

Studies in Education Policy



May 2011

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

by Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas



May 2011

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011

By Peter Cowley, Stephen Easton, and Michael Thomas

Contents

Introduction3

Key academic indicators of school performance5

Other indicators of school performance.....8

Notes.....10

Detailed school reports.....11

Ranking the schools.....61

Appendix: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10.....68

About the authors70

Acknowledgments.....72

Introduction

The *Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2011* (hereafter, *Report Card*) collects a variety of relevant, objective indicators of school performance into one, easily accessible public document so that anyone can analyze and compare the performance of individual schools. By doing so, the *Report Card* assists parents when they choose a school for their children and encourages and assists all those seeking to improve their schools.

The Report Card helps parents choose

Where parents can choose among several schools for their children, the *Report Card* provides a valuable tool for making a decision. Because it makes comparisons easy, it alerts parents to those nearby schools that appear to have more effective academic programs. Parents can also determine whether schools of interest are improving over time. By first studying the *Report Card*, parents will be better prepared to ask relevant questions when they visit schools under consideration and speak with the staff.

Of course, the choice of a school should not be made solely on the basis of a single source of information. Web sites maintained by Ontario's Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO),¹ the provincial ministry of education, and local school boards may also provide useful information.² Parents who already have a child enrolled at the school provide another point of view.

Naturally, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*. Nevertheless, the *Report Card* provides a detailed

picture of each school that is not easily available elsewhere.

The Report Card facilitates school improvement

The act of publicly rating and ranking schools attracts attention and this can provide motivation. Schools that perform well or show consistent improvement are applauded. Poorly performing schools generate concern, as do those whose performance is deteriorating. This inevitable attention provides an incentive for all those connected with a school to focus on student results.

However, the *Report Card* offers more than just incentive. It includes a variety of indicators, each of which reports results for an aspect of school performance that may be improved. School administrators who are dedicated to their students' academic success accept the *Report Card* as another source of opportunities for improvement.

Some schools do better than others

To improve a school, one must believe that improvement is achievable. This *Report Card*, like other report cards from the Fraser Institute, provides evidence about what can be accomplished. It demonstrates clearly that even when we take into account factors such as the students' family background—which some believe dictate the degree of academic success that students can enjoy in school—some schools do better than others. This finding confirms the results of research carried out in other countries.³ Indeed, it will come as no great surprise to experienced parents

and educators that the data consistently suggest that what goes on in the schools makes a difference to academic results and that some schools make a greater difference than others.

Comparisons are at the heart of the improvement process

By comparing a school's latest results with those of earlier years, we can see if the school is improving. By comparing a school's results with those of neighbouring schools or schools having similar school and student characteristics, we can identify more successful schools and learn from them. Reference to overall provincial results places an individual school's level of achievement in a broader context.

There is great benefit in identifying schools that are particularly effective. By studying the techniques used in schools where students are successful, less effective schools may find ways to improve.

Comparisons are at the heart of improvement: making comparisons among schools is made simpler and more meaningful by the *Report Card's* indicators, ratings, and rankings.

You can contribute to the development of the *Report Card*

The *Report Card* program benefits from the input of interested parties. We welcome your suggestions, comments, and criticisms. Please contact Michael Thomas at 416.363.6575.

Key academic indicators of school performance

The foundation of the *Report Card* is an overall rating of each school's academic performance. We base our *Overall rating out of 10* on the school's performance on six indicators, all of which are derived from province-wide tests of literacy and mathematics skills that are administered by the province's Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO).⁴ They are:

- (1) the average level of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in academic mathematics;
- (2) the average level of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in applied mathematics;
- (3) the percentage of these grade-9 EQAO assessments in mathematics that did not meet the provincial standard;
- (4) the percentage of Ontario Secondary School Literacy Tests (OSSLT) that were not successfully completed;
- (5) the difference between male and female students in their average levels of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in academic mathematics; and,
- (6) the difference between male and female students attempting the OSSLT for the first time in their rate of successful completion of the test.

We have selected this set of indicators because they provide useful insight into a school's performance. As they are based on annually generated data, we can assess not only each school's performance in any given year but also its improvement or deterioration over time.

Indicators of effective teaching

Average results on grade-9 mathematics tests

Fundamental to the mission of secondary schools is ensuring that students are equipped with sound skills in literacy and mathematics. Differences among students in abilities, motivation, and work habits will inevitably have an impact upon the final results. There are, however, recognizable differences from school to school within a district in the average results on both of these tests. There is also variation within schools in the average results obtained on these tests. Such differences in outcomes cannot be explained simply by the individual and family characteristics of the school's students. We believe that teaching makes a difference to student outcomes and it therefore seems reasonable to include the average levels of achievement in these critical subject areas as indicators of effective teaching.

The indicators in mathematics—in the tables, *Average level Gr 9 Math (Acad)* and *Average level Gr 9 Math (Apld)*—show the average level of proficiency achieved by the school's students on the uniform assessments by the EQAO at the grade-9 level. Generally, each grade-9 student will write only one of the two tests, depending on the mathematics program—academic or applied—in which he or she is enrolled.

The EQAO converts the raw score on each test into a level of achievement from 1 to 4. Achievement at Levels 1 and 2 suggest that the student has not yet met the provincial standard. Level 3 is considered the provincial standard and Level 4 represents achievement well above the expected level. Achievement at Level 3 or 4 suggests that students are prepared for work at the next grade.

In order to calculate the average level achieved by the students at a school on each test, a numerical value was given to each level of achievement. Thus, Level 1 was given a value of 1 for purposes of determining the average; Level 2, a value of 2; Level 3, a value of 3; and Level 4, a value of 4. A value of 0 was given in those cases where a student completed the test but did not demonstrate sufficient understanding to be assigned achievement Level 1.

Percentage of OSSLTs successfully completed

In most cases, students must pass the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test (OSSLT) in order to graduate. It is first written in grade 10. Students who do not pass the test in grade 10 may write the test again in subsequent school years. The OSSLT indicators report the success rate on the OSSLT by students who have not previously attempted the test—in the tables *OSSLT passed (%)—FTE*—and students who have unsuccessfully attempted the test in the past—in the tables *OSSLT passed (%)—PE*.

Since results on the OSSLT are expressed by the EQAO as simply successful or unsuccessful, the indicators of success rate are not used in the calculation of the *Overall rating*. Instead, the full weight assigned to the OSSLT results is given to the OSSLT fail rate described below.

Percentage of grade-9 mathematics and OSSLT tests below standard

Presented in the tables as *Tests below standard (%)*, this indicator combines the results of all the OSSLT and grade-9 mathematics tests written by the students at the school. However, for the purposes of the calculation of the *Overall rating*, the percentage of tests below standard for these two test series are calculated separately.

For each school, this indicator reports the combined rate of failure on the grade-9 math tests and the OSSLT. It was derived by dividing the total number of all the above tests that provided enough information to enable the calculation of a score but did not meet the provincial standard by the total number of such tests written by the students at the school.

Since literacy and mathematical skills are critical to students' further intellectual and personal development, students should, at the minimum, demonstrate that they meet the accepted standard of performance for their grade in these subject areas. Schools have the responsibility of ensuring that their students are adequately prepared to do so.

How well do the teachers take student differences into account? The Gender gap indicators

The *Gender gap* indicators—in the tables *Gender gap (level) Math* and *Gender gap (% passed)—OSSLT*—use the result in grade-9 academic mathematics and the OSSLT result for “first-time-eligible” (FTE) students to determine how successful the school has been in narrowing the achievement gap between male and female students in literacy and mathematics.⁵ These indicators are determined, for each subject area, by calculating the absolute value of the difference between male and female students in their average level of achievement (in mathematics) or success rate (in the OSSLT). The more successful sex is reported along with the difference in the detailed tables.

Undoubtedly, some personal and family characteristics, left unmitigated, can have a deleterious effect on a student's academic development. The *Report Cards* provide evidence that successful teachers overcome such impediments. By comparing the results of male and female students in two skills areas—literacy and mathematics—in which one group or the other has enjoyed a historical advantage, we are able to gauge the extent to which schools provide effective teaching to all of their students.

In general, how is the school doing, academically? The Overall rating out of 10

While each of the indicators is important, it is almost always the case that any school does better on

some indicators than on others. So, just as a teacher must make a decision about a student's overall performance, we need an overall indicator of school performance—in the tables *Overall rating out of 10*. Just as teachers combine test scores, homework, and class participation to rate a student, we have combined all the indicators to produce an overall rating. The overall rating of school performance answers the question, “In general, how is the school doing academically compared to the other schools in the Report Card?”

To derive this rating, the results for each of the six indicators, for each school year, were first standardized. Standardization is a statistical procedure whereby sets

of raw data with different characteristics are converted into sets of values sharing certain statistical properties. Standardized values can readily be combined and compared. The standardized data were then weighted and combined to produce an overall standardized score. Finally, this score was converted into an overall rating out of 10. It is from this *Overall rating out of 10* that the school's provincial rank is determined.

For schools in which there were fewer than 15 test results for boys or for girls, no values for the *Gender gap* indicators can be provided. In these cases the *Overall rating out of 10* is derived using the remaining indicators. (See Appendix 1 for an explanation of the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10*.)

Other indicators of school performance

The *Report Card* includes other indicators that, while they are not used to derive the *Overall rating out of 10*, add more information about the school's effectiveness.

The *Tests not written* indicator

Schools that administer the assessments provided by the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) are expected to ensure that all their students write the tests. Higher participation rates provide the benefit of objective assessment of learning to more students and parents. They also provide a more accurate reflection of the level of achievement at the school. A reader can have more confidence that the test results are a true reflection of the school's average achievement level if all, or almost all, of its students write the tests.

The participation rate indicator—in the tables *Gr 9 Tests not written (%)*—was determined by first summing, for both of the grade-9 math tests, the total number of students for whom no test data were submitted or who were exempt from testing. This result was then divided by the total number of these tests that could have been completed had all students fully participated.

The principal of a school at which a relatively large percentage of students did not complete the tests should be able to provide good reasons for the students' failure to do so and a well-developed plan to increase participation in future test sittings.

As the OSSLT is a compulsory component of Ontario's graduation program and must be successfully completed by all students prior to graduation, it is unnecessary to consider it in the calculation of this indicator.

The *Trend* indicator

Is the school improving academically? The *Report Card* provides five years of data for most schools. Unlike a snapshot of one year's results, this historical record provides evidence of change (or lack thereof) over time. To detect trends in the performance indicators, we developed the *Trends* indicator. This indicator uses statistical analysis to identify those dimensions of school performance in which there has likely been real change rather than a fluctuation in results caused by random occurrences. To calculate the trends, the standardized scores rather than raw data are used. Standardizing makes historical data more comparable and the trend measurement more reliable. Because calculation of trends is uncertain when only a small number of data points are available, a trend is indicated only in those circumstances where five years of data are available and where the trend is statistically significant. For this indicator, we have defined the term "statistically significant" to mean that, nine times out of 10, the trend that is noted is real; that is, it did not happen just by chance.

The socioeconomic indicator

When they design their lesson plans and deliver the curriculum, educators can and should take into account the abilities, interests, and backgrounds of their students. By doing so, educators can overcome disadvantages that their students may have. The socioeconomic indicator enables us to identify schools that are successful despite adverse conditions faced by their students at home. Similarly, it identifies schools where students with a relatively positive home

situation appear not to be reaching their presumed potential.

The socioeconomic indicator was derived as follows. First, using enrolment data from the Ministry of Education sorted by postal code and 2006 census data⁶ provided by Statistics Canada, we determined the average level of parental income from wages and salaries and from self-employment. We then used regression analysis to determine the relationship between parental income and variations in school performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10*. The analysis determined that, on average, when a school had more parents with higher incomes, the *Overall rating* at the school was likely to be higher.

As a measure of the success with which each school took into account the socioeconomic characteristics of the student body, we used the formula derived from the regression analysis to predict the *Overall rating* for each school. We then reported the difference (in the tables *Actual rating vs predicted rating based on parents' avg. inc.*) between the actual *Overall rating* and this predicted value in each school's results table.

For example, during the 2009/2010 school year, North Park Secondary School, a public secondary school in Brampton, achieved an *Overall rating* of 6.9 and yet, when the parental income of the student body was taken into account, the school was expected to achieve a rating of only about 5.9. The difference of 1.0 is reported in the tables. On the other hand, the actual *Overall rating* of Heart Lake Secondary School, another Brampton public secondary school, was 5.0, although its predicted rating was 6.1. The reported difference for Heart Lake is -1.1. This measurement suggests that North Park is more successful

than Heart Lake in enabling all of its students to reach their potential.

This measure of the effect of the socioeconomic background of a school's student body is presented with two important notes of caution. First, less than 25% of the variation among Ontario secondary schools in the overall rating is associated with the level of parental employment income. Clearly, many other factors—including good teaching, counselling, and school administration—contribute to the effectiveness of schools. Second, the statistical measures used describe past relationships between a socioeconomic characteristic and a measure of school effectiveness. These relationships may not remain static. The more effectively the school enables all of its students to succeed, the weaker will be the relationship between the home characteristics of its students and their academic success. Thus, this socioeconomic indicator should not be used as an excuse or rationale for poor school performance. The effective school will produce good results, regardless of the family background of its students.

The student characteristics indicators

For each public school, the *Report Card* notes the percentage of its students who are enrolled in ESL programs or who have certain identified special needs. As was noted in the Introduction, it is sometimes useful to compare a school's results to those of similar schools. These two indicators, along with the average parental employment income, can be used to identify schools with similar student-body characteristics.

Notes

- 1 The Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) is an arm's-length agency of the provincial government. It provides parents, teachers, and the public with information about student achievement. For more information, see the EQAO's web site at <http://www.eqao.com/>.
- 2 See, for instance, the EQAO's web site at <http://www.eqao.com/>, the Ministry of Education's web site at <http://www.edu.gov.on.ca>, or the web site of the Toronto Catholic District School Board at <http://www.tcdsb.org/>.
- 3 See, for instance, Michael Rutter et al., *Fifteen Thousand Hours: Secondary Schools and Their Effects on Children* (Harvard University Press, 1979) and Peter Mortimore et al., *School Matters: The Junior Years* (Open Books, 1988).
- 4 The EQAO's test results, student enrollment data, and school information used or reported in this publication were provided by the Ontario Ministry of Education. The results or views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not those of the Ontario Ministry of Education.
- 5 For a discussion of gender-based differentials in academic achievement, see Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Public Policy Sources 22 (Fraser Institute, 1999).
- 6 A Dissemination Area (DA) is a small geographic area comprising one or more neighbouring blocks, and having a population of 400 to 700 persons. The Dissemination Area is the smallest standard geographic area for which all census data are disseminated. All of Canada is divided into Dissemination Areas.

Detailed school reports

Use the sample table and the explanation of each line below to help you interpret the detailed results for individual schools. Families choosing a school for their children should seek to confirm the *Report Card*'s findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers, school administrators, and other parents. The complete *Report Card* can be downloaded free of charge from the Fraser Institute's web site at <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org>>. More information regarding test results at individual schools can be found on the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) web site at <<http://www.eqao.com>> and on the web sites of local school districts and schools. Of course, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*.

Important note

Private schools are not required to administer the grade-9 EQAO tests. Since the *Report Card* is based, in part, on results achieved on these tests, only those few private schools that chose to administer the EQAO tests could be included.

IMPORTANT — In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

A – GEOGRAPHIC AREA							
B – School name [Affiliation] City							OSSLT count: 421
C – ESL (%): 1.7				Special needs (%): 15.2			
D – Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,400: -1.7							
		Rank:		2009-10	Last 5 Years		
				530/727	426/693		
Academic Performance		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
E –	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6	—
F –	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.2	—
G –	OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.6	87.4	88.4	83.1	83.3	▼
H –	OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.6	41.8	52.5	65.6	n/a	n/a
I –	Tests below standard (%)	24.5	31.1	25.8	27.4	27.6	▼
J –	Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲
K –	Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.4	F 11.0	F 5.0	F 9.1	F 12.9	—
L –	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	2.5	1.2	1.6	1.0	—
M –	Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.8	6.3	5.7	5.2	—

A — The schools are grouped into geographical areas. To find a school's results, find its location in the "List of cities and geographical areas" and note its geographical area. Find the geographical area in the "Index of geographical areas" and note the page on which its results begin. Within each geographical area, the schools are listed in alphabetical order.

B (left) — The school name, its affiliation—public, Catholic, or private—and the city in which the school is located.

B (right) — The number of students at the school who were eligible to write the OSSLT.

C — These statistics report the percentage of the

students at the school, who are eligible to write the OSSLT, who are enrolled in ESL programs, and the percentage who have an identified special need. Use this statistic to identify schools at which the students share some personal characteristics.

D (left) — This statistic compares the school's actual *Overall rating out of 10* with the rating that is predicted by the average parental employment income in each school's family. A positive difference suggests that the school is effective in enabling its students to succeed regardless of their family's characteristics.

D (right) — The school's overall academic rank in the province for 2009/2010 and for the most recent five years. The rankings show how the school has done academically compared to the other schools in the report card. A high ranking over five years indicates consistently strong results at the school.

E and F — The average level achieved by the students on the grade-9 academic (Acad) and applied (Apld) mathematics tests. The EQAO assigns a level of achievement to each completed test. Achievement at Levels 1 and 2 suggest that the student has not yet met the provincial standard. Level 3 is considered the provincial standard and Level 4 represents achievement well above the expected level. Thus, achievement at Level 3 or 4 suggests that students are well prepared for work at the next grade. In order to calculate the average level, a numerical value was given to each level of achievement. Thus, Level 1 was given a value of 1 for purposes of determining the average; Level 2, a value of 2; Level 3, a value of 3; and Level 4, a value of 4. In those cases where a student completed the test but did not demonstrate sufficient understanding to be assigned achievement Level 1, the test was given a value of 0.

G & H — The percentage of eligible OSSLT writers who successfully completed the test, either on their first attempt (FTE) or on a subsequent attempt (PE).

I — The percentage of all the completed tests written by students at the school that were judged

to be either unsuccessful (OSSLT) or below Level 3 (grade-9 math tests). A low percentage of *Tests below standard (%)* indicates that the school is successful in ensuring that most of its students are meeting or exceeding the provincial standard of performance.

J and K — The difference (in average level of achievement) between girls and boys in the grade-9 academic mathematics test and the OSSLT (FTE students). Where the difference favours the girls, the value is preceded by an F. Where boys are favoured, the value is preceded by an M. An E means that there is no difference between the girls and the boys on this measure. Smaller differences indicate that the school is doing a good job for all its students.

L — The percentage of the grade-9 mathematics tests that could have been completed by the school's students but which were not assigned an overall score. The percentage, *Gr 9 Tests not written (%)*, takes into account the total number of students for whom no test data were submitted or who were exempt from testing.

Important note: Schools that administer these tests are expected to ensure that all their students participate. For this reason, you should take note of the *Tests not written* percentage when you consider each school's results in the *Report Card*. The principal of a school with a high *Tests not written* percentage should be able to provide good reasons for the students' failure to complete the tests.

M — The *Overall rating out of 10* takes into account the indicators described in E through K above to answer the question, "In general, how is the school doing academically compared to other schools in the report card?"

Important note: The *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating out of 10*, it must improve more rapidly than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

N — An upward-pointing arrow at the end of an indicator row means that the school is probably improving on that indicator. A downward-pointing arrow means that the school is probably getting worse. The researchers had to be at least 90% sure that the changes were not just random before indicating a trend. A dash indicates that there is no significant change. Where insufficient data were available, “n/a” appears in the column. Note that for the two *Gender gap* indicators and *Tests below standard* a downward trend in the data will lead to an upward-pointing arrow in the trend column. For example, a decreasing *Tests below standard (%)* indicates improvement and so an upward-pointing arrow is displayed.

Other notes

Note 1

Not all the province’s secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. In order to be included, schools must have had, in the school year 2009/2010, at least 15 students who wrote either of the two versions of the grade-9 EQAO mathematics test and at least 15 “first-time-eligible” (FTE) or “previously eligible” (PE) writers of the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test. Private schools and federally funded schools operated by First Nations are not required to administer the grade-9 mathematics tests. Since the results of these tests form the basis for this *Report Card*, only those schools that administer them could be included. Finally, also excluded are schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an *Overall rating out of 10*. **The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* is not a judgement of the school’s effectiveness.**

Note 2

The EQAO’s test results, student enrollment data, and school information used or reported in this publication were provided by the Ontario Ministry

of Education. The results or views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not those of the Ontario Ministry of Education.

Note 3

In accordance with its regulations regarding the privacy of personal information, where the results in a test involved fewer than 15 students, the EQAO provided no data.

Note 4

Where there were insufficient data available with which to calculate an indicator or where a school was not in operation during a specific year, “n/a” appears in the tables.

Note 5

If you have questions about the *Report Card*, contact Michael Thomas, Associate Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute at 416.363.6575.

Note 6

You can compare a school’s results with these all-schools average results in the table below.

Average values for all schools 2009/2010						OSSLT count: 275
ESL (%): 5.3						Special Needs (%): 21.2
Parents’ avg income: \$ 74,300						
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.6	81.5	81.3	83.0	82.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.4	53.3	54.5	57.4	54.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.3	30.1	29.6	28.3	26.9	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	—
Gender gap (% passed)-OSSLT*	7.8	7.7	8.1	6.6	7.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	3.5	2.7	2.5	2.2	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.0	6.0	n/a

* These results reflect the average size of the gender gaps in 2009/2010. The Gr 10 OSSLT FTE gender gap favoured females at 89.3% of schools, males at 10.2% of schools, and was even at 0.5% schools. The Gr 9 Math gender gap favoured males at 45.4% of schools, females at 20.9% of schools, and was even at 33.7% of schools.

