264				
ESL (%): 2.0	Special needs (%): 6.3	French Imm (%): 21.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$125,200: -0.5		Rank: 41/274		29/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	7.5	5.5	3.3	2.3	2.6	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	4.3	2.8	2.7	1.7	
English gender gap	M 0.9	F 3.0	F 4.5	F 4.4	F 8.0	
Math gender gap	F 1.9	F 1.2	F 2.1	F 2.8	F 4.1	
Graduation rate	96.8	97.1	98.4	98.5	97.6	
Delayed advancement rate	12.3	6.2	3.2	6.5	8.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.5</b>	

Saycove [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 155				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 11.6	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$115,800: -0.6		Rank: 63/274		44/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	4.9	7.5	6.6	4.8	4.8	
School vs exam mark difference	4.5	6.0	3.3	3.2	3.4	
English gender gap	F 0.9	F 0.7	F 5.3	F 6.4	F 3.7	
Math gender gap	F 2.1	F 1.2	M 4.3	M 2.2	F 2.7	
Graduation rate	99.3	97.7	97.2	99.2	96.6	
Delayed advancement rate	5.3	6.0	10.9	10.9	6.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.1</b>	

St Thomas Aquinas [Independent] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 114				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,500: 1.0		Rank: 25/274		16/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	3.0	1.8	1.7	3.3	2.3	
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	4.0	1.0	2.6	0.9	
English gender gap	F 2.9	F 0.5	F 3.5	F 0.6	F 5.4	
Math gender gap	M 0.7	M 0.2	F 3.8	F 4.0	F 3.8	
Graduation rate	100.0	98.9	100.0	100.0	98.2	
Delayed advancement rate	0.7	7.2	2.6	6.3	5.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.1</b>	

Sutherland [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 210				
ESL (%): 5.3	Special needs (%): 9.3	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,800: -0.3		Rank: 150/274		134/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	72.3	67.0	69.3	67.3	68.5	
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	5.0	2.1	1.9	2.4	
English gender gap	M 0.7	F 7.5	F 10.1	F 4.9	F 6.0	
Math gender gap	F 3.3	F 4.5	F 1.1	M 2.1	F 3.3	
Graduation rate	91.8	91.2	91.3	90.6	91.6	
Delayed advancement rate	19.8	21.2	23.5	23.4	14.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.8</b>	

Windsor [Public] North Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 184				
ESL (%): 1.8	Special needs (%): 13.3	French Imm (%): 4.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,000: 0.3		Rank: 74/274		74/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	5.6	9.6	5.5	5.4	4.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	4.6	3.8	2.2	4.3	
English gender gap	F 1.2	F 4.0	F 5.1	F 6.7	F 6.9	
Math gender gap	M 1.2	F 0.3	M 0.5	F 8.0	F 2.1	
Graduation rate	96.5	94.6	97.4	91.8	97.3	
Delayed advancement rate	21.7	15.5	16.3	13.0	5.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

**RICHMOND**

A.R. MacNeill [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 184				
ESL (%): 24.2	Special needs (%): 9.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$40,900: -0.3		Rank: 218/274		n/a		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	68.5	67.7	68.0	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	8.9	12.8	10.2	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	3.9	6.3	6.6	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	M 1.7	M 1.2	M 0.9	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	91.9	94.7	93.4	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	32.0	31.9	40.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	

Cambie [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 146				
ESL (%): 10.1	Special needs (%): 6.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,200: -0.9		Rank: 236/274		154/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	9.2	9.2	10.1	9.7	11.7	
School vs exam mark difference	3.9	4.3	2.7	2.7	2.8	
English gender gap	M 1.5	F 2.4	F 5.9	F 3.9	F 4.4	
Math gender gap	F 0.9	F 3.5	F 4.4	F 1.4	M 3.8	
Graduation rate	95.1	94.9	97.3	96.9	89.8	
Delayed advancement rate	24.9	29.2	28.7	40.7	32.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	

Hugh Boyd [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 204				
ESL (%): 15.6	Special needs (%): 9.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,800: -0.7		Rank: 187/274		134/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	10.0	9.4	7.9	11.2	9.6	
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	2.6	2.9	2.7	3.3	
English gender gap	F 0.7	M 1.3	F 4.2	F 3.1	F 3.9	
Math gender gap	F 1.1	M 0.4	M 0.3	F 1.4	F 3.6	
Graduation rate	96.9	90.6	95.6	96.1	92.8	
Delayed advancement rate	27.4	38.7	23.5	27.3	25.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.3</b>	

Hugh McRoberts [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 232				
ESL (%): 14.6	Special needs (%): 3.9	French Imm (%): 41.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,600: 1.4		Rank: 66/274		55/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	6.9	7.0	5.7	5.1	3.5	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	5.6	3.9	5.0	4.2	
English gender gap	M 0.1	F 3.1	F 4.8	F 2.2	F 5.1	
Math gender gap	F 0.4	F 0.4	F 3.0	F 0.3	F 5.5	
Graduation rate	97.6	94.3	98.3	95.3	99.1	
Delayed advancement rate	9.9	13.3	11.4	17.3	9.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.0</b>	

J N Burnett [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 266				
ESL (%): 19.9	Special needs (%): 5.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,300: 1.6		Rank: 54/274		49/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Percentage of exams failed	6.9	6.2	3.8	6.3	5.1	
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	3.5	1.4	2.5	1.9	
English gender gap	F 1.8	F 2.4	F 2.6	M 0.5	M 0.4	

Robert Alexander McMath [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 262				
ESL (%): 4.5	Special needs (%): 4.8	French Imm (%): 29.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,900: 0.6		Rank: 74/274	61/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		71.3	68.7	70.5	70.9	70.8
Percentage of exams failed		6.1	7.4	4.6	5.6	4.0
School vs exam mark difference		2.7	3.5	1.8	1.8	2.6
English gender gap		F 1.2	F 3.7	F 9.0	F 4.5	F 4.5
Math gender gap		M 0.6	F 1.7	F 4.4	M 1.8	F 2.7
Graduation rate		95.4	97.4	98.8	96.3	95.1
Delayed advancement rate		19.4	13.8	14.5	17.3	9.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>

Steveston-London [Public] Richmond		Gr 12 Enrollment: 251				
ESL (%): 17.5	Special needs (%): 7.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,600: 0.6		Rank: 87/274	n/a			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		n/a	n/a	72.9	73.4	73.4
Percentage of exams failed		n/a	n/a	5.4	5.4	5.4
School vs exam mark difference		n/a	n/a	2.0	1.7	2.0
English gender gap		n/a	n/a	F 4.1	F 3.8	F 4.0
Math gender gap		n/a	n/a	F 5.5	F 0.6	F 3.7
Graduation rate		n/a	n/a	97.3	91.1	97.4
Delayed advancement rate		n/a	n/a	26.1	20.2	24.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.7</b>

## SURREY

Clayton Heights [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 250				
ESL (%): 2.7	Special needs (%): 10.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,100: -1.0		Rank: 187/274	112/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.5	67.0	68.8	67.5	67.6
Percentage of exams failed		12.3	9.9	5.6	8.9	8.9
School vs exam mark difference		7.7	4.9	2.2	1.9	1.3
English gender gap		F 1.5	F 4.2	F 5.9	F 3.1	F 4.9
Math gender gap		M 0.4	F 1.4	F 2.9	F 0.1	F 2.8
Graduation rate		96.7	93.4	94.5	96.6	88.9
Delayed advancement rate		13.5	16.5	11.9	15.6	25.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.3</b>

Earl Marriot [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 352				
ESL (%): 2.1	Special needs (%): 9.0	French Imm (%): 23.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,100: -0.3		Rank: 112/274	74/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		70.2	68.4	70.4	70.4	70.4
Percentage of exams failed		7.9	8.1	6.8	5.3	6.3
School vs exam mark difference		4.4	5.0	2.8	2.0	3.9
English gender gap		F 0.7	F 3.1	F 7.2	F 3.7	F 4.1
Math gender gap		F 0.9	F 0.9	F 1.0	F 1.7	F 0.3
Graduation rate		98.4	94.1	96.6	95.0	93.9
Delayed advancement rate		12.8	26.1	8.6	11.8	23.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.6</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.4</b>

Elgin Park [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 246				
ESL (%): 6.7	Special needs (%): 5.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$115,200: -0.1		Rank: 41/274	38/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		71.7	70.5	72.8	73.6	74.1
Percentage of exams failed		6.9	6.1	5.4	3.1	5.0
School vs exam mark difference		3.5	4.6	3.0	3.0	1.8
English gender gap		F 1.0	F 8.3	F 4.1	F 5.0	F 6.0
Math gender gap		M 0.1	F 3.2	F 3.9	F 2.2	F 1.6
Graduation rate		97.6	96.7	97.4	97.2	97.4
Delayed advancement rate		5.0	13.8	13.2	7.8	9.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.5</b>

Enver Creek [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 267				
ESL (%): 4.8	Special needs (%): 8.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,700: -1.3		Rank: 209/274	154/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		67.8	65.4	66.1	65.1	65.8
Percentage of exams failed		11.2	13.5	11.9	12.6	13.4
School vs exam mark difference		6.9	7.1	6.1	6.5	5.5
English gender gap		F 2.3	F 5.1	F 6.0	F 4.6	F 4.2
Math gender gap		F 1.9	F 3.6	M 0.1	F 1.7	F 5.0
Graduation rate		97.7	97.2	97.2	96.4	95.9
Delayed advancement rate		3.2	3.3	6.3	10.9	12.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.9</b>

Fleetwood Park [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 278				
ESL (%): 9.1	Special needs (%): 6.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,400: 1.0		Rank: 54/274	61/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		70.8	69.0	70.8	69.8	71.7
Percentage of exams failed		6.8	7.5	5.3	5.3	4.4
School vs exam mark difference		4.0	4.2	1.2	1.5	0.7
English gender gap		F 3.1	F 5.9	F 6.5	F 1.3	F 3.2
Math gender gap		F 0.5	F 2.2	F 0.1	F 2.1	F 1.2
Graduation rate		98.7	95.4	97.5	94.9	94.5
Delayed advancement rate		22.5	18.6	9.3	11.0	14.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.2</b>

Frank Hurt [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 222				
ESL (%): 7.1	Special needs (%): 10.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,700: -0.3		Rank: 187/274	146/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.2	66.0	67.4	65.4	65.8
Percentage of exams failed		11.4	11.0	7.7	11.9	10.9
School vs exam mark difference		5.4	4.6	2.4	3.0	3.3
English gender gap		F 0.4	F 2.0	F 2.9	F 2.8	F 8.1
Math gender gap		M 0.9	F 1.7	M 2.2	F 0.3	F 3.9
Graduation rate		92.1	93.3	94.6	89.8	94.0
Delayed advancement rate		41.5	26.4	9.7	26.6	15.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.3</b>

Fraser Heights [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 301				
ESL (%): 11.1	Special needs (%): 5.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,000: 0.7		Rank: 74/274	55/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		74.0	70.1	72.6	72.5	72.6
Percentage of exams failed		4.8	7.2	4.8	5.4	5.2
School vs exam mark difference		5.0	5.0	2.5	4.1	3.5
English gender gap		M 0.9	F 2.6	F 5.0	F 6.5	F 2.5
Math gender gap		M 0.5	M 1.3	F 2.2	F 3.2	F 0.5
Graduation rate		96.0	95.3	97.5	97.1	95.7
Delayed advancement rate		15.6	6.7	11.7	18.4	17.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.9</b>

Fraser Valley Christian [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 87				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,600: 0.4		Rank: 96/274	85/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		69.3	68.2	69.8	69.4	69.3
Percentage of exams failed		7.8	7.6	7.2	8.9	5.8
School vs exam mark difference		8.0	8.3	7.1	6.7	5.9
English gender gap		F 0.9	F 0.1	F 6.3	F 3.3	F 7.7
Math gender gap		F 2.1	F 3.5	F 1.8	F 2.2	F 2.0
Graduation rate		96.2	99.0	100.0	98.9	98.9
Delayed advancement rate		11.6	1.1	7.3	14.9	1.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.6</b>

Guildford Park [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 201				
ESL (%): 18.8	Special needs (%): 12.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,100: 0.3		Rank: 172/274	161/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.2	63.6	66.5	67.0	67.1
Percentage of exams failed		14.2	17.2	11.2	9.8	9.2
School vs exam mark difference		3.6	5.3	3.1	2.2	3.1
English gender gap		F 2.5	F 3.0	F 4.8	F 3.0	F 6.2
Math gender gap		F 2.4	M 0.2	F 4.3	F 5.1	F 4.7
Graduation rate		95.8	95.2	95.4	95.1	96.4
Delayed advancement rate		23.4	28.5	32.6	27.7	21.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>

Holy Cross [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 158				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,700: 1.1		Rank: 50/274	33/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		69.3	71.2	72.5	71.5	70.8
Percentage of exams failed		6.8	3.9	2.1	4.7	4.3
School vs exam mark difference		5.7	5.8	2.3	3.5	3.9
English gender gap		F 1.4	F 3.9	F 3.4	F 0.1	F 5.1
Math gender gap		F 1.3	F 0.6	M 2.7	F 3.0	F 3.5
Graduation rate		98.0	99.2	100.0	97.9	99.4
Delayed advancement rate		4.2	4.4	0.8	2.7	2.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.3</b>

Johnston Heights [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 280				
ESL (%): 16.4	Special needs (%): 10.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: 0.4		Rank: 143/274	99/256			
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		70.7	67.8	69.8	69.0	69.0
Percentage of exams failed		10.2	11.1	7.1	9.4	9.3
School vs exam mark difference		4.4	5.7	3.0	4.8	4.7
English gender gap		F 2.1	F 4.6	F 2.1	F 3.0	F 5.4
Math gender gap		F 2.5	F 0.2	F 4.1	F 0.5	F 1.6
Graduation rate		95.6	96.0	97.4	97.7	96.0
Delayed advancement rate		16.5	11.0	18.6	16.8	15.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>

Kwantlen Park [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 277				
ESL (%): 9.3	Special needs (%): 10.5	French Imm (%): 11.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009				



Panorama Ridge [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 194				
ESL (%): 3.4	Special needs (%): 7.1	French Imm (%): 15.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,500: -0.2		Rank:	164/274		n/a	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	67.7	67.5	66.6	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	8.9	8.4	9.2	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	2.8	2.8	5.1	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 3.6	F 4.3	F 7.2	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 0.4	F 3.4	F 3.7	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	95.1	97.7	96.6	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	19.4	12.0	8.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Princess Margaret [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 352				
ESL (%): 8.4	Special needs (%): 6.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,200: 0.3		Rank:	172/274		99/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	70.5	67.3	67.8	67.2	65.9	
Percentage of exams failed	6.4	7.7	7.2	8.1	10.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	3.2	4.1	4.5	5.0	
English gender gap	M 0.2	F 2.8	F 1.5	F 5.3	F 5.0	
Math gender gap	F 1.1	M 1.1	F 1.3	F 1.2	F 2.2	
Graduation rate	98.1	95.9	95.7	96.5	96.5	
Delayed advancement rate	17.1	24.4	17.6	15.7	14.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

Queen Elizabeth [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 292				
ESL (%): 12.5	Special needs (%): 8.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,100: -0.3		Rank:	203/274		222/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	63.8	60.4	63.0	64.2	64.2	
Percentage of exams failed	16.7	22.6	13.6	13.3	14.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	6.0	5.2	5.1	4.4	
English gender gap	F 3.5	F 4.8	F 6.1	F 5.5	F 5.9	
Math gender gap	F 4.6	F 6.4	F 3.2	F 1.3	M 0.8	
Graduation rate	92.5	86.3	95.9	94.2	96.3	
Delayed advancement rate	23.5	33.6	11.5	18.5	17.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	

Regent Christian [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 27				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,300: 1.4		Rank:	41/274		35/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	71.5	69.7	73.6	72.3	74.5	
Percentage of exams failed	4.7	8.6	2.6	3.0	3.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	6.5	0.0	0.7	1.2	
English gender gap	F 0.7	F 2.1	F 12.2	F 10.3	F 3.8	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 4.1	F 4.3	F 5.4	F 7.8	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	19.4	10.0	13.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.5</b>	

Semiahmoo [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 284				
ESL (%): 15.7	Special needs (%): 7.3	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,400: 0.6		Rank:	54/274		44/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	74.2	70.8	73.4	73.6	73.4	
Percentage of exams failed	6.3	7.2	5.4	4.8	5.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.5	4.4	2.5	2.9	4.6	
English gender gap	F 1.6	F 1.9	F 4.1	F 6.0	F 0.5	
Math gender gap	M 0.1	F 1.1	M 2.0	M 1.8	M 3.6	
Graduation rate	96.5	95.4	97.6	97.4	97.7	
Delayed advancement rate	24.1	13.5	17.2	13.8	9.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.2</b>	

Southridge [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 64				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,900: 2.4		Rank:	4/274		2/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	81.6	83.0	84.5	84.2	85.9	
Percentage of exams failed	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	3.2	0.8	1.6	0.7	
English gender gap	M 3.3	F 0.6	F 1.9	M 1.0	F 4.0	
Math gender gap	F 1.8	E	M 2.1	M 4.1	F 0.2	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	98.4	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.2	6.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>9.6</b>	

Sullivan Heights [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 223				
ESL (%): 3.8	Special needs (%): 7.0	French Imm (%): 3.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,100: 1.0		Rank:	74/274		94/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	69.4	66.8	69.1	68.5	69.1	
Percentage of exams failed	8.1	9.9	7.2	6.6	6.5	
School vs exam mark difference	2.7	3.3	1.5	2.8	1.9	
English gender gap	F 3.9	F 6.5	F 7.5	F 2.0	F 3.8	
Math gender gap	F 1.4	F 4.2	F 6.1	F 0.6	F 1.7	
Graduation rate	96.3	92.0	92.9	94.7	97.4	
Delayed advancement rate	17.1	21.6	15.5	16.0	12.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

Tamanawis [Public] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 235				
ESL (%): 11.8	Special needs (%): 8.3	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,400: 0.7		Rank:	119/274		105/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	68.5	67.2	69.5	68.9	70.0	
Percentage of exams failed	10.7	9.9	8.3	8.4	7.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	4.9	5.2	3.7	4.0	
English gender gap	F 0.3	F 6.4	F 3.2	F 6.4	F 2.3	
Math gender gap	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 1.6	M 0.2	F 3.0	
Graduation rate	93.5	95.6	96.2	93.0	96.4	
Delayed advancement rate	23.9	18.5	9.4	15.0	17.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