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area
Acton	Halton Area
Ajax	Durham Area
Alexandria	Upper Canada Area
Alliston	Simcoe County Area
Almonte	Upper Canada Area
Amherstburg	Greater Essex Area
Ancaster	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Arnprior	Renfrew County Area
Arva	Thames Valley Area
Athens	Upper Canada Area
Atikokan	Kenora Area
Aurora	York Area
Avonmore	Upper Canada Area
Aylmer	Thames Valley Area
Baden	Waterloo Area
Bancroft	Hastings-Limestone Area
Barrie	Barrie
Barry's Bay	Renfrew County Area
Beamsville	Niagara Area
Belle River	Greater Essex Area
Belleville	Hastings-Limestone Area
Blackstock	Durham Area
Blenheim	Lambton Kent Area
Blind River	Algoma Area
Bolton	Peel Area
Bowmanville	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Bracebridge	Trillium Lakelands Area
Bradford	Simcoe County Area
Brampton	Peel Area
Brantford	Brantford
Brighton	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Brockville	Upper Canada Area
Burlington	Halton Area
Caledon	Peel Area
Caledon East	Peel Area
Caledonia	Grand Erie Area
Cambridge	Waterloo Area
Campbellford	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Cannington	Durham Area
Carleton Place	Upper Canada Area
Casselman	Upper Canada Area
Cayuga	Grand Erie Area
Chapleau	Algoma Area
Chatham	Lambton Kent Area
Chelmsford	Rainbow Area
Chesley	Bluewater Area
Chesterville	Upper Canada Area
Clinton	Avon Maitland Area
Cloyne	Hastings-Limestone Area
Cobourg	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Cochrane	Ontario North East Area
Collingwood	Simcoe County Area
Cornwall	Upper Canada Area

City	Geographical area
Courtice	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Deep River	Renfrew County Area
Delhi	Grand Erie Area
Desbarats	Algoma Area
Dorchester	Thames Valley Area
Douglas	Renfrew County Area
Dresden	Lambton Kent Area
Dryden	Kenora Area
Dundas	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Dunnville	Grand Erie Area
Dunrobin	Ottawa
Elgin	Upper Canada Area
Elliot Lake	Algoma Area
Elmira	Waterloo Area
Elmvale	Simcoe County Area
Embrun	Upper Canada Area
Englehart	Ontario North East Area
Erin	Upper Grand Area
Espanola	Rainbow Area
Essex	Greater Essex Area
Exeter	Avon Maitland Area
Fenelon Falls	Trillium Lakelands Area
Fergus	Upper Grand Area
Flesherton	Bluewater Area
Fonthill	Niagara Area
Forest	Lambton Kent Area
Fort Erie	Niagara Area
Fort Frances	Kenora Area
Gananoque	Upper Canada Area
Georgetown	Halton Area
Geraldton	Superior-Greenstone Area
Glencoe	Thames Valley Area
Gloucester	Ottawa
Goderich	Avon Maitland Area
Gravenhurst	Trillium Lakelands Area
Grimsby	Niagara Area
Guelph	Upper Grand Area
Hagersville	Grand Erie Area
Haliburton	Trillium Lakelands Area
Hamilton	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Hammond	Upper Canada Area
Hanmer	Rainbow Area
Hanover	Bluewater Area
Harrow	Greater Essex Area
Hawkesbury	Upper Canada Area
Hearst	Ontario North East Area
Huntsville	Trillium Lakelands Area
Ingersoll	Thames Valley Area
Ingleside	Upper Canada Area
Innisfil	Simcoe County Area
Iroquois	Upper Canada Area
Iroquois Falls	Ontario North East Area
Kanata	Ottawa

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Kapuskasing	Ontario North East Area	Palmerston	Upper Grand Area
Kemptville	Upper Canada Area	Paris	Grand Erie Area
Kenora	Kenora Area	Parkhill	Thames Valley Area
Keswick	York Area	Parry Sound	Near North Area
Kincardine	Bluewater Area	Pembroke	Renfrew County Area
King City	York Area	Penetanguishene	Simcoe County Area
Kingston	Kingston	Perth	Upper Canada Area
Kingsville	Greater Essex Area	Petawawa	Renfrew County Area
Kirkland Lake	Ontario North East Area	Peterborough	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Kitchener	Kitchener	Petrolia	Lambton Kent Area
Lakefield	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Pickering	Durham Area
Langton	Grand Erie Area	Picton	Hastings-Limestone Area
LaSalle	Greater Essex Area	Plantagenet	Upper Canada Area
Leamington	Greater Essex Area	Port Colborne	Niagara Area
Lindsay	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Port Dover	Grand Erie Area
Lion's Head	Bluewater Area	Port Elgin	Bluewater Area
Listowel	Avon Maitland Area	Port Hope	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Lively	Rainbow Area	Port Perry	Durham Area
London	London	Prescott	Upper Canada Area
Madoc	Hastings-Limestone Area	Rainy River	Kenora Area
Manitouawadge	Superior-Greenstone Area	Red Lake	Kenora Area
Manotick	Ottawa	Red Rock	Lakehead Area
Maple	York Area	Renfrew	Renfrew County Area
Marathon	Superior-Greenstone Area	Richmond	Ottawa
Markham	York Area	Richmond Hill	York Area
M'Chigeeng	Rainbow Area	Ridgetown	Lambton Kent Area
Meaford	Bluewater Area	Ridgeway	Niagara Area
Metcalfe	Ottawa	Rockland	Upper Canada Area
Midland	Simcoe County Area	Russell	Upper Canada Area
Milton	Halton Area	Sarnia	Lambton Kent Area
Mississauga	Mississauga	Sault Ste. Marie	Algoma Area
Mitchell	Avon Maitland Area	Sharbot Lake	Hastings-Limestone Area
Moosonee	Ontario North East Area	Shelburne	Upper Grand Area
Mount Forest	Upper Grand Area	Simcoe	Grand Erie Area
Napanee	Hastings-Limestone Area	Sioux Lookout	Kenora Area
Nepean	Ottawa	Smiths Falls	Upper Canada Area
New Liskeard	Ontario North East Area	Smithville	Niagara Area
Newcastle	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	South Porcupine	Ontario North East Area
Newmarket	York Area	South River	Near North Area
Niagara Falls	Niagara Area	St Catharines	St Catharines
Niagara-on-the-Lake	Niagara Area	St Marys	Avon Maitland Area
North Bay	Near North Area	St Thomas	Thames Valley Area
Norwich	Thames Valley Area	Stayner	Simcoe County Area
Norwood	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Stittsville	Ottawa
Oakville	Halton Area	Stoney Creek	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Odessa	Hastings-Limestone Area	Stouffville	York Area
Orangeville	Upper Grand Area	Stratford	Avon Maitland Area
Orillia	Simcoe County Area	Strathroy	Thames Valley Area
Orléans	Ottawa	Sturgeon Falls	Near North Area
Oshawa	Durham Area	Sudbury	Rainbow Area
Ottawa	Ottawa	Sutton West	York Area
Owen Sound	Bluewater Area	Sydenham	Hastings-Limestone Area
Pain Court	Lambton Kent Area	Tecumseh	Greater Essex Area

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Terrace Bay	Superior-Greenstone Area	Wallaceburg	Lambton Kent Area
Thornhill	York Area	Waterdown	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Thorold	Niagara Area	Waterford	Grand Erie Area
Thunder Bay	Lakehead Area	Waterloo	Waterloo Area
Tilbury	Lambton Kent Area	Wawa	Algoma Area
Timmins	Ontario North East Area	Welland	Niagara Area
Toronto	Toronto	West Lorne	Thames Valley Area
Tottenham	Simcoe County Area	Whitby	Durham Area
Trenton	Hastings-Limestone Area	Warton	Bluewater Area
Unionville	York Area	Williamstown	Upper Canada Area
Uxbridge	Durham Area	Windsor	Greater Essex Area
Val Caron	Rainbow Area	Wingham	Avon Maitland Area
Vankleek Hill	Upper Canada Area	Woodbridge	York Area
Walkerton	Bluewater Area	Woodstock	Thames Valley Area

Index of geographical areas

Geographical area	Page
Algoma Area	52
Avon Maitland Area	33
Barrie	52
Bluewater Area	53
Brantford	33
Durham Area	18
Grand Erie Area	34
Greater Essex Area	34
Halton Area	19
Hamilton-Wentworth Area	36
Hastings-Limestone Area	45
Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	54
Kenora Area	55
Kingston	46
Kitchener	37
Lakehead Area	55
Lambton Kent Area	37
London	38

Geographical area	Page
Mississauga	21
Near North Area	56
Niagara Area	39
Ontario North East Area	56
Ottawa	46
Peel Area	22
Rainbow Area	57
Renfrew County Area	49
Simcoe County Area	58
St Catharines	41
Superior-Greenstone Area	59
Thames Valley Area	41
Toronto	24
Trillium Lakelands Area	60
Upper Canada Area	49
Upper Grand Area	42
Waterloo Area	43
York Area	30

Monsignor John Pereyima [Catholic] Oshawa OSSLT count: 213
ESL (%): 3.3
Special needs (%): 24.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,700: -1.6
Rank: 648/727 588/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.2 2.1 2.3 2.4 2.5 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.2 2.0 2.4 2.1 1.8 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 79.1 81.9 82.7 84.7 75.4 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 54.8 57.6 61.9 66.7 56.0 ---
Tests below standard (%) 36.2 43.5 32.8 34.6 40.3 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.6 E M 0.2 M 0.5 F 0.2 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 2.2 F 10.1 F 5.2 F 2.0 F 4.8 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 16.0 3.2 0.7 1.3 3.4 ---
Overall rating out of 10 4.4 4.7 5.2 5.1 3.9 ---

Pine Ridge [Public] Pickering OSSLT count: 505
ESL (%): 7.5
Special needs (%): 22.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,200: -1.5
Rank: 587/727 570/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.4 2.5 2.5 2.6 2.7 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.6 1.7 2.0 2.1 1.8 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 81.4 80.5 79.9 75.4 78.8 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 49.5 46.0 50.6 52.8 40.0 ---
Tests below standard (%) 39.0 36.8 35.3 35.6 34.8 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math E M 0.2 F 0.1 E F 0.1 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 5.7 F 13.5 F 1.5 F 8.3 F 7.0 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 4.5 3.8 0.2 0.5 1.0 ---
Overall rating out of 10 4.7 4.9 5.4 4.9 4.7 ---

Uxbridge [Public] Uxbridge OSSLT count: 418
ESL (%): 1.2
Special needs (%): 18.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,000: 0.1
Rank: 225/727 177/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.7 2.7 2.6 2.9 2.9 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.4 2.2 2.2 2.5 2.0 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 94.8 90.9 94.4 89.7 91.1 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 73.1 68.4 58.3 76.2 87.5 ---
Tests below standard (%) 19.2 22.1 24.1 16.8 17.8 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math E M 0.1 M 0.1 M 0.2 F 0.1 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 0.8 F 6.1 F 3.4 F 12.1 F 12.5
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 2.0 2.5 0.3 0.5 2.0 ---
Overall rating out of 10 7.8 7.2 6.8 7.2 7.0 ---

Monsignor Paul Dwyer [Catholic] Oshawa OSSLT count: 331
ESL (%): 0.0
Special needs (%): 19.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: -1.8
Rank: 612/727 493/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.2 2.5 2.5 2.8 2.5 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.0 1.7 1.9 2.1 1.5 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 89.8 85.1 83.9 85.8 82.3
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 57.9 36.6 62.5 66.0 40.0 ---
Tests below standard (%) 33.9 35.4 31.9 25.9 35.7 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math E E M 0.2 M 0.1 M 0.1 ---
Gender gap OSSLT M 2.3 F 10.1 F 2.5 F 0.4 F 2.0 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 3.4 5.6 4.5 1.4 2.1 ---
Overall rating out of 10 5.6 5.4 5.6 6.5 4.4 ---

Port Perry [Public] Port Perry OSSLT count: 344
ESL (%): 0.3
Special needs (%): 19.5
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,900: 1.0
Rank: 181/727 281/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.6 2.5 2.7 2.9 2.9 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.1 2.3 2.4 2.7 2.8
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 87.3 87.8 85.0 80.4 90.1 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 67.7 58.1 71.1 60.0 61.1 ---
Tests below standard (%) 29.8 29.3 25.8 24.3 16.9
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.1 E M 0.1 E M 0.2 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 11.2 F 4.7 F 7.1 F 6.1 F 9.9 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 2.7 0.7 1.0 1.1 0.0 ---
Overall rating out of 10 6.3 6.5 6.8 6.7 7.2

HALTON AREA

Abbey Park [Public] Oakville OSSLT count: 342
ESL (%): 3.2
Special needs (%): 9.9
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$155,700: -0.5
Rank: 34/727 167/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 2.9 3.0 3.0 3.1 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.6 2.7 2.2 2.6 2.6 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 94.7 95.9 95.1 95.9 93.4 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 76.2 100.0 90.3 90.6 75.0 ---
Tests below standard (%) 12.5 10.1 9.7 9.8 9.6 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.1 M 0.1 E M 0.2 E ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 4.7 F 3.7 F 7.6 F 3.7 F 7.7 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 1.1 1.3 0.7 0.7 0.0 ---
Overall rating out of 10 8.5 8.9 9.0 8.4 8.2 ---

Notre Dame [Catholic] Ajax OSSLT count: 501
ESL (%): 4.4
Special needs (%): 20.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,500: -1.3
Rank: 557/727 418/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.5 2.8 2.7 2.7 2.7 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.6 2.1 2.2 1.9 1.6 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 83.7 85.2 84.7 86.3 79.8 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 50.9 58.6 60.7 66.7 66.0
Tests below standard (%) 34.1 25.8 26.3 27.5 32.8 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math E E F 0.1 E M 0.1 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 12.3 F 0.3 F 19.3 F 0.1 F 9.5 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 2.4 2.1 2.6 1.8 2.1 ---
Overall rating out of 10 5.3 7.2 6.3 6.3 5.0 ---

R S McLaughlin [Public] Oshawa OSSLT count: 266
ESL (%): 1.1
Special needs (%): 13.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,600: 0.2
Rank: 371/727 450/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.5 2.6 2.5 2.5 2.8 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.6 2.1 1.6 1.8 2.3 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 91.0 90.5 85.1 89.5 86.9 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 69.7 56.0 53.6 40.0 75.0 ---
Tests below standard (%) 29.6 27.7 35.1 30.7 24.5 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.4 F 0.1 E M 0.2 M 0.3 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 2.9 F 8.3 F 6.2 F 2.8 F 1.1 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 1.3 1.8 2.9 0.4 0.4 ---
Overall rating out of 10 5.8 6.7 5.0 5.5 6.2 ---

Acton [Public] Acton OSSLT count: 129
ESL (%): 0.0
Special needs (%): 4.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$139,600: -1.3
Rank: 309/727 177/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.8 2.8 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.4 2.5 2.2 2.1 2.6 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 85.8 93.1 87.8 84.0 90.3 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 66.7 n/a n/a 40.0 n/a
Tests below standard (%) 22.5 17.4 20.2 25.6 18.3 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.2 M 0.1 F 0.1 M 0.2 F 0.1 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 15.2 M 2.3 F 6.0 F 9.4 F 10.0 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 4.7 2.3 0.9 0.7 1.5 ---
Overall rating out of 10 7.0 8.4 7.5 6.2 6.9 ---

O'Neill [Public] Oshawa OSSLT count: 306
ESL (%): 2.0
Special needs (%): 15.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,300: 0.5
Rank: 330/727 265/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.8 2.8 3.0 2.7 2.9 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.5 1.9 2.5 2.2 2.2 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 83.2 81.2 85.7 84.1 85.4 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 66.7 64.8 57.1 46.3 n/a
Tests below standard (%) 21.7 29.6 19.9 27.3 23.4 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.1 M 0.1 M 0.1 M 0.1 M 0.1 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 14.8 F 7.2 F 5.9 M 2.5 F 7.6 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 0.6 1.1 0.6 0.0 0.7 ---
Overall rating out of 10 7.2 6.5 7.7 6.2 6.4 ---

Saint-Charles-Garnier [Catholic] Whitby OSSLT count: 95
ESL (%): 0.0
Special needs (%): 16.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,300: -0.1
Rank: 126/727 73/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.1 2.5 2.2 2.6 2.5 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.3 2.1 n/a 2.6 2.4 n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 89.0 74.2 83.9 90.8 82.4 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Tests below standard (%) 39.8 34.9 31.5 25.7 28.0 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.1 M 0.1 M 0.2 E E ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 9.7 F 16.3 F 8.8 F 2.1 M 3.6 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 3.9 1.2 3.1 1.0 1.3 ---
Overall rating out of 10 5.0 5.0 5.2 7.4 6.3 ---

Aldershot [Public] Burlington OSSLT count: 109
ESL (%): 0.9
Special needs (%): 13.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,500: 0.4
Rank: 181/727 51/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.1 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 3.0 3.0 2.9 2.9 2.4 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 96.2 95.0 77.0 93.2 86.0 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Tests below standard (%) 11.7 9.0 20.5 11.7 15.8 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.1 F 0.1 E M 0.1 E ---
Gender gap OSSLT M 0.1 F 4.0 M 12.5 M 10.6 F 17.4
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 3.6 1.7 1.6 3.4 1.7 ---
Overall rating out of 10 8.9 9.2 7.3 8.1 7.2 ---

Oshawa Central [Public] Oshawa OSSLT count: 74
ESL (%): 0.0
Special needs (%): 18.9
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,100: 0.2
Rank: 478/727 523/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 1.7 2.1 1.9 2.5 2.7
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.3 2.3 2.4 2.6 2.1 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 86.0 79.2 78.8 79.8 83.8 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE n/a 87.5 n/a n/a n/a
Tests below standard (%) 45.8 41.2 40.3 28.8 36.1 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.2 M 0.1 n/a n/a n/a
Gender gap OSSLT F 5.6 F 7.1 F 0.4 n/a n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 17.5 1.5 2.5 0.0 2.5 ---
Overall rating out of 10 3.7 5.7 5.5 6.0 5.5 ---

Sinclair [Public] Whitby OSSLT count: 523
ESL (%): 3.1
Special needs (%): 9.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,400: 0.7
Rank: 126/727 73/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.7 2.9 3.0 2.9 3.1 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.3 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 94.1 90.6 88.7 91.1 88.7 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 74.2 69.2 62.5 75.6 48.7 ---
Tests below standard (%) 20.2 16.2 15.5 14.7 15.5 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.2 E M 0.1 M 0.1 E ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 1.0 F 8.6 F 3.1 F 4.7 F 7.9 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.4 0.8 ---
Overall rating out of 10 7.5 8.3 8.3 7.8 7.5 ---

Assumption [Catholic] Burlington OSSLT count: 255
ESL (%): 5.9
Special needs (%): 14.5
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$107,900: -1.0
Rank: 392/727 130/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.8 2.7 2.9 2.8 2.7 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.5 2.5 2.7 2.5 2.8 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 93.5 89.6 92.5 92.0 86.9 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 85.3 60.0 62.1 58.3 37.5
Tests below standard (%) 15.9 23.1 14.8 18.3 23.4 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math M 0.1 E M 0.1 E F 0.2 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 4.4 F 2.1 F 5.8 F 0.7 F 5.0 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 1.7 0.7 0.4 3.5 1.8 ---
Overall rating out of 10 8.1 7.4 8.4 7.5 6.1 ---

Pickering [Public] Ajax OSSLT count: 503
ESL (%): 2.4
Special needs (%): 15.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,100: -1.0
Rank: 478/727 479/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.6 2.5 2.6 2.4 2.6 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.4 1.7 1.6 1.9 2.0
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 90.7 83.8 87.9 84.7 85.5 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 44.4 40.0 50.0 57.1 54.5 ---
Tests below standard (%) 27.9 33.8 27.8 31.5 28.7 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math E F 0.1 E E F 0.1 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 3.5 F 7.2 F 7.0 F 2.6 F 8.5 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 0.9 1.8 1.6 0.9 0.2 ---
Overall rating out of 10 6.2 5.2 6.1 5.2 5.5 ---

St Mary [Catholic] Pickering OSSLT count: 455
ESL (%): 2.4
Special needs (%): 17.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,300: -0.9
Rank: 419/727 463/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.8 2.7 ---
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.4 1.8 1.7 2.0 2.2
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 86.2 88.8 85.8 87.9 86.5 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 55.3 55.9 46.5 51.7 47.2 ---
Tests below standard (%) 33.7 33.4 30.6 23.8 24.8 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math F 0.2 E E F 0.1 M 0.1 ---
Gender gap OSSLT F 12.3 F 7.3 F 6.6 F 9.8 F 8.0 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 0.8 1.4 1.0 0.3 1.5 ---
Overall rating out of 10 4.7 5.8 5.6 6.4 5.9

Bishop Paul Francis Reding [Catholic] Milton OSSLT count: 393
ESL (%): 3.1
Special needs (%): 15.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,400: 0.7
Rank: 225/727 326/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.5 2.4 2.7 2.8 3.0
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.1 1.7 2.0 2.3 2.3 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE 91.7 84.2 88.0 88.8 88.4 ---
OSSLT passed (%)-PE 81.1 47.4 65.2 73.3 54.5 ---
Tests below standard (%) 25.2 36.8 26.2 21.4 20.0 ---
Gender gap (level)-Math E E M 0.1 M 0.1 M 0.2
Gender gap OSSLT F 10.2 F 12.8 F 9.3 M 0.6 F 8.9 ---
Gr 9 tests not written (%) 0.0 0.7 6.0 4.2 1.1 ---
Overall rating out of 10 6.8 5.1 6.6 7.1 7.0 ---

MISSISSAUGA

Applewood Heights [Public] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 301			
ESL (%):	8.6		Special needs (%):	15.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,500: 0.4			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 392/727	379/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	1.5	2.1	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.9	85.4	82.2	87.8	85.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	58.2	51.4	67.3	58.5	44.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	28.7	34.3	25.7	23.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 3.2	F 8.6	F 6.3	F 16.3	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	3.2	1.6	2.1	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.6	5.2	6.5	6.1	—

Ascension of Our Lord [Catholic] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 244			
ESL (%):	19.7		Special needs (%):	18.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,100: 0.1			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 530/727	636/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	66.4	65.9	72.7	78.1	77.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	33.3	34.1	52.4	42.4	60.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	55.4	53.1	46.3	36.8	31.4	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 14.1	F 7.7	F 0.8	F 3.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	4.7	3.6	0.6	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	2.7	2.8	3.5	4.6	5.2	▲

Cawthra Park [Public] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 340			
ESL (%):	0.0		Special needs (%):	8.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,200: 1.4			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 63/727	51/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	2.0	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.9	95.7	96.7	96.0	95.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	78.3	71.4	94.1	75.0	70.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.3	16.5	12.5	13.9	12.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 5.1	F 4.6	F 0.7	F 3.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.2	2.1	0.3	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	7.8	8.4	7.7	7.9	—

Clarkson [Public] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 310			
ESL (%):	13.5		Special needs (%):	16.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,800: -0.1			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 371/727	418/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	2.2	2.2	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.7	83.6	79.8	87.1	83.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.3	52.2	47.2	47.7	59.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.7	30.9	32.4	27.3	23.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 11.1	F 2.4	F 4.5	F 8.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	4.7	2.9	1.4	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	6.2	6.1	6.2	6.2	▲

Erindale [Public] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 375			
ESL (%):	12.8		Special needs (%):	18.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,600: -0.5			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 309/727	223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	86.0	88.1	89.3	86.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.1	68.9	69.1	66.7	42.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.4	25.4	22.6	22.3	24.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.9	F 7.3	F 5.4	F 3.8	F 5.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.4	0.9	1.3	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	6.9	7.2	6.8	6.5	—

Father Michael Goetz [Catholic] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 602			
ESL (%):	20.9		Special needs (%):	16.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,000: 1.2			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 309/727	326/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.8	84.3	84.3	84.4	84.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.0	59.1	63.8	76.1	51.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.0	28.8	28.7	26.5	24.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.8	F 7.9	F 3.3	F 7.8	F 7.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.7	2.8	4.6	3.2	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.5	—

Glenforest [Public] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 439			
ESL (%):	15.7		Special needs (%):	8.7		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,300: 2.0			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 105/727	39/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.7	2.2	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.8	92.0	92.4	88.5	91.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.8	66.7	57.9	62.0	56.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	18.2	13.1	18.8	18.1	17.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 0.9	F 7.4	F 0.4	F 5.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.2	1.5	0.5	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	9.3	8.0	7.6	7.6	—

Gordon Graydon Memorial [Public] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 374			
ESL (%):	0.0		Special needs (%):	38.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,100: 1.9			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 49/727	105/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.9	78.6	96.8	89.4	95.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	32.1	30.0	31.9	25.0	20.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.2	21.4	16.5	15.7	12.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 6.7	F 3.7	F 10.9	M 0.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.9	2.0	1.2	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.4	8.4	7.6	8.0	▲

Iona [Catholic] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 339			
ESL (%):	11.2		Special needs (%):	14.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,800: 0.0			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 225/727	246/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.6	85.0	87.4	86.7	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.5	45.0	n/a	66.7	58.3	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	21.3	27.9	21.6	23.7	17.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 3.9	F 5.2	F 9.7	F 6.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	3.1	1.7	1.8	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.6	7.0	6.5	7.0	—

John Cabot [Catholic] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 283			
ESL (%):	13.4		Special needs (%):	18.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,300: 0.9			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 294/727	301/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.1	86.4	86.6	89.8	82.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.9	45.7	57.9	63.9	45.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	30.0	23.7	27.3	22.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.2	F 9.4	F 8.0	F 5.9	F 7.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	3.3	0.4	1.2	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.0	6.9	6.4	6.6	—

John Fraser [Public] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 368			
ESL (%):	0.0		Special needs (%):	9.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,500: 1.6			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 49/727	22/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.2	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.6	3.2	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.3	92.0	90.8	95.8	90.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.2	79.6	71.1	64.1	62.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.5	14.5	9.8	13.8	13.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.5	F 2.0	F 3.3	F 7.2	F 6.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	8.7	9.2	8.4	8.0	—

Lincoln M Alexander [Public] Mississauga			OSSLT count: 598			
ESL (%):	14.2		Special needs (%):	23.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,700: -1.2			2009-10	Last 5 Years		
			Rank: 655/727	606/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.8	69.3	64.6	64.8	63.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.3	43.5	35.4	36.9		

Port Credit [Public] Mississauga OSSLT count: 380

ESL (%): 14.2 Special needs (%): 17.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,300: 1.6 Rank: 2009-10 145/727 Last 5 Years 130/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.8	89.5	88.8	88.2	91.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	51.1	54.1	64.3	55.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.1	22.9	23.2	18.6	15.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.3	F 5.0	F 4.7	F 5.0	F 1.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	0.4	0.4	1.0	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.3	7.3	7.4	7.8	—

St Marcellinus [Catholic] Mississauga OSSLT count: 371

ESL (%): 4.6 Special needs (%): 14.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,400: 0.9 Rank: 2009-10 161/727 Last 5 Years 60/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.5	88.7	88.0	88.3	88.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.7	61.1	70.7	72.2	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	14.6	20.9	18.4	15.8	17.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	F 11.9	F 2.5	F 8.4	F 3.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.3	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	9.0	7.7	8.3	7.8	7.3	—

The Woodlands [Public] Mississauga OSSLT count: 400

ESL (%): 15.0 Special needs (%): 6.8
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,700: 1.1 Rank: 2009-10 197/727 Last 5 Years 105/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	3.2	3.0	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.3	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.0	88.5	87.1	92.3	85.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.2	44.3	57.5	71.4	42.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.2	21.2	21.8	18.0	18.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	M 4.5	F 2.0	M 0.1	F 2.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.8	0.3	1.3	4.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.7	8.0	7.9	7.1	—

Rick Hansen [Public] Mississauga OSSLT count: 567

ESL (%): 7.2 Special needs (%): 8.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,500: 1.4 Rank: 2009-10 145/727 Last 5 Years 148/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.4	89.9	86.9	90.8	88.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.6	62.7	59.5	66.3	67.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.6	20.8	21.1	19.4	17.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 1.7	F 5.5	F 5.8	F 0.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	2.0	1.1	1.3	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.6	7.4	7.1	7.4	—

St Martin [Catholic] Mississauga OSSLT count: 267

ESL (%): 24.0 Special needs (%): 16.9
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,500: 1.4 Rank: 2009-10 197/727 Last 5 Years 223/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	3.1	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.9	79.1	84.9	92.5	85.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.3	36.5	58.6	63.6	46.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.9	31.6	22.9	19.8	21.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.7	F 19.1	F 17.2	M 4.8	M 4.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.5	0.9	1.9	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	5.9	7.3	7.4	7.1	—

Thomas L. Kennedy [Public] Mississauga OSSLT count: 343

ESL (%): 31.8 Special needs (%): 9.9
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,400: -0.8 Rank: 2009-10 618/727 Last 5 Years 596/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	73.4	77.0	80.7	83.4	71.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.2	43.8	39.7	56.6	36.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.8	41.3	42.1	36.1	40.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.4	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	F 16.2	F 13.6	F 6.2	F 9.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.6	3.9	6.5	3.3	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	4.5	4.5	5.4	4.3	—

Sainte-Famille [Catholic] Mississauga OSSLT count: 188

ESL (%): 8.0 Special needs (%): 16.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,000: 0.3 Rank: 2009-10 374/727 Last 5 Years 397/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	1.0	1.8	2.0	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.2	83.2	84.9	86.0	86.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.8	38.9	61.3	61.1	45.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.4	34.6	32.6	27.3	25.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.0	F 13.8	F 6.8	F 10.6	F 6.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	0.7	3.2	0.6	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	4.8	6.4	6.5	6.8	—

St Paul [Catholic] Mississauga OSSLT count: 252

ESL (%): 13.1 Special needs (%): 20.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,000: -0.9 Rank: 2009-10 530/727 Last 5 Years 379/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.7	82.4	84.6	83.2	75.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.3	70.0	54.1	59.0	44.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.4	27.7	29.0	33.1	28.1	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.4	F 5.8	F 9.5	F 2.7	F 14.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.5	1.9	1.7	6.5	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.9	6.4	5.6	5.2	▼

PEEL AREA

Bramalea [Public] Brampton OSSLT count: 685

ESL (%): 8.8 Special needs (%): 9.5
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: -0.2 Rank: 2009-10 530/727 Last 5 Years 559/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.5	80.1	72.5	70.9	71.8	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.3	43.7	42.3	44.8	42.5	▼
Tests below standard (%)	38.9	37.7	39.2	38.2	33.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 7.4	F 2.3	F 14.3	F 5.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.7	10.4	4.4	2.5	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	5.2	5.0	4.7	5.2	—

St Aloysius Gonzaga [Catholic] Mississauga OSSLT count: 470

ESL (%): 7.4 Special needs (%): 12.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,100: 1.7 Rank: 2009-10 347/727 Last 5 Years 167/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.6	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.5	94.3	94.0	94.6	94.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	82.6	72.7	64.7	78.0	59.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	12.2	15.3	14.2	9.8	11.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 7.3	M 1.8	F 1.3	F 5.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.9	1.0	▼
Overall rating out of 10	9.0	8.4	8.5	8.8	8.2	—

St. Joan of Arc [Catholic] Mississauga OSSLT count: 408

ESL (%): 11.8 Special needs (%): 9.8
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,200: 1.0 Rank: 2009-10 197/727 Last 5 Years 130/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	1.9	2.4	2.1	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.9	86.9	86.1	89.2	89.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	76.2	75.5	59.4	63.9	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	14.3	27.4	19.8	21.1	20.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	E	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	M 0.6	F 3.0	F 0.8	F 2.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	6.9	7.9	7.0	7.1	—

Brampton Centennial [Public] Brampton OSSLT count: 591

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 15.4
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,000: 0.4 Rank: 2009-10 371/727 Last 5 Years 397/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.7	79.4	82.4	80.6	81.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	71.2	50.6	58.0	56.5	59.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.7	31.1	31.3	30.1	27.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.3	F 3.0	F 3.5	F 10.4	F 5.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	5.0	2.8	1.8	1.8	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.3	6.3	5.8	6.2	—

St Francis Xavier [Catholic] Mississauga OSSLT count: 666

ESL (%): 12.5 Special needs (%): 14.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: 1.3 Rank: 2009-10 161/727 Last 5 Years 177/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.3	88.5	90.4	89.5	88.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.0	59.0	67.9	60.2	42.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.7	21.0	21.6	20.7	16.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 4.5	F 0.9	F 1.3	F 4.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	1.9	1.3	1.1	0.3	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.6	7.4	7.1	7.3	—

Stephen Lewis [Public] Mississauga OSSLT count: 427

ESL (%): 7.3 Special needs (%): 7.5
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,700: 1.1 Rank: 2009-10 197/727 Last 5 Years n/a

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	89.4	88.0	89.9	86.4	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	55.8	70.1	73.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	26.5	23.6	20.1	18.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 5.8	E	F 6.8	F 6.4	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.8	1.1	0.3	0.6	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	6.8	7.0	7.0	7.1	n/a

Cardinal Leger [Catholic] Brampton OSSLT count: 427

ESL (%): 8.0 Special needs (%): 21.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: 0.4 Rank: 2009-10 371/727 Last 5 Years 426/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.8	78.3	77.2	83.9	82.1</	

Chinguacousy [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 377**

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 15.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,300: -1.4 Rank: 623/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 570/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	85.4	84.0	84.0	80.4	78.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	54.5	59.5	60.6	65.2	49.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	40.3	36.5	32.4	34.2	38.6	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.1	F 7.0	F 8.1	F 10.5	F 11.0	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	4.7	2.8	4.9	3.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	5.3	5.5	4.6	4.2	—

Fletcher's Meadow [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 741**

ESL (%): 2.7 Special needs (%): 12.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,800: -0.3 Rank: 478/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 546/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	78.8	77.2	79.2	77.0	78.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	55.2	38.9	53.2	62.1	50.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	41.9	37.9	35.3	29.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 5.2	F 11.8	F 7.2	F 9.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	1.4	5.3	0.5	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	4.8	5.0	5.2	5.5	—

Harold M. Brathwaite [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 540**

ESL (%): 13.0 Special needs (%): 11.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,300: -0.5 Rank: 513/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 463/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	81.1	82.4	75.8	80.7	79.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	48.6	43.3	49.1	49.5	46.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	36.0	38.5	38.5	35.3	32.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.4	F 1.9	F 9.9	F 7.4	F 6.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.5	0.8	0.6	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.2	4.7	5.0	5.3	—

Heart Lake [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 491**

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 15.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,100: -1.1 Rank: 557/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 517/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	85.0	87.9	84.9	84.2	82.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	65.7	69.4	37.5	44.6	51.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.1	31.0	30.3	33.8	33.0	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 6.4	F 10.6	F 7.4	F 8.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.0	2.6	2.9	2.6	▼
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.3	5.9	5.2	5.0	▼

Holy Name of Mary [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 227**

ESL (%): 17.6 Special needs (%): 32.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,200: 1.5 Rank: 105/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 517/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.5	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.6	1.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	99.1	99.5	100.0	99.4	93.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	7.8	14.4	10.3	26.1	14.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	1.7	0.9	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.4	8.5	8.8	6.0	7.6	—

Jeunes sans frontières [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 42**

ESL (%): 7.1 Special needs (%): 9.5
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: 1.5 Rank: 161/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 105/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.7	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	87.5	87.9	93.1	82.5	81.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.5	8.8	15.3	30.4	15.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	M 2.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	9.3	8.8	5.9	7.3	—

Mayfield [Public] Caledon **OSSLT count: 437**

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 10.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,500: 1.4 Rank: 497/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 307/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	95.6	95.4	96.3	95.9	95.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	80.0	88.0	57.7	76.9	88.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	14.0	13.8	15.3	11.9	12.9	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.6	F 4.7	F 4.0	F 4.0	F 8.8	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	8.6	8.3	8.1	8.0	▼

North Park [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 363**

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 10.5
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,000: 1.0 Rank: 253/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 343/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	83.8	87.1	89.4	86.1	87.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	55.0	54.0	53.2	59.2	51.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.3	29.6	28.0	24.4	20.8	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3	M 3.3	F 4.2	F 3.4	F 5.4	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	3.1	5.0	0.9	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.5	5.9	6.3	6.9	—

North Peel [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 338**

ESL (%): 0.3 Special needs (%): 96.4
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: -5.8 Rank: 717/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 691/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.9	1.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	19.8	24.5	21.2	n/a	3.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	17.8	18.5	22.5	10.8	22.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	82.0	77.0	79.6	85.9	92.9	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.2	F 6.2	M 1.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	7.7	5.3	3.8	3.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—

Notre Dame [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 466**

ESL (%): 3.6 Special needs (%): 15.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,500: -0.3 Rank: 464/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 532/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.2	2.6	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.7	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	83.3	81.1	81.5	85.2	82.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	54.8	51.6	49.3	52.2	46.8	▼
Tests below standard (%)	35.9	40.5	37.2	32.5	31.5	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.9	F 4.0	F 5.9	F 2.7	M 4.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	4.1	1.0	3.0	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.6	5.1	5.6	5.6	—

Robert F Hall [Catholic] Caledon East **OSSLT count: 597**

ESL (%): 2.5 Special needs (%): 21.4
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,500: -0.5 Rank: 392/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 397/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	89.4	82.5	80.5	84.2	84.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	63.5	42.9	54.9	48.2	59.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.9	34.1	32.0	27.7	26.1	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 7.0	F 2.3	F 4.7	F 0.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.7	1.6	4.0	2.9	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	5.4	5.7	5.8	6.1	—

Sandalwood Heights [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 727**

ESL (%): 3.6 Special needs (%): 10.7
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,900: -0.1 Rank: 464/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 n/a

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.8	2.7	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.1	2.2	2.1	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	82.7	82.8	79.1	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	46.8	52.9	52.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	27.9	27.5	26.6	n/a
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 10.6	F 8.4	F 6.1	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.6	0.8	1.6	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	6.3	5.9	5.6	n/a

St Edmund Campion [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 635**

ESL (%): 7.9 Special needs (%): 18.4
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,000: 0.8 Rank: 265/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 479/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	77.7	77.6	81.1	88.1	84.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	48.5	48.5	46.1	47.3	43.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	36.6	37.7	32.8	21.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 9.4	F 11.5	F 2.0	F 2.5	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.3	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.1	4.7	5.5	6.8	—

St Augustine [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 488**

ESL (%): 10.0 Special needs (%): 16.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,800: -0.6 Rank: 530/727 2009-10 Last 5 Years
 450/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008
----------------------	------	------	------

TORONTO

AincoCourt [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 535				
ESL (%): 14.4			Special needs (%): 19.7			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,500: 2.6		Rank:	632/727		343/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	93.5	91.2	88.2	89.7	90.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	71.4	47.1	48.8	53.4	42.7	▼
Tests below standard (%)	15.8	18.8	19.0	16.4	13.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.7	M 1.2	F 1.9	F 3.0	F 3.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	2.4	1.4	1.7	2.9	▼
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	8.4	8.3	7.9	7.9	▼

Albert Campbell [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 696				
ESL (%): 32.2			Special needs (%): 19.1			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,100: 0.6		Rank:	432/727		343/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	90.5	87.3	82.3	87.0	74.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	55.2	48.1	36.2	42.6	38.0	▼
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	27.2	33.1	28.6	28.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.2	F 3.2	M 1.4	M 1.1	F 0.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	1.3	1.4	0.7	0.5	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.2	5.9	6.4	5.8	—

Archbishop Romero [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 302				
ESL (%): 27.8			Special needs (%): 35.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,700: -0.5		Rank:	605/727		623/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	57.6	64.7	58.9	70.9	61.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	43.8	43.1	39.2	48.8	51.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	43.9	49.9	49.0	41.0	42.7	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.7	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.2	F 19.1	M 2.4	F 5.1	F 4.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	2.0	5.0	3.9	3.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.5	▲

Bendale [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 412				
ESL (%): 0.2			Special needs (%): 57.5			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,600: -5.0		Rank:	717/727		691/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.0	1.3	0.4	0.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	22.9	24.7	18.1	21.5	24.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	17.4	27.2	23.0	17.7	19.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	78.4	76.6	81.4	86.2	82.7	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.6	M 4.7	M 2.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	14.1	23.5	23.8	42.3	32.6	▼
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—

Birchmount Park [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 360				
ESL (%): 9.4			Special needs (%): 23.9			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,400: -0.9		Rank:	587/727		578/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.0	2.5	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.8	1.5	2.2	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	84.4	80.3	75.9	76.8	77.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	67.5	47.7	55.6	56.9	50.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.3	44.8	38.5	36.4	35.9	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 1.4	F 3.9	F 8.6	F 4.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.4	5.2	2.6	4.7	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.7	—

Bishop Allen [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 421				
ESL (%): 4.8			Special needs (%): 16.9			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$95,200: 0.3		Rank:	225/727		105/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.0	1.8	1.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	92.6	92.9	93.3	94.9	90.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	87.0	37.0	69.2	73.1	72.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.5	17.7	18.7	16.8	17.1	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.9	F 1.2	F 5.4	M 2.4	F 4.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.9	2.6	0.8	0.3	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.7	7.7	7.6	7.0	—

Bishop Marocco/Thomas Merton [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 326				
ESL (%): 19.9			Special needs (%): 27.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$44,300: -1.9		Rank:	690/727		662/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.9	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	60.8	66.7	55.6	81.5	68.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	41.0	42.3	39.5	80.0	51.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	53.9	53.1	56.9	37.0	50.5	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.6	M 0.1	F 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.2	F 1.5	M 0.5	M 1.7	F 9.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	18.8	9.1	9.0	8.3	5.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.5	3.0	2.1	5.4	3.1	—

Blessed Mother Teresa [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 190				
ESL (%): 26.8			Special needs (%): 22.1			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,400: -0.4		Rank:	478/727		546/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	55.8	63.7	64.2	76.0	68.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	41.5	36.5	54.3	69.1	55.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	46.2	43.5	36.9	28.9	34.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.7	F 6.6	F 10.6	M 0.5	F 29.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	4.4	5.4	6.4	4.8	—

Bloor [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 153				
ESL (%): 23.5			Special needs (%): 26.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,300: 0.7		Rank:	445/727		596/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.4	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	1.1	1.7	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	73.6	76.3	78.8	77.9	81.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	51.8	56.6	47.4	47.1	64.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	41.1	38.0	41.5	41.2	29.0	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	F 0.4	M 0.4	F 0.1	M 0.5	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.8	F 6.7	M 10.5	M 1.9	F 13.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.0	4.6	7.4	6.2	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	5.3	3.5	4.1	5.7	—

Brebeuf [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 313				
ESL (%): 11.5			Special needs (%): 16.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,600: -0.5		Rank:	497/727		194/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	87.0	90.7	89.0	92.1	75.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	68.8	46.9	52.9	74.3	34.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.1	20.0	22.1	20.5	26.3	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	3.8	4.5	2.5	2.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.7	7.5	7.3	5.4	—

C.W. Jefferys [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 340				
ESL (%): 12.1			Special needs (%): 20.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,700: -2.8		Rank:	705/727		682/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	64.7	65.5	64.5	64.9	68.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	41.8	24.7	38.7	28.4	35.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	53.5	60.5	57.3	54.7	51.0	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.8	M 0.7	F 9.6	F 12.1	F 13.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	14.5	10.8	6.9	2.9	7.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.6	1.5	1.2	1.6	2.1	—

Cardinal Carter Academy for the Arts [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 180				
ESL (%): 3.3			Special needs (%): 6.1			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,200: 2.4		Rank:	12/727		6/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.8	2.4	2.7	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	98.8	97.8	100.0	99.4	98.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	10.0	5.3	7.5	8.7	4.0	▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.4	F 1.9	E	M 0.8	F 1.6	

Danforth [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 441	
ESL (%): 4.1	Special needs (%): 38.3					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,500: -1.2	Rank: 618/727					665/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.6	2.7	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.0	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.7	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	65.7	72.4	70.2	68.0	74.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	34.7	42.1	40.2	39.4	45.3	—	
Tests below standard (%)	59.3	53.5	47.3	45.7	39.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.2	F 7.9	M 5.4	M 4.4	F 9.8	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	24.1	31.8	25.1	17.5	13.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10	2.1	2.3	3.3	3.6	4.3	▲	

Dante Alighieri [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 434	
ESL (%): 6.9	Special needs (%): 34.6					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,500: -0.7	Rank: 605/727					546/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.9	72.2	72.7	73.0	76.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.5	42.0	37.2	44.6	37.5	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	34.9	36.1	34.9	39.4	38.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	▲	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.9	F 6.3	F 16.1	F 10.3	F 19.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	1.7	0.0	1.3	1.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.4	5.2	4.9	4.5	▼	

David and Mary Thomson [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 441	
ESL (%): 21.3	Special needs (%): 8.4					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$40,200: -1.2	Rank: 662/727					629/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.5	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.0	1.7	1.9	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	73.0	72.4	74.8	74.5	69.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.4	51.1	38.3	47.9	35.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	47.9	45.9	50.6	47.5	44.4	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.2	F 1.3	F 4.8	F 4.2	F 9.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	6.7	6.9	5.8	6.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	4.6	3.3	4.1	3.7	—	

Delphi Secondary Alternative [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 34	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 0.0					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,300: 2.3	Rank: 49/727					n/a	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	n/a	2.5	2.8	2.8	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	100.0	100.0	93.8	100.0	100.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	16.3	0.0	18.9	17.0	8.8	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	16.1	n/a	8.7	3.0	14.8	n/a	
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	n/a	6.4	7.2	8.0	n/a	

Don Bosco [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 295	
ESL (%): 9.5	Special needs (%): 29.2					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,300: -2.3	Rank: 695/727					666/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	1.8	2.3	2.8	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	1.8	2.3	1.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.0	70.2	62.6	54.8	62.3	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	29.0	35.5	32.4	39.5	38.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	46.6	52.3	52.3	46.2	52.0	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.3	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.3	F 15.1	F 17.1	F 27.6	F 14.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.7	4.4	9.0	3.9	9.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.6	2.8	2.4	3.2	2.9	—	

Don Mills [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 356	
ESL (%): 22.2	Special needs (%): 8.7					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,800: 2.3	Rank: 63/727					90/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.3	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.4	90.8	91.6	89.8	88.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.5	59.2	60.7	62.7	62.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	18.6	22.4	20.7	22.2	16.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.9	F 5.0	F 7.0	F 10.4	M 0.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	0.0	1.3	1.6	3.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.9	7.7	6.8	7.9	—	

Downsview [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 263	
ESL (%): 19.0	Special needs (%): 27.4					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,500: -4.4	Rank: 715/727					687/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.6	1.3	1.9	2.3	1.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	46.8	46.1	53.9	49.0	50.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	21.6	24.1	28.8	42.4	26.9	—	
Tests below standard (%)	73.2	73.6	67.0	62.1	64.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.3	E	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 27.1	F 1.8	M 10.2	F 2.2	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	15.8	10.2	9.0	7.5	4.1	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.1	0.1	1.7	0.4	—	

Dr Norman Bethune [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 439	
ESL (%): 32.6	Special needs (%): 11.8					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,300: 1.5	Rank: 265/727					165/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.1	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.9	2.6	2.1	2.6	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.4	78.7	83.0	90.6	84.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	58.1	33.3	29.9	35.2	27.8	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	22.3	24.8	25.1	17.8	21.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 4.6	F 2.9	F 6.6	F 11.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.7	1.2	0.9	1.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.4	7.4	7.5	6.8	—	

Earl Haig [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 659	
ESL (%): 27.5	Special needs (%): 9.3					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,800: 1.5	Rank: 126/727					39/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	1.8	2.2	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.7	89.1	92.1	92.2	86.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	77.1	56.5	57.6	60.5	48.9	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	16.1	13.6	13.0	15.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	F 9.3	F 2.7	F 1.0	F 6.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.2	8.8	8.4	7.5	—	

East York [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 538	
ESL (%): 11.5	Special needs (%): 16.5					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: -1.6	Rank: 655/727					640/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.5	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.5	2.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.3	75.0	70.6	73.2	70.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	52.1	41.1	42.6	32.7	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	39.3	44.8	51.0	44.4	42.7	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.2	F 5.0	F 0.9	F 1.4	F 5.9	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.2	7.5	8.6	9.7	8.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	4.1	2.5	3.6	3.8	—	

Eastern Commerce [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 205	
ESL (%): 20.5	Special needs (%): 35.6					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$35,900: -4.6	Rank: 716/727					686/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.6	1.2	2.0	2.0	1.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	53.1	59.7	51.4	52.6	55.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.1	20.2	32.1	29.8	23.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	62.7	73.4	61.6	60.9	68.7	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.1	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 25.5	F 31.8	F 19.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	16.8	13.3	18.7	31.5	19.6	▼	
Overall rating out of 10	1.2	0.0	1.0	0.6	0.2	—	

Emery [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 432	
ESL (%): 13.7	Special needs (%): 20.4					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$35,100: -2.1	Rank: 701/727					656/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.2	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	65.9	71.4	73.0	75.4	68.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.4	38.6	38.6	42.5	38.4	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	51.3	43.9	50.8	49.2	50.2	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.5	M 0.7	F 4.5	M 4.3	F 9.9	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.8	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.2	4.2	3.1	3.2	2.6	—	

Étienne-Brûlé [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 98	
ESL (%): 3.1	Special needs (%): 10.2					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,200: 1.6	Rank: 330/727					130/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.8	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	1.3	2.3	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.1	83.5	81.3	89.2	90.1	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.7	n/a	93.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	19.8	19.1	26.2	21.5	23.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.3	F 0.3	F 0.2	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.6	F 8.6	F 25.0	M 12.2	M 1.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.8	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.0	6.7	7.2	7.5	—	

Etobicoke [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 393	
ESL (%): 14.5	Special needs (%): 21.6					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$117,700: -1.1	Rank: 330/727					397/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.1	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.3	86.2	84.5	83.4	84.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.1	56.9	60.0	62.7	46.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	29.6	31.0	28.3	25.4	24.7	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 2.0	F 8.2	F 3.1	F 12.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	3.8	3.2	4.3	6.5	▼	
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.3	6.4	▲	

Etobicoke School of the Arts [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 244	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 9.4					2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$116,300: 1.2	Rank: 166/727					22/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.8	2.4	2.8	2.6	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.8	98.1	95.6	98.6	99.6	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	12.7	13.3	12.2	7.0	7.3	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3						

Francis Libermann [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 287		
ESL (%): 12.5			Special needs (%): 17.8			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 694/727			450/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,800: 1.3								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	1.9	1.9	2.2	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	79.7	79.8	85.3	83.0	88.5	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	56.4	40.4	46.7	50.9	46.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	29.4	40.0	32.2	33.7	24.4	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	M 0.4	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 13.1	F 1.3	F 5.7	F 8.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	1.7	1.8	1.0	0.9	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	4.8	5.5	5.5	6.5	—		