White Rock Christian [Independent] Surrey		Gr 12 Enrollment: 36				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$109,700: -0.8		Rank:	87/274		35/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	71.5	74.4	74.8	71.5	71.8	
Percentage of exams failed	2.6	1.6	0.6	3.7	1.2	
School vs exam mark difference	6.3	5.0	3.2	7.1	6.2	
English gender gap	F 1.0	F 6.0	F 1.9	M 6.3	F 8.1	
Math gender gap	n/a	M 1.3	F 4.6	E	F 8.6	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.9	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.3	7.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

**VANCOUVER**

Britannia [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 125				
ESL (%): 5.1	Special needs (%): 25.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$33,000: -1.2		Rank:	259/274		244/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	65.1	60.6	64.0	62.5	62.9	
Percentage of exams failed	15.0	25.0	15.5	18.6	15.9	
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	8.1	4.8	4.9	4.6	
English gender gap	F 2.2	M 2.1	F 12.6	F 3.6	F 4.3	
Math gender gap	M 2.1	M 5.6	F 1.0	M 0.3	F 4.6	
Graduation rate	89.0	96.3	93.7	84.2	91.6	
Delayed advancement rate	44.7	52.1	60.8	42.0	36.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.6</b>	

Century International [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 19				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,700: -5.5		Rank:	273/274		n/a	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	51.9	46.8	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	44.6	56.1	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	15.8	25.0	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	77.8	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	

Crofton House [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 91				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$109,800: 2.1		Rank:	4/274		4/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	83.9	82.1	84.5	83.1	85.5	
Percentage of exams failed	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	5.2	2.8	3.1	2.2	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>9.6</b>	

David Thompson [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 337				
ESL (%): 7.9	Special needs (%): 9.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$40,800: 1.2		Rank:	119/274		112/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	68.5	67.0	67.9	69.1	67.8	
Percentage of exams failed	11.7	12.4	10.6	9.0	9.3	
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	3.2	2.7	2.9	2.2	
English gender gap	F 0.9	F 2.3	F 3.5	F 2.6	F 1.7	
Math gender gap	F 0.1	F 1.1	F 3.1	M 0.6	F 0.4	
Graduation rate	91.2	95.6	95.5	99.3	97.5	
Delayed advancement rate	32.8	37.6	31.1	15.6	17.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

Eric Hamber [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 334				
ESL (%): 13.1	Special needs (%): 11.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,100: 0.9		Rank:	109/274		81/256	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	73.1	70.7	72.0	72.0	72.8	
Percentage of exams failed	8.6	6.3	5.1	5.4	5.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	2.5	1.0	1.7	1.2	
English gender gap	M 1.6	F 1.1	F 3.1	F 3.5	F 1.6	
Math gender gap	F 0.9	M 0.5	F 2.4	F 1.4	F 1.9	
Graduation rate	93.3	89.7	91.4	89.2	93.1	
Delayed advancement rate	30.5	32.5	28.1	34.1	35.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.4</b>		

King George [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 101				
ESL (%): 8.2	Special needs (%): 11.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	227/274	222/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	68.3	64.7	65.9	65.1	66.5	
Percentage of exams failed	13.7	15.0	11.7	13.2	10.3	
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	6.1	4.8	5.9	4.0	
English gender gap	M 8.1	F 1.5	F 4.4	F 3.2	F 7.8	
Math gender gap	F 7.3	F 5.6	F 3.6	F 6.5	F 2.1	
Graduation rate	84.8	88.0	89.3	91.9	90.8	
Delayed advancement rate	39.2	31.6	31.0	34.5	28.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.6</b>	

Kitsilano [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 287				
ESL (%): 7.5	Special needs (%): 12.2	French Imm (%): 35.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,400: 0.1		Rank:	82/274	49/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	73.8	69.5	72.2	72.1	72.9	
Percentage of exams failed	4.8	9.0	4.8	6.5	5.0	
School vs exam mark difference	2.8	4.1	0.2	1.6	1.0	
English gender gap	M 1.0	F 1.5	F 3.2	F 2.0	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	M 0.4	F 1.9	F 2.5	F 4.7	F 2.4	
Graduation rate	95.7	95.3	95.0	98.0	93.8	
Delayed advancement rate	14.6	11.1	13.3	31.4	24.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.8</b>	

Little Flower [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 91				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,500: 3.5		Rank:	3/274	4/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	83.5	82.1	84.2	84.0	83.9	
Percentage of exams failed	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.5	
School vs exam mark difference	2.0	2.6	3.4	3.7	0.9	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	1.1	0.0	0.0	3.3	3.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>9.7</b>	

Lord Byng [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 252				
ESL (%): 11.2	Special needs (%): 11.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$112,300: 0.6		Rank:	25/274	18/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	78.2	74.2	77.0	77.8	78.7	
Percentage of exams failed	2.7	4.0	2.8	2.0	1.3	
School vs exam mark difference	2.7	2.3	1.3	1.6	0.6	
English gender gap	F 0.3	F 1.2	F 5.5	F 4.7	F 7.2	
Math gender gap	F 0.8	F 1.2	F 1.7	F 4.7	F 4.6	
Graduation rate	97.5	94.7	98.4	99.1	99.1	
Delayed advancement rate	16.9	18.6	9.7	8.1	12.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>8.1</b>	

Magee [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 280				
ESL (%): 9.0	Special needs (%): 8.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,400: 0.1		Rank:	96/274	44/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	75.4	71.1	73.6	72.6	72.7	
Percentage of exams failed	5.0	5.2	4.5	5.0	5.2	
School vs exam mark difference	3.8	5.1	1.8	4.0	2.2	
English gender gap	F 1.4	F 4.5	F 5.1	F 2.0	F 2.2	
Math gender gap	M 1.0	F 3.5	F 1.8	M 0.6	F 5.3	
Graduation rate	97.2	98.3	95.9	98.0	94.7	
Delayed advancement rate	23.2	10.7	14.4	7.4	19.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

Notre Dame [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 103				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,400: 1.1		Rank:	87/274	85/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.7	65.9	68.3	66.7	68.4	
Percentage of exams failed	10.3	10.0	6.9	6.5	5.3	
School vs exam mark difference	6.2	5.7	5.4	7.5	6.6	
English gender gap	M 1.1	F 4.6	F 4.7	F 4.7	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	M 3.2	F 4.2	M 0.8	F 0.7	F 2.6	
Graduation rate	98.5	97.2	98.1	100.0	99.0	
Delayed advancement rate	1.3	4.0	6.7	2.6	3.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Point Grey [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 264				
ESL (%): 12.0	Special needs (%): 8.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$108,500: 0.1		Rank:	41/274	29/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	77.1	72.6	74.6	74.4	75.1	
Percentage of exams failed	3.7	6.8	5.4	4.6	3.7	
School vs exam mark difference	2.9	4.1	1.7	2.6	2.7	
English gender gap	M 0.2	F 0.9	F 7.7	F 3.2	F 1.3	
Math gender gap	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 1.7	M 1.4	F 0.8	
Graduation rate	97.9	95.3	97.3	95.0	96.5	
Delayed advancement rate	14.5	15.6	11.9	18.4	16.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.5</b>	

Prince Of Wales [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 241				
ESL (%): 14.0	Special needs (%): 8.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,200: 1.3		Rank:	30/274	22/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	75.8	74.7	75.7	77.2	76.9	
Percentage of exams failed	4.5	4.4	2.9	3.5	2.8	
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	4.2	3.1	3.5	4.0	
English gender gap	F 0.5	F 3.1	F 3.2	F 2.7	F 5.2	
Math gender gap	F 0.5	F 0.1	F 3.1	F 1.4	E	
Graduation rate	98.7	96.3	98.3	98.0	97.4	
Delayed advancement rate	10.5	5.0	17.9	8.9	9.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.8</b>	

Sir Charles Tupper [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 208				
ESL (%): 11.6	Special needs (%): 17.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,000: -0.4		Rank:	218/274	216/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	65.0	63.1	65.2	66.1	67.8	
Percentage of exams failed	16.3	16.6	14.8	10.1	9.2	
School vs exam mark difference	7.2	7.8	6.3	6.7	5.0	
English gender gap	F 2.2	F 4.1	F 4.6	F 2.9	F 4.3	
Math gender gap	E	F 4.7	F 2.0	F 0.2	F 5.3	
Graduation rate	94.0	92.0	91.2	92.4	92.5	
Delayed advancement rate	20.8	30.4	36.8	42.6	33.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.8</b>	

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 483				
ESL (%): 10.3	Special needs (%): 5.8	French Imm (%): 18.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,700: 1.9		Rank:	54/274	49/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	75.4	71.6	71.4	71.9	72.8	
Percentage of exams failed	6.0	8.4	7.7	7.7	6.3	
School vs exam mark difference	3.5	4.0	2.4	3.1	1.9	
English gender gap	F 0.5	F 2.2	F 5.8	F 3.9	F 1.3	
Math gender gap	M 0.8	F 0.8	F 2.1	F 0.7	M 0.4	
Graduation rate	96.0	95.9	97.4	96.8	96.4	
Delayed advancement rate	19.6	20.0	9.5	15.2	15.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.2</b>	

St George's [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 143				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	6/274	2/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	82.6	82.5	84.8	84.5	83.2	
Percentage of exams failed	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.5	
School vs exam mark difference	2.9	2.9	1.6	2.9	1.4	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.3	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>9.5</b>	

St John's [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 31				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,800: 0.7		Rank:	28/274	18/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	74.8	76.3	74.2	75.7	73.1	
Percentage of exams failed	2.6	2.3	1.2	0.6	4.5	
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	3.0	2.4	2.5	2.1	
English gender gap	F 0.2	F 7.8	F 1.0	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	F 0.3	F 7.5	M 11.6	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	10.3	2.7	0.0	14.1	2.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>7.9</b>	

St Patrick's [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 99				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,200: 1.4		Rank:	66/274	55/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	70.8	68.5	70.8	70.1	70.2	
Percentage of exams failed	5.7	7.1	5.1	5.6	4.9	
School vs exam mark difference	7.9	6.4	5.4	5.5	5.4	
English gender gap	M 0.6	F 2.4	F 4.9	F 4.7	F 7.5	
Math gender gap	F 2.9	F 4.8	M 3.1	F 1.5	F 2.4	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	4.1	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	

Templeton [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 165				
ESL (%): 4.1	Special needs (%): 15.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,500: -0.2		Rank:	203/274	146/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	68.6	69.2	68.8	67.3	67.5	
Percentage of exams failed	10.4	9.8	10.8	12.0	10.4	
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	3.6	2.5	3.0	3.0	
English gender gap	M 0.2	F 1.7	F 7.7	F 7.6	F 4.7	
Math gender gap	F 1.8	F 2.2	F 8.2	F 2.7	F 5.6	
Graduation rate	93.2	96.1	92.9	94.0	93.9	
Delayed advancement rate	32.7	30.4	35.7	27.9	32.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.0</b>	

Windermere [Public] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 230				
ESL (%): 8.9	Special needs (%): 12.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,200: 0.2		Rank:	182/274	146/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.1	64.9	67.4	66.6	66.8	
Percentage of exams failed	10.7	12.5	9.0	8.6	9.4	
School vs exam mark difference	3.8	3.2	1.6	3.4	2.4	
English gender gap	M 4.8	F 2.5	F 3.3	F 6.8	F 2.5	
Math gender gap	F 3.1	F 3.2	F 3.1	F 1.6	M 2.7	
Graduation rate	88.0	93.2	92.1	93.7	91.2	
Delayed advancement rate	29.6	23.8	18.5	23.3	22.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.4</b>	

Mulgrave [Independent] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 51				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$168,300: -0.7		Rank:	10/274	n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	76.3	76.6	79.5	n/a	77.9	
Percentage of exams failed	1.3	0.4	0.3	n/a	0.0	
School vs exam mark difference	3.1	2.7	0.0	n/a	0.0	
English gender gap	M 4.0	F 1.1	F 4.4	n/a	F 6.4	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 4.6	F 0.5	n/a	F 2.2	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	n/a	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	1.3	0.0	n/a	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>8.8</b>	

West Vancouver [Public] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 293				
ESL (%): 5.3	Special needs (%): 12.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$114,100: -0.7		Rank:	74/274	38/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	74.7	70.9	72.5	72.1	72.2	
Percentage of exams failed	4.0	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.1	
School vs exam mark difference	3.8	4.5	2.2	3.2	3.7	
English gender gap	F 0.3	M 0.1	F 5.7	F 2.9	F 3.0	
Math gender gap	F 2.1	F 0.4	M 3.7	M 2.1	M 1.2	
Graduation rate	95.6	95.2	98.7	99.3	95.7	
Delayed advancement rate	15.1	11.9	6.4	8.8	11.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

York House [Independent] Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 56				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$116,400: 2.3		Rank:	1/274	1/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	84.3	82.8	85.7	85.2	87.1	
Percentage of exams failed	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	
School vs exam mark difference	1.8	2.8	0.4	1.9	0.0	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>10.0</b>	

Rockridge [Public] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 175				
ESL (%): 3.3	Special needs (%): 13.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$204,500: -2.9		Rank:	30/274	25/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	73.9	71.7	74.3	73.9	74.7	
Percentage of exams failed	4.2	3.9	2.3	3.7	2.6	
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	4.4	2.2	3.7	1.9	
English gender gap	F 2.4	F 1.4	F 2.9	F 0.4	F 3.6	
Math gender gap	M 3.1	F 2.2	M 4.0	F 2.9	F 2.1	
Graduation rate	97.5	99.3	96.7	99.3	97.1	
Delayed advancement rate	7.5	3.2	10.4	8.1	6.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.8</b>	

**WEST VANCOUVER**

Collingwood [Independent] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 124				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$155,500: -0.2		Rank:	10/274	11/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	76.6	77.1	79.1	81.7	80.3	
Percentage of exams failed	3.4	1.4	1.6	0.2	1.1	
School vs exam mark difference	4.3	4.3	2.7	1.8	1.4	
English gender gap	M 3.1	F 1.2	F 4.7	F 0.7	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	F 2.6	F 2.5	F 3.2	F 1.0	F 2.7	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	1.2	0.0	0.0	2.6	4.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>9.7</b>	<b>8.8</b>	

Sentinel [Public] West Vancouver		Gr 12 Enrollment: 199				
ESL (%): 5.8	Special needs (%): 5.5	French Imm (%): 28.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$136,800: -0.2		Rank:	22/274	21/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	74.7	73.2	74.0	74.8	76.3	
Percentage of exams failed	4.9	5.6	3.1	4.1	3.0	
School vs exam mark difference	3.3	2.9	1.9	2.3	1.4	
English gender gap	M 2.5	F 5.6	F 5.5	F 5.0	F 3.9	
Math gender gap	F 0.8	F 0.2	F 0.2	M 1.3	M 0.4	
Graduation rate	99.2	98.7	98.0	96.9	98.9	
Delayed advancement rate	5.8	9.2	5.9	10.6	8.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.0</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.2</b>	

# Vancouver Island and the Coast

## ALBERNI

Alberni District [Public] Port Alberni		Gr 12 Enrollment: 283			
ESL (%): 6.2	Special needs (%): 15.8	French Imm (%): 3.9		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,600: -0.8		Rank: 227/274		232/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	62.9	61.6	62.7	61.7	62.6
Percentage of exams failed	17.7	18.9	14.9	17.7	13.9
School vs exam mark difference	6.0	5.7	5.8	5.3	5.0
English gender gap	F 4.1	F 2.3	F 10.6	F 7.1	F 4.7
Math gender gap	M 1.2	F 4.1	F 5.0	M 0.8	M 3.1
Graduation rate	93.4	92.2	94.6	95.1	95.1
Delayed advancement rate	24.8	29.4	30.6	31.8	16.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.6</b>

## CAMPBELL RIVER

Carihi [Public] Campbell River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 229			
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 12.6	French Imm (%): 16.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 172/274		172/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	66.0	65.0	66.1	66.1	65.0
Percentage of exams failed	13.1	13.4	12.9	11.5	11.2
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	5.1	3.6	4.3	3.3
English gender gap	F 2.7	F 6.7	F 4.6	F 5.5	F 2.8
Math gender gap	M 1.0	F 2.3	M 0.6	F 2.9	F 4.0
Graduation rate	99.0	92.8	96.7	97.3	95.9
Delayed advancement rate	45.9	38.1	35.3	31.1	18.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.5</b>

Timberline [Public] Campbell River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 265			
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 12.3	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 209/274		189/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	66.0	64.3	63.4	64.3	65.1
Percentage of exams failed	11.2	13.9	13.3	11.9	11.4
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	5.7	5.8	7.2	5.3
English gender gap	F 3.6	F 2.4	F 6.4	F 2.1	F 5.5
Math gender gap	M 0.7	F 2.2	F 0.3	F 0.5	M 3.9
Graduation rate	98.0	96.2	91.1	98.0	93.7
Delayed advancement rate	22.4	24.3	29.4	26.7	15.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.9</b>

## COAST MOUNTAINS

Caledonia [Public] Terrace		Gr 12 Enrollment: 232			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 17.5	French Imm (%): 2.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,800: -0.2		Rank: 164/274		177/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	65.8	64.4	65.8	66.7	67.5
Percentage of exams failed	12.8	12.4	10.5	7.2	7.1
School vs exam mark difference	5.3	4.4	3.5	4.7	3.3
English gender gap	F 1.7	M 3.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Math gender gap	F 1.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Graduation rate	90.0	89.4	94.0	93.4	94.5
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.6</b>

Hazelton [Public] Hazelton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 63			
ESL (%): 23.1	Special needs (%): 18.8	French Imm (%): 5.5		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$33,700: -3.2		Rank: 272/274		256/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	57.8	57.6	56.8	59.5	60.5
Percentage of exams failed	29.0	28.8	30.6	26.3	22.0
School vs exam mark difference	10.5	9.8	9.3	7.3	7.9
English gender gap	M 3.8	M 5.0	F 7.9	F 14.0	F 11.9
Math gender gap	M 6.2	F 7.4	F 7.4	F 4.8	M 0.5
Graduation rate	88.6	81.1	86.0	85.5	81.0
Delayed advancement rate	36.9	31.4	29.2	49.3	46.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>

Mount Elizabeth [Public] Kitimat		Gr 12 Enrollment: 123			
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 11.9	French Imm (%): 4.6		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,500: -2.6		Rank: 252/274		235/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	61.6	60.7	61.9	62.7	65.2
Percentage of exams failed	23.0	23.5	18.7	17.5	13.5
School vs exam mark difference	8.1	8.7	5.1	7.1	9.2
English gender gap	F 5.7	M 3.9	F 4.9	F 4.7	F 8.4
Math gender gap	M 2.3	F 1.3	M 0.3	M 1.1	F 4.1
Graduation rate	95.0	90.9	88.8	93.2	93.9
Delayed advancement rate	16.3	15.4	27.3	21.1	27.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>