George Harvey [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 253		
ESL (%): 24.5			Special needs (%): 22.5			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 694/727			676/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,500: -2.0								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.4	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.6	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	60.8	57.5	64.1	63.3	70.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	38.8	46.9	45.8	44.1	63.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	54.3	53.2	53.8	60.2	52.6	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.7	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.6	M 0.5	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.4	M 2.6	M 1.8	M 9.9	M 4.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	35.2	56.4	32.3	5.4	6.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	1.8	3.0	2.8	2.0	3.0	—		

George S Henry [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 259		
ESL (%): 33.6			Special needs (%): 12.4			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 694/727			596/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,100: 0.1								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.4	2.0	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	74.9	74.7	71.4	75.1	75.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	63.1	50.6	54.5	58.9	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	36.5	39.0	40.5	45.9	35.4	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.4	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.4	F 1.8	F 5.4	M 0.9	F 10.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	12.5	9.0	10.4	10.1	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	5.1	4.1	3.8	5.1	—		

Georges Vanier [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 476		
ESL (%): 43.5			Special needs (%): 15.8			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 612/727			n/a		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,600: -0.8								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.9	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77.2	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	42.2	n/a		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.6	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.4	n/a		

Harbord [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 275		
ESL (%): 17.1			Special needs (%): 12.0			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 351/727			223/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,900: 1.2								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	90.8	91.5	92.9	93.9	89.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	60.3	57.1	60.0	62.5	39.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	24.6	18.1	18.2	21.5	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.8	F 2.2	F 3.0	M 1.2	F 6.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.2	5.7	4.6	4.7	4.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.9	7.6	7.2	6.3	—		

Humberside [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 300		
ESL (%): 0.3			Special needs (%): 11.0			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 63/727			60/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$121,300: 0.3								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.9	1.5	2.1	2.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	97.9	97.8	96.4	97.5	94.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	85.0	87.5	n/a	90.0	72.2	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	14.7	10.8	11.5	11.9	▲		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.0	F 1.6	F 2.4	F 2.3	F 0.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.3	3.1	4.4	1.4	1.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.3	8.6	8.2	7.9	—		

James Cardinal McGuigan [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 254		
ESL (%): 44.9			Special needs (%): 15.4			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 578/727			656/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,600: -0.1								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.7	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	1.1	1.3	2.6	2.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	58.9	55.3	66.7	73.6	66.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	40.8	38.6	45.7	63.3	45.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	58.9	58.4	55.0	32.7	38.9	▲		
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.7	F 7.4	F 18.7	F 4.7	F 3.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.2	13.4	0.0	1.5	1.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	1.9	1.7	2.2	5.9	4.8	▲		

Jarvis [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 352		
ESL (%): 29.0			Special needs (%): 10.5			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 587/727			493/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$31,300: 0.1								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	2.1	2.2	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	86.2	87.2	85.0	86.2	80.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	52.6	53.5	58.1	62.5	54.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.8	31.2	28.4	28.5	35.0	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.8	F 3.9	M 9.3	F 2.4	M 1.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	8.1	1.5	2.4	0.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.8	6.0	5.9	4.7	—		

Jean Vanier [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 394		
ESL (%): 36.8			Special needs (%): 18.5			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 126/727			326/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,600: 2.5								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	70.8	81.8	79.7	86.6	85.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	64.7	59.7	51.4	62.9	68.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	35.9	30.7	26.3	19.6	▲		
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.3	F 5.3	F 12.6	F 7.2	M 2.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	2.4	1.5	1.5	1.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.9	6.7	7.1	7.5	▲		

Kipling [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 283		
ESL (%): 23.0			Special needs (%): 18.4			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 612/727			650/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$42,900: -0.6								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.6	2.1	2.1	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	76.6	81.3	68.3	63.9	71.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	54.5	48.1	40.0	39.7	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	47.0	46.0	53.6	58.5	40.9	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.3	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.3	F 6.0	F 1.2	F 1.3	F 5.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.2	8.4	7.4	7.7	4.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	4.7	2.7	1.8	4.4	—		

Lakeshore [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 295		
ESL (%): 13.6			Special needs (%): 21.7			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 655/727			653/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,100: -1.7								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.4	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	68.3	69.6	64.1	69.5	75.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	37.0	40.8	41.0	48.1	42.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	49.1	49.7	55.0	47.9	45.1	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.4	F 0.1	E	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 8.1	F 16.2	F 13.4	F 16.6	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.4	7.8	7.9	5.5	2.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.3	3.7	2.3	3.7	3.8	—		

L'Amoreaux [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 374		
ESL (%): 43.0			Special needs (%): 10.7			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based			Rank: 432/727			426/693		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,100: 0.8								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2						

Malvern [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 255				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$129,500: 0.2		Rank: 497/727		105/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.7	2.1	2.4	2.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.7	95.6	95.8	94.5	96.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	76.5	n/a	88.9	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.6	20.1	13.6	11.3	10.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.8	F 5.0	F 4.8	F 7.2	F 4.4	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.1	2.4	0.4	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.1	8.3	8.2	8.1	▲

Marc Garneau [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 738				
ESL (%): 34.6		Special needs (%): 10.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$30,700: 0.8		Rank: 497/727		493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.6	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.6	85.8	77.9	73.4	79.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.3	39.6	39.3	44.8	44.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.6	38.9	39.2	38.9	34.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.2	F 7.5	F 8.7	M 0.5	F 7.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	19.3	17.9	5.9	5.2	3.1	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	5.3	5.1	4.9	5.4	—

Marshall McLuhan [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 318				
ESL (%): 11.0		Special needs (%): 17.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,600: -0.3		Rank: 464/727		194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.2	88.2	82.0	86.7	88.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.2	40.0	33.3	58.7	54.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.5	32.4	34.4	24.7	30.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.1	F 4.4	F 6.5	F 1.7	M 4.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	1.4	1.9	1.5	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	6.2	5.6	6.6	5.6	—

Martingrove [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 340				
ESL (%): 6.5		Special needs (%): 10.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,800: 0.0		Rank: 330/727		194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.1	1.8	2.0	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.9	91.6	92.0	93.5	89.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.8	65.9	68.6	72.4	66.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.2	24.6	20.7	18.4	22.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.7	F 4.7	F 2.0	M 0.3	F 7.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	2.9	2.7	1.0	2.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.1	7.4	7.6	6.4	—

Mary Ward [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 313				
ESL (%): 5.8		Special needs (%): 8.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,700: 2.8		Rank: 41/727		105/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.4	2.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.1	92.7	93.0	93.6	93.1	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	45.8	83.3	87.1	70.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.6	23.0	20.8	11.0	11.5	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 5.6	F 3.1	M 0.1	M 2.6	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.4	4.0	0.4	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.5	7.3	8.4	8.1	▲

Michael Power/St Joseph [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 581				
ESL (%): 8.4		Special needs (%): 14.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,700: 1.2		Rank: 49/727		60/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.8	91.6	90.8	92.1	92.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.2	86.1	72.9	50.0	61.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	13.8	14.8	14.8	13.4	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 7.1	F 5.2	F 4.3	F 0.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.4	2.3	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	8.3	8.5	7.8	8.0	—

Monarch Park [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 337				
ESL (%): 38.9		Special needs (%): 14.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,000: -0.1		Rank: 497/727		666/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.6	2.4	2.9	3.2	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	0.9	1.6	1.7	2.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	37.9	43.5	55.8	71.8	84.1	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	33.8	23.6	36.8	34.5	25.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	69.3	68.3	48.5	36.9	34.6	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 11.4	F 10.9	F 10.5	F 14.6	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	36.4	21.4	9.2	8.1	8.6	▲
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	1.1	3.7	5.0	5.4	▲

Monsieur-de-Charbonnel [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 67				
ESL (%): 20.9		Special needs (%): 9.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,300: 1.8		Rank: 181/727		281/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.2	81.3	88.7	84.4	88.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	38.9	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	34.5	27.1	14.4	24.8	19.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.8	M 5.7	F 8.8	F 27.1	M 3.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	6.8	8.6	5.9	7.2	—

Monsignor Percy Johnson [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 317				
ESL (%): 8.5		Special needs (%): 24.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,400: -1.1		Rank: 648/727		653/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.5	1.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	67.3	58.0	59.7	78.5	79.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.2	26.0	25.0	50.0	40.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	47.6	57.6	53.5	42.6	42.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.4	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.9	F 6.6	F 7.1	E	F 4.2	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.6	5.7	3.3	3.3	3.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	1.9	3.1	4.6	3.9	—

Neil McNeil [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 259				
ESL (%): 11.6		Special needs (%): 20.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,700: 2.5		Rank: 26/727		81/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.7	2.5	3.0	3.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.4	84.6	86.1	88.0	87.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	86.7	54.3	78.3	60.0	75.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.8	29.6	17.2	13.5	11.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	3.2	0.5	0.9	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.5	8.6	8.2	8.3	—

Notre Dame [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 221				
ESL (%): 5.0		Special needs (%): 21.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,200: 2.8		Rank: 26/727		39/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.3	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.1	96.4	94.3	89.4	97.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.5	47.4	n/a	63.2	45.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	13.6	19.2	16.6	20.4	13.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.3	1.2	0.6	0.5	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	8.2	8.3	7.1	8.3	—

Newtonbrook [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 442				
ESL (%): 40.7		Special needs (%): 10.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,800: 0.1		Rank: 513/727		418/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.4	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.9	84.4	84.8	82.5	75.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.7	59.2	50.0	55.4	54.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.6	30.8	32.1	27.8	32.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.1	F 1.9	F 9.6	F 10.0	F 12.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	3.6	4.5	5.4	3.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.0	5.5	6.3	5.3	—

North Albion [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 504				
ESL (%): 19.0		Special needs (%): 25.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$38,000: -1.3		Rank: 672/727		666/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.3	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	59.5	66.5	55.9	66.9	64.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.5	43.2	37.4	38.2	31.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	52.9	49.8	52.8	50.4	46.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.4	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.9	F 8.5	F 12.5	F 4.9	F 4.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.0	4.9	6.2	8.1	5.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	2.7	3.5	2.8	2.7	3.5	—

||
||
||

Parkdale [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 287				
ESL (%): 27.2		Special needs (%): 22.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$34,700: 0.2		Rank: 566/727	596/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	3.1	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.9	n/a	2.0	1.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.6	75.9	74.0	77.6	80.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	32.7	35.7	40.3	53.1	57.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	45.6	45.8	31.8	35.0	32.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 8.4	F 17.9	M 4.4	F 5.7	F 18.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.9	5.9	2.6	5.1	9.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.8	4.1	5.4	4.7	4.9	—

Pope John Paul II [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 417				
ESL (%): 3.8		Special needs (%): 15.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,500: -0.1		Rank: 513/727	546/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.9	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.6	80.8	84.0	85.5	81.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.2	53.6	60.0	52.5	44.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.6	33.5	36.5	30.8	30.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 1.5	F 6.9	F 5.6	F 0.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	2.8	0.5	1.9	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	5.5	4.7	5.4	5.3	—

R H King [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 350				
ESL (%): 3.4		Special needs (%): 7.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,200: 1.7		Rank: 513/727	90/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.6	93.2	93.1	93.4	90.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.2	41.4	52.5	69.7	61.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.2	17.4	18.2	15.4	15.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.0	F 1.5	F 5.3	F 1.0	F 6.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.6	1.5	2.6	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	8.2	7.7	7.7	7.3	—

Richview [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 234				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 7.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$150,400: -0.6		Rank: 49/727	22/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.2	2.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.2	97.0	98.2	96.1	94.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.3	66.7	87.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	14.0	10.3	11.0	13.8	10.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.0	F 4.1	F 3.7	F 1.6	F 4.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.7	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	8.8	8.8	7.9	8.0	—

Riverdale [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 376				
ESL (%): 7.7		Special needs (%): 21.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,900: 2.1		Rank: 145/727	397/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.8	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.6	2.3	1.6	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.1	82.0	89.4	89.7	90.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.2	42.9	47.9	48.0	41.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.5	31.5	26.9	22.3	17.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.7	M 4.0	F 0.7	F 3.5	M 0.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.2	4.5	2.3	2.7	2.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	5.9	6.4	6.7	7.4	▲

Rosedale Heights School of the Arts [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 249				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,500: 1.2		Rank: 105/727	246/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.3	2.0	2.5	2.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.3	92.8	94.3	94.8	96.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	87.0	n/a	84.6	87.0	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.4	29.6	22.4	17.9	17.1	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.2	M 3.5	F 0.6	F 3.9	E	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	0.4	0.9	2.2	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.9	7.1	7.4	7.6	▲

Runnymede [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 152				
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 17.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,300: -1.8		Rank: 677/727	650/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.7	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.2	73.5	73.5	78.5	68.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.7	50.0	50.0	42.3	44.7	▼
Tests below standard (%)	41.6	48.5	49.3	36.7	44.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.3	F 1.0	F 8.8	M 5.2	M 0.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.2	7.6	5.3	8.6	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	3.0	2.6	4.4	3.4	—

Scarlett Heights Entrepreneurial [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 194				
ESL (%): 5.7		Special needs (%): 16.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,800: -2.3		Rank: 695/727	640/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.7	1.4	1.9	1.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.2	84.3	67.2	80.0	70.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	67.9	63.2	71.4	45.2	43.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	43.4	46.3	46.9	41.1	46.7	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4	F 0.2	M 0.6	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 7.5	M 0.1	F 8.2	F 1.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.0	1.5	6.6	6.0	4.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	4.3	3.0	4.3	2.9	—

Senator O'Connor [Catholic] Toronto		OSSLT count: 307				
ESL (%): 12.4		Special needs (%): 17.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,000: 0.7		Rank: 371/727	343/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.9	81.6	87.9	81.3	84.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	74.7	69.0	61.1	66.1	65.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.2	27.7	23.7	27.6	25.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.3	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 1.4	F 4.9	F 8.7	F 11.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	13.4	2.0	1.6	2.4	4.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	7.0	7.1	5.8	6.2	—

Silverthorn [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 341				
ESL (%): 12.6		Special needs (%): 15.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,900: -0.8		Rank: 478/727	418/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	1.5	1.6	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.5	82.4	86.1	84.0	78.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.7	54.8	39.8	69.7	46.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	30.7	28.4	30.3	29.8	29.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.4	F 3.9	F 7.4	F 1.2	F 6.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.3	4.7	4.9	5.1	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	6.8	6.0	5.9	5.5	—

Sir John A Macdonald [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 405				
ESL (%): 13.3		Special needs (%): 13.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,300: 1.9		Rank: 197/727	165/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.4	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.8	87.7	86.1	87.1	88.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.9	52.8	45.6	45.8	44.8	▼
Tests below standard (%)	17.0	21.3	22.2	20.4	19.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.1	F 4.4	F 2.2	F 2.4	F 1.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	3.0	2.2	2.7	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.5	7.1	6.8	7.1	—

Sir Oliver Mowat [Public] Toronto		OSSLT count: 325				
ESL (%): 3.4		Special needs (%): 18.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,500: 0.3		Rank: 265/727	246/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.6	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.9	88.8	93.9	92.7	84.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	79.2	57.7	53.7	58.3	66.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.3	29.4	22.0	23.1	19.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	F 1.7	F 5.4	F 1.1	F 2.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.2	1.1	0.0	1.7	4.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.3	7.1	7.0		

St Mary's [Catholic] Toronto OSSLT count: 305
ESL (%): 33.1
Special needs (%): 21.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$40,800: -1.6
Rank: 683/727 666/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.3 2.1 2.0 2.0 2.5 —

Vaughan Road [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 211
ESL (%): 10.4
Special needs (%): 8.5
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,600: -2.0
Rank: 595/727 479/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.7 2.5 ▼

Weston [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 467
ESL (%): 11.3
Special needs (%): 18.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,200: -1.2
Rank: 648/727 640/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.7 —

St Michael's Choir (Sr) [Catholic] Toronto OSSLT count: 19
ESL (%): 0.0
Special needs (%): 10.5
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: 3.9
Rank: 1/727 n/a
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) n/a n/a n/a 2.7 3.3 n/a

Victoria Park [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 475
ESL (%): 11.4
Special needs (%): 15.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,200: 1.5
Rank: 253/727 379/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.7 2.7 2.8 3.0 3.1 ▲

Westview Centennial [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 588
ESL (%): 19.7
Special needs (%): 27.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$30,400: -2.0
Rank: 701/727 682/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.2 2.1 2.4 2.3 2.6 —

St Patrick [Catholic] Toronto OSSLT count: 192
ESL (%): 42.7
Special needs (%): 25.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,000: -2.2
Rank: 633/727 675/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 2.4 —

W A Porter [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 322
ESL (%): 4.0
Special needs (%): 9.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$48,600: 2.3
Rank: 126/727 130/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 2.7 2.8 3.0 3.0 —

Wexford Collegiate School for the Arts [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 340
ESL (%): 7.1
Special needs (%): 14.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,800: 0.3
Rank: 411/727 426/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.5 2.4 2.5 2.7 2.8 —

Stephen Leacock [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 359
ESL (%): 41.2
Special needs (%): 14.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$37,800: -0.7
Rank: 633/727 559/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.7 2.6 2.7 3.0 2.9 —

West Hill [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 461
ESL (%): 5.2
Special needs (%): 21.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,200: -1.7
Rank: 623/727 629/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.3 2.0 2.4 2.5 2.6 —

Whitefield [Private] Toronto OSSLT count: 22
ESL (%): 0.0
Special needs (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 126/727 n/a
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) n/a n/a n/a n/a 3.0 n/a

Thistletown [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 311
ESL (%): 12.2
Special needs (%): 37.3
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,400: -0.5
Rank: 595/727 666/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 1.9 1.9 2.3 2.5 2.7 ▲

West Humber [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 353
ESL (%): 13.9
Special needs (%): 30.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,400: -1.0
Rank: 623/727 656/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.2 2.0 2.2 2.2 2.8 —

William Lyon Mackenzie [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 386
ESL (%): 22.3
Special needs (%): 10.9
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,300: 1.9
Rank: 20/727 60/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 2.9 3.0 2.9 3.2 —

Ursula Franklin [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 120
ESL (%): 0.0
Special needs (%): 10.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$112,300: 1.4
Rank: 14/727 22/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 2.9 2.8 2.9 3.1 —

Western [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 392
ESL (%): 16.3
Special needs (%): 35.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,900: -1.8
Rank: 655/727 636/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.4 2.6 2.4 2.4 2.6 —

Winston Churchill [Public] Toronto OSSLT count: 311
ESL (%): 19.9
Special needs (%): 17.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,200: -1.2
Rank: 662/727 559/693
Academic Performance 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.5 2.6 2.9 2.4 2.7 —

Woburn [Public] Toronto								OSSLT count: 454			
ESL (%): 9.0		Special needs (%): 5.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,100: 0.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	464/727		326/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	1.6	1.8	1.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.1	85.8	83.3	83.3	82.6	▼					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.3	57.8	55.6	56.0	41.1	▼					
Tests below standard (%)	23.1	24.4	26.4	26.6	28.5	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	M 1.7	M 6.6	F 10.5	F 4.8	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	2.1	0.8	1.9	1.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.1	6.6	6.0	5.6	▼					

Br André [Catholic] Markham								OSSLT count: 407			
ESL (%): 0.7		Special needs (%): 11.5									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,300: 1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	63/727		90/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.1	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.2	92.9	90.1	93.1	90.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	81.3	40.6	45.7	71.1	64.3	—					
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	21.5	17.5	16.5	13.1	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 2.1	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 1.7	F 8.1	E	F 2.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	0.8	0.5	1.4	0.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.5	7.6	7.6	7.9	—					

Father Bressani [Catholic] Woodbridge								OSSLT count: 288			
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 18.1									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,100: -1.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	478/727		343/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.8	84.5	90.9	91.4	85.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.3	64.7	60.6	77.8	48.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	31.0	20.2	24.8	29.2	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.0	F 11.3	F 1.4	F 8.2	F 7.3	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	1.3	0.4	0.8	0.8	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.9	7.4	6.3	5.5	—					

York Memorial [Public] Toronto								OSSLT count: 348			
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 14.4									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,600: -1.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	677/727		626/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	▼					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.0	80.4	81.1	82.1	80.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.9	57.4	44.9	63.8	48.3	—					
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	42.7	40.7	36.2	39.3	▼					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	▲					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 2.5	F 20.3	F 6.9	F 10.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	4.6	2.1	7.6	6.7	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	3.9	3.3	4.4	3.4	—					

Bur Oak [Public] Markham								OSSLT count: 339			
ESL (%): 14.2		Special needs (%): 11.5									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,000: 2.4		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	26/727		n/a							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	3.1	3.1	3.3	n/a					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.7	2.8	2.9	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	88.0	92.9	90.0	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	62.5	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	10.6	10.7	11.1	n/a					
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	n/a					
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 5.7	F 2.4	F 7.4	n/a					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.5	0.0	0.0	n/a					
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	9.2	8.6	8.3	n/a					

Father Michael McGivney [Catholic] Markham								OSSLT count: 345			
ESL (%): 8.4		Special needs (%): 11.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,200: 1.1		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	265/727		326/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	1.4	2.1	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.9	82.2	85.7	89.8	87.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.6	41.9	67.3	60.0	50.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	25.0	26.0	24.0	19.1	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	F 1.7	F 1.9	F 0.5	F 5.6	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.2	2.5	0.0	0.6	0.0	▲					
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.3	6.5	6.5	6.8	▲					

YORK AREA

Alexander MacKenzie [Public] Richmond Hill								OSSLT count: 352			
ESL (%): 27.6		Special needs (%): 19.6									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,000: 0.7		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	197/727		265/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.8	2.3	2.2	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.3	85.0	81.0	86.9	85.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.9	50.0	35.9	58.5	71.4	—					
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	23.8	30.4	24.3	22.2	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	▲	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.1	F 1.8	F 2.6	F 2.5	F 7.9	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.5	7.9	3.0	2.4	1.6	▲					
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.2	6.4	6.7	7.1	—					

Cardinal Carter [Catholic] Aurora								OSSLT count: 237			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.2									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$126,000: -0.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	181/727		148/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.8	89.4	92.4	89.8	87.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.0	69.0	65.9	63.9	70.3	—					
Tests below standard (%)	20.1	20.6	20.6	18.2	17.4	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 5.6	F 4.3	M 0.5	F 7.9	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	1.8	1.8	2.1	1.8	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.3	7.2	—					

Holy Cross [Catholic] Woodbridge								OSSLT count: 256			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.2									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,100: -1.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	464/727		463/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.8	2.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.4	81.9	84.0	88.2	81.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.8	64.7	48.8	52.8	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	29.9	35.5	25.9	29.0	26.2	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.3	F 5.6	F 15.3	F 12.2	F 13.0	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.6	1.2	2.7	0.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.3	6.1	5.7	5.6	—					

Aurora [Public] Aurora								OSSLT count: 384			
ESL (%): 2.9		Special needs (%): 21.4									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$139,600: -0.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	63/727		60/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.9	97.3	96.2	93.7	94.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	75.0	70.0	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	14.9	13.0	14.4	14.5	11.0	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 3.0	F 4.2	F 4.9	F 5.8	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.6	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.4	8.1	7.7	7.9	—					

Dr G W Williams [Public] Aurora								OSSLT count: 353			
ESL (%): 6.8		Special needs (%): 22.9									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$123,700: -1.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years							
	Rank:	392/727		301/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.1	▼					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.1	86.1	85.8	88.1	82.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.1										

King City [Public] King City OSSLT count: 303

ESL (%): 5.6 Special needs (%): 21.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$124,100: -0.2
 Rank: 126/727 177/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.6	91.4	87.7	89.2	91.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.0	44.8	47.8	50.0	74.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.2	21.1	24.0	18.7	15.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.9	F 1.7	F 9.9	F 4.4	F 4.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	2.1	2.1	2.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.7	6.3	7.3	7.5	—

Milliken Mills [Public] Unionville OSSLT count: 583

ESL (%): 32.8 Special needs (%): 11.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,800: 1.5
 Rank: 253/727 246/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.5	84.8	84.6	83.9	84.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.1	45.5	39.2	32.5	26.7	▼
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	21.1	27.9	24.7	22.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.2	F 0.7	F 3.8	F 1.7	F 8.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.3	19.1	1.1	2.3	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.7	6.7	6.7	6.9	—

Richmond Hill [Public] Richmond Hill OSSLT count: 479

ESL (%): 21.9 Special needs (%): 12.9
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,600: 1.8
 Rank: 41/727 18/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.8	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	88.9	91.3	93.7	90.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.7	50.0	60.0	79.1	71.1	▲
Tests below standard (%)	14.8	15.7	12.2	9.3	13.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	M 3.5	F 8.4	M 0.6	F 2.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	1.7	2.3	2.4	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.7	8.3	8.6	8.8	8.1	—

Langstaff [Public] Richmond Hill OSSLT count: 402

ESL (%): 13.7 Special needs (%): 10.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,400: 1.3
 Rank: 63/727 39/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.5	2.8	2.1	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.5	92.5	95.7	96.2	95.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.3	56.8	70.6	71.0	53.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.5	14.3	11.3	15.1	11.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.2	F 3.7	F 7.6	F 4.0	F 4.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	2.6	0.6	0.6	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.5	8.6	7.9	7.9	—

Newmarket [Public] Newmarket OSSLT count: 359

ESL (%): 2.8 Special needs (%): 17.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$118,500: 0.1
 Rank: 105/727 90/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.3	93.5	92.7	92.7	93.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	58.8	60.0	58.8	74.3	68.0	▲
Tests below standard (%)	20.6	19.6	15.9	13.5	13.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 2.6	F 0.7	F 1.6	F 1.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.3	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.8	8.0	7.8	7.6	—

Sacred Heart [Catholic] Newmarket OSSLT count: 380

ESL (%): 3.2 Special needs (%): 15.8
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,900: 0.8
 Rank: 63/727 81/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.9	89.7	92.5	92.5	90.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.3	69.7	78.6	59.5	71.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.1	18.1	15.9	15.1	13.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 1.7	F 9.7	F 3.9	F 6.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.0	1.8	0.0	0.3	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	▲

Maple [Public] Maple OSSLT count: 380

ESL (%): 10.5 Special needs (%): 18.9
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,900: 0.2
 Rank: 351/727 301/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.5	80.4	83.6	88.8	79.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.8	47.8	38.3	50.7	39.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	30.5	29.2	27.6	23.0	24.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 4.7	F 10.2	F 9.2	F 9.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	3.8	1.4	1.1	2.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.5	6.7	7.0	6.3	—

Our Lady of the Lake [Catholic] Keswick OSSLT count: 162

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 27.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,400: 0.0
 Rank: 351/727 177/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.3	2.3	2.2	1.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.7	87.0	87.1	89.4	88.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.0	n/a	n/a	75.0	52.9	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	19.8	21.6	19.7	24.7	27.2	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.0	M 0.1	F 15.3	F 7.9	M 4.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	1.6	0.9	0.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.8	7.3	6.7	6.3	▼

Sir William Mulock [Public] Newmarket OSSLT count: 354

ESL (%): 10.2 Special needs (%): 16.4
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,700: 0.3
 Rank: 161/727 130/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.0	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.8	92.5	89.7	91.6	86.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	81.8	50.0	55.2	62.5	73.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.3	20.5	19.1	24.6	19.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 7.6	M 3.2	F 7.0	F 2.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	5.1	1.5	0.7	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.6	8.0	6.5	7.3	—

Markham [Public] Markham OSSLT count: 377

ESL (%): 5.3 Special needs (%): 17.8
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,600: 1.5
 Rank: 49/727 60/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	1.7	2.6	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.2	91.5	90.6	93.7	94.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.7	49.0	33.3	58.8	65.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.5	17.0	19.9	11.9	12.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 0.2	F 5.9	F 3.3	F 5.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	8.5	7.5	8.5	8.0	—

Pierre Elliott Trudeau [Public] Markham OSSLT count: 415

ESL (%): 24.6 Special needs (%): 5.8
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,400: 3.2
 Rank: 3/727 3/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.6	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.8	94.7	96.0	96.4	97.1	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.5	95.2	65.2	67.0	72.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.6	6.9	7.6	6.2	6.5	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	F 3.1	F 0.4	F 2.6	M 1.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	1.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	9.8	9.7	9.2	9.2	—

St Augustine [Catholic] Markham OSSLT count: 389

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 9.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$93,100: 1.6
 Rank: 26/727 7/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.9	2.5	2.4	2.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.1	96.4	97.3	95.2	92.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	92.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	63.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	8.7	9.7	10.2	8.6	9.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.6	M 1.6	E	F 2.8	F 3.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.4	9.1	8.9	8.6	8.3	▼

Markville [Public] Markham OSSLT count: 419

ESL (%): 31.7 Special needs (%): 8.8
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,100: 1.4
 Rank: 105/727 73/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.2	1.9	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.7	89.1	88.1	92.6	88.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	80.0	43.3	65.7	63.6	66.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.8	17.3	14.6	16.0	14.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 4.2	F 4.3	F 2.6	F 10.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.2	1.5	0.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.7	8.3	7.9	7.6	—

Renaissance [Catholic] Aurora OSSLT count: 79

ESL (%): 2.5 Special needs (%): 12.7
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,200: -0.3
 Rank: 279/727 326/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.2	2.7	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	1.2	n/a	1.9</	

St Joan of Arc [Catholic] Maple		OSSLT count: 527				
ESL (%): 10.4		Special needs (%): 16.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,100: -0.2		Rank: 371/727		426/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	2.1	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.2	78.4	79.9	83.7	83.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.3	60.8	63.8	56.0	60.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.2	39.0	36.0	29.1	28.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 15.2	F 0.7	F 5.5	F 5.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	2.7	3.0	0.5	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	5.1	5.5	6.1	6.2	—

St Maximilian Kolbe [Catholic] Aurora		OSSLT count: 221				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 12.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ / n/a: n/a		Rank: 145/727		n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	92.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17.2	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 7.6	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.3	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7.4	n/a

St Robert [Catholic] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 411				
ESL (%): 14.4		Special needs (%): 7.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$82,500: 2.2		Rank: 20/727		7/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.9	92.7	95.3	97.2	92.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.5	50.0	71.9	71.4	68.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	11.5	11.5	8.4	9.1	9.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	M 4.1	F 5.0	F 3.6	F 6.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.0	9.1	9.4	8.7	8.5	—

St Therese of Lisieux [Catholic] Richmond Hill		OSSLT count: 357				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$96,300: 1.5		Rank: 26/727		10/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.0	94.8	94.0	91.8	91.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.2	62.5	87.5	81.1	66.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	10.3	13.3	11.1	11.8	10.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3	E	F 1.0	F 5.2	F 6.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.0	0.9	1.4	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.3	8.8	9.1	8.4	8.3	—

Stephen Lewis [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 340				
ESL (%): 20.3		Special needs (%): 19.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,500: 1.0		Rank: 105/727		n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.5	1.9	2.0	2.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	92.7	91.6	85.3	90.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	55.0	69.0	52.3	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	21.4	24.5	21.3	15.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	E	E	E	M 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 0.7	F 2.7	F 11.2	F 9.8	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	2.6	1.3	0.7	1.3	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	7.4	7.0	6.7	7.6	n/a

Stouffville District [Public] Stouffville		OSSLT count: 257				
ESL (%): 1.9		Special needs (%): 21.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$122,500: -1.1		Rank: 309/727		281/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.1	90.1	88.0	87.9	86.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	36.4	52.9	64.5	60.9	▲
Tests below standard (%)	32.4	23.7	20.5	21.4	22.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 3.5	F 8.1	F 8.1	F 11.4	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	7.3	7.1	6.8	6.5	—

Sutton [Public] Sutton West		OSSLT count: 237				
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 43.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,300: -3.7		Rank: 690/727		640/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.7	72.8	75.9	66.2	62.7	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.1	52.4	45.7	50.0	45.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	43.5	42.9	36.4	45.0	45.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.1	F 22.0	F 1.6	F 22.2	F 27.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.6	2.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	4.2	5.0	2.9	3.1	—

Thornhill [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 426				
ESL (%): 20.4		Special needs (%): 15.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,800: 1.4		Rank: 34/727		73/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.6	2.4	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.9	91.9	89.5	92.9	92.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.1	57.9	56.0	79.3	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.2	22.1	15.0	13.1	11.2	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	M 0.3	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.4	M 1.1	F 1.0	F 1.8	M 0.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	3.0	1.3	1.8	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.4	8.5	8.1	8.2	▲

Thornlea [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 330				
ESL (%): 27.0		Special needs (%): 17.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,100: 1.8		Rank: 49/727		148/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.5	89.9	85.1	86.2	92.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.0	47.9	48.6	63.1	59.0	▲
Tests below standard (%)	24.4	20.2	22.1	19.3	15.2	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.4	F 5.5	F 8.3	M 1.1	F 1.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	4.4	0.7	1.1	0.5	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.8	7.2	7.4	8.0	▲

Unionville [Public] Unionville		OSSLT count: 561				
ESL (%): 28.7		Special needs (%): 7.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$95,900: 2.0		Rank: 12/727		3/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.9	3.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.7	93.3	95.2	96.0	93.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.3	48.6	64.3	73.6	54.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	8.4	10.3	7.0	7.0	8.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.8	F 1.7	F 4.3	F 5.4	M 2.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.5	0.7	1.1	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.4	9.0	9.6	9.0	8.8	—

Vaughan [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 244				
ESL (%): 39.8		Special needs (%): 9.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$93,200: 0.5		Rank: 181/727		165/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	2.2	2.1	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.9	90.3	90.3	89.0	86.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.7	52.1	53.3	65.4	54.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	22.6	17.2	19.5	19.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 1.9	F 0.3	F 1.2	M 2.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.4	0.6	1.6	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	7.8	8.3	7.4	7.2	—

Westmount [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 350				
ESL (%): 6.9		Special needs (%): 24.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$179,100: -1.2		Rank: 26/727		73/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.3	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.9	90.0	89.1	93.5	92.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.7	59.4	46.7	52.3	80.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.0	18.2	19.5	15.7	10.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 1.5	F 1.8	F 7.8	F 3.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.0	0.4	1.9	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.9	7.5	7.7	8.3	—

Southwestern Ontario

AVON MAITLAND AREA

Central Huron [Public] Clinton		OSSLT count: 175				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 32.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,400: 0.6	Rank:	419/727	301/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.3	80.5	79.7	81.6	80.3	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.6	76.6	57.1	61.5	61.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	29.3	23.7	27.2	26.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.9	F 6.7	M 1.8	F 2.3	F 6.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	3.9	0.7	0.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.0	7.3	6.2	5.9	—

F.E. Madill [Public] Wingham		OSSLT count: 237				
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 21.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,500: 0.8	Rank:	351/727	194/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.0	85.1	85.1	84.7	82.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	48.6	56.5	64.3	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	21.0	23.3	27.4	26.1	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.6	F 11.9	F 7.9	F 8.8	F 5.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	11.9	2.2	3.5	6.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.7	7.4	6.4	6.3	▼

Goderich District [Public] Goderich		OSSLT count: 103				
ESL (%): 1.0		Special needs (%): 9.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,400: 0.0	Rank:	392/727	301/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.2	83.3	88.1	92.1	87.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	31.8	50.0	58.8	38.1	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	29.0	30.0	21.5	23.9	25.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.0	F 18.5	F 13.5	F 0.1	F 1.6	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.2	7.6	6.8	6.1	—

Listowel [Public] Listowel		OSSLT count: 272				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,900: 1.0	Rank:	197/727	90/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.0	89.4	89.4	90.0	87.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.3	51.5	40.9	68.3	46.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.1	18.8	19.4	16.2	20.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 4.0	F 3.4	F 7.1	F 8.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.4	7.9	7.9	7.1	—

Mitchell [Public] Mitchell		OSSLT count: 107				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,900: 0.1	Rank:	294/727	246/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.0	81.4	81.9	83.3	84.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.0	22.3	20.1	25.9	20.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.3	E	F 1.3	M 3.0	F 0.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	7.4	7.4	6.4	6.6	—

South Huron [Public] Exeter		OSSLT count: 185				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,700: 0.7	Rank:	294/727	326/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.3	82.3	79.9	87.5	83.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.6	53.1	61.5	50.0	57.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.3	29.1	25.1	29.9	23.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 2.5	F 8.8	F 11.3	F 4.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	2.2	1.3	0.0	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.0	6.6	—

St Anne's [Catholic] Clinton		OSSLT count: 141				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 22.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,500: 1.7	Rank:	161/727	90/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.1	89.8	90.8	91.6	92.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	40.7	n/a	76.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	18.4	16.4	18.8	14.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.5	F 8.1	F 11.3	F 6.7	F 10.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	7.9	8.0	7.0	7.3	▼

St Marys [Public] St Marys		OSSLT count: 132				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 12.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	279/727	81/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.2	87.5	85.3	92.7	81.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	62.5	64.7	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.8	14.1	18.2	15.1	21.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.7	F 3.8	F 18.5	F 3.6	F 7.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.9	0.8	1.5	1.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	8.6	7.8	8.1	6.7	—

St Michael [Catholic] Stratford		OSSLT count: 186				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 22.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,300: 1.1	Rank:	161/727	130/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.6	91.4	89.8	92.9	91.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.1	78.3	87.5	72.4	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.4	19.6	18.5	17.3	15.9	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.5	F 2.5	F 2.7	F 5.5	F 6.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	0.5	1.4	0.6	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.4	8.0	7.5	7.3	—

Stratford Central [Public] Stratford		OSSLT count: 162				
ESL (%): 1.2		Special needs (%): 6.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,900: 2.2	Rank:	41/727	22/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.8	88.6	90.7	91.9	92.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	66.7	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	15.6	15.7	12.3	15.4	13.2	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.2	F 0.2	M 1.7	M 4.5	F 7.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	8.4	8.9	8.3	8.1	—

Stratford Northwestern [Public] Stratford		OSSLT count: 267				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,800: 1.2	Rank:	197/727	105/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.4	88.3	91.3	86.8	80.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	70.6	63.2	n/a	54.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.2	16.9	22.0	20.3	20.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.4	F 12.5	F 0.6	F 5.7	F 6.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.2	8.0	7.3	7.1	—

BRANTFORD		OSSLT count: 449				
Assumption [Catholic] Brantford		OSSLT count: 449				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,400: 0.9	Rank:	279/727	265/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.3	86.3	80.7	82.5	86.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.6	54.2	41.5			

St John's [Catholic] Brantford							OSSLT count: 428			
ESL (%)	1.2			Special needs (%)			15.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,200: 0.5	Rank: 294/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 326/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.8	87.1	88.9	86.4	85.2	▼				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	37.5	56.3	58.1	48.7	57.1	—				
Tests below standard (%)	25.2	28.9	28.0	31.4	23.9	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.3	F 5.1	F 6.9	F 7.0	F 13.6	▼				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	2.6	1.3	1.7	1.1	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.5	6.4	5.7	6.6	—				

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Simcoe							OSSLT count: 314			
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			15.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,500: 1.3	Rank: 197/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 165/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	90.3	89.0	89.2	88.3	88.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	63.0	54.3	62.1	60.0	63.3	—				
Tests below standard (%)	22.2	21.4	24.6	21.1	21.0	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.3	F 8.5	M 1.5	F 2.0	F 2.5	▲				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	0.7	1.5	1.8	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.2	7.1	—				

Waterford [Public] Waterford							OSSLT count: 105			
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			37.1			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: -0.5	Rank: 530/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 578/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.7	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.9	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	77.1	71.3	72.2	74.3	80.8	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	72.0	60.7	42.9	42.1	52.6	—				
Tests below standard (%)	40.5	39.5	38.9	31.1	35.8	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.2	F 33.1	F 8.3	F 10.5	F 7.3	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.9	1.1	1.5	1.1	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	4.6	4.6	5.3	5.2	▲				

Tollgate Tech [Public] Brantford							OSSLT count: 159			
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			61.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,600: -5.3	Rank: 717/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years n/a			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.1	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11.3	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	39.1	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	78.6	n/a				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a				

McKinnon Park [Public] Caledonia							OSSLT count: 260			
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			16.9			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,000: -1.1	Rank: 530/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 426/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.7	▼				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	83.4	81.1	83.6	81.5	79.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	73.3	45.8	61.3	56.7	46.4	—				
Tests below standard (%)	29.3	28.5	29.3	32.0	32.2	▼				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 6.7	F 13.4	F 15.9	F 14.8	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	2.2	2.4	1.0	2.4	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.6	6.9	6.6	6.6	▼				

GREATER ESSEX AREA							Assumption [Catholic] Windsor				OSSLT count: 206			
ESL (%)	0.5			Special needs (%)			18.9							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,100: -1.2	Rank: 623/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 588/693							
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend								
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.7	—								
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.5	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	—								
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	80.7	76.5	78.9	80.3	75.8	—								
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	39.4	44.8	45.7	54.5	33.3	—								
Tests below standard (%)	41.3	39.1	39.5	31.4	37.2	—								
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	▼								
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	F 7.8	F 19.7	F 18.6	F 8.5	—								
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	1.2	3.4	3.9	1.2	—								
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	5.1	4.3	5.6	4.2	—								

GRAND ERIE AREA

Cayuga [Public] Cayuga							OSSLT count: 195			
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			22.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 578/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 426/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.6	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.0	2.2	2.2	1.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.7	83.7	87.8	73.2	80.9	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	64.9	77.3	56.8	42.9	72.4	—				
Tests below standard (%)	22.1	28.0	26.9	37.2	35.7	▼				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.3	F 10.9	F 4.1	F 21.0	F 14.0	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.7	2.9	1.5	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.3	7.0	4.5	4.8	—				

Paris [Public] Paris							OSSLT count: 290			
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			13.1			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,600: -0.3	Rank: 445/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 301/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	▼				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.0	▼				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	86.8	87.8	83.6	86.7	84.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	62.2	64.2	60.4	52.6	54.0	—				
Tests below standard (%)	20.4	26.5	28.9	26.1	28.4	▼				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.9	F 5.6	F 7.8	F 2.7	F 9.5	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.6	2.7	0.5	3.9	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.1	6.3	6.4	5.7	▼				

Belle River [Public] Belle River							OSSLT count: 309			
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			17.2			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$92,600: 1.5	Rank: 417/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 30/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	3.1	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.8	3.0	3.1	2.9	3.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	89.6	90.5	92.7	89.2	91.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	80.0	61.1	77.3	62.5	52.9	—				
Tests below standard (%)	13.7	17.4	10.8	15.8	12.1	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.2	F 7.9	F 1.3	F 8.8	F 5.8	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.7	1.3	0.8	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	8.7	8.1	9.2	7.6	8.1	—				

Delhi [Public] Delhi							OSSLT count: 136			
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			26.5			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,600: 0.0	Rank: 478/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 618/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	3.0	2.8	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%) -FTE	84.7	89.0	85.7	81.3	75.0	▼				
OSSLT passed (%) -PE	71.4	45.5	n/a	64.7	33.3	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	30.7	35.1	21.6	32.8	31.0	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	E	E	F 0.1	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.4	M 0.2	F 10.0	F 8.6	F 11.1	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	16.5	1.3	5.9	0.0	2.1	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.6	7.8	6.1	5.5	—				

Port Dover [Public] Port Dover							OSSLT count: 91			
ESL (%)	12.1			Special needs (%)			25.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,300: -1.0	Rank: 547/727			2009-10			Last 5 Years 479/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.8	1.7	1.9	2.5	—				
OSSLT passed										

Essex [Public] Essex		OSSLT count: 254				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 8.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$91,600: -0.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		330/727	148/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.8	91.5	84.7	87.5	83.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.2	71.9	70.6	66.7	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	18.1	20.7	19.5	20.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 7.4	F 1.3	F 5.8	F 23.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.0	2.5	0.9	2.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.1	7.9	7.5	6.4	—

John I Forster [Public] Windsor		OSSLT count: 256				
ESL (%): 43.0		Special needs (%): 6.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$39,800: -2.8		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		705/727	604/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.3	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.3	75.0	72.1	68.3	58.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.0	63.6	41.2	45.0	26.5	▼
Tests below standard (%)	36.9	35.6	41.9	45.1	53.2	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.5	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 3.2	M 4.2	F 13.7	F 11.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	30.2	21.0	8.4	7.3	4.2	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.8	5.4	3.8	2.1	▼

St Anne [Catholic] Tecumseh		OSSLT count: 425				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,100: 0.6		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		105/727	105/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.7	88.8	87.8	90.7	90.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	68.2	61.8	63.6	53.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.0	23.9	18.8	15.4	15.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.0	F 5.0	F 11.1	F 12.4	F 3.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	1.7	1.4	0.8	0.6	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.2	7.8	7.8	7.6	—

F J Brennan [Catholic] Windsor		OSSLT count: 202				
ESL (%): 0.5		Special needs (%): 18.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: 1.5		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		161/727	301/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.8	83.4	80.8	81.3	89.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.9	72.7	65.7	65.5	59.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	28.1	31.8	25.8	22.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 4.2	F 4.1	F 6.4	M 1.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.6	4.0	2.6	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.5	6.4	6.4	7.3	—

Kingsville [Public] Kingsville		OSSLT count: 122				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 4.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: 2.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		34/727	148/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.7	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.3	92.1	81.3	88.3	95.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	52.9	56.3	63.0	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.0	25.2	30.5	17.4	11.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.9	F 3.3	F 6.7	M 2.4	F 3.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	1.9	0.0	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.0	6.4	7.2	8.2	—

St Joseph's [Catholic] Windsor		OSSLT count: 312				
ESL (%): 0.3		Special needs (%): 24.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: 0.7		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		309/727	301/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.1	80.6	78.6	87.9	79.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.0	n/a	41.2	65.0	59.3	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	24.7	25.4	29.3	25.0	22.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.0	F 6.3	F 4.4	F 9.6	M 2.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.5	3.3	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.9	6.1	6.6	6.5	—

General Amherst [Public] Amherstburg		OSSLT count: 246				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 12.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,500: 0.8		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		105/727	165/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.1	90.4	83.4	86.6	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.0	71.4	62.5	65.2	70.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.4	24.5	28.3	22.6	16.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.6	F 6.0	F 5.2	F 2.8	F 6.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.5	2.7	2.8	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	7.2	6.5	6.8	7.6	—

Leamington [Public] Leamington		OSSLT count: 343				
ESL (%): 2.9		Special needs (%): 7.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,200: 1.8		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		126/727	81/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.4	89.4	85.5	92.7	88.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.1	65.0	50.0	75.0	65.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	19.9	22.0	16.4	16.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.1	F 6.9	F 7.9	M 0.3	F 3.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	6.2	2.5	1.6	3.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.8	7.9	8.0	7.5	—

St Thomas of Villanova [Catholic] LaSalle		OSSLT count: 365				
ESL (%): 0.5		Special needs (%): 26.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,700: 1.6		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		23/727	194/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.6	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.2	86.1	84.3	86.0	91.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.5	56.8	46.3	48.9	52.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	22.2	26.6	27.3	12.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 5.2	F 2.4	F 11.9	F 4.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.6	1.4	0.3	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.4	6.8	5.8	8.4	—

Harrow [Public] Harrow		OSSLT count: 91				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$89,200: 0.4		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		253/727	223/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.8	2.3	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.0	81.8	94.4	94.1	93.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	24.5	27.1	14.0	30.6	20.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.1	F 13.0	F 0.6	F 11.1	F 1.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.9	8.4	6.0	6.9	—

I'Essor [Catholic] Tecumseh		OSSLT count: 176				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,300: 0.8		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		161/727	73/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.3	2.7	2.1	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.3	91.3	89.0	90.2	86.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.6	41.2	54.5	38.1	45.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.2	19.7	19.1	21.1	21.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.0	M 3.4	F 6.5	F 2.9	F 10.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.4	8.7	6.8	7.3	—

Vincent Massey [Public] Windsor		OSSLT count: 385				
ESL (%): 4.2		Special needs (%): 6.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,900: 0.3		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		279/727	105/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.0	88.8	92.2	90.4	90.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	80.4	66.7	65.8	64.5	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	15.9	19.7	17.9	15.1	18.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.4	M 5.8	F 5.7	F 5.5	F 5.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.2	▼
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.9	7.6	7.7	6.7	—

Western [Public] Amherstburg OSSLT count: 205. ESL (%): 0.0. Special needs (%): 100.0. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: -6.2. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Cathedral [Catholic] Hamilton OSSLT count: 544. ESL (%): 11.9. Special needs (%): 27.9. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,000: 1.5. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Orchard Park [Public] Stoney Creek OSSLT count: 356. ESL (%): 5.3. Special needs (%): 14.9. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,100: 1.2. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

HAMILTON-WENTWORTH AREA Ancaster [Public] Ancaster OSSLT count: 249. ESL (%): 2.8. Special needs (%): 18.9. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$120,100: -0.6. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Delta [Public] Hamilton OSSLT count: 281. ESL (%): 0.0. Special needs (%): 11.7. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,000: -1.6. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Parkside [Public] Dundas OSSLT count: 173. ESL (%): 0.0. Special needs (%): 26.0. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,600: 0.4. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Barton [Public] Hamilton OSSLT count: 323. ESL (%): 18.9. Special needs (%): 11.1. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,300: -3.2. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Glendale [Public] Hamilton OSSLT count: 342. ESL (%): 11.4. Special needs (%): 8.5. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$40,300: -1.0. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Saltfleet [Public] Stoney Creek OSSLT count: 359. ESL (%): 0.0. Special needs (%): 27.9. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,700: 0.4. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Bishop Ryan [Catholic] Hamilton OSSLT count: 338. ESL (%): 0.6. Special needs (%): 33.4. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,500: 1.3. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Highland [Public] Dundas OSSLT count: 218. ESL (%): 0.0. Special needs (%): 18.3. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,400: 0.5. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Sherwood [Public] Hamilton OSSLT count: 340. ESL (%): 0.3. Special needs (%): 10.9. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,200: 0.0. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Bishop Tonnos [Catholic] Ancaster OSSLT count: 395. ESL (%): 0.0. Special needs (%): 29.6. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$111,900: -0.2. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Hill Park [Public] Hamilton OSSLT count: 289. ESL (%): 0.0. Special needs (%): 21.8. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,700: 0.0. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Sir Allan MacNab [Public] Hamilton OSSLT count: 268. ESL (%): 11.2. Special needs (%): 17.9. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,900: 1.3. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Cardinal Newman [Catholic] Stoney Creek OSSLT count: 390. ESL (%): 0.0. Special needs (%): 42.1. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,100: 1.6. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Mère-Teresa [Catholic] Hamilton OSSLT count: 80. ESL (%): 8.8. Special needs (%): 21.3. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: -0.6. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Sir John A Macdonald [Public] Hamilton OSSLT count: 398. ESL (%): 16.6. Special needs (%): 15.8. Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$33,500: -1.3. Academic Performance 2006-2010 Trend.