## COMOX VALLEY

Georges P Vanier [Public] Courtenay		Gr 12 Enrollment: 299			
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 13.9	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,800: -0.4		Rank: 200/274		172/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.6	64.4	66.1	66.3	67.2
Percentage of exams failed	11.1	13.3	11.5	10.1	10.0
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	6.8	5.5	3.8	5.2
English gender gap	F 6.3	F 5.2	F 10.5	F 0.1	F 4.8
Math gender gap	F 3.5	F 0.4	F 0.7	F 1.4	F 0.9
Graduation rate	94.6	94.5	94.6	94.1	93.2
Delayed advancement rate	31.1	18.3	19.6	32.5	29.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.1</b>

Highland [Public] Comox		Gr 12 Enrollment: 213			
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 14.8	French Imm (%): 3.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,800: 0.5		Rank: 119/274		105/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	70.4	67.8	69.8	70.3	69.5
Percentage of exams failed	8.5	10.9	7.6	4.4	8.2
School vs exam mark difference	6.7	7.8	5.3	2.5	4.1
English gender gap	F 4.8	F 3.8	F 4.0	F 7.6	F 3.9
Math gender gap	F 3.1	F 3.8	M 1.1	F 5.8	M 2.9
Graduation rate	91.5	90.4	93.6	97.6	97.5
Delayed advancement rate	7.5	13.8	23.6	18.3	16.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>

Mark R. Isfeld [Public] Courtenay		Gr 12 Enrollment: 159			
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 10.1	French Imm (%): 20.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,200: 1.1		Rank: 82/274		120/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.7	65.6	66.7	66.6	69.9
Percentage of exams failed	10.3	12.0	8.3	8.1	4.1
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	5.6	3.4	2.7	2.3
English gender gap	M 0.7	F 2.3	F 6.6	F 3.8	F 2.9
Math gender gap	F 1.9	F 1.5	F 4.1	F 0.2	M 2.0
Graduation rate	95.0	88.9	97.1	98.6	96.9
Delayed advancement rate	30.6	24.1	33.7	15.3	26.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.8</b>

## COWICHAN VALLEY

Brentwood College [Independent] Mill Bay		Gr 12 Enrollment: 67			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 6/274		9/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	77.1	76.0	80.6	79.2	82.4
Percentage of exams failed	3.1	1.6	1.0	0.3	0.0
School vs exam mark difference	4.2	3.4	0.6	2.3	1.0
English gender gap	F 0.6	F 1.8	F 4.1	F 4.7	F 1.4
Math gender gap	M 3.3	F 0.8	M 9.6	M 4.6	F 0.7
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	2.5	4.3	1.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>9.5</b>

Chemainus [Public] Chemainus		Gr 12 Enrollment: 67			
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 12.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,000: 1.1		Rank: 96/274		99/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.4	69.2	69.8	69.3	69.8
Percentage of exams failed	12.4	7.5	5.1	6.1	9.5
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	6.5	3.2	3.0	3.2
English gender gap	M 1.3	F 2.1	F 5.8	F 4.1	F 2.7
Math gender gap	F 2.2	F 2.1	M 5.7	F 1.9	M 1.1
Graduation rate	88.5	97.2	95.1	93.8	96.6
Delayed advancement rate	32.1	14.6	9.9	6.5	20.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.6</b>

Cowichan [Public] Duncan		Gr 12 Enrollment: 288			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 11.4	French Imm (%): 5.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,900: -0.3		Rank: 172/274		161/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	65.7	64.2	66.1	66.3	65.2
Percentage of exams failed	12.6	13.8	10.2	9.1	11.6
School vs exam mark difference	6.4	6.7	4.4	5.7	5.2
English gender gap	F 1.7	F 2.4	F 8.8	F 7.8	F 3.1
Math gender gap	F 3.8	F 1.9	F 0.8	F 2.5	F 2.2
Graduation rate	96.4	94.7	94.9	97.8	96.9
Delayed advancement rate	12.8	17.5	23.7	12.6	13.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>

Duncan Christian [Independent] Duncan		Gr 12 Enrollment: 23			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,100: 1.1		Rank: 66/274		n/a	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	n/a	68.8	68.9	69.9	71.5
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	7.1	5.0	8.3	4.4
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	7.2	4.5	4.5	3.7
English gender gap	n/a	F 4.7	F 2.3	n/a	n/a
Math gender gap	n/a	F 5.3	M 2.7	n/a	n/a
Graduation rate	n/a	95.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	6.2	25.0	n/a	17.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>7.0</b>

Frances Kelsey [Public] Mill Bay		Gr 12 Enrollment: 237			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,900: -1.4		Rank: 227/274		177/256	
Academic Performance					
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	70.5	68.1	69.1	68.6	68.0
Percentage of exams failed	5.5	8.8	6.4	8.1	9.1
School vs exam mark difference	9.7	11.0	11.0	12.0	11.0
English gender gap	F 0.1	M 0.4	F 9.8	F 6.1	F 8.0
Math gender gap	M 0.4	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 4.9	F 4.0
Graduation rate	99.5	99.0	99.6	98.6	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	46.3	39.1	35.6	32.0	44.8
<b>Overall rating out of </b>					

Shawinigan Lake [Independent] Shawinigan Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 71			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 16/274		12/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	78.6	77.6	80.1	79.4	79.4
Percentage of exams failed	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.0	0.0
School vs exam mark difference	3.6	4.0	2.5	2.9	3.6
English gender gap	M 4.3	F 2.2	F 2.8	F 4.5	F 5.7
Math gender gap	F 2.0	F 0.3	F 1.8	F 0.8	F 2.2
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	96.4	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	1.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>8.6</b>

**GREATER VICTORIA**

Belmont [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 463			
ESL (%): 1.7	Special needs (%): 12.3	French Imm (%): 2.6		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 164/274		161/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: -0.5					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	66.7	65.4	66.4	66.0	66.0
Percentage of exams failed	11.4	10.8	9.6	9.0	8.9
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	5.6	4.6	4.1	4.2
English gender gap	F 2.1	F 2.5	F 5.2	F 7.2	F 6.7
Math gender gap	M 2.0	F 2.9	F 1.3	F 2.7	M 0.7
Graduation rate	90.2	90.2	95.8	92.6	93.8
Delayed advancement rate	34.3	27.8	20.7	28.3	17.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.6</b>

Claremont [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 228			
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 7.8	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 63/274		49/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,300: 0.5					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	72.6	70.5	71.7	70.7	71.7
Percentage of exams failed	6.3	6.3	3.6	3.6	3.9
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	4.1	3.7	3.4	2.2
English gender gap	F 3.8	F 4.4	F 3.3	F 4.9	F 5.7
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 2.2	M 0.3	F 2.6	F 3.0
Graduation rate	96.2	96.8	97.5	91.4	95.7
Delayed advancement rate	16.4	18.8	6.7	13.3	6.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.1</b>

Esquimalt [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 156			
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 15.2	French Imm (%): 12.9		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 250/274		199/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,600: -1.7					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	69.6	66.9	68.2	67.9	67.7
Percentage of exams failed	10.5	13.3	11.4	11.5	13.0
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	4.7	3.6	4.7	3.2
English gender gap	F 0.3	F 4.7	F 11.4	F 3.4	F 5.5
Math gender gap	F 1.3	F 4.5	F 2.4	M 0.7	F 2.1
Graduation rate	90.8	91.6	87.3	93.1	87.5
Delayed advancement rate	51.1	53.4	56.3	50.0	50.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.1</b>

Glenlyon Norfolk [Independent] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 74			
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 10/274		13/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	76.6	76.8	78.4	78.7	79.6
Percentage of exams failed	3.6	2.5	0.7	1.4	1.1
School vs exam mark difference	4.7	4.0	0.1	1.8	1.6
English gender gap	F 1.2	F 4.0	F 0.5	F 8.1	F 8.5
Math gender gap	F 0.1	F 1.9	F 2.9	F 4.0	M 1.1
Graduation rate	98.2	96.4	95.7	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	6.4	5.6	4.1	0.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>8.8</b>

Lambrick Park [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 162			
ESL (%): 2.3	Special needs (%): 9.0	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 112/274		85/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,200: 0.3					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	70.0	69.0	68.5	69.4	71.3
Percentage of exams failed	6.9	6.9	8.1	5.5	6.4
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	3.5	2.2	4.2	0.9
English gender gap	F 3.5	F 2.5	F 5.8	F 3.2	F 5.2
Math gender gap	M 0.9	F 3.8	F 3.8	M 0.6	F 2.1
Graduation rate	94.9	93.7	95.9	95.6	91.5
Delayed advancement rate	17.4	11.9	15.5	25.3	22.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>

Mount Douglas [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 230			
ESL (%): 13.5	Special needs (%): 6.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 112/274		81/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,200: 0.3					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	74.3	69.0	73.1	72.4	72.7
Percentage of exams failed	6.9	11.4	6.9	6.8	6.4
School vs exam mark difference	3.7	5.7	2.2	2.1	2.7
English gender gap	F 0.2	F 3.0	F 7.7	F 5.0	F 6.0
Math gender gap	M 1.4	F 0.4	F 2.5	F 5.0	F 1.9
Graduation rate	96.5	93.6	92.0	90.3	92.2
Delayed advancement rate	6.6	9.8	25.2	27.8	17.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.4</b>

Oak Bay [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 263			
ESL (%): 2.0	Special needs (%): 10.2	French Imm (%): 12.5		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 66/274		68/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,500: 0.5					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	71.4	70.3	71.8	72.0	73.8
Percentage of exams failed	9.0	8.6	5.3	5.4	4.5
School vs exam mark difference	6.9	5.7	2.6	3.3	1.9
English gender gap	F 1.2	F 0.3	F 3.2	F 4.2	F 6.2
Math gender gap	F 3.4	F 3.7	M 0.2	F 1.0	F 1.3
Graduation rate	93.4	94.9	95.8	94.5	94.7
Delayed advancement rate	23.0	18.4	16.4	26.3	20.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>

Pacific Christian [Independent] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 87			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 66/274		38/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,900: 0.9					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	73.5	71.3	72.4	76.2	74.8
Percentage of exams failed	5.5	6.5	6.4	1.8	3.3
School vs exam mark difference	6.7	5.5	4.3	3.9	5.3
English gender gap	F 2.0	F 2.3	F 1.6	F 1.9	F 7.8
Math gender gap	M 3.8	F 0.7	F 5.9	F 3.6	F 7.4
Graduation rate	98.6	100.0	96.8	100.0	100.0
Delayed advancement rate	5.4	10.9	14.4	10.7	9.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>7.0</b>

Reynolds [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 214			
ESL (%): 1.9	Special needs (%): 11.5	French Imm (%): 23.9		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 194/274		143/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,700: -0.7					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	70.5	68.1	69.2	68.3	67.7
Percentage of exams failed	8.8	10.6	8.5	10.0	9.1
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	5.1	3.9	3.6	8.3
English gender gap	M 2.0	F 2.8	F 7.0	F 5.4	F 7.1
Math gender gap	F 1.5	F 3.8	F 2.4	F 2.7	F 2.1
Graduation rate	89.8	94.3	96.0	94.1	95.0
Delayed advancement rate	34.8	28.9	28.0	29.8	21.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.2</b>

Spectrum [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 352			
ESL (%): 1.5	Special needs (%): 11.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 227/274		219/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,400: -1.3					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	64.6	62.8	63.8	65.0	64.7
Percentage of exams failed	15.4	18.3	15.9	11.4	12.9
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	8.1	5.9	4.5	5.9
English gender gap	F 4.0	F 1.8	F 4.7	F 4.5	F 7.2
Math gender gap	E 1.2	M 1.2	F 2.3	F 3.4	F 3.4
Graduation rate	86.3	82.9	95.3	96.6	95.2
Delayed advancement rate	38.0	35.3	28.1	26.1	25.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.6</b>

St Andrew's [Independent] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 101			
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 50/274		44/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,400: 1.1					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	71.0	69.1	70.0	72.0	72.7
Percentage of exams failed	5.7	6.5	7.3	5.7	2.8
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	4.4	5.0	4.4	2.1
English gender gap	F 0.3	F 0.5	F 3.5	F 5.0	F 4.6
Math gender gap	F 1.4	M 2.3	F 2.4	M 0.4	F 6.7
Graduation rate	95.0	96.4	98.7	98.3	98.9
Delayed advancement rate	7.8	4.8	11.0	5.8	10.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.3</b>

St Michaels [Independent] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 98			
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 14/274		8/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	80.3	80.7	82.9	82.4	81.2
Percentage of exams failed	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.3	0.8
School vs exam mark difference	4.9	4.5	2.7	3.1	5.0
English gender gap	M 0.3	F 0.2	F 5.3	F 2.1	F 2.9
Math gender gap	M 2.6	F 1.8	F 5.7	M 0.7	F 0.6
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	99.2	99.0
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>9.8</b>	<b>9.6</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>8.7</b>

Victoria High [Public] Victoria		Gr 12 Enrollment: 179			
ESL (%): 4.2	Special needs (%): 15.0	French Imm (%): 6.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based		Rank: 236/274		230/256	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,100: -0.9					
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
Average exam mark	65.4	65.0	65.7	65.1	65.8
Percentage of exams failed	16.8	15.4	12.4	13.6	10.1
School vs exam mark difference	6.2	3.9	4.3	4.2	3.8
English gender gap					

Ladysmith [Public] Ladysmith		Gr 12 Enrollment: 161				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,000: -0.2		Rank:	182/274	207/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		65.1	62.5	65.3	64.1	65.9
Percentage of exams failed		13.1	18.9	8.9	10.1	8.6
School vs exam mark difference		6.0	8.6	4.9	5.3	5.7
English gender gap		F 2.1	M 4.1	F 5.1	F 6.4	F 2.5
Math gender gap		M 2.3	M 2.2	M 0.8	F 0.2	M 0.7
Graduation rate		96.6	85.5	92.8	92.0	97.5
Delayed advancement rate		15.3	36.3	36.2	38.4	35.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.9</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>

Nanaimo District [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 157				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.6	French Imm (%): 24.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,900: -0.3		Rank:	187/274	191/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		65.6	64.6	66.8	68.4	68.2
Percentage of exams failed		14.5	14.4	10.6	7.0	8.1
School vs exam mark difference		6.4	5.8	4.7	3.9	4.3
English gender gap		F 4.7	F 5.8	F 10.7	F 5.8	F 6.3
Math gender gap		M 0.6	F 1.4	F 5.2	F 5.6	F 2.2
Graduation rate		87.9	85.0	90.7	94.0	92.3
Delayed advancement rate		34.4	30.8	38.4	34.8	36.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.3</b>

Wellington [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 206				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 9.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,200: -0.4		Rank:	187/274	177/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.1	65.3	66.5	65.5	65.9
Percentage of exams failed		12.4	15.3	11.0	10.5	8.0
School vs exam mark difference		7.9	7.3	6.4	6.8	8.1
English gender gap		F 4.3	F 4.1	F 5.4	F 4.5	F 5.7
Math gender gap		M 1.1	F 2.3	M 0.7	F 1.6	F 4.9
Graduation rate		93.8	91.0	97.1	96.5	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		18.3	26.0	15.0	18.8	18.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.3</b>

Woodlands [Public] Nanaimo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 160				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,500: -1.4		Rank:	253/274	225/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		64.4	62.1	64.7	63.8	62.2
Percentage of exams failed		17.1	17.6	11.7	15.2	15.3
School vs exam mark difference		6.7	8.3	4.3	7.2	9.3
English gender gap		M 0.9	F 3.8	F 5.2	F 4.8	F 3.3
Math gender gap		F 1.4	F 5.7	M 1.2	F 9.8	M 1.3
Graduation rate		93.2	91.1	92.3	97.0	93.9
Delayed advancement rate		17.4	32.8	32.8	22.7	29.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>

### POWELL RIVER

Brooks [Public] Powell River		Gr 12 Enrollment: 237				
ESL (%): 0.1	Special needs (%): 14.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,500: -1.2		Rank:	227/274	199/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.6	64.6	66.2	67.1	65.3
Percentage of exams failed		10.2	11.8	12.0	8.4	11.0
School vs exam mark difference		4.9	4.9	2.3	2.6	5.0
English gender gap		E	F 2.3	F 8.6	F 7.2	F 10.4
Math gender gap		M 1.3	F 2.7	F 0.9	F 2.4	F 0.8
Graduation rate		82.0	80.0	89.2	88.8	92.7
Delayed advancement rate		46.4	38.0	32.0	30.9	30.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.6</b>

### PRINCE RUPERT

Charles Hays [Public] Prince Rupert		Gr 12 Enrollment: 98				
ESL (%): 2.1	Special needs (%): 18.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,500: -2.8		Rank:	269/274	250/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		62.3	59.8	62.1	60.5	60.5
Percentage of exams failed		17.8	23.2	18.0	19.0	19.8
School vs exam mark difference		5.4	8.8	4.4	7.5	7.5
English gender gap		F 4.6	F 7.0	F 6.8	F 4.0	F 4.6
Math gender gap		F 1.1	F 10.8	M 8.8	F 1.3	F 4.1
Graduation rate		94.3	94.3	86.4	100.0	93.5
Delayed advancement rate		51.3	22.4	59.6	42.4	52.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>2.6</b>

Prince Rupert [Public] Prince Rupert		Gr 12 Enrollment: 97				
ESL (%): 2.8	Special needs (%): 12.8	French Imm (%): 9.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,100: -0.8		Rank:	227/274	230/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		64.5	62.6	63.3	65.2	66.8
Percentage of exams failed		19.7	19.5	20.0	12.8	10.0
School vs exam mark difference		7.1	6.6	3.0	1.9	3.2
English gender gap		M 1.4	F 5.4	F 4.3	F 1.3	F 4.2
Math gender gap		M 0.2	F 7.1	F 2.1	F 0.8	F 1.2
Graduation rate		83.7	85.2	85.1	95.1	89.2
Delayed advancement rate		49.1	50.2	37.3	51.2	47.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.6</b>

### QUALICUM

Ballenas [Public] Parksville		Gr 12 Enrollment: 255				
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 9.9	French Imm (%): 8.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,600: 0.6		Rank:	129/274	112/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		67.7	65.6	67.4	67.6	66.7
Percentage of exams failed		10.3	8.8	8.5	7.5	7.4
School vs exam mark difference		7.0	6.9	4.8	4.5	6.6
English gender gap		F 0.9	F 1.7	F 3.6	F 4.4	F 5.1
Math gender gap		F 1.2	M 0.1	F 3.7	M 2.8	F 2.6
Graduation rate		91.5	93.1	96.2	96.1	98.7
Delayed advancement rate		23.2	14.2	9.8	10.5	7.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.2</b>