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Hamilton						OSSLT count: 399	
ESL (%): 0.0						Special needs (%): 25.1	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,300: -1.5						Rank: 672/727 662/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.4	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.6	75.8	66.5	67.5	61.7	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.9	43.2	38.8	40.2	42.9	—	
Tests below standard (%)	46.6	52.4	52.8	52.4	45.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.7	F 9.7	F 19.3	F 9.5	F 5.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	7.0	9.1	8.1	6.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.8	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.5	—	

Westmount [Public] Hamilton						OSSLT count: 371	
ESL (%): 0.0						Special needs (%): 4.9	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,000: 1.5						Rank: 145/727 105/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.0	95.3	96.3	93.4	93.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	68.8	55.6	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	20.2	15.1	17.2	14.4	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	M 2.6	F 3.9	F 10.0	F 6.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	2.2	5.9	3.6	1.7	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.8	7.8	7.4	7.4	—	

Kitchener Waterloo [Public] Kitchener						OSSLT count: 403	
ESL (%): 1.2						Special needs (%): 45.4	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,500: 0.3						Rank: 371/727 426/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.8	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	63.4	83.3	82.9	75.9	74.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.8	43.9	48.1	41.3	59.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	36.6	29.2	29.1	34.6	24.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.6	F 4.7	F 8.7	F 15.3	F 2.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.3	6.3	6.5	9.4	4.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	6.9	6.4	4.8	6.2	—	

St Jean de Brebeuf [Catholic] Hamilton						OSSLT count: 559	
ESL (%): 3.8						Special needs (%): 20.4	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,200: 1.2						Rank: 225/727 365/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.2	76.6	81.6	81.0	85.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.3	45.3	35.8	46.1	57.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	29.2	33.6	33.1	28.3	22.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	F 10.0	F 5.4	M 0.1	F 8.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	2.9	2.4	0.2	0.5	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	5.8	5.8	6.2	7.0	—	

Cameron Heights [Public] Kitchener						OSSLT count: 408	
ESL (%): 5.1						Special needs (%): 11.3	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,800: 0.5						Rank: 308/727 246/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	1.7	1.6	2.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.1	84.0	87.9	89.9	87.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.4	73.4	67.6	74.3	65.5	—	
Tests below standard (%)	27.6	25.3	21.6	19.3	21.8	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 9.0	F 1.1	F 6.9	F 6.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	4.6	1.0	2.7	1.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.9	7.4	7.2	6.5	—	

Resurrection [Catholic] Kitchener						OSSLT count: 490	
ESL (%): 1.0						Special needs (%): 27.8	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,100: 0.0						Rank: 351/727 223/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.0	85.6	83.7	82.5	86.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.2	44.1	62.1	56.9	40.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	22.1	25.9	20.9	21.8	23.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.8	F 4.7	F 9.5	F 5.6	F 8.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	1.4	1.9	1.7	0.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.0	7.6	6.8	6.3	—	

St Mary's [Catholic] Hamilton						OSSLT count: 294	
ESL (%): 1.4						Special needs (%): 33.3	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$95,800: 0.3						Rank: 225/727 246/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.7	83.9	85.4	87.6	90.2	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.8	53.7	58.3	62.0	53.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	23.4	22.3	20.3	18.8	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.0	F 9.0	F 15.1	F 5.7	F 2.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	4.5	0.4	3.0	1.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	7.2	7.3	6.9	7.0	—	

Eastwood [Public] Kitchener						OSSLT count: 519	
ESL (%): 22.5						Special needs (%): 11.0	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,800: -0.9						Rank: 578/727 532/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.2	78.5	80.6	87.3	81.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.4	42.4	42.0	52.2	45.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	36.0	41.2	35.0	35.1	35.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.3	E	E	F 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 8.8	F 19.2	F 6.3	F 10.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.8	6.8	5.7	2.3	4.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	4.6	5.5	5.5	4.8	—	

St Mary's [Catholic] Kitchener						OSSLT count: 659	
ESL (%): 13.1						Special needs (%): 31.1	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$78,100: -0.5						Rank: 445/727 343/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.3	84.4	81.5	83.6	80.0	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.4	51.4	45.7	45.8	41.5	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	25.4	27.9	30.3	27.4	29.7	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.0	F 4.6	F 5.5	F 3.2	F 11.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	1.5	3.3	3.4	2.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.6	6.5	6.3	5.7	▼	

St Thomas More [Catholic] Hamilton						OSSLT count: 526	
ESL (%): 6.3						Special needs (%): 27.6	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,800: 0.3						Rank: 371/727 326/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.4	87.0	85.8	89.1	82.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	58.9	44.6	63.2	47.7	—	
Tests below standard (%)	27.6	27.7	30.2	26.5	25.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.0	F 4.0	F 6.2	F 11.1	F 9.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	1.9	1.2	0.9	0.4	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.1	6.3	6.4	6.2	—	

Forest Heights [Public] Kitchener						OSSLT count: 544	
ESL (%): 22.6						Special needs (%): 10.7	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,700: -1.2						Rank: 578/727 479/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.9	80.7	82.4	84.7	80.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.9	38.0	51.9	69.9	38.5	—	
Tests below standard (%)	32.7	34.3	32.9	29.9	35.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 1.8	F 16.4	F 5.8	F 5.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	4.9	8.8	6.6	5.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.9	5.5	5.9	4.7	—	

LAMBTON KENT AREA							
Alexander Mackenzie [Public] Sarnia						OSSLT count: 169	
ESL (%): 0.0						Special needs (%): 98.8	
Actual rating vs predicted based						2009-10 Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,300: -5.1						Rank: 714/727 685/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	26.5	38.4	42.1	41.1	37.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	24.7	34.3	48.4	50.0	51.6	▲	
Tests below standard (%)	74.2	64.8	58.8	54.0	59.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 12.1	M 14.0	M 7.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	11.9	0.0	2.0	7.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	0						

John McGregor [Public] Chatham							OSSLT count: 303		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 45.5		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,500: -1.5							Rank: 623/727 618/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	1.8	2.2	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.9	75.3	65.9	64.7	65.3	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.6	45.8	27.8	22.2	39.5	—			
Tests below standard (%)	33.0	39.9	46.2	46.1	43.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	▲			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.3	F 7.3	F 10.3	F 19.8	M 1.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.9	3.2	2.4	0.0	2.1	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.9	3.9	2.8	4.2	—			

Ridgetown [Public] Ridgetown							OSSLT count: 76		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 13.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,600: -0.7							Rank: 497/727 493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	1.9	2.1	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.3	90.5	83.8	71.8	77.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	54.5	62.5	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	26.7	28.8	35.1	37.2	25.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.4	M 0.5	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	M 12.1	F 11.9	F 4.2	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	3.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.6	4.7	4.3	5.4	—			

Tilbury [Public] Tilbury							OSSLT count: 118		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 32.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: 0.2							Rank: 411/727 596/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	▲			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.7	73.1	71.7	74.3	81.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	60.0	68.2	53.6	64.5	—			
Tests below standard (%)	37.7	46.3	38.3	40.4	29.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.7	F 15.4	F 19.4	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.2	0.0	2.6	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	3.8	4.4	4.1	6.0	—			

Lambton Central [Public] Petrolia							OSSLT count: 315		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 21.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,900: 1.2							Rank: 623/727 177/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	88.3	85.7	84.9	89.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.5	64.5	68.3	52.1	69.8	—			
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	24.9	22.5	24.3	22.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.0	F 12.7	F 11.2	F 7.9	F 7.2	▲			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.8	0.4	1.3	0.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	6.9	7.4	6.7	7.0	—			

Saint-Francois-Xavier [Catholic] Sarnia							OSSLT count: 29		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 24.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,300: -2.9							Rank: 688/727 n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.0	1.9	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	58.8	79.2	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	51.4	42.9	n/a			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a			
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.8	3.2	n/a			

Ursuline (The Pines) [Catholic] Chatham							OSSLT count: 423		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 20.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: 0.5							Rank: 351/727 343/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.4	82.3	83.9	79.9	86.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.0	65.6	70.4	82.1	79.5	▲			
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	27.7	29.1	25.6	25.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 1.5	F 12.9	F 10.2	F 5.7	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.9	0.7	0.3	0.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.3	—			

Lambton Kent [Public] Dresden							OSSLT count: 99		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 27.3		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,700: 0.2							Rank: 478/727 532/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.8	3.0	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	2.0	2.1	2.3	▲			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.6	76.7	78.3	82.1	79.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	50.0	26.3	77.8	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	37.5	41.5	34.6	23.9	30.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	F 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.7	F 9.7	F 18.8	F 10.0	F 2.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	0.0	4.5	2.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.5	5.1	6.7	5.5	—			

Sarnia [Public] Sarnia							OSSLT count: 239		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 20.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,400: -1.7							Rank: 618/727 532/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.5	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.9	82.9	81.0	78.8	78.0	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.3	63.6	50.0	43.4	52.3	—			
Tests below standard (%)	36.3	33.3	36.6	39.2	41.9	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	▼	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 5.6	F 6.8	F 7.9	F 7.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	8.8	3.8	7.8	6.5	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.0	5.4	4.7	4.3	▼			

Wallaceburg [Public] Wallaceburg							OSSLT count: 234		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 30.8		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,500: 0.5							Rank: 392/727 493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.1	2.3	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.8	70.0	76.2	66.9	79.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.9	45.3	38.1	44.4	38.6	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	33.7	37.0	35.5	34.2	30.1	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	▲			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.5	M 1.2	F 26.1	F 2.3	F 9.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	3.8	4.2	2.1	2.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.6	5.0	5.2	6.1	—			

North Lambton [Public] Forest							OSSLT count: 155		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 18.7		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$5 n/a: n/a							Rank: 478/727 365/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.6	1.9	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.3	83.8	84.9	87.9	84.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.1	71.4	68.4	58.3	60.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	28.2	31.5	24.4	25.9	28.4	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.4	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 0.4	F 8.9	F 9.7	F 9.4	▼			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	0.0	2.0	4.3	4.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.3	6.8	6.2	5.5	—			

St Christopher [Catholic] Sarnia							OSSLT count: 229		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 16.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,000: 0.0							Rank: 225/727 105/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	1.8	2.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.5	95.4	94.3	90.1	93.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	93.3	n/a	n/a	76.5	89.5	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	12.5	18.1	20.8	18.8	—	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	F 7.4	F 3.8	F 8.9	F 5.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	0.5	1.6	0.0	0.5	—			
Overall rating out of 10	8.8	7.9	7.3	7.1	7.0	▼			

LONDON							OSSLT count: 338		
ESL (%): 5.9							Special needs (%): 12.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10 Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$107,000: 0.8							Rank: 637/727 39/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.							

Table for Gabriel-Dumont [Public] London, OSSLT count: 25. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Montcalm [Public] London, OSSLT count: 301. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Sir Wilfrid Laurier [Public] London, OSSLT count: 343. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for H B Beal [Public] London, OSSLT count: 578. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Mother Teresa [Catholic] London, OSSLT count: 475. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] London, OSSLT count: 331. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for John Paul II [Catholic] London, OSSLT count: 384. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Oakridge [Public] London, OSSLT count: 272. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Westminster [Public] London, OSSLT count: 371. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for London Central [Public] London, OSSLT count: 220. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Regina Mundi [Catholic] London, OSSLT count: 339. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for NIAGARA AREA, A N Myer [Public] Niagara Falls, OSSLT count: 264. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for London South [Public] London, OSSLT count: 294. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Saunders [Public] London, OSSLT count: 543. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Beamsville [Public] Beamsville, OSSLT count: 226. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Monseigneur-Bruyère [Catholic] London, OSSLT count: 25. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Sir Frederick Banting [Public] London, OSSLT count: 325. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Table for Blessed Trinity [Catholic] Grimsby, OSSLT count: 254. Includes ESL (%), Actual rating vs predicted based, Academic Performance (2006-2010), OSSLT passed (%)-FTE, PE, Tests below standard (%), Gender gap (level)-Math, Gender gap OSSLT, Gr 9 tests not written (%), and Overall rating out of 10.

Centennial [Public] Welland							OSSLT count: 253				
ESL (%):	0.0			Special needs (%): 13.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,700:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 478/727		479/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.4	2.1	2.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	91.5	85.4	88.8	81.5	82.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	45.0	60.0	65.2	54.3	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	26.4	33.6	32.1	31.1	28.9	—					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.3	F 0.5	F 11.9	F 4.9	F 10.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.3	3.9	5.7	5.2	5.0	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.4	5.0	5.4	5.5	—					

Jean-Vanier [Catholic] Welland							OSSLT count: 83				
ESL (%):	0.0			Special needs (%): 20.5							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,200:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 513/727		450/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.3	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.8	1.4	2.2	1.8	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	85.1	95.8	78.8	85.0	86.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	33.3	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	19.0	34.8	36.9	35.6	35.8	▼					
Gender gap (level) - Math	E	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.8	F 3.3	M 6.0	F 10.0	F 3.9	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	5.7	4.9	5.3	5.3	—					

Saint Michael [Catholic] Niagara Falls							OSSLT count: 212				
ESL (%):	5.2			Special needs (%): 14.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,800:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 371/727		365/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	88.1	79.3	81.9	87.6	83.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	41.9	45.5	n/a	51.9	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	30.8	30.6	28.7	26.5	—					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.4	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.7	F 3.8	F 4.7	F 4.9	M 3.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	6.2	5.8	5.8	6.2	—					

Confédération [Public] Welland							OSSLT count: 43				
ESL (%):	2.3			Special needs (%): 25.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$56,900:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 683/727		n/a								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	n/a	2.6	n/a					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.6	1.3	n/a	n/a	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	70.5	57.5	51.0	n/a	55.6	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	52.0	50.0	37.5	n/a	38.1	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	40.3	42.9	47.8	n/a	48.4	n/a					
Gender gap (level) - Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 31.8	n/a	F 14.6	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.0	0.0	n/a	0.0	n/a					
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	4.2	3.3	n/a	3.3	n/a					

Lakeshore [Catholic] Port Colborne							OSSLT count: 341				
ESL (%):	0.0			Special needs (%): 17.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,800:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 392/727		463/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	1.9	1.8	2.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	87.0	78.8	86.5	82.8	88.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	55.9	37.9	50.7	50.0	51.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	27.5	35.7	32.3	34.2	26.7	—					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.2	F 12.4	F 10.3	F 6.1	F 14.8	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.9	1.6	2.1	1.7	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.4	5.6	5.1	6.1	—					

Saint Paul [Catholic] Niagara Falls							OSSLT count: 297				
ESL (%):	1.3			Special needs (%): 17.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,600:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 92/727		90/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.6	2.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	90.8	89.6	86.2	87.9	88.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	73.1	45.8	38.1	47.4	58.8	—					
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	19.6	24.5	18.5	16.6	—					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.2	F 1.0	M 1.9	F 4.7	F 2.9	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.2	1.5	0.4	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.0	7.4	7.5	7.7	—					

E L Crossley [Public] Fonthill							OSSLT count: 197				
ESL (%):	1.0			Special needs (%): 15.7							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,400:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 161/727		130/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.6	2.1	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	90.5	88.8	90.6	92.9	90.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	77.8	n/a	70.4	60.0	81.0	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	22.4	20.1	16.4	17.7	17.4	—					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.1	F 4.9	F 2.8	F 7.8	F 8.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	2.6	1.0	0.6	0.0	▲					
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.5	8.2	7.4	7.3	—					

Niagara [Public] Niagara-on-the-Lake							OSSLT count: 66				
ESL (%):	6.1			Special needs (%): 16.7							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,800:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 662/727		588/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.8	2.4	2.1	2.1	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	88.4	85.1	84.4	78.4	67.4	▼					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	76.5	26.7	n/a	50.0	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	26.3	34.4	31.4	35.8	39.3	▼					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.9	F 0.1	M 0.2	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 8.1	F 9.3	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	1.5	1.6	0.0	1.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	5.8	5.6	4.4	3.7	—					

South Lincoln [Public] Smithville							OSSLT count: 94				
ESL (%):	0.0			Special needs (%): 14.9							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,600:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 309/727		265/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	▼					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.8	2.7	2.4	2.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	88.5	88.6	88.1	93.0	90.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	46.7	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	20.5	18.4	20.2	26.7	18.8	—					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.7	M 0.2	M 0.4	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 16.8	F 5.6	M 3.4	M 1.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	1.0	1.4	0.0	1.3	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.6	6.2	6.3	6.5	—					

Eastdale [Public] Welland							OSSLT count: 234				
ESL (%):	1.3			Special needs (%): 45.7							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,600:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 708/727		678/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.8	2.1	2.5	1.6	2.2	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	68.5	57.9	63.2	61.7	78.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	40.0	37.5	41.7	52.0	31.3	—					
Tests below standard (%)	55.6	54.9	52.4	56.5	53.9	—					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.8	F 27.1	F 13.3	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	3.2	9.4	14.5	11.6	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	2.3	2.1	2.7	1.3	2.7	—					

Notre Dame [Catholic] Welland							OSSLT count: 391				
ESL (%):	0.0			Special needs (%): 14.3							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,400:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 225/727		326/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	85.5	87.7	84.2	88.8	90.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	69.1	66.7	63.2	58.3	57.1	▼					
Tests below standard (%)	27.4	29.5	27.0	28.2	22.4	—					
Gender gap (level) - Math	M 0.1	F 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.0	F 2.7	F 8.3	F 11.8	F 1.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	1.4	1.3	1.1	2.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.3	6.5	6.0	7.0	—					

Stamford Collegiate [Public] Niagara Falls							OSSLT count: 403				
ESL (%):	1.2			Special needs (%): 27.3							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$44,800:	2009-10		Last 5 Years								
	Rank: 683/727		647/693								
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.0	2.1	1.7	1.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - FTE	66.5	60.7	67.2	69.2	62.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%) - PE	39.0	60.0	50.0	55.6	45.0	—					

ST CATHARINES

Denis Morris [Catholic] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 430		
ESL (%): 2.1		Special needs (%): 24.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,200: -0.4		Rank:	513/727	493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.7	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.7	72.3	85.0	82.6	81.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.0	52.1	41.8	51.2	60.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.3	36.1	30.8	31.6	29.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.0	F 19.9	F 0.7	F 10.7	F 7.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	1.5	1.3	0.6	0.6	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.0	6.2	5.2	5.3	—

Eden [Public] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 199		
ESL (%): 6.0		Special needs (%): 8.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,500: 0.7		Rank:	197/727	105/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.4	2.1	1.6	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.8	92.5	95.4	93.2	92.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	82.4	n/a	80.0	n/a	70.6	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	19.9	17.2	14.1	18.2	16.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.7	F 2.5	F 5.0	F 1.4	F 12.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	1.1	0.5	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	8.1	8.3	7.0	7.1	—

Governor Simcoe [Public] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 213		
ESL (%): 0.5		Special needs (%): 9.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,600: -0.5		Rank:	478/727	397/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.5	90.7	89.8	87.1	84.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	90.9	66.7	71.4	62.1	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	24.7	28.6	29.7	29.1	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 5.1	F 7.6	F 10.7	M 5.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	8.1	11.1	2.4	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.6	5.8	5.6	5.5	▼

Holy Cross [Catholic] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 221		
ESL (%): 4.5		Special needs (%): 16.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,400: 0.7		Rank:	330/727	365/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.5	81.8	90.1	95.5	91.1	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.1	50.0	69.0	47.8	60.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.7	32.1	23.2	20.6	23.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.1	F 6.6	F 0.1	F 5.2	F 9.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	3.1	1.9	1.6	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.8	7.3	7.1	6.4	—

Kernahan Park [Public] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 181		
ESL (%): 0.6		Special needs (%): 73.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,800: -5.3		Rank:	717/727	n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.7	1.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	14.7	22.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	18.8	29.8	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	83.3	75.6	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.7	21.1	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a

Laura Secord [Public] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 181		
ESL (%): 2.2		Special needs (%): 6.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,500: 0.5		Rank:	392/727	301/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.5	86.3	91.6	84.3	87.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.1	62.5	62.5	72.4	80.0	▲
Tests below standard (%)	24.3	26.7	20.8	25.6	26.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.5	F 4.4	F 10.1	F 4.3	F 17.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.6	1.6	3.0	2.9	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.7	6.9	6.4	6.1	—

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 268		
ESL (%): 16.0		Special needs (%): 6.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,800: 1.2		Rank:	145/727	194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.9	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.9	92.6	96.0	95.1	98.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	93.8	85.0	66.7	85.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	21.1	22.9	19.6	16.2	15.5	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.0	F 6.0	F 3.2	F 3.2	F 1.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.8	1.6	1.6	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.0	7.2	7.1	7.4	▲

St Catharines [Public] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 280		
ESL (%): 16.1		Special needs (%): 18.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,700: -1.8		Rank:	677/727	666/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	1.8	2.3	2.3	2.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	67.8	65.6	61.7	76.0	67.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.9	35.4	32.9	45.6	47.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	53.6	56.9	59.5	45.9	51.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.4	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.1	F 6.2	M 1.2	F 7.9	F 0.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	20.9	8.0	8.2	2.0	2.5	▲
Overall rating out of 10	3.0	2.4	2.0	4.0	3.4	—

St Francis [Catholic] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 232		
ESL (%): 3.4		Special needs (%): 19.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$90,500: -0.4		Rank:	631/727	148/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.8	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.0	93.9	94.5	90.9	87.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.5	n/a	n/a	53.3	51.9	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	14.7	20.3	15.2	20.0	24.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.1	M 3.7	F 5.2	M 0.5	E	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	7.5	8.1	7.1	6.2	▼