Kwalikum [Public] Qualicum Beach		Gr 12 Enrollment: 178				
ESL (%): 1.5	Special needs (%): 9.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,300: 0.2		Rank:	156/274	112/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.9	67.6	68.4	68.4	68.5
Percentage of exams failed		12.7	8.4	7.3	6.0	6.5
School vs exam mark difference		6.1	4.2	4.3	4.8	6.5
English gender gap		M 1.7	F 0.1	F 6.1	F 1.1	F 9.1
Math gender gap		F 2.5	F 0.9	F 0.4	M 1.6	M 7.3
Graduation rate		94.2	95.7	93.1	97.0	98.2
Delayed advancement rate		33.8	18.5	26.5	19.0	13.6
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.7</b>

### SAANICH

Parkland [Public] Sidney		Gr 12 Enrollment: 188				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 11.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,700: 0.5		Rank:	66/274	74/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		69.9	68.1	69.5	69.6	70.8
Percentage of exams failed		9.2	7.0	7.0	5.8	3.1
School vs exam mark difference		4.6	5.1	3.6	3.7	3.4
English gender gap		F 1.6	F 0.4	F 7.0	F 6.2	F 6.4
Math gender gap		F 0.8	F 2.5	M 2.4	F 0.2	F 0.2
Graduation rate		97.5	96.7	98.5	97.0	95.4
Delayed advancement rate		17.3	23.4	13.3	19.0	11.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.0</b>

Stelly's [Public] Saanichton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 279				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.2	French Imm (%): 17.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,800: -0.5		Rank:	150/274	127/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		69.6	68.3	69.6	69.8	69.1
Percentage of exams failed		8.2	8.8	7.0	6.7	7.0
School vs exam mark difference		5.3	6.1	4.3	3.2	4.7
English gender gap		F 2.9	F 4.9	F 8.0	F 6.6	F 8.8
Math gender gap		F 1.2	F 1.8	F 1.6	M 0.5	F 1.1
Graduation rate		90.2	91.1	91.5	94.2	95.0
Delayed advancement rate		25.5	39.0	23.3	32.8	24.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.8</b>

### SEA TO SKY

Howe Sound [Public] Squamish		Gr 12 Enrollment: 210				
ESL (%): 2.3	Special needs (%): 15.9	French Imm (%): 6.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,900: -0.5		Rank:	203/274	191/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		67.4	63.3	63.9	64.9	65.0
Percentage of exams failed		10.4	14.0	14.9	9.8	10.3
School vs exam mark difference		5.8	5.6	4.2	4.1	4.4
English gender gap		F 5.0	F 11.2	F 7.0	F 1.1	F 5.2
Math gender gap		F 2.9	F 5.2	F 5.7	M 0.2	F 2.1
Graduation rate		91.3	94.6	96.5	96.3	93.2
Delayed advancement rate		23.9	14.8	30.5	33.5	20.5
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.0</b>

Pemberton [Public] Pemberton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 51				
ESL (%): 21.6	Special needs (%): 22.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$33,100: 0.5		Rank:	187/274	172/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		64.5	65.4	66.5	68.3	66.2
Percentage of exams failed		10.8	12.3	11.5	7.3	9.0
School vs exam mark difference		6.5	7.3	4.8	2.8	4.0
English gender gap		F 5.3	F 5.3	F 7.0	M 0.7	F 6.2
Math gender gap		F 1.4	F 4.4	M 4.5	M 1.7	M 5.3
Graduation rate		95.2	98.1	90.2	100.0	97.9
Delayed advancement rate		40.3	31.1	41.9	48	

**VANCOUVER ISLAND NORTH**

<b>North Island (Public) Port McNeill</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 87</b>				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 21.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,900: -2.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 264/274		247/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	61.1	58.8	60.4	59.2	63.1	
Percentage of exams failed	21.6	26.1	20.8	18.9	15.0	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	7.6	7.1	8.8	7.3	
English gender gap	M 3.4	F 8.4	F 16.7	F 9.2	F 10.7	
Math gender gap	M 1.3	F 8.5	M 1.0	M 0.4	F 4.9	
Graduation rate	92.4	92.2	97.4	98.5	93.1	
Delayed advancement rate	26.7	33.2	21.6	11.7	35.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.2</b>	

<b>Port Hardy (Public) Port Hardy</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 56</b>				
ESL (%): 22.1	Special needs (%): 25.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,300: -1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 253/274		247/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	60.7	57.4	60.3	61.2	61.4	
Percentage of exams failed	18.6	25.5	22.8	19.3	19.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	8.0	8.6	3.4	6.9	
English gender gap	M 2.0	F 4.9	F 5.5	F 5.3	F 10.0	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 5.6	M 0.8	F 1.5	F 2.5	
Graduation rate	96.7	100.0	90.9	94.9	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	44.8	52.8	44.5	39.8	35.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	

# Fraser Valley and Southern British Columbia

## ABBOTSFORD

Abbotsford Christian [Independent] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 95				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,100: 1.5		Rank:	30/274	25/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		72.3	69.1	74.7	74.1	74.0
Percentage of exams failed		4.0	5.7	1.5	0.3	1.4
School vs exam mark difference		4.2	3.7	2.0	0.6	0.7
English gender gap		F 2.3	M 0.7	F 1.8	F 5.7	F 4.3
Math gender gap		M 0.1	M 2.5	M 1.7	F 1.3	F 5.1
Graduation rate		93.3	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.7
Delayed advancement rate		12.4	13.4	14.7	8.8	7.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>7.8</b>

Abbotsford Collegiate [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 321				
ESL (%): 2.8	Special needs (%): 11.6	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,500: -2.5		Rank:	264/274	246/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		65.4	61.7	61.4	60.4	60.3
Percentage of exams failed		14.3	20.5	18.5	20.5	21.0
School vs exam mark difference		8.8	9.7	7.8	10.9	9.3
English gender gap		F 0.1	F 5.2	F 8.1	F 8.1	F 4.6
Math gender gap		M 1.3	F 2.1	F 3.1	F 1.9	M 0.2
Graduation rate		93.6	93.3	94.1	95.7	93.9
Delayed advancement rate		25.7	18.6	32.9	25.8	22.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>3.2</b>

Abbotsford Traditional [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 116				
ESL (%): 1.0	Special needs (%): 2.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,200: -0.2		Rank:	156/274	154/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		65.5	65.6	69.9	67.3	68.5
Percentage of exams failed		12.3	13.8	8.1	9.6	7.9
School vs exam mark difference		8.0	6.7	4.9	6.6	7.5
English gender gap		F 3.7	F 8.0	F 4.3	F 2.0	F 5.4
Math gender gap		F 9.2	F 2.3	F 8.2	M 0.9	F 2.7
Graduation rate		94.1	91.4	91.7	90.3	94.5
Delayed advancement rate		25.5	18.6	5.5	13.2	9.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.7</b>

Mennonite Educational Institute [Independent] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 160				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,800: 1.9		Rank:	22/274	25/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		72.3	72.1	73.2	72.2	73.6
Percentage of exams failed		4.3	4.0	2.2	3.4	2.9
School vs exam mark difference		5.0	4.2	2.9	4.2	2.2
English gender gap		M 2.4	F 2.0	F 1.5	F 2.4	F 2.5
Math gender gap		M 3.0	F 1.0	M 3.8	M 2.0	F 0.1
Graduation rate		100.0	98.2	100.0	100.0	99.4
Delayed advancement rate		4.3	3.6	8.2	0.0	1.4
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.7</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>8.2</b>

Rick Hansen [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 217				
ESL (%): 7.0	Special needs (%): 8.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,700: 0.2		Rank:	143/274	146/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.6	65.2	68.2	66.8	67.9
Percentage of exams failed		13.3	12.4	10.0	9.5	8.2
School vs exam mark difference		5.5	6.1	4.2	6.1	4.6
English gender gap		F 0.9	F 4.3	F 9.3	F 2.2	F 4.6
Math gender gap		F 3.3	F 1.3	F 7.1	F 3.1	M 2.6
Graduation rate		94.5	96.9	94.9	94.9	96.9
Delayed advancement rate		25.7	16.5	24.4	14.1	13.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.9</b>

Robert Bateman [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 238				
ESL (%): 1.2	Special needs (%): 10.5	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,400: 0.1		Rank:	96/274	68/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		69.5	69.0	71.1	68.5	69.1
Percentage of exams failed		7.3	7.5	4.7	5.8	7.4
School vs exam mark difference		3.0	4.4	2.2	3.2	2.4
English gender gap		F 3.1	F 5.4	F 3.9	F 2.8	F 5.7
Math gender gap		F 2.4	F 1.4	F 1.1	M 0.8	F 1.4
Graduation rate		97.6	97.8	98.5	96.8	97.6
Delayed advancement rate		16.0	29.2	10.3	9.0	9.3
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.6</b>

St John Brebeuf [Independent] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 57				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,400: 0.1		Rank:	112/274	120/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.4	67.4	69.6	68.0	69.8
Percentage of exams failed		13.2	12.8	8.3	7.1	5.3
School vs exam mark difference		9.9	8.5	7.9	9.3	8.4
English gender gap		M 5.1	F 3.0	F 2.4	F 4.1	F 5.3
Math gender gap		M 5.8	F 0.9	M 0.3	M 2.1	F 2.3
Graduation rate		98.0	98.2	97.7	98.3	98.2
Delayed advancement rate		2.0	8.9	10.1	16.2	4.7
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.4</b>

W J Mouat [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 374				
ESL (%): 2.2	Special needs (%): 6.2	French Imm (%): 19.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,800: 1.0		Rank:	96/274	68/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		70.7	68.6	70.7	70.9	69.8
Percentage of exams failed		6.8	7.3	6.1	5.3	5.3
School vs exam mark difference		3.4	3.8	3.3	3.5	4.2
English gender gap		F 0.6	F 1.5	F 3.4	F 3.0	F 6.2
Math gender gap		M 1.5	F 1.9	M 3.2	F 2.0	F 3.1
Graduation rate		94.2	96.5	97.0	99.7	99.1
Delayed advancement rate		25.6	22.9	11.1	6.0	12.0
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>

Yale [Public] Abbotsford		Gr 12 Enrollment: 270				
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 8.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,100: -0.1		Rank:	119/274	94/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		71.6	69.5	69.4	69.4	70.0
Percentage of exams failed		8.3	8.5	8.3	7.3	6.0
School vs exam mark difference		4.7	4.4	4.1	5.9	7.1
English gender gap		F 0.8	F 2.5	F 5.9	F 6.7	F 4.8
Math gender gap		F 0.7	F 3.5	M 2.1	F 3.6	F 1.6
Graduation rate		97.2	94.8	97.0	95.8	97.3
Delayed advancement rate		23.1	17.8	22.4	16.1	14.8
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.3</b>

## ARROW LAKES

Nakusp [Public] Nakusp		Gr 12 Enrollment: 33				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 5.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: -0.8		Rank:	200/274	207/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.6	64.1	67.4	66.6	66.6
Percentage of exams failed		11.8	14.1	7.7	11.7	11.8
School vs exam mark difference		7.6	6.9	5.9	8.2	7.4
English gender gap		M 0.8	F 8.5	M 6.1	F 12.2	F 10.7
Math gender gap		F 1.9	F 8.4	M 13.8	F 1.9	F 7.5
Graduation rate		97.8	88.0	93.0	95.2	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		23.4	29.0	32.9	37.4	12.9
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.0</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>5.1</b>

## BOUNDARY

Boundary Central [Public] Midway		Gr 12 Enrollment: 34				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 8.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,300: 1.9		Rank:	54/274	105/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		67.1	70.1	69.1	70.1	72.1
Percentage of exams failed		12.9	6.8	5.7	6.1	3.7
School vs exam mark difference		9.6	5.4	4.7	4.8	5.7
English gender gap		F 8.2	F 5.3	F 3.1	n/a	n/a
Math gender gap		M 0.1	M 2.5	F 14.2	n/a	n/a
Graduation rate		100.0	100.0	100.0	95.8	100.0
Delayed advancement rate		10.7	31.5	19.5	32.7	6.1
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.8</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.2</b>

Grand Forks [Public] Grand Forks		Gr 12 Enrollment: 106				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.3	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$44,200: 0.4		Rank:	164/274	199/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		67.2	63.1	65.0	64.9	65.8
Percentage of exams failed		11.0	15.0	14.0	13.6	12.1
School vs exam mark difference		7.7	8.4	8.7	9.0	7.1
English gender gap		F 5.1	F 6.9	F 9.6	F 5.7	F 3.5
Math gender gap		M 1.4	F 1.2	F 10.8	F 3.1	F 1.6
Graduation rate		93.0	94.9	97.9	96.7	98.9
Delayed advancement rate		25.7	29.4	22.1	13.6	5.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>5.6</b>

## CENTRAL OKANAGAN

George Elliot [Public] Winfield		Gr 12 Enrollment: 148				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 10.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,400: 0.7		Rank:	96/274	85/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		66.4	68.2	68.4	68.0	67.0
Percentage of exams failed		12.0	7.1	7.9	6.5	8.0
School vs exam mark difference		5.2	4.4	5.9	5.3	6.2
English gender gap		M 1.4	F 1.2	F 10.8	F 3.1	F 1.6
Math gender gap		F 5.0	F 1.1	F 7.5	F 1.0	M 1.2
Graduation rate		95.3	97.2	98.0	97.6	97.9
Delayed advancement rate		6.6	7.1	10.0	8.2	5.2
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>		<b>6.7</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.6</b>

Immacolata [Independent] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 46				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,800: 0.6		Rank:	48/274	22/256		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Average exam mark		70.0	71.6	72.8	75.7	74.2
Percentage						



Kelowna Christian [Independent] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 71				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,600: 2.0		Rank: 14/274		18/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	75.7	75.2	75.0	74.9	78.3	
Percentage of exams failed	1.3	2.0	1.6	0.9	0.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	4.8	3.9	1.7	0.1	
English gender gap	F 2.9	F 6.1	F 8.4	F 7.3	F 11.3	
Math gender gap	F 3.8	M 1.1	M 2.0	F 4.3	F 0.1	
Graduation rate	100.0	98.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	5.3	0.7	1.1	0.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.7</b>	

Mount Boucherie [Public] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 502				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 8.8	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: -0.3		Rank: 164/274		146/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	65.6	64.5	65.1	64.9	65.6	
Percentage of exams failed	12.1	15.0	14.2	13.7	10.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	6.3	7.6	7.5	6.4	
English gender gap	F 1.2	F 0.7	F 6.9	F 3.6	F 6.9	
Math gender gap	F 2.2	F 3.5	F 2.1	F 2.3	F 2.5	
Graduation rate	98.4	97.4	98.6	99.1	98.4	
Delayed advancement rate	6.0	5.8	9.1	5.3	11.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Okanagan Mission [Public] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 193				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 5.9	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$108,100: 1.0		Rank: 18/274		22/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	73.3	72.3	76.0	75.1	76.6	
Percentage of exams failed	4.6	3.8	2.0	1.8	1.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	6.3	3.1	2.9	1.7	
English gender gap	F 2.1	F 2.5	F 7.4	F 4.0	F 5.3	
Math gender gap	F 3.3	F 2.6	F 2.8	F 2.7	F 3.1	
Graduation rate	100.0	99.4	100.0	99.4	99.5	
Delayed advancement rate	12.1	3.2	5.3	4.6	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.4</b>	

Rutland [Public] Kelowna		Gr 12 Enrollment: 482				
ESL (%): 1.2	Special needs (%): 9.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,800: 0.3		Rank: 143/274		177/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	64.1	63.7	64.9	65.3	66.8	
Percentage of exams failed	14.2	13.8	10.6	10.0	7.9	
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	7.1	5.2	6.0	4.3	
English gender gap	F 1.6	F 0.7	F 5.5	F 2.3	F 3.2	
Math gender gap	F 5.7	F 1.2	M 0.9	M 1.3	F 0.6	
Graduation rate	94.3	95.7	94.3	97.2	94.8	
Delayed advancement rate	27.6	32.3	23.1	22.1	18.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>5.9</b>	

**CHILLIWACK**

Chilliwack [Public] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 333				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 9.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,200: -0.1		Rank: 150/274		161/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	63.7	63.6	65.4	64.0	66.5	
Percentage of exams failed	15.8	16.4	11.9	12.8	8.7	
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	5.0	2.8	6.5	3.8	
English gender gap	F 3.4	F 2.2	F 3.6	F 2.4	F 6.6	
Math gender gap	F 4.1	F 1.9	M 1.8	M 2.3	M 3.6	
Graduation rate	92.3	95.3	96.7	94.5	95.6	
Delayed advancement rate	23.6	5.5	14.9	8.6	10.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.8</b>	

GW Graham [Public] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 105				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 7.7	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,900: -0.1		Rank: 143/274		n/a		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	66.3	67.5	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.3	7.2	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.2	3.0	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.7	F 2.7	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.2	M 3.5	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	95.7	91.1	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	21.2	18.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.9</b>	

Highroad [Independent] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 26				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,300: 2.0		Rank: 22/274		25/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	72.6	75.0	74.1	75.7	74.5	
Percentage of exams failed	7.3	8.3	7.1	1.9	3.5	
School vs exam mark difference	6.1	4.0	1.4	2.5	2.4	
English gender gap	n/a	M 0.7	F 5.0	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	M 0.4	F 0.7	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	96.4	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	3.9	16.7	1.2	7.9	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>8.2</b>	

Sardis [Public] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 479				
ESL (%): 2.2	Special needs (%): 6.7	French Imm (%): 11.6		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: 0.3		Rank: 109/274		99/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	69.1	66.1	67.9	68.2	67.9	
Percentage of exams failed	9.4	10.9	9.0	8.5	6.6	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	4.8	2.7	3.0	3.1	
English gender gap	F 1.1	F 0.9	F 4.7	F 6.2	F 3.7	
Math gender gap	F 2.6	F 3.2	M 2.0	F 1.2	F 2.0	
Graduation rate	94.5	94.4	96.5	95.5	95.7	
Delayed advancement rate	6.7	19.2	16.5	9.6	11.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.5</b>	

Unity Christian [Independent] Chilliwack		Gr 12 Enrollment: 18				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,300: -0.6		Rank: 96/274		105/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	64.0	66.8	71.4	69.6	67.0	
Percentage of exams failed	14.5	9.9	2.9	5.7	7.5	
School vs exam mark difference	9.5	5.5	3.1	7.6	3.5	
English gender gap	M 1.2	F 9.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 6.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	95.5	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	5.1	5.9	16.5	9.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

**FRASER-CASCADE**

Agassiz [Public] Agassiz		Gr 12 Enrollment: 77				
ESL (%): 1.8	Special needs (%): 13.3	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,100: 0.7		Rank: 150/274		191/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	63.5	62.4	65.2	64.0	65.5	
Percentage of exams failed	17.2	17.0	10.1	11.3	8.2	
School vs exam mark difference	6.9	8.5	5.5	5.7	6.2	
English gender gap	F 13.8	F 4.4	F 5.0	M 5.3	M 8.6	
Math gender gap	M 1.0	F 2.8	F 3.2	M 0.4	M 3.6	
Graduation rate	94.7	95.5	88.9	90.2	98.5	
Delayed advancement rate	18.7	0.0	21.7	11.2	4.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.8</b>	

Hope [Public] Hope		Gr 12 Enrollment: 79				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 13.8	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,500: 0.2		Rank: 164/274		161/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	66.5	67.0	65.0	65.7	66.1	
Percentage of exams failed	11.0	9.6	11.1	8.9	7.0	
School vs exam mark difference	6.9	7.3	2.0	2.9	1.6	
English gender gap	F 3.2	F 3.4	F 7.4	F 2.5	F 2.1	
Math gender gap	F 6.9	F 0.1	M 1.3	M 1.5	F 3.9	
Graduation rate	100.0	88.7	83.3	96.8	90.4	
Delayed advancement rate	26.4	26.2	47.7	29.9	28.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

**KOOTENAY LAKE**

JVJ Humphries [Public] Kaslo		Gr 12 Enrollment: 39				
ESL (%): 4.4	Special needs (%): 6.5	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 200/274		161/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	67.4	67.4	68.1	68.4	68.4	
Percentage of exams failed	7.4	11.0	11.7	7.3	11.1	
School vs exam mark difference	7.7	8.4	5.7	9.1	7.6	
English gender gap	F 9.8	F 3.3	F 6.7	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 2.6	M 6.7	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.5	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	12.8	12.9	19.5	23.5	14.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>5.1</b>	

LV Rogers [Public] Nelson		Gr 12 Enrollment: 181				
ESL (%): 2.7	Special needs (%): 7.1	French Imm (%): 14.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,000: 2.2		Rank: 36/274		38/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	72.4	70.9	72.2	70.7	72.1	
Percentage of exams failed	5.1	5.5	3.3	4.9	3.0	
School vs exam mark difference	3.3	4.5	3.2	4.2	2.2	
English gender gap	F 2.1	F 1.4	F 5.3	F 5.6	F 6.4	
Math gender gap	F 2.2	F 0.4	F 1.3	F 2.0	M 0.6	
Graduation rate	97.5	96.7	99.0	98.5	99.4	
Delayed advancement rate	2.9	11.6	7.5	10.6	7.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.7</b>	

Mount Sentinel [Public] South Slokan		Gr 12 Enrollment: 68				
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 11.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,500: -0.8		Rank: 218/274		143/256		
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	70.0	68.5	68.7	69.4	66.8	
Percentage of exams failed	7.3	8.2	6.7	4.0	9.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.6	5.8	5.8	6.4	7.3	
English gender gap	F 1.4	F 6.7	M 3.6	F 8.3	F 7.4	
Math gender gap	F 3.1	M 6.0	M 10.9	F 1.2	F 2.6	
Graduation rate	90.0	92.3	96.9	100.0	91.9	
Delayed advancement rate	26.6	29.3	35.2	13.9	20.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6</b>		

**LANGLEY**

<b>Aldergrove Community [Public] Aldergrove</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 185</b>				
ESL (%): 0.2	Special needs (%): 12.1	French Imm (%): 13.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: -1.6		Rank: 245/274	222/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	65.6	62.3	64.5	62.4	64.0	
Percentage of exams failed	12.5	17.1	12.2	14.9	10.5	
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	7.4	4.9	8.0	6.1	
English gender gap	F 3.7	F 6.5	F 5.3	F 3.4	F 6.8	
Math gender gap	F 0.5	F 8.1	F 1.0	M 2.1	F 0.1	
Graduation rate	96.1	93.7	93.0	93.2	89.3	
Delayed advancement rate	25.5	33.2	30.0	33.9	30.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>4.3</b>	

<b>Brookwood [Public] Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 222</b>				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 9.4	French Imm (%): 16.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,600: 0.0		Rank: 119/274	120/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	66.9	64.6	67.5	68.4	67.4	
Percentage of exams failed	10.3	13.3	8.4	7.3	6.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	5.1	3.2	3.5	2.8	
English gender gap	F 1.3	F 9.1	F 5.2	F 5.8	F 2.8	
Math gender gap	F 3.7	F 4.9	E	F 0.6	F 1.0	
Graduation rate	94.6	94.9	94.3	97.1	94.5	
Delayed advancement rate	23.9	20.6	25.6	23.3	21.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.3</b>	

<b>Credo Christian [Independent] Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 64</b>				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,300: 0.6		Rank: 74/274	61/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	68.3	69.5	73.9	72.2	73.4	
Percentage of exams failed	6.8	6.9	2.4	1.3	1.9	
School vs exam mark difference	7.1	6.2	4.5	6.3	4.6	
English gender gap	F 2.5	F 4.5	F 8.2	F 6.0	F 8.1	
Math gender gap	F 5.0	F 5.9	F 2.1	F 2.5	F 10.4	
Graduation rate	100.0	98.5	100.0	97.8	98.4	
Delayed advancement rate	0.2	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>	

<b>D W Poppy [Public] Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 144</b>				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 11.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$108,700: -1.0		Rank: 112/274	99/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	67.6	67.8	69.6	70.1	69.0	
Percentage of exams failed	11.2	8.3	5.3	5.8	5.3	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	4.3	2.3	2.6	2.6	
English gender gap	F 1.0	F 0.3	F 7.2	F 0.1	F 3.7	
Math gender gap	F 2.9	F 0.3	M 0.1	M 3.0	F 1.3	
Graduation rate	92.7	96.3	87.4	95.9	94.4	
Delayed advancement rate	25.4	21.3	33.9	23.8	21.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.4</b>	

<b>Langley [Public] Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 280</b>				
ESL (%): 5.3	Special needs (%): 14.1	French Imm (%): 3.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,300: -1.3		Rank: 218/274	185/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	64.9	66.0	67.3	66.6	64.7	
Percentage of exams failed	11.8	12.2	7.0	9.2	11.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	6.4	1.9	1.5	2.2	
English gender gap	F 5.0	F 2.2	F 6.6	F 4.4	F 4.7	
Math gender gap	F 5.0	F 4.6	M 0.2	F 1.7	F 4.8	
Graduation rate	88.7	86.7	95.0	91.1	94.2	
Delayed advancement rate	40.0	33.5	42.0	34.9	33.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.8</b>	

<b>Langley Christian [Independent] Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 73</b>				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,100: 0.6		Rank: 54/274	61/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	67.5	69.7	70.6	70.9	71.4	
Percentage of exams failed	8.4	7.9	7.5	6.3	6.1	
School vs exam mark difference	7.8	8.0	4.2	3.3	3.3	
English gender gap	F 3.2	F 2.3	F 6.2	F 1.6	F 2.1	
Math gender gap	F 5.8	F 3.6	M 0.8	F 5.9	M 4.3	
Graduation rate	98.5	98.3	98.5	100.0	97.2	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	2.1	4.1	0.0	2.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.2</b>	

<b>Langley Fine Arts [Public] Fort Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 100</b>				
ESL (%): 1.6	Special needs (%): 5.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,900: 1.0		Rank: 37/274	33/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	73.1	71.3	73.4	71.8	73.2	
Percentage of exams failed	6.6	6.9	3.8	4.4	3.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	3.7	1.6	2.6	2.2	
English gender gap	M 0.6	F 0.5	F 6.0	F 2.2	F 1.2	
Math gender gap	F 5.7	F 1.0	F 1.3	F 0.7	F 5.3	
Graduation rate	97.4	97.7	97.7	97.1	97.2	
Delayed advancement rate	5.7	0.0	8.6	19.3	4.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.6</b>	

<b>Langley Fundamental [Public] Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 81</b>				
ESL (%): 1.5	Special needs (%): 6.6	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,600: 0.8		Rank: 48/274	n/a			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	72.0	72.7	72.7	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	8.6	5.7	3.0	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	5.8	4.9	3.4	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 8.4	F 5.2	M 1.0	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	M 0.6	M 1.3	F 3.2	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	98.2	100.0	96.2	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	10.7	8.7	8.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.4</b>	

<b>R. E. Mountain [Public] Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 158</b>				
ESL (%): 4.0	Special needs (%): 6.4	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,700: 1.5		Rank: 37/274	68/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	69.0	70.1	70.9	70.9	72.7	
Percentage of exams failed	8.2	5.9	7.5	4.2	4.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.0	2.3	2.4	1.3	1.4	
English gender gap	M 1.3	M 0.2	F 8.3	F 7.9	F 4.2	
Math gender gap	M 2.0	F 3.5	F 7.3	F 1.6	F 0.5	
Graduation rate	92.0	94.4	96.5	97.1	97.9	
Delayed advancement rate	32.6	27.2	11.9	16.2	12.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.6</b>	

<b>Walnut Grove [Public] Langley</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 351</b>				
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 9.3	French Imm (%): 12.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,200: 0.7		Rank: 50/274	68/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	68.1	67.7	69.0	69.1	70.5	
Percentage of exams failed	10.4	9.1	5.5	5.6	3.6	
School vs exam mark difference	7.6	5.5	2.7	2.9	1.5	
English gender gap	M 2.3	F 4.4	F 3.3	F 0.7	F 5.3	
Math gender gap	F 1.0	F 1.8	F 1.0	F 1.1	M 0.7	
Graduation rate	96.7	94.8	97.7	97.7	97.0	
Delayed advancement rate	11.8	14.7	16.4	14.4	9.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.3</b>	

**MISSION**

<b>Hatzic [Public] Mission</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 141</b>				
ESL (%): 2.6	Special needs (%): 11.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,300: -0.1		Rank: 172/274	177/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	64.5	61.3	66.2	66.8	66.2	
Percentage of exams failed	14.2	22.4	12.7	10.4	8.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	7.7	3.1	3.2	3.0	
English gender gap	F 1.7	F 1.4	F 10.7	F 5.5	F 7.9	
Math gender gap	M 2.0	F 5.7	F 3.8	M 1.0	F 1.0	
Graduation rate	93.3	92.9	96.2	93.1	90.8	
Delayed advancement rate	11.1	26.5	25.2	13.1	12.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

<b>Heritage Park [Public] Mission</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 144</b>				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.1	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,300: -0.6		Rank: 194/274	207/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	63.0	60.0	63.0	64.8	64.6	
Percentage of exams failed	19.3	25.9	15.7	12.6	11.4	
School vs exam mark difference	8.4	9.4	5.3	4.6	4.2	
English gender gap	F 3.7	F 6.3	F 6.3	F 5.2	F 5.8	
Math gender gap	M 2.5	F 6.4	F 0.1	F 0.3	M 4.5	
Graduation rate	100.0	94.8	98.4	98.6	94.9	
Delayed advancement rate	7.2	24.8	17.1	28.1	13.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.2</b>	

<b>Mission [Public] Mission</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 217</b>				
ESL (%): 2.6	Special needs (%): 10.9	French Imm (%): 11.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,000: -0.9		Rank: 227/274	161/256			
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	65.6	64.8	64.7	64.4	64.2	
Percentage of exams failed	14.7	13.3	13.3	12.5	12.9	
School vs exam mark difference	5.2	4.8	3.8	3.4	5.3	
English gender gap	M 0.9	F 1.3	F 6.8	F 3.2	F 7.9	
Math gender gap	M 5.4	F 0.2	F 2.9	M 0.7	M 0.9	
Graduation rate	95.3	93.8	94.9	92.8	93.2	
Delayed advancement rate	7.4	15.2	11.3	16.1	15.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.6</b>	

**NICOLA-SIMILKAMEEN**

<b>Merritt [Public] Merritt</b>		<b>Gr 12 Enrollment: 143</b>				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 14.8	French Imm (%): 6.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on						

**OKANAGAN SKAHA**

Penticton [Public] Penticton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 304				
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 14.6	French Imm (%): 20.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,400: 0.4		Rank: 112/274		94/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	70.2	67.9	68.5	68.8	69.0	
Percentage of exams failed	7.1	10.6	8.7	6.5	5.2	
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	5.2	3.4	4.7	3.7	
English gender gap	F 1.0	F 2.1	F 3.3	F 4.2	F 4.2	
Math gender gap	F 0.3	F 1.7	M 2.6	M 0.9	F 0.3	
Graduation rate	98.7	98.3	98.3	97.8	96.0	
Delayed advancement rate	25.6	34.9	33.6	15.5	21.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.4</b>	

Princess Margaret [Public] Penticton		Gr 12 Enrollment: 114				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 16.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,500: 0.2		Rank: 135/274		120/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.7	68.0	66.9	69.6	68.1	
Percentage of exams failed	9.9	10.8	9.3	5.5	7.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.0	3.6	5.2	5.5	5.2	
English gender gap	F 1.1	F 4.9	F 10.4	F 0.4	F 5.0	
Math gender gap	F 5.2	F 3.0	F 0.7	M 4.9	F 4.7	
Graduation rate	96.8	96.5	97.3	98.4	99.2	
Delayed advancement rate	18.9	42.6	33.4	27.2	10.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>6.1</b>	

Summerland [Public] Summerland		Gr 12 Enrollment: 136				
ESL (%): 0.2	Special needs (%): 17.9	French Imm (%): 7.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,700: 0.6		Rank: 141/274		143/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.5	67.8	66.8	67.3	68.3	
Percentage of exams failed	9.9	11.7	10.4	10.2	7.7	
School vs exam mark difference	6.5	7.1	7.3	6.8	5.6	
English gender gap	F 3.4	F 6.3	F 2.7	F 5.2	F 10.1	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	F 1.8	M 1.6	M 4.2	F 0.3	
Graduation rate	94.4	95.9	92.8	95.6	97.6	
Delayed advancement rate	24.7	22.1	7.2	12.9	10.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>6.0</b>	

**SOUTHEAST KOOTENAY**

Fernie [Public] Fernie		Gr 12 Enrollment: 69				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.0	French Imm (%): 11.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,400: 0.7		Rank: 87/274		134/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.5	67.2	67.9	67.7	67.6	
Percentage of exams failed	9.5	8.5	7.5	6.1	4.8	
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	6.2	6.3	6.1	4.4	
English gender gap	F 1.4	F 6.8	F 8.2	F 5.3	F 1.1	
Math gender gap	F 5.5	F 2.7	F 3.5	F 8.1	M 3.6	
Graduation rate	97.6	95.4	100.0	92.4	98.5	
Delayed advancement rate	17.0	14.0	19.4	23.9	10.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Mount Baker [Public] Cranbrook		Gr 12 Enrollment: 308				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.9	French Imm (%): 2.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,500: -0.7		Rank: 172/274		154/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	64.4	64.0	65.8	65.9	65.8	
Percentage of exams failed	14.8	12.7	9.7	10.4	9.4	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	6.5	2.8	4.2	2.7	
English gender gap	M 0.1	F 1.9	F 3.9	F 6.0	F 6.4	
Math gender gap	M 0.4	F 0.9	F 0.6	F 4.6	F 0.3	
Graduation rate	96.9	92.9	95.5	94.4	92.8	
Delayed advancement rate	16.8	14.5	14.7	18.7	19.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

Sparwood [Public] Sparwood		Gr 12 Enrollment: 51				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 14.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,300: 1.4		Rank: 66/274		85/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	69.7	66.9	67.8	67.1	66.9	
Percentage of exams failed	7.0	9.7	6.1	5.0	4.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	3.9	1.4	0.6	1.1	
English gender gap	M 2.1	F 4.0	F 4.5	F 5.5	n/a	
Math gender gap	M 6.5	F 6.0	M 2.8	F 3.8	n/a	
Graduation rate	97.9	92.5	91.4	96.3	95.6	
Delayed advancement rate	2.9	25.0	11.9	21.2	7.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.0</b>	

**VERNON**

Charles Bloom [Public] Lumby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 87				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.5	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,300: 0.2		Rank: 194/274		185/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	64.1	64.5	63.6	63.3	66.7	
Percentage of exams failed	12.5	12.5	12.8	13.3	8.2	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	4.3	5.3	8.7	8.5	
English gender gap	F 6.0	F 3.5	F 4.6	F 8.7	F 5.0	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 5.9	M 0.3	M 2.6	M 3.8	
Graduation rate	95.0	98.5	96.0	96.4	95.2	
Delayed advancement rate	19.6	19.3	10.1	9.9	16.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>5.2</b>	

Clarence Fulton [Public] Vernon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 170				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 9.9	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,800: 0.5		Rank: 129/274		134/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	66.1	64.7	65.9	67.2	67.7	
Percentage of exams failed	10.7	12.4	9.0	5.9	7.5	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	7.2	6.5	5.2	4.8	
English gender gap	F 1.5	F 2.5	F 3.8	F 6.9	F 6.3	
Math gender gap	F 0.4	M 0.5	F 1.2	F 3.0	F 0.6	
Graduation rate	96.0	98.2	98.8	98.0	98.1	
Delayed advancement rate	21.9	12.7	8.6	13.3	10.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.2</b>	

Kalamalka [Public] Vernon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 139				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 5.0	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,200: 1.1		Rank: 37/274		35/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	71.1	71.1	71.2	71.5	73.4	
Percentage of exams failed	6.0	5.1	5.7	4.2	2.2	
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	3.5	2.3	3.0	3.4	
English gender gap	F 3.8	F 5.9	F 10.1	F 2.5	F 6.4	
Math gender gap	F 3.7	F 0.3	F 2.0	F 1.1	F 0.9	
Graduation rate	97.2	99.2	99.2	100.0	98.5	
Delayed advancement rate	8.5	15.8	2.6	9.4	6.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>7.6</b>	

Vernon [Public] Vernon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 180				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 8.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,700: -0.2		Rank: 164/274		177/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	65.4	64.7	66.4	67.1	67.5	
Percentage of exams failed	11.6	13.6	9.3	8.7	8.5	
School vs exam mark difference	7.6	7.9	7.2	6.6	5.2	
English gender gap	F 2.2	F 2.4	F 4.4	F 6.5	F 2.4	
Math gender gap	F 3.3	F 5.5	F 4.7	F 5.7	M 4.1	
Graduation rate	95.1	94.1	95.7	93.1	96.2	
Delayed advancement rate	27.7	21.1	13.6	12.8	21.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Vernon Christian [Independent] Vernon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 26				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): n/a	French Imm (%): n/a		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,100: 1.9		Rank: 30/274		n/a		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	69.3	n/a	72.7	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	6.7	n/a	2.7	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	4.3	n/a	4.1	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 6.9	n/a	F 0.4	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	F 0.8	n/a	F 2.8	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	100.0	n/a	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	1.9	n/a	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>7.8</b>	