West Park [Public] St Catharines				OSSLT count: 149		
ESL (%): 8.1		Special needs (%): 12.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,300: 0.4		Rank:	633/727	426/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.4	83.7	94.3	87.0	83.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	37.5	65.0	n/a	56.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	34.2	30.7	29.7	28.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.4	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.2	F 8.6	M 5.8	F 5.1	F 0.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.4	4.7	7.4	6.9	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	4.8	5.7	5.9	6.2	—

THAMES VALLEY AREA

Arthur Voaden [Public] St Thomas				OSSLT count: 243		
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 46.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,400: -1.4		Rank:	633/727	618/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	64.6	62.4	62.9	64.1	68.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.8	69.6	39.7	32.8	35.4	▼
Tests below standard (%)	44.0	41.3	45.7	43.2	41.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	M 0.2	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.8	F 0.2	M 13.7	n/a	F 10.1	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.5	13.1	10.2	10.4	3.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.7	5.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	—

Central Elgin [Public] St Thomas				OSSLT count: 198		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 10.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,000: 1.1		Rank:	197/727	51/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.1	91.8	92.0	86.4	88.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.5	73.7	70.0	82.6	64.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.8	14.2	14.9	17.3	19.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	M 5.3	M 3.6	F 8.4	M 6.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	1.7	0.6	0.0	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.8	8.5	8.6	7.3	7.1	▼

Lord Dorchester [Public] Dorchester						OSSLT count: 159		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 13.8			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,200: -0.6			Rank: 309/727			223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.7	93.4	88.2	89.4	87.8	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	17.5	27.1	23.7	25.3	18.2	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	E	F 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.0	M 5.5	F 5.4	F 12.0	F 14.0	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.6	1.3	1.3	3.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.4	6.4	5.9	6.5	—		

Medway [Public] Arva						OSSLT count: 208		
ESL (%): 2.4			Special needs (%): 13.0			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,200: 1.5			Rank: 105/727			81/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.5	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.4	94.3	92.0	91.3	94.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	13.8	17.7	16.6	15.4	16.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 8.6	F 1.2	F 2.1	F 3.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	7.9	7.9	7.4	7.6	—		

North Middlesex [Public] Parkhill						OSSLT count: 74		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 13.5			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$60,700: 2.1			Rank: 97/727			39/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	3.2	2.7	2.7	3.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.3	80.5	87.0	83.1	90.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	77.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	14.5	14.5	16.0	19.0	12.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 11.3	F 6.2	F 10.2	F 7.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	1.1	3.4	3.0	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	8.8	8.5	8.8	7.1	7.7	—		

Norwich [Public] Norwich						OSSLT count: 48		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 25.0			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,700: -0.4			Rank: 578/727			223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	3.0	2.9	2.2	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.1	82.0	91.3	85.5	73.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	28.7	16.8	12.2	25.5	22.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.3	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.9	F 15.8	F 16.0	n/a	F 21.4	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.2	3.7	1.9	0.0	0.0	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	8.1	9.2	6.7	4.8	—		

Parkside [Public] St Thomas						OSSLT count: 302		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 20.2			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,900: 0.1			Rank: 371/727			223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.4	78.3	80.8	80.0	80.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	79.2	73.0	71.4	60.0	49.0	▼		
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	28.1	24.0	26.1	27.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.3	F 13.4	F 10.3	F 26.6	F 15.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	2.7	2.3	0.9	1.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	6.8	7.4	6.4	6.2	—		

St Joseph's [Catholic] St Thomas						OSSLT count: 200		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 17.5			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,800: 0.2			Rank: 392/727			194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.1	85.6	91.5	89.6	89.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.6	n/a	73.1	61.1	57.9	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	17.5	25.8	23.9	22.4	25.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 7.4	F 8.4	F 3.6	F 7.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.1	0.4	0.6	0.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.0	7.1	7.1	6.1	—		

St Mary's [Catholic] Woodstock						OSSLT count: 250		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 17.6			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,200: 0.5			Rank: 309/727			223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.6	2.2	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.3	89.3	84.5	89.6	85.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.6	44.4	63.2	57.1	57.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	24.5	26.2	18.8	25.8	23.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 2.9	F 3.3	F 0.5	F 8.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.6	1.5	0.8	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.8	8.1	6.6	6.5	—		

Strathroy District [Public] Strathroy						OSSLT count: 366		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 29.0			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,800: 0.6			Rank: 309/727			148/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.8	83.9	83.4	84.6	82.5	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.5	53.1	62.5	60.0	61.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	22.3	20.5	22.3	24.1	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 7.5	F 8.6	F 9.2	F 8.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	5.6	1.5	1.6	3.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	7.5	7.6	7.0	6.5	▼		

West Elgin [Public] West Lorne						OSSLT count: 147		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 17.7			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,900: -0.5			Rank: 566/727			629/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.8	2.4	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.1	1.4	1.7	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.0	68.5	74.6	72.6	74.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.8	36.1	35.4	50.0	62.5	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	44.0	45.3	40.7	43.7	29.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.5	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.9	F 19.2	F 4.4	F 9.7	F 21.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	4.1	0.9	0.8	0.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.1	3.3	4.5	3.8	4.9	▲		

Woodstock [Public] Woodstock						OSSLT count: 162		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 26.5			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: -0.9			Rank: 557/727			463/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.9	2.5	2.1	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.1	86.0	86.2	83.3	79.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	61.1	n/a	47.1	56.3	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	31.5	33.2	26.2	30.4	34.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.6	F 2.4	F 5.3	F 3.9	F 22.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.8	0.0	0.8	1.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.9	6.7	6.0	5.0	—		

Bishop Macdonell [Catholic] Guelph						OSSLT count: 198		
ESL (%): 4.0			Special needs (%): 15.7			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$119,200: -0.8			Rank: 279/727			194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.2	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.4	85.5	90.3	91.9	87.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	55.6	59.3	60.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	19.8	22.8	17.3	20.9	19.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.1	F 3.2	M 3.3	M 3.7	F 12.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.8	7.9	6.8	6.7	—		

Centennial [Public] Guelph						OSSLT count: 379		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 9.8			2009-10 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$109,000: 0.7			Rank: 63/727			22/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.8	95.1	94.9	96.4	95.3	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.0	68.2	72.7	73.2	78.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	11.3	14.2	13.1	11.0	13.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.1	F 5.7	F 0.4	M 0.1	F 0.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	1.6	1.9	0.9	0.6	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	8.4	8.6	8.4	7.9	—		

Norwell [Public] Palmerston					OSSLT count: 190		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 15.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,200: 1.9		Rank: 126/727			343/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.8	2.1	2.4	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.9	80.0	85.9	89.3	87.6	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.1	48.4	48.6	34.5	75.0	▲	
Tests below standard (%)	34.6	32.2	27.2	23.5	16.8	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.1	F 7.8	F 7.8	F 9.9	F 2.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.1	1.1	1.9	0.6	0.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.9	6.5	6.6	7.5	▲	

Orangeville [Public] Orangeville					OSSLT count: 430		
ESL (%): 0.2		Special needs (%): 23.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,900: 0.9		Rank: 181/727			194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.1	90.1	83.0	82.0	87.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.2	67.5	53.1	53.3	64.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	18.0	25.6	23.8	21.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.9	F 14.1	F 9.4	F 11.1	F 2.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	1.6	1.6	2.7	0.7	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.8	6.8	6.7	7.2	—	

Our Lady of Lourdes [Catholic] Guelph					OSSLT count: 249		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,400: 0.5		Rank: 294/727			130/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.3	90.2	93.0	90.2	88.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.1	50.0	50.0	68.8	63.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	17.7	16.8	18.3	20.4	19.6	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 6.7	F 4.5	F 2.0	F 5.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	4.8	2.8	2.0	2.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.0	7.8	7.1	6.6	▼	

St James [Catholic] Guelph					OSSLT count: 286		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 19.6					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,700: 0.3		Rank: 330/727			265/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.8	85.3	88.6	89.9	85.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.1	64.5	48.7	55.6	63.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	23.3	26.3	24.6	22.1	23.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.8	F 14.1	F 4.8	F 12.2	F 8.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	2.0	0.4	1.6	1.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.6	7.0	6.8	6.4	—	

Wellington Heights [Public] Mount Forest					OSSLT count: 198		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,600: 0.4		Rank: 371/727			426/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.5	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.6	87.0	78.6	83.1	80.4	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.6	64.0	48.0	55.0	75.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	31.9	34.7	33.9	29.5	25.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.7	M 2.9	F 16.4	F 5.2	F 11.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	7.5	4.5	2.9	2.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.8	5.3	6.0	6.2	—	

Westside [Public] Orangeville					OSSLT count: 294		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.7					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,000: -0.5		Rank: 478/727			343/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.4	82.0	85.9	82.7	77.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.6	61.4	69.6	47.2	64.7	—	
Tests below standard (%)	28.9	27.3	24.9	28.0	30.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 12.2	F 11.5	F 11.2	F 15.4	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.4	3.7	3.3	0.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.9	7.1	6.1	5.5	—	

WATERLOO AREA

Bluevale [Public] Waterloo					OSSLT count: 371		
ESL (%): 0.8		Special needs (%): 16.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,800: 1.1		Rank: 478/727			51/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.4	89.7	93.0	89.7	91.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.6	80.0	76.9	73.3	77.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	18.3	19.3	13.9	14.7	13.4	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	M 0.3	F 2.6	M 1.7	M 0.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	3.9	5.1	2.0	2.2	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.8	8.8	7.9	8.0	—	

Elmira [Public] Elmira					OSSLT count: 276		
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 16.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$134,100: 0.2		Rank: 347/727			30/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.7	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.8	2.7	3.0	2.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.0	90.0	92.8	92.3	89.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	81.4	90.2	81.6	88.6	91.7	—	
Tests below standard (%)	15.9	14.5	14.3	16.2	12.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 0.4	F 7.8	F 1.3	F 8.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	3.7	0.9	0.0	0.7	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.8	8.5	7.9	8.2	—	

Galt [Public] Cambridge					OSSLT count: 344		
ESL (%): 17.4		Special needs (%): 11.6					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,400: -0.5		Rank: 478/727			426/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.1	81.6	84.7	87.5	77.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.8	49.0	56.4	58.5	43.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)	37.3	37.2	28.5	28.9	29.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.3	F 8.5	F 5.5	F 8.6	F 5.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	15.9	4.5	4.5	7.3	4.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.4	6.9	6.3	5.5	—	

Glenview Park [Public] Cambridge					OSSLT count: 323		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 35.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,700: -0.6		Rank: 478/727			493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.1	82.8	79.7	81.3	76.6	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.2	42.0	38.9	38.7	42.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	32.3	33.5	36.1	40.0	33.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	▼	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.2	F 4.9	F 20.6	M 1.3	F 17.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	1.1	1.6	2.1	2.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.2	5.1	4.7	5.1	▼	

Jacob Hespeler [Public] Cambridge					OSSLT count: 351		
ESL (%): 0.6		Special needs (%): 27.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,600: -0.4		Rank: 419/727			326/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.3	89.3	86.2	81.1	84.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	58.5	71.1	55.3	42.6	43.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	26.7	23.8	27.6	32.4	28.8	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	F 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 6.2	F 11.3	F 7.3	F 8.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	2.5	2.0	4.2	3.1	▼	
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.4	6.6	5.3	5.9	▼	

Monsignor Doyle [Catholic] Cambridge					OSSLT count: 322		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 39.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,100: -1.1		Rank: 566/727			450/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008</				

Waterloo [Public] Waterloo		OSSLT count: 390				
ESL (%): 21.5		Special needs (%): 10.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$88,900: 0.4		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	253/727		105/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	3.1	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	2.4	2.1	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.1	87.3	91.3	93.2	89.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.8	72.3	60.0	61.3	61.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.9	23.7	16.7	16.9	17.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.2	F 10.7	F 5.1	F 1.7	F 6.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.1	7.2	4.7	2.8	2.4	▲
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.9	8.6	7.7	6.9	—

Waterloo-Oxford [Public] Baden		OSSLT count: 391				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,400: 1.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	145/727		105/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.8	2.2	2.7	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.9	90.4	89.0	89.1	91.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	78.1	76.5	69.0	56.0	47.8	▼
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	15.6	24.6	19.5	16.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 2.1	F 8.4	F 9.6	M 2.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	8.6	6.9	7.1	7.4	—

Eastern Ontario

HASTINGS-LIMESTONE AREA

Bayside [Public] Belleville		OSSLT count: 241				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: 2.0		Rank:	79/727	165/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.6	2.4	3.0	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.2	89.0	85.5	80.5	90.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.4	44.6	44.7	51.9	52.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	25.2	28.1	23.7	16.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.6	F 7.6	F 4.2	F 3.5	M 0.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.5	0.7	0.0	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.2	6.8	6.9	7.8	—

Moira [Public] Belleville		OSSLT count: 191				
ESL (%): 2.6		Special needs (%): 22.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,100: 1.4		Rank:	197/727	397/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.1	78.9	81.2	73.0	85.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.6	47.4	50.0	42.9	56.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.8	31.4	28.3	38.5	21.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 26.3	F 7.6	F 6.1	F 8.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.9	3.0	2.9	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	5.9	6.5	4.7	7.1	—

Prince Edward [Public] Picton		OSSLT count: 276				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 35.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,400: 1.8		Rank:	265/727	343/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.7	81.1	79.6	77.0	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.2	45.8	48.3	38.9	42.4	▼
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	32.3	30.2	31.5	23.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 13.9	F 27.5	M 1.5	F 9.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.8	2.2	0.6	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.0	6.3	5.6	6.8	—

Centennial [Public] Belleville		OSSLT count: 331				
ESL (%): 2.1		Special needs (%): 35.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,500: 1.9		Rank:	145/727	223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.3	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.3	82.6	87.4	79.5	92.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.1	48.4	44.1	39.7	57.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.4	23.4	26.1	28.2	20.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.6	F 7.1	F 8.1	F 4.4	M 2.9	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.7	3.8	3.0	0.0
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.6	6.9	5.9	7.4	—

Napanee [Public] Napanee		OSSLT count: 463				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 34.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,900: -0.6		Rank:	530/727	532/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.5	78.0	75.6	72.7	75.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.5	51.0	35.2	36.8	43.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.0	35.1	34.3	41.2	31.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 20.5	F 7.7	F 13.7	F 8.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	5.4	2.6	2.2	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.3	5.6	4.0	5.2	—

Quinte [Public] Belleville		OSSLT count: 196				
ESL (%): 1.5		Special needs (%): 32.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,400: -0.6		Rank:	530/727	532/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.9	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	1.9	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.4	74.5	75.8	81.9	85.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	29.0	35.0	40.4	54.5	47.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	35.3	44.1	43.3	26.3	36.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 13.1	F 15.0	F 7.8	F 6.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	2.4	1.6	3.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	4.9	4.0	6.4	5.2	—

Centre Hastings [Public] Madoc		OSSLT count: 272				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 35.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,100: -1.1		Rank:	641/727	450/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.9	78.7	78.4	70.6	64.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.0	38.2	48.1	42.6	33.9	▼
Tests below standard (%)	22.9	28.6	32.7	37.8	42.9	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 10.9	F 15.5	F 26.1	F 13.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.6	2.5	2.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	6.6	6.0	4.4	4.0	▼

Nicholson [Catholic] Belleville		OSSLT count: 135				
ESL (%): 5.9		Special needs (%): 30.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,800: 1.4		Rank:	197/727	194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.1	80.9	92.2	90.4	91.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.7	70.0	62.9	57.1	73.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.4	31.3	22.6	20.6	20.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 1.8	F 5.1	F 0.3	F 8.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	2.8	0.7	0.0	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	6.2	7.2	7.2	7.1	—

Sharbot Lake [Public] Sharbot Lake		OSSLT count: 33				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 63.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,900: 1.3		Rank:	279/727	606/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.8	1.9	n/a	2.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.4	75.6	76.5	61.5	81.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.1	42.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	38.4	45.3	41.2	41.1	26.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.3	M 13.8	F 16.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.5	3.9	1.8	6.7	—

Ernestown [Public] Odessa		OSSLT count: 166				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 39.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,900: 0.2		Rank:	419/727	397/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.3	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.1	85.6	81.3	83.5	81.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	65.0	40.9	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	34.8	28.9	24.6	31.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.3	F 2.2	F 9.2	M 1.7	F 10.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	2.2	1.1	1.1	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.0	5.7	6.4	5.9	—

North Addington [Public] Cloyne		OSSLT count: 30				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 30.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$32,200: -0.9		Rank:	662/727	n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.5	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	73.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	29.8	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.7	n/a

St Paul [Catholic] Trenton		OSSLT count: 121				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 19.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2009-10		Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,100: 1.8		Rank:	92/727	301/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.9	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.0	2.4	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.2	80.9	86.7	90.3	88.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	66.7	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	28.0	33.8	17.3	14.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math						

Sydenham [Public] Sydenham		OSSLT count: 271				
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 32.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,300: 0.9		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 279/727 281/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	83.4	78.0	82.8	83.3	79.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	46.4	39.3	55.1	56.4	65.0	▲
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	27.4	26.2	22.7	20.6	▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.5	F 11.7	F 16.7	F 3.7	F 10.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.5	6.7	6.9	6.7	—

Trenton [Public] Trenton		OSSLT count: 242				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$59,700: -1.4		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 623/727 515/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.3	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	87.5	79.7	75.1	70.9	79.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	50.0	54.2	36.1	50.0	51.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.9	32.9	38.9	38.7	39.9	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	F 0.3	M 0.5	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 3.5	F 12.4	M 2.3	F 10.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	6.5	5.1	7.0	7.5	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.4	5.0	4.6	4.2	▼

KINGSTON

Bayridge [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 229				
ESL (%): 8.3		Special needs (%): 29.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,600: -0.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 392/727 463/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	83.9	82.1	77.7	83.5	86.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	82.4	74.2	59.1	85.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	33.2	33.6	29.4	28.3	23.0	▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.4	F 10.2	F 16.1	F 9.5	F 10.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	1.9	0.9	0.7	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.7	5.7	6.1	6.1	▲

Frontenac [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 283				
ESL (%): 1.8		Special needs (%): 17.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,300: 1.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 105/727 105/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.0	2.7	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	91.4	92.0	89.7	93.0	91.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	54.5	44.7	79.2	61.9	88.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.2	19.7	22.4	15.1	15.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.1	F 2.4	F 1.2	F 8.1	F 6.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.8	7.5	7.6	7.6	—

Holy Cross [Catholic] Kingston		OSSLT count: 310				
ESL (%): 4.5		Special needs (%): 27.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,000: 0.4		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 265/727 223/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	90.5	85.7	87.5	88.5	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	75.0	52.0	62.3	66.7	68.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.4	23.8	23.5	22.0	19.3	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	F 4.9	F 14.1	F 3.1	F 2.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.3	2.0	0.0	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.2	7.0	6.9	6.8	—

Kingston [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 248				
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 14.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,300: 0.8		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 225/727 148/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	95.5	96.8	93.4	94.3	97.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	65.0	71.4	78.1	71.8	70.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.8	15.8	21.6	17.6	20.0	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.2	F 2.4	M 1.6	F 7.1	F 0.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	9.8	0.0	2.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	8.2	7.2	7.3	7.0	—

La Salle [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 242				
ESL (%): 1.2		Special needs (%): 36.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$87,500: -1.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 478/727 532/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	80.7	87.1	82.2	70.7	82.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	48.5	66.7	n/a	35.0	63.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	28.9	29.6	43.9	28.9	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.5	M 0.6	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.2	F 2.7	F 9.3	F 8.7	F 16.1	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.0	1.6	0.7	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.8	5.1	3.2	5.5	—

Loyalist [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 193				
ESL (%): 17.6		Special needs (%): 35.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,100: -1.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 587/727 426/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	83.8	83.3	80.0	84.2	82.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	37.5	55.0	n/a	48.5	53.3	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.8	26.5	26.6	23.4	31.6	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.4	F 0.2	M 0.5	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.5	F 13.7	F 19.2	F 1.3	F 9.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	0.0	0.8	5.4	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.4	5.3	6.6	4.7	—

Marie-Rivier [Catholic] Kingston		OSSLT count: 40				
ESL (%): 2.5		Special needs (%): 20.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,300: 1.4		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 79/727 22/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.2	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	93.9	94.3	95.6	94.9	92.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	10.3	10.0	28.4	12.2	16.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 9.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.2	9.5	6.6	8.7	7.8	—

Queen Elizabeth [Public] Kingston		OSSLT count: 222				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 49.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$43,500: -1.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 648/727 666/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.1	1.5	2.0	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.5	1.9	2.2	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	67.2	53.8	52.1	73.5	71.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	47.2	39.6	61.5	56.1	43.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	55.7	58.7	51.9	42.8	42.3	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.9	F 16.3	F 10.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.0	7.7	11.3	3.8	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.4	1.8	3.0	4.0	3.9	▲

Regiopollis/Notre-Dame [Catholic] Kingston		OSSLT count: 260				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300: 2.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 49/727 39/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.9	2.4	2.5	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	87.1	89.2	92.2	94.8	90.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	77.4	24.3	66.7	76.5	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.1	16.5	17.0	13.3	14.0	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.9	F 0.8	F 8.8	M 0.1	F 7.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	8.4	7.9	8.2	8.0	—

OTTAWA

A.Y. Jackson [Public] Kanata		OSSLT count: 241				
ESL (%): 5.8		Special needs (%): 27.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,300: -0.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 294/727 130/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.4	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	93.6	93.8	91.6	91.8	91.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	76.2	78.9	60.9	75.0	78.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.3	16.1	19.3	19.9	21.9	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.6	F 0.5	F 5.2	F 5.6	F 3.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	4.0	1.6	0.9	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	8.1	7.6	7.1	6.6	▼

All Saints [Catholic] Kanata		OSSLT count: 299				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,900: 1.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years Rank: 41/727 81/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE						

Colonel By [Public] Gloucester		OSSLT count: 314				
ESL (%): 5.1	Special needs (%): 10.5			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$105,400: 2.0		Rank: 4/727 7/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.0	n/a	1.7	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	98.2	98.0	98.9	98.7	96.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	77.8	100.0	85.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	8.8	15.1	5.4	8.1	3.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 1.6	F 0.9	F 1.4	M 3.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.0	8.0	9.6	8.7	9.1	—

Garneau [Catholic] Gloucester		OSSLT count: 186				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 21.5			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$100,600: 0.9		Rank: 79/727 18/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.7	90.0	91.7	93.2	89.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	83.3	n/a	50.0	50.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.1	19.0	15.7	18.1	17.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 4.7	F 6.9	F 2.6	F 12.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.1	1.1	1.8	0.7	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.5	8.5	9.4	8.1	7.8	—

Immaculata [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 287				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 16.0			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,500: 0.7		Rank: 279/727 246/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.3	2.0	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.2	79.0	88.5	93.3	86.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.1	52.9	63.6	n/a	55.3	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	33.5	23.0	20.6	20.7	23.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.5	F 4.1	M 6.2	F 5.5	F 1.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	1.6	0.6	4.3	2.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	7.2	7.7	7.3	6.7	—

De La Salle [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 200				
ESL (%): 12.0	Special needs (%): 13.0			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$85,200: 0.9		Rank: 161/727 60/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.9	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	n/a	n/a	1.5	2.2	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.2	92.7	88.6	96.7	92.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	86.7	88.5	85.0	68.4	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	19.0	16.4	14.6	24.4	20.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 0.5	F 14.9	F 0.3	F 2.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.2	4.5	1.2	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.4	8.7	7.4	7.3	—

Gisèle-Lalonde [Public] Orléans		OSSLT count: 232				
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 21.6			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,100: 0.4		Rank: 181/727 51/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.8	2.6	2.8	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.1	92.5	88.9	91.0	86.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.1	83.3	70.0	87.9	65.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.5	21.3	15.4	14.6	21.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 1.3	F 6.7	F 8.2	F 10.1	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	8.5	8.6	8.4	7.2	—

John McCrae [Public] Nepean		OSSLT count: 281				
ESL (%): 2.1	Special needs (%): 18.9			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$116,200: 1.0		Rank: 237/727 30/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	1.9	2.6	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.5	93.1	96.7	91.9	95.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	80.0	70.0	71.0	82.6	67.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	14.5	13.4	16.7	12.8	10.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.5	F 3.1	M 2.1	F 5.8	F 5.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.8	2.2	1.2	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	8.4	8.0	8.4	8.4	—