W L Seaton [Public] Vernon		Gr 12 Enrollment: 132				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 6.7	French Imm (%): 34.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,300: -0.7		Rank: 203/274		219/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	65.3	64.0	64.6	65.7	66.6	
Percentage of exams failed	16.6	16.7	15.6	10.7	9.0	
School vs exam mark difference	7.8	6.8	6.3	5.3	5.1	
English gender gap	F 2.5	F 4.3	F 6.3	F 1.0	F 2.0	
Math gender gap	F 5.4	F 5.4	F 5.6	M 3.8	M 1.3	
Graduation rate	87.1	94.1	98.3	92.1	88.5	
Delayed advancement rate	42.1	31.9	37.2	34.7	24.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	

# Interior and Northern British Columbia

## BULKLEY VALLEY

Houston [Public] Houston		Gr 12 Enrollment: 47				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,700: -0.1		Rank:	135/274	105/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
63.3	64.8	70.3	69.6	67.1		
Percentage of exams failed	12.1	10.1	4.7	3.2	4.0	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	5.0	2.3	3.3	3.3	
English gender gap	M 0.7	F 7.0	F 2.7	F 8.3	F 2.0	
Math gender gap	n/a	M 1.6	M 2.5	F 2.4	F 3.7	
Graduation rate	98.0	93.2	96.8	100.0	95.1	
Delayed advancement rate	15.0	19.3	27.9	18.9	29.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.1</b>	

Smithers [Public] Smithers		Gr 12 Enrollment: 171				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 8.2	French Imm (%): 2.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	109/274	127/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
67.2	65.7	68.6	70.4	68.5		
Percentage of exams failed	11.3	12.8	8.6	5.3	6.0	
School vs exam mark difference	4.4	5.1	3.2	1.7	3.0	
English gender gap	M 2.0	F 4.8	F 7.7	F 4.9	F 1.0	
Math gender gap	F 1.5	F 2.2	F 3.8	M 2.8	F 4.5	
Graduation rate	96.4	88.5	100.0	94.6	97.9	
Delayed advancement rate	33.9	34.5	47.7	31.0	21.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.5</b>	

## CARIBOO-CHILCOTIN

Colonneetza [Public] Williams Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 176				
ESL (%): 6.6	Special needs (%): 6.4	French Imm (%): 4.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,200: -3.7		Rank:	271/274	235/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
65.6	63.5	63.5	63.2	61.0		
Percentage of exams failed	14.4	17.3	16.1	14.4	20.1	
School vs exam mark difference	5.4	5.4	4.2	5.8	11.1	
English gender gap	F 5.2	F 4.0	F 3.8	F 7.5	F 1.4	
Math gender gap	F 0.1	F 3.7	M 2.0	F 0.7	M 2.0	
Graduation rate	87.4	87.8	89.4	88.2	82.4	
Delayed advancement rate	36.7	38.3	33.5	29.9	44.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	

Peter Skene Ogden [Public] 100 Mile House		Gr 12 Enrollment: 178				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 9.4	French Imm (%): 5.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,700: -0.3		Rank:	194/274	207/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
64.8	61.6	62.5	64.3	64.6		
Percentage of exams failed	14.5	19.8	16.7	13.3	11.6	
School vs exam mark difference	6.0	6.4	4.4	3.4	2.8	
English gender gap	F 5.6	F 3.2	F 9.4	F 9.8	F 10.1	
Math gender gap	F 4.7	F 5.1	F 1.1	F 4.8	F 2.4	
Graduation rate	93.2	95.1	93.3	98.4	95.9	
Delayed advancement rate	0.0	23.3	24.0	12.4	17.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.2</b>	

Williams Lake [Public] Williams Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 105				
ESL (%): 20.7	Special needs (%): 9.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,000: -2.3		Rank:	262/274	233/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
63.3	64.2	66.3	66.3	66.2		
Percentage of exams failed	16.8	12.9	9.8	10.7	11.9	
School vs exam mark difference	7.8	5.2	4.3	3.6	3.1	
English gender gap	M 4.6	F 0.7	F 5.3	F 2.4	F 9.3	
Math gender gap	M 1.9	M 2.6	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 7.6	
Graduation rate	76.8	80.8	83.1	85.4	81.5	
Delayed advancement rate	65.7	55.4	50.1	53.8	38.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>3.4</b>	

## FORT NELSON

Fort Nelson [Public] Fort Nelson		Gr 12 Enrollment: 76				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 9.6	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	261/274	250/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
61.2	59.0	58.6	62.1	62.4		
Percentage of exams failed	22.0	25.6	22.9	15.6	13.6	
School vs exam mark difference	6.2	7.3	6.2	8.9	5.0	
English gender gap	M 3.9	F 5.4	F 7.3	F 2.7	F 4.1	
Math gender gap	M 0.7	F 3.6	M 0.8	F 2.3	M 6.0	
Graduation rate	91.8	82.6	97.0	95.5	91.0	
Delayed advancement rate	29.1	40.1	47.1	39.8	36.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	

## GOLD TRAIL

Ashcroft [Public] Ashcroft		Gr 12 Enrollment: 39				
ESL (%): 5.7	Special needs (%): 17.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	245/274	240/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
58.9	58.3	62.4	63.1	63.9		
Percentage of exams failed	26.9	28.1	13.0	11.7	9.4	
School vs exam mark difference	12.4	7.8	6.3	7.2	7.1	
English gender gap	F 3.5	F 7.4	F 12.5	F 6.8	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 1.3	M 6.0	F 7.5	n/a	
Graduation rate	96.2	97.8	100.0	94.6	96.8	
Delayed advancement rate	30.0	38.2	18.4	31.5	23.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.3</b>	

Lillooet [Public] Lillooet		Gr 12 Enrollment: 42				
ESL (%): 6.5	Special needs (%): 8.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,100: -2.9		Rank:	270/274	252/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
60.5	56.6	59.7	60.0	59.9		
Percentage of exams failed	20.7	27.3	19.5	20.8	17.0	
School vs exam mark difference	7.6	6.1	5.4	5.1	7.8	
English gender gap	F 0.9	F 9.1	F 7.7	F 1.1	M 0.2	
Math gender gap	F 10.6	M 2.5	F 9.5	M 4.8	M 1.1	
Graduation rate	91.3	78.3	80.6	78.6	83.3	
Delayed advancement rate	34.5	43.2	52.0	56.4	50.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.2</b>	

## KAMLOOPS/THOMPSON

Barriere [Public] Barriere		Gr 12 Enrollment: 36				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 15.2	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$36,800: -1.3		Rank:	259/274	250/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
59.0	58.9	62.1	61.7	63.1		
Percentage of exams failed	26.6	23.7	15.6	11.6	14.3	
School vs exam mark difference	9.3	8.4	7.4	8.4	7.9	
English gender gap	F 8.4	F 2.4	F 12.6	F 3.3	n/a	
Math gender gap	F 11.5	F 9.4	F 10.6	F 5.5	n/a	
Graduation rate	93.2	81.8	96.6	97.4	90.9	
Delayed advancement rate	36.0	44.9	38.9	6.2	18.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	

Brocklehurst [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 171				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,600: -0.9		Rank:	224/274	185/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
65.9	64.0	64.3	64.5	63.3		
Percentage of exams failed	12.1	14.4	12.3	10.4	11.9	
School vs exam mark difference	5.7	4.7	5.7	4.3	5.4	
English gender gap	F 1.7	F 3.5	F 3.9	F 5.0	F 4.9	
Math gender gap	E	F 4.7	M 2.8	F 2.6	F 0.5	
Graduation rate	95.8	94.6	96.3	95.2	91.7	
Delayed advancement rate	25.5	21.6	24.5	32.6	18.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.7</b>	

Chase [Public] Chase		Gr 12 Enrollment: 45				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 8.1	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,900: -7.2		Rank:	273/274	253/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
59.2	60.7	62.1	59.2	55.3		
Percentage of exams failed	25.7	22.4	16.7	20.8	32.3	
School vs exam mark difference	10.6	8.1	8.8	7.5	14.5	
English gender gap	M 0.2	F 7.9	F 5.8	F 16.6	F 22.7	
Math gender gap	F 2.9	F 1.6	F 0.3	F 0.9	F 8.8	
Graduation rate	100.0	100.0	100.0	97.6	88.6	
Delayed advancement rate	17.9	12.3	21.9	10.7	25.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>0.0</b>	

Clearwater [Public] Clearwater		Gr 12 Enrollment: 44				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 18.4	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	256/274	243/256		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
59.0	62.5	65.6	65.9	63.8		
Percentage of exams failed	23.8	19.7	8.4	13.7	15.6	
School vs exam mark difference	9.0	6.3	5.8	8.0	6.3	
English gender gap	F 2.5	M 2.0	F 17.0	F 2.1	F 11.4	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 7.5	M 2.2	M 8.7	M 7.6	
Graduation rate	88.0	98.3	100.0	85.7	94.1	
Delayed advancement rate	38.3	25.3	23.3	60.7	21.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>3.8</b>	

Logan Lake [Public] Logan Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 28				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.6	French Imm (%): 0.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,600: -2.7		Rank:	268/274	n/a		
Academic Performance						
Average exam mark	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
63.0	62.7	67.0	n/a	59.9		
Percentage of exams failed	17.7	11.5	11.1	n/a	20.0	
School vs exam mark difference	8.6	7.9	6.5	n/a	11.0	
English gender gap	F 7.8	F 5.0	F 3.9	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	F 7.2	F 5.2	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	89.5	100.0	96.0	n/a	96.4	
Delayed advancement rate	29.1	3.2	15.0	n/a	18.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>2.7</b>	

Norkam [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 164				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 12.4</					

South Kamloops [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 286				
ESL (%)	0.3	Special needs (%)	7.1	French Imm (%)	16.9	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,000: -0.3		2009-10	Last 5 Years	94/256		
Rank:		135/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	69.6	68.3	68.5	68.9	68.9	
Percentage of exams failed	6.8	8.5	6.4	6.4	7.1	
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	3.1	4.0	4.5	4.5	
English gender gap	F 5.5	F 4.1	F 4.7	F 6.7	F 7.6	
Math gender gap	M 0.8	F 1.9	F 2.3	F 2.9	F 1.7	
Graduation rate	96.6	93.3	97.1	95.0	96.0	
Delayed advancement rate	16.6	16.9	16.5	8.4	14.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>6.1</b>	

St Ann's [Independent] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 43				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	n/a	French Imm (%)	n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,600: 1.6		2009-10	Last 5 Years	38/256		
Rank:		28/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	67.3	70.8	71.5	72.7	71.3	
Percentage of exams failed	12.0	5.6	4.3	4.1	1.2	
School vs exam mark difference	5.8	4.1	3.1	2.5	3.0	
English gender gap	F 1.6	M 4.1	F 1.0	F 4.7	F 1.8	
Math gender gap	F 0.1	F 2.8	F 3.7	M 2.0	M 1.8	
Graduation rate	97.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	6.1	7.5	9.1	15.6	2.5	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>7.9</b>	

Valleyview [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 126				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	7.3	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,600: -0.6		2009-10	Last 5 Years	127/256		
Rank:		156/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	65.7	67.3	67.5	68.3	66.5	
Percentage of exams failed	13.0	10.1	7.2	6.8	9.2	
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	4.0	4.4	4.5	4.4	
English gender gap	M 0.4	F 1.4	F 6.3	F 7.3	E	
Math gender gap	F 3.3	M 7.6	F 7.7	F 5.8	F 1.3	
Graduation rate	98.0	95.7	97.1	94.4	93.2	
Delayed advancement rate	3.6	13.6	11.9	17.0	23.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.7</b>	

Westsyde [Public] Kamloops		Gr 12 Enrollment: 141				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	10.5	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,800: -0.9		2009-10	Last 5 Years	161/256		
Rank:		203/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	64.5	64.7	66.2	64.7	64.5	
Percentage of exams failed	14.1	14.1	10.4	10.9	11.2	
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	6.2	4.8	4.8	4.9	
English gender gap	F 1.7	F 2.6	F 9.1	F 6.1	F 5.7	
Math gender gap	F 1.3	F 3.3	M 1.2	F 5.0	F 0.2	
Graduation rate	93.8	97.4	95.1	95.7	93.4	
Delayed advancement rate	9.3	8.1	8.5	6.6	21.2	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.0</b>	

**NECHAKO LAKES**

Fort St James [Public] Fort St James		Gr 12 Enrollment: 47				
ESL (%)	12.4	Special needs (%)	13.0	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2009-10	Last 5 Years	244/256		
Rank:		257/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	61.8	61.3	62.6	62.9	62.0	
Percentage of exams failed	16.7	23.0	18.9	15.7	19.9	
School vs exam mark difference	9.1	10.3	9.1	7.8	3.8	
English gender gap	M 0.5	M 9.1	M 2.5	E	F 3.9	
Math gender gap	F 9.6	F 7.2	M 0.3	F 2.5	F 8.2	
Graduation rate	93.2	95.4	97.9	100.0	92.1	
Delayed advancement rate	25.7	32.9	54.5	29.1	30.3	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>3.7</b>	

Fraser Lake [Public] Fraser Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 31				
ESL (%)	3.6	Special needs (%)	11.2	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$147,500: -4.6		2009-10	Last 5 Years	207/256		
Rank:		248/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	62.1	62.7	64.8	63.5	63.3	
Percentage of exams failed	16.9	14.7	11.7	12.2	15.1	
School vs exam mark difference	6.5	7.8	3.2	4.7	5.8	
English gender gap	F 7.9	F 4.9	F 4.0	n/a	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	M 2.4	M 5.0	n/a	n/a	
Graduation rate	94.4	97.3	100.0	96.2	96.9	
Delayed advancement rate	26.5	25.8	24.0	34.6	39.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	

Lakes District [Public] Burns Lake		Gr 12 Enrollment: 96				
ESL (%)	5.3	Special needs (%)	14.4	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$26,400: -1.7		2009-10	Last 5 Years	235/256		
Rank:		266/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	65.6	62.0	60.7	60.2	58.7	
Percentage of exams failed	14.8	16.9	22.7	18.4	23.7	
School vs exam mark difference	5.5	5.9	8.4	7.5	10.3	
English gender gap	M 1.7	F 5.2	F 3.4	F 9.6	F 13.4	
Math gender gap	F 4.7	F 0.7	M 2.1	F 1.6	F 1.4	
Graduation rate	93.0	97.5	94.0	95.7	96.2	
Delayed advancement rate	23.6	17.5	42.6	0.0	14.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>2.9</b>	

Nechako Valley [Public] Vanderhoof		Gr 12 Enrollment: 111				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	8.1	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2009-10	Last 5 Years	233/256		
Rank:		236/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	62.7	62.7	62.4	62.5	63.9	
Percentage of exams failed	17.9	17.7	12.9	16.2	11.8	
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	5.8	5.4	8.3	7.6	
English gender gap	F 2.9	F 3.4	F 9.5	F 8.2	F 6.6	
Math gender gap	F 4.2	F 6.7	F 2.2	F 4.9	F 6.2	
Graduation rate	88.2	87.6	96.0	98.2	97.2	
Delayed advancement rate	41.7	51.4	42.4	32.3	20.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	

**NORTH OKANAGAN-SHUSWAP**

A L Fortune [Public] Enderby		Gr 12 Enrollment: 76				
ESL (%)	0.6	Special needs (%)	13.5	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,900: -0.5		2009-10	Last 5 Years	216/256		
Rank:		209/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	61.1	61.9	64.8	64.5	66.2	
Percentage of exams failed	20.6	22.1	12.0	11.6	9.2	
School vs exam mark difference	7.9	4.9	5.2	7.6	3.9	
English gender gap	F 2.7	F 6.9	F 13.2	F 7.5	F 6.8	
Math gender gap	M 6.4	F 4.4	F 3.2	F 4.0	M 9.0	
Graduation rate	93.5	97.0	96.1	96.6	95.2	
Delayed advancement rate	22.0	24.7	23.8	22.4	21.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.9</b>	

Eagle River [Public] Sicamous		Gr 12 Enrollment: 54				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	12.1	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,400: 0.0		2009-10	Last 5 Years	207/256		
Rank:		172/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	65.3	62.7	66.9	63.2	62.1	
Percentage of exams failed	14.5	15.1	14.1	11.4	11.9	
School vs exam mark difference	5.9	6.8	2.2	4.4	3.2	
English gender gap	F 3.9	F 5.2	F 14.7	F 5.7	n/a	
Math gender gap	F 1.5	F 4.5	F 3.1	F 0.2	n/a	
Graduation rate	94.4	92.6	92.0	88.1	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	31.4	34.2	38.8	49.1	28.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>5.5</b>	

King's Christian [Independent] Salmon Arm		Gr 12 Enrollment: 17				
ESL (%)	0.0	Special needs (%)	n/a	French Imm (%)	n/a	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,400: 0.7		2009-10	Last 5 Years	n/a		
Rank:		112/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	n/a	n/a	n/a	70.7	72.0	
Percentage of exams failed	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.4	1.4	
School vs exam mark difference	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.7	8.5	
English gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 1.5	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 13.3	n/a	
Graduation rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	93.8	100.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	10.0	0.0	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>n/a</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>6.4</b>	

Pleasant Valley [Public] Armstrong		Gr 12 Enrollment: 155				
ESL (%)	0.2	Special needs (%)	12.8	French Imm (%)	0.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,400: 0.3		2009-10	Last 5 Years	177/256		
Rank:		164/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	64.5	63.9	65.8	66.0	67.5	
Percentage of exams failed	15.8	15.3	13.0	10.4	9.3	
School vs exam mark difference	6.7	5.9	5.1	6.2	5.1	
English gender gap	F 4.8	F 1.9	F 11.1	F 3.4	F 7.1	
Math gender gap	F 0.6	M 1.5	F 2.3	F 1.7	F 1.6	
Graduation rate	93.4	95.0	91.6	97.1	93.4	
Delayed advancement rate	22.5	18.1	32.9	18.7	11.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.6</b>	

Salmon Arm [Public] Salmon Arm		Gr 12 Enrollment: 350				
ESL (%)	0.7	Special needs (%)	11.9	French Imm (%)	9.8	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,900: -0.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years	134/256		
Rank:		172/274				
<b>Academic Performance</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>	
Average exam mark	67.0	65.4	66.6	67.1	67.3	
Percentage of exams failed	11.					

D P Todd [Public] Prince George		Gr 12 Enrollment: 137				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 5.2	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,300: 0.6		Rank:	87/274	120/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.3	65.0	68.5	69.8	69.5	
Percentage of exams failed	11.1	10.6	6.5	4.9	5.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.1	6.2	3.7	4.0	4.8	
English gender gap	F 6.0	F 3.4	F 1.9	F 3.2	F 5.9	
Math gender gap	M 1.0	F 2.7	M 2.3	F 2.4	M 1.2	
Graduation rate	91.9	86.9	97.5	96.0	98.4	
Delayed advancement rate	34.8	29.1	21.9	18.6	7.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>6.7</b>	

Duchess Park [Public] Prince George		Gr 12 Enrollment: 144				
ESL (%): 1.4	Special needs (%): 7.3	French Imm (%): 15.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,100: -0.5		Rank:	182/274	154/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	67.2	64.8	67.9	68.9	68.8	
Percentage of exams failed	11.0	14.4	6.2	7.6	7.4	
School vs exam mark difference	6.9	5.7	2.8	2.8	3.2	
English gender gap	F 0.6	M 3.6	F 7.0	F 4.7	F 9.4	
Math gender gap	F 5.7	F 2.9	F 2.7	F 1.1	F 3.9	
Graduation rate	96.2	94.8	92.2	90.7	91.8	
Delayed advancement rate	35.1	29.0	33.7	35.1	22.7	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.4</b>	

Kelly Road [Public] Prince George		Gr 12 Enrollment: 206				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 10.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,800: -0.6		Rank:	156/274	185/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	64.2	62.4	63.8	65.6	67.5	
Percentage of exams failed	15.1	18.5	11.7	8.7	6.8	
School vs exam mark difference	4.5	6.2	3.6	3.2	5.2	
English gender gap	F 2.5	F 4.3	F 0.7	F 4.5	F 2.5	
Math gender gap	M 3.5	F 5.5	M 3.2	M 1.8	F 4.8	
Graduation rate	95.6	87.4	97.3	93.9	94.6	
Delayed advancement rate	26.6	22.7	20.1	30.2	17.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.7</b>	

MacKenzie [Public] Mackenzie		Gr 12 Enrollment: 41				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 7.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	236/274	191/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	63.6	66.0	63.4	64.4	62.2	
Percentage of exams failed	16.2	10.5	11.3	10.3	14.8	
School vs exam mark difference	7.4	3.8	4.2	3.6	4.6	
English gender gap	M 5.5	F 2.0	F 4.1	F 1.8	n/a	
Math gender gap	n/a	M 0.5	F 2.7	F 5.7	n/a	
Graduation rate	88.3	89.1	94.1	95.5	96.8	
Delayed advancement rate	43.5	34.1	19.6	11.7	23.8	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	

Prince George [Public] Prince George		Gr 12 Enrollment: 358				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 11.5	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,500: -1.7		Rank:	248/274	225/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	62.7	63.1	64.2	64.0	64.3	
Percentage of exams failed	17.4	15.9	13.1	12.1	10.7	
School vs exam mark difference	7.0	6.9	7.6	6.7	6.2	
English gender gap	F 1.2	F 1.9	F 6.9	F 4.7	F 8.3	
Math gender gap	M 0.4	M 3.3	F 3.2	M 3.3	F 3.2	
Graduation rate	95.2	86.0	92.5	91.1	92.6	
Delayed advancement rate	0.6	18.6	37.1	30.6	29.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.2</b>	

#### QUESNEL

Correlieu [Public] Quesnel		Gr 12 Enrollment: 345				
ESL (%): 2.3	Special needs (%): 8.0	French Imm (%): 3.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,600: -1.2		Rank:	218/274	191/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	65.8	62.3	64.6	65.1	64.1	
Percentage of exams failed	12.5	15.3	10.5	11.1	13.8	
School vs exam mark difference	6.0	5.7	4.9	3.8	5.8	
English gender gap	M 0.5	F 4.8	F 7.9	F 9.5	F 4.5	
Math gender gap	M 2.1	F 3.5	M 1.1	M 1.2	F 2.2	
Graduation rate	94.4	90.2	97.2	96.1	94.7	
Delayed advancement rate	31.1	30.8	40.0	37.7	18.1	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.8</b>	

#### REVELSTOKE

Revelstoke [Public] Revelstoke		Gr 12 Enrollment: 98				
ESL (%): 0.2	Special needs (%): 16.7	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	41/274	112/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	64.5	64.6	68.4	67.0	70.9	
Percentage of exams failed	12.7	13.0	5.3	5.6	3.5	
School vs exam mark difference	5.6	6.4	3.1	3.8	0.7	
English gender gap	F 4.0	F 6.0	F 5.4	F 2.5	F 2.6	
Math gender gap	M 1.2	F 2.3	M 0.8	F 4.4	M 0.7	
Graduation rate	96.3	94.4	96.7	96.7	98.8	
Delayed advancement rate	39.2	33.5	9.9	22.7	19.6	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>7.5</b>	

#### ROCKY MOUNTAIN

David Thompson [Public] Invermere		Gr 12 Enrollment: 96				
ESL (%): 1.5	Special needs (%): 10.9	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,200: 0.8		Rank:	143/274	161/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	66.3	65.3	67.1	65.4	67.0	
Percentage of exams failed	9.6	14.3	10.2	11.3	8.3	
School vs exam mark difference	5.2	6.9	5.1	8.0	5.2	
English gender gap	F 0.9	F 4.0	F 5.4	F 0.2	F 5.7	
Math gender gap	F 3.9	F 4.0	M 0.7	M 6.9	M 0.4	
Graduation rate	98.0	88.2	93.0	96.7	98.8	
Delayed advancement rate	37.5	41.2	13.3	3.8	27.4	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.9</b>	

Golden [Public] Golden		Gr 12 Enrollment: 78				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 10.7	French Imm (%): 18.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	209/274	154/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	65.2	64.9	67.7	66.3	65.0	
Percentage of exams failed	17.3	13.8	7.4	8.9	10.5	
School vs exam mark difference	9.4	6.7	4.2	7.4	6.9	
English gender gap	M 1.9	F 5.7	F 7.1	F 0.7	F 2.7	
Math gender gap	M 1.7	M 0.6	F 6.7	M 2.6	F 2.3	
Graduation rate	98.9	96.3	100.0	98.6	95.5	
Delayed advancement rate	7.9	15.0	12.2	14.6	20.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>4.9</b>	

Selkirk [Public] Kimberley		Gr 12 Enrollment: 86				
ESL (%): 2.7	Special needs (%): 8.8	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,400: 0.1		Rank:	156/274	199/256		
Academic Performance						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	64.2	62.5	64.6	67.0	67.7	
Percentage of exams failed	14.1	14.1	11.6	6.5	7.3	
School vs exam mark difference	11.4	11.9	8.4	6.0	6.6	
English gender gap	F 1.6	F 4.1	F 8.4	F 9.8	F 7.4	
Math gender gap	F 9.4	F 0.7	M 2.7	M 2.4	M 3.4	
Graduation rate	98.6	93.2	91.0	93.6	97.4	
Delayed advancement rate	24.9	13.6	25.2	13.1	19.9	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>5.7</b>	

# Yukon

## YUKON

F.H. Collins (Public) Whitehorse		Gr 12 Enrollment: 141				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 0.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	135/274	172/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	66.5	64.0	67.7	68.2	68.3	
Percentage of exams failed	15.6	17.7	12.0	12.0	10.5	
School vs exam mark difference	4.8	5.3	2.8	2.8	3.6	
English gender gap	F 2.9	F 9.4	F 4.7	F 0.2	F 9.7	
Math gender gap	F 5.4	F 2.3	F 7.1	F 6.3	F 2.3	
Graduation rate	95.8	96.3	97.0	84.2	98.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>6.2</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	

Porter Creek (Public) Whitehorse		Gr 12 Enrollment: 124				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 0.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	209/274	225/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	62.2	60.8	64.2	64.2	62.0	
Percentage of exams failed	18.8	25.4	15.0	13.9	18.1	
School vs exam mark difference	7.5	5.9	5.4	2.8	5.1	
English gender gap	F 7.3	F 5.3	F 8.0	F 10.6	F 3.3	
Math gender gap	F 2.6	M 5.1	F 5.6	F 8.7	M 2.5	
Graduation rate	95.1	91.9	98.5	97.5	98.9	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.9</b>	

Vanier Catholic (Public) Whitehorse		Gr 12 Enrollment: 89				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): 0.0	French Imm (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:	96/274	85/256		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
Average exam mark	70.4	67.4	70.8	67.1	70.4	
Percentage of exams failed	9.6	9.5	5.5	9.0	4.0	
School vs exam mark difference	7.3	5.4	3.2	2.7	3.8	
English gender gap	F 4.8	F 1.2	F 5.3	M 3.0	F 3.5	
Math gender gap	F 0.2	M 0.7	M 3.0	F 5.0	F 4.2	
Graduation rate	98.6	94.3	98.7	93.7	96.0	
Delayed advancement rate	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
<b>Overall rating out of 10</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>6.6</b>	

# How does your school stack up?

## Important notes to the rankings

In this table, schools are ranked (on the left hand side of the page) in descending order (from 1 to 273) according to their academic performance as measured by the Overall rating out of ten (shown on the right hand side of the table) for the school year 2009/2010. Each school's five-year average ranking and Overall rating out of ten are also listed. The higher the overall rating (out of 10), the higher the rank awarded to the school. Where schools tied in the overall rating, they were awarded the same rank. Where less than five years of data was available "n/a" appears in the table.

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. Excluded are schools at which fewer than fifteen regular day students were enrolled in grade 12 and schools that did

not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an Overall rating out of ten. Also excluded from the ratings and rankings are: centres for adult education and continuing education; schools that cater largely to non-resident foreign students; and certain alternative schools that do not offer a full program of courses.

**The exclusion of a school from the Report Card should in no way be construed as a judgement of the school's effectiveness.**

**IMPORTANT: In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.**

Rank	Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
	2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years
1	1	1	York House	Vancouver	10.0	10.0
2	4	2	West Point Grey	Vancouver	9.8	9.8
3	4	3	Little Flower	Vancouver	9.7	9.8
4	2	4	Southridge	Surrey	9.6	9.9
4	4	4	Crofton House	Vancouver	9.6	9.8
6	2	6	St George's	Vancouver	9.5	9.9
6	9	5	Brentwood College	Mill Bay	9.5	9.3
8	7	7	Meadowridge	Maple Ridge	9.0	9.5
9	n/a	8	Southpointe	Delta	8.9	n/a
10	11	9	Collingwood	West Vancouver	8.8	9.2
10	13	10	Glenlyon Norfolk	Victoria	8.8	8.9
10	13	11	University Hill	Vancouver	8.8	8.9
10	n/a	12	Mulgrave	West Vancouver	8.8	n/a
14	8	13	St Michaels	Victoria	8.7	9.4
14	18	14	Kelowna Christian	Kelowna	8.7	8.5
16	12	15	Shawnigan Lake	Shawnigan Lake	8.6	9.1
17	15	16	Pacific Academy	Surrey	8.5	8.8
18	9	17	Vancouver College	Vancouver	8.4	9.3
18	22	18	Okanagan Mission	Kelowna	8.4	8.2

Rank	Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
	2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years
18	49	19	King David	Vancouver	8.4	7.4
21	16	20	St Thomas More	Burnaby	8.3	8.6
22	21	21	Sentinel	West Vancouver	8.2	8.3
22	25	22	Highroad	Chilliwack	8.2	8.1
22	25	23	Mennonite Educational Institute	Abbotsford	8.2	8.1
25	16	24	St Thomas Aquinas	North Vancouver	8.1	8.6
25	18	25	Lord Byng	Vancouver	8.1	8.5
27	29	26	Archbishop Carney	Port Coquitlam	8.0	7.9
28	18	27	St John's	Vancouver	7.9	8.5
28	38	28	St Ann's	Kamloops	7.9	7.6
30	22	29	Prince Of Wales	Vancouver	7.8	8.2
30	25	30	Abbotsford Christian	Abbotsford	7.8	8.1
30	25	31	Rockridge	West Vancouver	7.8	8.1
30	29	32	Richmond Christian	Richmond	7.8	7.9
30	44	33	Rossland	Rossland	7.8	7.5
30	n/a	34	Vernon Christian	Vernon	7.8	n/a
36	38	35	L V Rogers	Nelson	7.7	7.6
37	33	36	Langley Fine Arts	Fort Langley	7.6	7.8



Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/ 2010	Last 5 years			2009/ 2010	Last 5 years	2009/ 2010	Last 5 years			2009/ 2010	Last 5 years
37	35	Kalamalka	Vernon	7.6	7.7	96	44	Magee	Vancouver	6.6	7.5
37	68	R. E. Mountain	Langley	7.6	7.1	96	61	Kelowna	Kelowna	6.6	7.2
37	n/a	Carver Christian	Burnaby	7.6	n/a	96	68	Robert Bateman	Abbotsford	6.6	7.1
41	29	Handsworth	North Vancouver	7.5	7.9	96	68	W J Mouat	Abbotsford	6.6	7.1
41	29	Point Grey	Vancouver	7.5	7.9	96	81	New Westminster	New Westminster	6.6	6.9
41	35	Regent Christian	Surrey	7.5	7.7	96	85	Cedars Christian	Prince George	6.6	6.8
41	38	Elgin Park	Surrey	7.5	7.6	96	85	Fraser Valley Christian	Surrey	6.6	6.8
41	49	Dover Bay	Nanaimo	7.5	7.4	96	85	George Elliot	Winfield	6.6	6.8
41	112	Revelstoke	Revelstoke	7.5	6.4	96	85	North Surrey	Surrey	6.6	6.8
41	n/a	Heritage Woods	Port Moody	7.5	n/a	96	85	Vanier Catholic	Whitehorse	6.6	6.8
48	22	Immaculata	Kelowna	7.4	8.2	96	99	Chemainus	Chemainus	6.6	6.6
48	n/a	Langley Fundamental	Langley	7.4	n/a	96	105	Unity Christian	Chilliwack	6.6	6.5
50	33	Holy Cross	Surrey	7.3	7.8	96	112	Maple Ridge	Maple Ridge	6.6	6.4
50	44	St Andrew's	Victoria	7.3	7.5	109	81	Eric Hamber	Vancouver	6.5	6.9
50	68	Delta	Delta	7.3	7.1	109	99	Sardis	Chilliwack	6.5	6.6
50	68	Walnut Grove	Langley	7.3	7.1	109	127	Smithers	Smithers	6.5	6.2
54	38	Whistler	Whistler	7.2	7.6	112	74	Earl Marriott	Surrey	6.4	7.0
54	44	Semiahmoo	Surrey	7.2	7.5	112	81	Mount Douglas	Victoria	6.4	6.9
54	49	J N Burnett	Richmond	7.2	7.4	112	85	Lambrick Park	Victoria	6.4	6.8
54	49	Sir Winston Churchill	Vancouver	7.2	7.4	112	94	Penticton	Penticton	6.4	6.7
54	61	Argyle	North Vancouver	7.2	7.2	112	99	D W Poppy	Langley	6.4	6.6
54	61	Fleetwood Park	Surrey	7.2	7.2	112	120	St John Brebeuf	Abbotsford	6.4	6.3
54	61	Gleneagle	Coquitlam	7.2	7.2	112	n/a	King's Christian	Salmon Arm	6.4	n/a
54	61	Langley Christian	Langley	7.2	7.2	119	85	Burnaby North	Burnaby	6.3	6.8
54	105	Boundary Central	Midway	7.2	6.5	119	94	Yale	Abbotsford	6.3	6.7
63	44	Seycove	North Vancouver	7.1	7.5	119	105	Highland	Comox	6.3	6.5
63	49	Claremont	Victoria	7.1	7.4	119	105	Tamanawis	Surrey	6.3	6.5
63	55	Dr. Charles Best	Coquitlam	7.1	7.3	119	112	David Thompson	Vancouver	6.3	6.4
66	38	Pacific Christian	Victoria	7.0	7.6	119	120	Brookwood	Langley	6.3	6.3
66	55	Hugh McRoberts	Richmond	7.0	7.3	119	120	Lord Tweedsmuir	Surrey	6.3	6.3
66	55	St Patrick's	Vancouver	7.0	7.3	119	127	Terry Fox	Port Coquitlam	6.3	6.2
66	68	Oak Bay	Victoria	7.0	7.1	119	146	Southern Okanagan	Oliver	6.3	5.9
66	74	Parkland	Sidney	7.0	7.0	119	240	Princeton	Princeton	6.3	4.1
66	85	Sparwood	Sparwood	7.0	6.8	129	74	Moscrop	Burnaby	6.2	7.0
66	n/a	Burnsview	Delta	7.0	n/a	129	81	Seaquam	Delta	6.2	6.9
66	n/a	Duncan Christian	Duncan	7.0	n/a	129	105	Killarney	Vancouver	6.2	6.5
74	38	West Vancouver	West Vancouver	6.9	7.6	129	112	Ballenas	Parksville	6.2	6.4
74	55	Fraser Heights	Surrey	6.9	7.3	129	112	Riverside	Port Coquitlam	6.2	6.4
74	55	Richmond	Richmond	6.9	7.3	129	134	Clarence Fulton	Vernon	6.2	6.1
74	61	Credo Christian	Langley	6.9	7.2	135	94	South Kamloops	Kamloops	6.1	6.7
74	61	Robert Alexander McMath	Richmond	6.9	7.2	135	105	Houston	Houston	6.1	6.5
74	74	Windsor	North Vancouver	6.9	7.0	135	120	Princess Margaret	Penticton	6.1	6.3
74	94	Sullivan Heights	Surrey	6.9	6.7	135	172	F.H. Collins	Whitehorse	6.1	5.6
74	112	Sa-Hali	Kamloops	6.9	6.4	135	177	Cariboo Hill	Burnaby	6.1	5.5
82	49	Kitsilano	Vancouver	6.8	7.4	135	n/a	Delview	Delta	6.1	n/a
82	55	Pinetree	Coquitlam	6.8	7.3	141	127	Burnaby Central	Burnaby	6.0	6.2
82	74	Port Moody	Port Moody	6.8	7.0	141	143	Summerland	Summerland	6.0	6.0
82	120	Mark R. Isfeld	Courtenay	6.8	6.3	143	99	Johnston Heights	Surrey	5.9	6.6
82	127	Sands	Delta	6.8	6.2	143	120	Gulf Islands	Salt Spring Island	5.9	6.3
87	35	White Rock Christian	Surrey	6.7	7.7	143	146	Rick Hansen	Abbotsford	5.9	5.9
87	74	J Lloyd Crowe	Trail	6.7	7.0	143	161	David Thompson	Invermere	5.9	5.7
87	74	South Delta	Delta	6.7	7.0	143	177	Rutland	Kelowna	5.9	5.5
87	85	Notre Dame	Vancouver	6.7	6.8	143	235	Lake Cowichan	Lake Cowichan	5.9	4.2
87	94	Burnaby Mountain	Burnaby	6.7	6.7	143	n/a	GW Graham	Chilliwack	5.9	n/a
87	120	D P Todd	Prince George	6.7	6.3	150	105	Osoyoos	Osoyoos	5.8	6.5
87	127	Elphinstone	Gibsons	6.7	6.2	150	127	Stelly's	Saanichton	5.8	6.2
87	134	Fernie	Fernie	6.7	6.1	150	134	Sutherland	North Vancouver	5.8	6.1
87	n/a	Steveston-London	Richmond	6.7	n/a	150	161	Chilliwack	Chilliwack	5.8	5.7

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years	2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years
150	191	Agassiz	Agassiz	5.8	5.2	209	161	Westview	Maple Ridge	4.9	5.7
150	191	College Heights	Prince George	5.8	5.2	209	189	Timberline	Campbell River	4.9	5.3
156	112	Kwalikum	Qualicum Beach	5.7	6.4	209	191	Vancouver Technical	Vancouver	4.9	5.2
156	127	Valleyview	Kamloops	5.7	6.2	209	216	A L Fortune	Enderby	4.9	4.9
156	134	R C Palmer	Richmond	5.7	6.1	209	225	Porter Creek	Whitehorse	4.9	4.6
156	134	Similkameen	Keremeos	5.7	6.1	209	n/a	Samuel Robertson Tech	Maple Ridge	4.9	n/a
156	146	Thomas Haney	Maple Ridge	5.7	5.9	218	143	Mount Sentinel	South Slokan	4.8	6.0
156	154	Abbotsford Traditional	Abbotsford	5.7	5.8	218	185	Langley	Langley	4.8	5.4
156	185	Kelly Road	Prince George	5.7	5.4	218	191	Correlieu	Quesnel	4.8	5.2
156	199	Selkirk	Kimberley	5.7	5.1	218	216	Sir Charles Tupper	Vancouver	4.8	4.9
164	146	Mount Boucherie	Kelowna	5.6	5.9	218	225	Merritt	Merritt	4.8	4.6
164	161	Belmont	Victoria	5.6	5.7	218	n/a	A.R. MacNeill	Richmond	4.8	n/a
164	161	Hope	Hope	5.6	5.7	224	185	Brocklehurst	Kamloops	4.7	5.4
164	177	Caledonia	Terrace	5.6	5.5	224	199	L A Matheson	Surrey	4.7	5.1
164	177	Pleasant Valley	Armstrong	5.6	5.5	224	240	Edward Milne	Sooke	4.7	4.1
164	177	Vernon	Vernon	5.6	5.5	227	161	Mission	Mission	4.6	5.7
164	199	Grand Forks	Grand Forks	5.6	5.1	227	177	Frances Kelsey	Mill Bay	4.6	5.5
164	n/a	Panorama Ridge	Surrey	5.6	n/a	227	189	Kwantlen Park	Surrey	4.6	5.3
172	99	Princess Margaret	Surrey	5.5	6.6	227	199	Brooks	Powell River	4.6	5.1
172	134	Prince Charles	Creston	5.5	6.1	227	219	Spectrum	Victoria	4.6	4.8
172	134	Salmon Arm	Salmon Arm	5.5	6.1	227	222	King George	Vancouver	4.6	4.7
172	154	Mount Baker	Cranbrook	5.5	5.8	227	230	Prince Rupert	Prince Rupert	4.6	4.5
172	161	Cowichan	Duncan	5.5	5.7	227	232	Alberni District	Port Alberni	4.6	4.4
172	161	Guildford Park	Surrey	5.5	5.7	227	n/a	Byrne Creek	Burnaby	4.6	n/a
172	172	Carihi	Campbell River	5.5	5.6	236	154	Cambie	Richmond	4.5	5.8
172	172	Norkam	Kamloops	5.5	5.6	236	191	MacKenzie	Mackenzie	4.5	5.2
172	177	Hatzic	Mission	5.5	5.5	236	199	North Delta	Delta	4.5	5.1
172	207	Eagle River	Sicamous	5.5	5.0	236	219	John Oliver	Vancouver	4.5	4.8
182	146	Burnaby South	Burnaby	5.4	5.9	236	230	Victoria High	Victoria	4.5	4.5
182	146	Windermere	Vancouver	5.4	5.9	236	233	Nechako Valley	Vanderhoof	4.5	4.3
182	154	Duchess Park	Prince George	5.4	5.8	242	199	South Peace	Dawson Creek	4.4	5.1
182	154	Matthew McNair	Richmond	5.4	5.8	242	207	Carson Graham	North Vancouver	4.4	5.0
182	207	Ladysmith	Ladysmith	5.4	5.0	242	207	Chatelech	Sechelt	4.4	5.0
187	112	Clayton Heights	Surrey	5.3	6.4	245	207	Alpha	Burnaby	4.3	5.0
187	134	Hugh Boyd	Richmond	5.3	6.1	245	222	Aldergrove Community	Aldergrove	4.3	4.7
187	146	Frank Hurt	Surrey	5.3	5.9	245	240	Ashcroft	Ashcroft	4.3	4.1
187	161	Pitt Meadows	Pitt Meadows	5.3	5.7	248	207	Fraser Lake	Fraser Lake	4.2	5.0
187	172	Pemberton	Pemberton	5.3	5.6	248	225	Prince George	Prince George	4.2	4.6
187	177	Wellington	Nanaimo	5.3	5.5	250	199	Esquimalt	Victoria	4.1	5.1
187	191	Nanaimo District	Nanaimo	5.3	5.2	250	254	Cedar	Nanaimo	4.1	3.2
194	99	Stanley Humphries	Castlegar	5.2	6.6	252	235	Mount Elizabeth	Kitimat	4.0	4.2
194	143	Reynolds	Victoria	5.2	6.0	253	191	Pender Harbour	Madeira Park	3.9	5.2
194	185	Charles Bloom	Lumby	5.2	5.4	253	225	Woodlands	Nanaimo	3.9	4.6
194	207	Heritage Park	Mission	5.2	5.0	253	247	Port Hardy	Port Hardy	3.9	3.7
194	207	Peter Skene Ogden	100 Mile House	5.2	5.0	256	243	Clearwater	Clearwater	3.8	4.0
194	216	Garibaldi	Maple Ridge	5.2	4.9	257	225	North Peace	Fort St John	3.7	4.6
200	161	J V Humphries	Kaslo	5.1	5.7	257	244	Fort St James	Fort St James	3.7	3.9
200	172	Georges P Vanier	Courtenay	5.1	5.6	259	244	Britannia	Vancouver	3.6	3.9
200	207	Nakusp	Nakusp	5.1	5.0	259	250	Barriere	Barriere	3.6	3.5
203	146	Templeton	Vancouver	5.0	5.9	261	250	Fort Nelson	Fort Nelson	3.5	3.5
203	161	Westsyde	Kamloops	5.0	5.7	262	233	Williams Lake	Williams Lake	3.4	4.3
203	191	Howe Sound	Squamish	5.0	5.2	263	247	John Barsby	Nanaimo	3.3	3.7
203	199	Gladstone	Vancouver	5.0	5.1	264	246	Abbotsford Collegiate	Abbotsford	3.2	3.8
203	219	W L Seaton	Vernon	5.0	4.8	264	247	North Island	Port McNeill	3.2	3.7
203	222	Queen Elizabeth	Surrey	5.0	4.7	266	235	Chetwynd	Chetwynd	2.9	4.2
209	134	Centennial	Coquitlam	4.9	6.1	266	235	Lakes District	Burns Lake	2.9	4.2
209	154	Enver Creek	Surrey	4.9	5.8	268	n/a	Logan Lake	Logan Lake	2.7	n/a
209	154	Golden	Golden	4.9	5.8	269	250	Charles Hays	Prince Rupert	2.6	3.5

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/	Last 5			2009/	Last 5	2009/	Last 5			2009/	Last 5
2010	years			2010	years	2010	years			2010	years
270	255	Lillooet	Lillooet	2.2	2.5	273	253	Chase	Chase	0.0	3.4
271	235	Columneetza	Williams Lake	2.0	4.2	273	n/a	Century International	Vancouver	0.0	n/a
272	256	Hazelton	Hazelton	1.6	1.7						

# Appendix: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10

The *Overall rating out of 10* is intended to answer the question, “In general, how is the school doing, academically compared to other schools in the *Report Card*?” The following is a simplified description of the procedure used to convert the raw indicator data into the *Overall rating out of 10*.

1 The *School vs exam mark difference* for each course and the English 10 and Mathematics 10 *Gender gap* indicators were calculated using the raw data.

2 Course by course, all the results were then converted into standardized or “Z” scores by solving the equation

$$Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$$

where  $X$  is the individual school’s result,  $\mu$  is the mean of the all-schools distribution of results, and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the same all-schools distribution.

3 With the exception of the *Gender gap* indicators (these use the results from a single course), the course-by-course standardized data were then aggregated to produce weighted average indicator values. The weighting used was the number of examinations written in each course at the school relative to the total number of examinations written at the school.

4 These weighted average results were then re-standardized.

5 The seven standardized indicator results were then combined to produce a weighted average summary standardized score for the school. The weightings used in this calculation were *Average exam mark*—25%, *Percentage of exams failed*—25%, *School vs exam mark difference*—13%, *English 10 gender gap*—6%, *Math 10 gender gap*—6%, *Graduation rate*—12.5%, and *Delayed advancement rate*—12.5%. For schools for which there were no gender-gap results because only boys or girls were enrolled, the *School vs exam mark difference* was weighted at 25%. Where no *Composite dropout rate* could be calculated, the *Graduation rate* was weighted at 25%.

6 This summary standardized score was then standardized.

This standardized score was converted into an overall rating between 0 and 10 as follows:

7 The maximum and minimum standardized scores were set at 2.2 and  $-3.29$  respectively. Scores equal to, or greater than 2.2 receive the highest overall rating of 10. This cut-off was chosen because it allows more than one school in a given year to be awarded 10 out of 10. Scores of equal to, or less than,  $-3.29$  receive the lowest overall rating of 0. Schools with scores below  $-3.29$  are likely to be outliers—a statistical term used to

denote members of a population that appear to have characteristics substantially different from the rest of the population. We chose, therefore, to set the minimum score so as to disregard such extreme differences.

- 8 The resulting standardized scores were converted into *Overall ratings* according to the formula:

$$OR = \mu + (\sigma * \text{StanScore}),$$

where  $OR$  is the resulting *Overall rating*,  $\mu$  is the average calculated according to the formula:

$$\mu = (OR_{\min} - 10 (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max})) / (1 - (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max}))$$

where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation calculated according to the formula:

$$\sigma = (10 - \mu) / Z_{\max}$$

and StanScore is the standardized score calculated in (6) above and adjusted as required for minimum and maximum values as noted in (7) above. As noted in (7) above,  $OR_{\min}$  equals zero,  $Z_{\min}$  equals  $-3.29$ ; and  $Z_{\max}$  equals  $2.2$ .

- 9 Finally, the derived *Overall rating* is rounded to one decimal place to reflect the significant number of places of the decimal in the original raw data.

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its overall rating, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

# About the authors and Acknowledgments

## About the authors

### *Peter Cowley*

Peter Cowley is Senior Vice-President, Operations and Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from the University of British Columbia with a B.Comm. in 1974. Shortly thereafter, he began a long career in marketing and general management in several sectors. During his assignments in general management, process improvement was a special focus and interest. In 1994, Mr Cowley independently wrote and published *The Parent's Guide*, a popular handbook for parents of British Columbia's secondary-school students. *The Parent's Guide* web site replaced the handbook in 1995.

In 1998, Mr Cowley was co-author of the Fraser Institute's *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia*, the first of the Institute's continuing series of annual reports on school performance. This was followed by *The 1999 Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, *The 1999 Report Card on Alberta's High Schools*, and *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Since then, Mr Cowley has co-authored all of the Institute's annual report cards on Canadian schools. Annual editions now include report cards on secondary schools in British Columbia, Yukon, Alberta, Ontario, and Quebec and on elementary schools in British Columbia, Alberta, and Ontario as well as the occasional *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia*.

### *Stephen T. Easton*

Stephen T. Easton in addition to being a Senior Scholar at the Fraser Institute, is a professor of Economics, a member of the School for International Studies, and an Associate member of the School of Criminology at Simon Fraser University. He received his A.B. from Oberlin College and his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago. Recent works published by the Fraser Institute include *Marijuana Growth in British Columbia* (2004), *Privatizing Prisons* (editor, 1998), *The Costs of Crime: Who Pays and How Much? 1998 Update* (with Paul Brantingham, 1998), and *Rating Global Economic Freedom* (editor, 1992). A co-author of *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia* (1998), *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools* (1999) and the *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia* (2004), he has continued to co-author the *Report Cards* for British Columbia, Alberta, New Brunswick and, most recently, Ontario. Other publications about education include "Do We Have a Problem Yet? Women and Men in Higher Education," in David Laidler (ed.), *Renovating the Ivory Tower: Canadian Universities and the Knowledge Economy* (C.D. Howe Institute, 2002), pp. 60–79; "Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose" in Stephen B. Lawton, Rodney Reed, and Fons van Wieringen, *Restructuring Public Schooling* (Springer-Verlag, 1997) and *Education in Canada: An Analysis of Elementary, Secondary, and Vocational Schooling* (Fraser Institute, 1988). His editorials have been carried by the *Vancouver Sun*, the *Globe and Mail*, the *National Post*, the *Ottawa Citizen*, the *Stirling chain* and many other newspapers around the country.

*Michael Thomas*

Michael Thomas is the Associate Director, Report Cards Program, School Performance Studies and Program Director of Children First: School Choice Trust at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from York University with a B.A. in Political Science in 1997. Before joining the Fraser Institute in 2005, Mr. Thomas served as a policy advisor to the Ontario Minister of Education, where his files included adult education, students at risk, new curriculum initiatives, student health initiatives, and the community use of schools. Mr. Thomas is a former public school trustee, who served on the Toronto District School Board from 1997 to 2000. He has also held positions within the City of Toronto, and the Ontario Ministries of Tourism and Recreation and Citizenship.

**Acknowledgments**

The Fraser Institute wishes to acknowledge the generous support for this project from the Lotte and John Hecht Memorial Foundation.

# About this publication

Studies in Education Policy are published periodically throughout the year by the Fraser Institute.

## *Distribution*

These publications are available from <http://www.fraserinstitute.org> and <http://www.fraseramerica.org> in Portable Document Format (PDF) and can be read with Adobe Acrobat® 7 or later or with Adobe Reader® 7 or later. Adobe Reader® X, the most recent version, is available free of charge from Adobe Systems Inc. and may be down-loaded from: <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>. We encourage you to install the most recent version. Readers who have trouble viewing or printing our PDF files using applications from other manufacturers (e.g., Apple's Preview) should install and use Reader® or Acrobat®.

## *Ordering publications*

For information about ordering the printed publications of the Fraser Institute, please contact the publications coordinator

- ✎ e-mail: [sales@fraserinstitute.ca](mailto:sales@fraserinstitute.ca)
- ✎ telephone: 604.688.0221 ext. 580 or, toll free, 1.800.665.3558 ext. 580
- ✎ fax: 604.688.8539.

## *Media*

For media enquiries, please contact our Communications Department

- ✎ telephone: 604.714.4582
- ✎ e-mail: [communications@fraserinstitute.org](mailto:communications@fraserinstitute.org).

## *Disclaimer*

The authors of this publication have worked independently and opinions expressed by them are, therefore, their own, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the supporters, trustees, or other staff of the Fraser Institute. This publication in no way implies that the Fraser Institute, its trustees, or staff are in favor of, or oppose the passage of, any bill; or that they support or oppose any particular political party or candidate.



### *Copyright*

Copyright© 2011 by the Fraser Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

### *ISSNs*

1707–2395 Studies in Education Policy (English online edition)

1492–1863 Studies in Education Policy (English print edition)

Printed and bound in Canada.

### *Date of issue*

May 2011

### *Editing*

Lindsey Thomas Martin

### *Typesetting*

Nick Murphy

### *Cover design*

Bill Ray

### *Images for cover*

Boys in school ©Steve Stone; iStock

Girl solving a math problem on blackboard ©Bart Coenders; iStock

Attentive reading ©Grigory Bibikov; iStock

Library series ©Willie B. Thomas; iStock

8-year old schoolgirl doing homework ©mamahoooba; iStock

Student working in class ©Bonnie Jacobs; iStock

## **Supporting the Fraser Institute**

To learn how to support the Fraser Institute, please contact

- ✎ Development Department, Fraser Institute  
Fourth Floor, 1770 Burrard Street  
Vancouver, British Columbia, V6J 3G7 Canada
- ✎ telephone, toll-free: 1.800.665.3558 ext. 586
- ✎ e-mail: [development@fraserinstitute.org](mailto:development@fraserinstitute.org).

# About the Fraser Institute

Our vision is a free and prosperous world where individuals benefit from greater choice, competitive markets, and personal responsibility. Our mission is to measure, study, and communicate the impact of competitive markets and government interventions on the welfare of individuals. Founded in 1974, we are an independent, Canadian research and educational organization with locations throughout North America and international partners in over 70 countries. Our work is financed by tax-deductible contributions from thousands of individuals, organizations, and foundations. In order to protect its independence, the Institute does not accept grants from government or contracts for research.

Nous envisageons un monde libre et prospère, où chaque personne bénéficie d'un plus grand choix, de marchés concurrentiels et de responsabilités individuelles. Notre mission consiste à mesurer, à étudier et à communiquer l'effet des marchés concurrentiels et des interventions gouvernementales sur le bien-être des individus.

Nuestra visión es un mundo libre y próspero donde los individuos se benefician de una mayor oferta, la competencia en los mercados y la responsabilidad individual. Nuestra misión es medir, estudiar y comunicar el impacto de la competencia en los mercados y la intervención gubernamental en el bienestar de los individuos.

## Editorial Advisory Board

Prof. Armen Alchian

Prof. James Gwartney

Prof. Terry Anderson

Prof. H.G. Johnson\*

Prof. Robert Barro

Prof. Ronald W. Jones

Prof. Michael Bliss

Dr. Jerry Jordan

Prof. James M. Buchanan†

Prof. Ross McKittrick

Prof. Jean-Pierre Centi

Prof. Michael Parkin

Prof. John Chant

Prof. F.G. Pennance\*

Prof. Bev Dahlby

Prof. Friedrich Schneider

Prof. Erwin Diewert

Prof. L.B. Smith

Prof. Stephen Easton

Prof. George Stigler\*†

Prof. J.C. Herbert Emery

Mr. Vito Tanzi

Prof. Jack L. Granatstein

Sir Alan Walters

Prof. Herbert G. Grubel

Prof. Edwin G. West\*

Prof. Friedrich A. Hayek\*†

\* deceased; † Nobel Laureate