Deslauriers [Public] Nepean		OSSLT count: 141				
ESL (%): 6.4	Special needs (%): 12.1			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,200: -0.6		Rank: 530/727 379/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.3	2.6	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.3	82.0	91.3	82.1	75.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.6	n/a	n/a	65.5	64.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.0	23.2	29.5	31.5	35.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.1	F 6.6	M 3.8	F 9.5	F 13.0	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	1.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	7.2	6.7	5.9	5.2	—

Glebe [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 454				
ESL (%): 21.6	Special needs (%): 18.1			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$95,600: 0.0		Rank: 279/727 177/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.7	86.6	87.2	88.2	86.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.8	77.4	57.7	62.3	58.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.7	23.1	20.4	19.7	20.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 6.5	F 2.3	F 4.0	F 3.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	3.2	5.1	3.5	2.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.1	7.6	7.1	6.7	—

Lester B Pearson [Catholic] Gloucester		OSSLT count: 232				
ESL (%): 9.5	Special needs (%): 22.0			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,900: 0.0		Rank: 445/727 546/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.2	2.1	1.8	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.6	69.5	70.7	84.0	83.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.7	47.6	61.8	63.0	47.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.0	46.4	37.3	29.0	31.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.3	M 14.4	F 5.0	F 7.8	F 5.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	9.5	2.4	4.0	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	3.3	4.6	5.9	5.7	—

Earl of March [Public] Kanata		OSSLT count: 271				
ESL (%): 2.2	Special needs (%): 16.2			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$107,100: 1.8		Rank: 10/727 379/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	2.4	2.2	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.5	96.3	91.5	97.3	95.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	87.5	90.9	83.3	84.2	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	11.3	14.0	12.3	10.7	4.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.1	F 2.2	F 1.2	F 0.5	F 5.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.0	1.4	1.5	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.7	8.7	8.5	8.6	8.9	—

Gloucester [Public] Gloucester		OSSLT count: 209				
ESL (%): 18.7	Special needs (%): 18.2			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,500: -0.4		Rank: 445/727 379/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.3	1.7	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.7	85.7	81.0	82.9	88.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.3	61.1	58.7	66.7	53.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.6	30.5	29.8	23.8	29.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.6	F 2.6	M 1.2	F 9.1	F 15.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	5.4	3.6	4.1	2.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.6	5.7	—

Lisgar [Public] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 319				
ESL (%): 16.9	Special needs (%): 13.2			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$112,000: 1.4		Rank: 14/727 10/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.5	1.6	1.6	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.2	96.7	97.2	96.4	95.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	93.1	90.2	84.6	81.5	87.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	10.8	10.0	11.8	12.0	8.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.8	F 4.0	F 1.0	F 1.7	F 3.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	2.4	3.0	1.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	9.2	9.0	8.4	8.7	—

Franco-Cité [Catholic] Ottawa		OSSLT count: 172				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 8.7			2009-10	Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,000: 1.5		Rank: 63/727 194/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.4	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.9</					

Mother Teresa [Catholic] Nepean					OSSLT count: 313	
ESL (%): 6.4	Special needs (%): 19.2				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$93,500: 1.2	Rank: 63/727				130/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.2	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.3	91.9	89.8	91.7	89.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.3	37.5	n/a	n/a	76.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	19.7	23.2	18.5	14.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 3.1	F 2.2	M 0.1	F 3.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	1.3	1.6	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.6	7.1	7.1	7.9	—

Nepean [Public] Ottawa					OSSLT count: 340	
ESL (%): 6.8	Special needs (%): 18.5				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$106,200: 1.2	Rank: 225/727				397/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.8	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.3	94.8	95.5	96.0	94.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.8	68.0	73.5	77.8	89.2	▲
Tests below standard (%)	16.3	15.2	14.3	11.0	9.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.4	F 1.9	F 0.5	M 2.0	F 3.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.4	4.1	3.0	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.1	8.7	8.5	8.3	▲

Notre Dame [Catholic] Ottawa					OSSLT count: 211	
ESL (%): 10.4	Special needs (%): 24.6				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,400: 0.1	Rank: 445/727				397/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.2	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.5	75.6	86.0	78.2	81.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.2	34.5	50.0	52.0	33.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	30.7	31.6	22.0	32.3	27.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 14.5	F 16.3	F 8.0	F 16.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	4.0	4.1	5.1	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.0	6.9	5.7	5.7	—

Osgoode Township [Public] Metcalfe					OSSLT count: 180	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 26.7				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,600: 0.0	Rank: 225/727				194/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.1	89.6	92.7	89.8	89.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	69.2	75.0	83.3	88.9	80.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.5	22.7	17.1	22.3	19.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 8.1	F 14.5	M 0.5	F 7.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.8	3.0	2.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.2	8.2	6.5	7.0	—

Rideau [Public] Ottawa					OSSLT count: 241	
ESL (%): 35.3	Special needs (%): 28.2				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,800: -0.6	Rank: 612/727				606/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.3	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.0	1.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	65.4	68.3	63.4	60.9	76.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.1	46.9	30.4	50.9	35.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.0	37.1	47.7	48.6	45.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.2	n/a	M 0.7	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 10.6	F 0.5	M 3.5	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	2.0	12.8	8.4	8.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	5.6	4.2	3.1	4.4	—

Ridgemont [Public] Ottawa					OSSLT count: 244	
ESL (%): 29.9	Special needs (%): 13.9				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,700: -0.9	Rank: 618/727				618/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	62.1	73.4	68.7	65.3	76.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	30.4	47.9	46.3	52.9	41.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	50.8	37.0	41.1	45.2	39.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.4	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 0.7	F 1.5	M 5.9	M 8.1	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	5.0	4.5	5.0	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.1	5.4	4.5	3.8	4.3	—

Sacred Heart [Catholic] Stittsville					OSSLT count: 326	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 20.9				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$115,500: 0.4	Rank: 79/727				177/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.8	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	2.5	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.3	90.4	92.8	91.1	93.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	74.2	52.8	71.4	65.6	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	26.8	24.2	16.6	17.0	14.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 2.8	F 9.3	F 2.9	F 3.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.8	1.1	1.1	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.8	7.8	7.5	7.8	▲

Samuel-Genest [Catholic] Ottawa					OSSLT count: 255	
ESL (%): 8.2	Special needs (%): 32.9				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,300: 2.0	Rank: 126/727				194/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.2	90.2	87.5	83.7	80.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.2	61.7	64.0	63.6	85.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	36.4	30.3	23.2	24.7	18.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.5	F 1.0	F 11.6	F 9.6	F 3.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.5	1.9	3.3	2.6	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.9	8.3	6.9	7.5	—

Sir Robert Borden [Public] Nepean					OSSLT count: 269	
ESL (%): 8.6	Special needs (%): 14.5				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$101,900: 0.8	Rank: 79/727				73/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	1.6	2.3	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.8	92.2	91.6	92.8	90.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.0	52.2	n/a	65.9	75.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	17.6	16.1	15.7	15.8	12.6	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.5	F 6.9	F 2.4	F 8.6	F 9.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	4.3	2.1	0.8	2.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.2	8.2	7.6	7.8	—

Sir Wilfrid Laurier [Public] Orléans					OSSLT count: 281	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 21.4				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$101,100: -0.3	Rank: 294/727				177/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.3	93.1	85.1	89.4	91.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	93.3	88.9	72.4	65.0	56.0	▼
Tests below standard (%)	18.1	15.4	26.5	21.1	20.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.8	M 3.7	F 9.0	F 6.2	F 5.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	2.4	2.7	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.4	6.2	6.8	6.6	—

South Carleton [Public] Richmond					OSSLT count: 362	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 23.2				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$116,400: 0.2	Rank: 105/727				105/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.4	95.6	91.5	92.9	90.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	78.1	85.2	85.0	76.5	63.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.4	19.8	17.7	15.6	15.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 6.8	F 7.8	F 7.4	F 6.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	1.9	1.2	1.2	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.7	7.7	7.8	7.6	▲

St Francis Xavier [Catholic] Gloucester					OSSLT count: 177	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 17.5				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$/n/a: n/a	Rank: 392/727				n/a	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	82.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25.3	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 5.5	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.2	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.1	n/a

St Joseph [Catholic] Nepean					OSSLT count: 262	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 16.8				2009-10	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$127,800: 0.7	Rank: 161/727				81/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend

St Pius X [Catholic] Ottawa OSSLT count: 291

ESL (%): 8.9 Special needs (%): 15.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,800: 1.0 Rank: 145/727 2009-10 177/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.8	88.8	88.2	85.9	85.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	58.6	56.7	53.3	75.0	67.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.1	21.3	22.6	20.3	19.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 8.2	F 5.7	F 12.2	F 7.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	2.2	1.9	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.6	7.1	7.0	7.4	—

General Panet [Public] Petawawa OSSLT count: 107

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 11.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,400: -1.4 Rank: 595/727 2009-10 493/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.7	80.2	76.6	86.4	81.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	62.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.0	27.0	32.0	21.9	29.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.5	F 12.0	F 11.9	M 4.4	F 18.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	5.9	3.4	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	6.6	5.1	7.0	4.6	—

St Joseph's [Catholic] Renfrew OSSLT count: 116

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 34.5
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,800: 2.3 Rank: 79/727 2009-10 301/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.9	2.7	3.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.4	73.3	92.8	76.5	86.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	29.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	26.3	35.6	20.7	24.3	20.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.3	F 24.4	M 0.6	F 2.4	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.3	2.7	1.2	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	4.8	7.3	6.2	7.8	—

West Carleton [Public] Dunrobin OSSLT count: 283

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 22.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$97,000: 2.3 Rank: 4/727 2009-10 10/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.9	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.4	93.1	92.9	92.8	96.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.6	62.5	82.6	55.6	95.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	11.6	15.7	12.0	13.5	6.2	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	F 7.7	F 5.4	F 10.0	F 5.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.9	8.6	9.0	8.2	9.1	—

Jeanne-Lajoie [Catholic] Pembroke OSSLT count: 45

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 24.4
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: 1.5 Rank: 181/727 2009-10 326/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.3	81.7	76.2	89.5	83.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	32.7	32.2	16.9	25.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.3	M 5.2	E	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.2	5.7	7.6	7.2	—

UPPER CANADA AREA Almonte [Public] Almonte OSSLT count: 160

ESL (%): 0.6 Special needs (%): 30.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$83,900: -0.3 Rank: 392/727 2009-10 246/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.6	2.0	1.7	1.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.9	94.5	91.4	81.2	88.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	80.0	68.4	n/a	54.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.0	17.6	25.0	29.7	23.7	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.0	F 4.5	F 3.2	F 11.2	F 15.0	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.1	6.8	5.4	6.1	▼

Woodroffe [Public] Ottawa OSSLT count: 265

ESL (%): 33.2 Special needs (%): 17.7
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,500: -0.8 Rank: 566/727 2009-10 578/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.9	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.9	79.6	80.3	87.2	82.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.7	50.0	57.1	66.1	52.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	42.9	40.4	38.6	29.4	36.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 13.6	F 0.7	F 11.5	F 6.8	M 3.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.7	16.3	0.0	0.0	7.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	4.7	4.5	6.1	4.9	—

MacKenzie [Public] Deep River OSSLT count: 80

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 17.5
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,400: 1.6 Rank: 197/727 2009-10 223/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.4	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.0	94.1	95.2	85.2	88.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	61.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.8	20.0	24.6	20.6	19.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.2	F 8.7	F 0.7	F 1.3	F 10.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.1	2.2	1.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	7.9	6.9	6.5	7.1	—

Athens [Public] Athens OSSLT count: 47

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 48.9
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,800: -1.4 Rank: 566/727 2009-10 479/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.0	2.7	2.6	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.3	2.7	2.4	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.9	88.9	77.1	91.1	84.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	43.0	31.8	27.9	27.5	32.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.4	F 8.5	F 20.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.7	8.3	0.0	0.0	6.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	5.6	6.5	6.8	4.9	—

RENFREW COUNTY AREA Arnprior [Public] Arnprior OSSLT count: 221

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 23.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,500: 1.8 Rank: 105/727 2009-10 426/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.8	89.1	88.5	86.6	90.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.9	46.7	65.5	65.4	53.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	25.3	21.6	20.1	19.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 9.3	F 6.7	F 2.8	F 3.2	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	4.6	2.3	3.3	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.8	7.7	7.1	7.6	—

Madawaska Valley [Public] Barry's Bay OSSLT count: 125

ESL (%): 1.6 Special needs (%): 27.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,800: 1.3 Rank: 253/727 2009-10 343/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.6	2.1	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.9	83.5	91.2	78.8	92.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.9	40.0	31.4	62.5	71.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.6	25.3	31.8	26.8	19.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.9	F 1.5	F 10.3	F 15.5	F 11.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	3.0	0.0	2.2	2.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.5	6.0	6.0	6.9	—

Brockville [Public] Brockville OSSLT count: 116

ESL (%): 3.4 Special needs (%): 12.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,000: 1.9 Rank: 79/727 2009-10 30/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.3	100.0	91.3	94.4	96.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	10.6	16.0	16.2	14.3	13.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	E	F 2.0	F 0.9	F 7.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	3.0	1.5	0.0	0.8	▲
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	8.9	8.2	8.0	7.8	—

Bishop Smith [Catholic] Pembroke OSSLT count: 240

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 23.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,800: 0.2 Rank: 419/727 2009-10 426/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.5	78.1	83.6	84.0	79.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.1	56.3	62.5	63.6	64.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	33.8	32.6	35.3	26.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 8.7	F 20.1	F 7.8	F 13.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	3.4	2.1	3.1	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	5.8	5.6	5.0	5.9	—

Opeongo [Public] Douglas OSSLT count: 152

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 33.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,100: 0.8 Rank: 371/727 2009-10 397/693 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.8	—

de Casselman [Catholic] Casselman			OSSLT count: 240			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 39.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,900: -0.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 371/727 105/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.8	90.6	90.8	89.2	85.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	69.0	52.9	50.0	55.6	32.7	▼
Tests below standard (%)	22.3	24.2	23.5	24.6	34.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 1.3	F 12.6	F 8.6	F 7.5	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.2	8.2	7.1	6.2	▼

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Cornwall			OSSLT count: 167			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 38.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: 1.9		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 161/727 246/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.1	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.5	95.9	91.7	81.2	86.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.1	n/a	43.8	54.5	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	40.6	20.9	25.1	24.7	19.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 4.6	F 3.7	M 3.4	M 0.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.6	1.1	1.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	8.4	6.9	6.9	7.3	—

North Dundas [Public] Chesterville			OSSLT count: 185			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 35.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$94,500: -2.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 595/727 426/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.3	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.7	88.8	76.9	82.2	78.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.2	64.3	43.8	67.9	76.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.2	24.6	25.4	23.8	30.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.6	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	F 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.4	M 6.6	F 11.5	F 5.2	F 14.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	47.5	1.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	7.4	6.2	6.7	4.6	—

Embrun [Catholic] Embrun			OSSLT count: 103			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 15.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$/n/a: n/a		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 253/727 73/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.9	2.3	2.4	1.7	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.7	97.7	92.7	92.9	88.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	11.0	17.4	22.0	32.2	20.0	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.3	F 1.3	M 0.7	F 1.9	F 6.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.9	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.8	8.6	8.2	6.2	6.9	▼

La Citadelle [Catholic] Cornwall			OSSLT count: 139			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,400: 1.4		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 265/727 223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.8	77.5	79.2	90.5	79.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	58.6	46.7	53.3	50.0	35.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.2	33.2	30.7	18.3	25.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 21.6	F 15.6	F 0.9	F 16.7	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	1.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	6.0	7.1	7.7	6.8	—

North Grenville [Public] Kemptville			OSSLT count: 163			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,100: 1.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 197/727 194/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.6	2.5	2.7	3.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.5	89.2	83.2	82.5	83.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.7	40.0	50.0	39.1	60.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.9	23.4	26.1	23.7	18.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.8	F 11.4	F 16.1	F 9.5	F 6.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	3.8	1.9	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.3	6.6	6.4	7.1	—

Gananoque [Public] Gananoque			OSSLT count: 143			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 54.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$30,400: 0.3		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 566/727 647/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	1.8	2.0	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.1	83.7	80.0	83.5	77.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	43.8	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	34.7	34.8	36.7	37.3	33.5	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.4	M 0.3	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.6	F 10.2	F 18.2	F 18.7	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	2.8	0.0	1.1	1.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.8	4.3	4.5	4.9	—

Le Relais [Catholic] Alexandria			OSSLT count: 89			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 37.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300: 0.6		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 309/727 365/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.5	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.9	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.2	78.3	84.4	79.6	78.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	37.5	44.0	47.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.8	32.8	34.0	32.6	22.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	F 0.3	n/a	n/a	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 25.1	F 15.1	n/a	F 49.5	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	5.6	7.1	5.8	6.5	—

Notre Dame [Catholic] Carleton Place			OSSLT count: 128			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300: 0.1		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 411/727 281/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.6	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.4	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.9	90.5	91.4	85.3	92.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.2	23.3	23.0	29.0	23.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 6.3	F 5.7	F 9.6	M 2.8	F 9.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.2	6.7	5.8	6.0	▼

General Vanier [Public] Cornwall			OSSLT count: 148			
ESL (%): 12.2		Special needs (%): 37.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,700: -1.8		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 677/727 647/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	64.6	69.7	70.0	72.9	61.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	45.6	41.2	42.7	41.9	41.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 27.1	F 10.9	F 16.5	F 11.9	F 2.8	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	2.0	0.0	2.4	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.7	4.3	3.5	4.0	3.4	—

Le Sommet [Public] Hawkesbury			OSSLT count: 74			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 14.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,500: -1.0		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 623/727 559/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.0	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.7	78.9	77.3	87.0	71.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	40.0	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.3	38.5	46.6	41.5	42.2	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.4	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	M 5.3	F 19.9	F 3.1	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.5	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	5.1	4.5	4.9	4.2	—

Perth and District [Public] Perth			OSSLT count: 197			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 34.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,500: -0.5		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 547/727 493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.5	81.1	82.8	79.8	76.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.3	58.6	72.7	74.2	28.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	31.9	29.8	34.5	32.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.9	F 4.8	F 7.9	F 3.8	F 14.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	5.9	3.4	4.3	5.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	6.1	6.2	5.0	5.1	—

Glengarry [Public] Alexandria			OSSLT count: 82			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 39.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,100: 1.2		2009-10 Last 5 Years		Rank: 225/727 223/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2				

Rockland [Public] Rockland OSSLT count: 113
ESL (%): 0.9 Special needs (%): 40.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: 2.0 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 497/727 130/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.8	3.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.1	91.0	87.9	80.2	81.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	75.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.4	20.2	19.4	21.2	15.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	n/a	E n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.2	F 7.7	F 8.7	n/a	M 1.2	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	0.0	2.2	1.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	8.0	7.6	7.0	7.8	—

Rothwell-Osnabruck [Public] Ingleside OSSLT count: 29
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 31.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,300: -0.3 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 497/727 n/a

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	n/a	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.4	2.3	n/a	1.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.3	85.7	79.7	n/a	88.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	30.7	27.5	n/a	33.3	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.4	M 3.5	F 12.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.5	0.0	n/a	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.7	6.0	n/a	5.4	n/a

Russel High [Public] Russell OSSLT count: 90
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 24.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$101,200: 0.1 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 225/727 165/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.3	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.7	1.9	2.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.2	92.7	89.2	88.6	85.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	9.7	8.0	17.2	35.5	14.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.3	E —	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.4	M 0.8	M 0.2	M 2.1	F 14.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.7	7.5	4.8	7.0	—

Seaway [Public] Iroquois OSSLT count: 135
ESL (%): 1.5 Special needs (%): 37.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,100: 0.8 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 309/727 265/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.1	81.6	82.0	82.9	79.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	73.7	36.8	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.9	27.0	22.0	25.8	27.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.2	E	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 12.4	F 10.9	F 8.3	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.7	1.2	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.9	7.0	6.9	6.3	—

Smiths Falls District [Public] Smiths Falls OSSLT count: 248
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 32.3
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$58,900: -1.3 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 623/727 450/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.1	1.8	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.4	83.8	80.6	68.9	69.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.2	53.1	42.9	46.7	54.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.8	21.2	34.5	37.3	38.4	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 3.7	F 10.9	F 2.6	F 19.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	14.6	5.6	5.2	6.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.5	5.4	4.5	4.2	▼

South Grenville [Public] Prescott OSSLT count: 178
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 34.3
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,400: -0.9 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 587/727 546/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.7	1.7	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.9	79.2	47.8	74.8	70.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.9	n/a	70.8	58.8	57.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	29.2	40.9	38.5	32.0	35.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	F 17.7	F 15.0	F 8.3	F 11.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	8.3	3.5	1.8	4.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	4.5	4.5	5.2	4.7	—

St Francis Xavier [Catholic] Hammond OSSLT count: 100
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 26.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,400: -0.5 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 513/727 463/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.7	2.0	2.1	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.4	76.5	82.2	95.3	90.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	50.0	63.6	60.0	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.8	34.2	28.6	29.2	26.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	E	M 0.2	F 0.4	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.8	F 11.5	F 19.7	F 2.8	F 12.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	3.1	0.0	4.8	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.8	6.1	6.4	5.3	—

St John [Catholic] Perth OSSLT count: 202
ESL (%): 0.5 Special needs (%): 31.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$62,200: 1.6 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 181/727 177/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.3	90.9	84.2	91.3	89.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.7	50.0	63.6	75.0	75.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.7	23.1	23.6	21.1	21.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	F 8.1	F 4.2	M 2.3	F 3.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.6	0.0	2.5	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.0	7.2	▲

St Joseph's [Catholic] Cornwall OSSLT count: 310
ESL (%): 1.3 Special needs (%): 35.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,200: 2.3 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 79/727 177/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.7	2.9	2.9	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.3	85.0	89.0	90.7	86.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.1	73.3	30.0	70.4	51.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.0	22.6	21.3	18.3	18.5	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 8.6	M 6.7	F 5.5	M 0.6	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.5	0.0	0.9	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	7.5	8.1	7.8	7.8	—

St Lawrence [Public] Cornwall OSSLT count: 111
ESL (%): 9.9 Special needs (%): 27.9
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,000: -1.3 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 655/727 640/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.2	2.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.9	72.3	66.7	76.2	83.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	49.0	45.7	40.5	44.8	43.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.4	M 0.5	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.8	F 7.3	F 2.3	F 1.6	F 8.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	0.8	7.0	5.1	3.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.5	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.8	—

St Mary's [Catholic] Brockville OSSLT count: 159
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 34.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,800: 1.4 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 197/727 194/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.1	2.0	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.2	90.1	87.4	95.9	91.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.3	n/a	n/a	57.9	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.5	24.7	25.6	22.6	18.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.1	F 0.9	F 8.8	F 3.8	M 11.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.3	6.8	7.0	7.1	—

St Michael [Catholic] Kemptville OSSLT count: 132
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 19.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,600: 3.5 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 2/727 1/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.1	3.1	2.8	3.2	3.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.9	99.2	96.6	93.8	96.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	93.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	5.7	4.6	8.2	5.9	3.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.0	F 1.6	M 3.9	F 3.1	M 0.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	10.0	10.0	9.6	9.3	9.6	—

St. Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Russell OSSLT count: 128
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 25.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,800: -0.1 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 265/727 194/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.1	95.7	85.0	93.0	95.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	82.6	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	26.2	21.0	26.7	19.8	18.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.3	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.4	F 0.2	F 5.7	M 1.0	F 3.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	3.4	1.6	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.8	6.3	7.4	6.8	—

Tagwi [Public] Avonmore OSSLT count: 137
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 38.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,700: 0.0 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 432/727 523/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.1	72.4	82.7	81.1	85.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	32.0	29.6	26.3	63.2	54.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	37.2	37.6	32.9	26.8	32.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.2	F 18.4	M 1.7	M 0.4	F 1.5	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	1.8	2.0	0.0	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.8	5.6	6.2	5.8	▲

Thousand Islands [Public] Brockville OSSLT count: 303
ESL (%): 2.0 Special needs (%): 39.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: 0.7 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 309/727 194/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.9	93.4	84.5	88.9	83.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	74.2	76.0	41.2	56.8	64.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.0	20.7	21.8	24.6	23.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 0.3	M 1.9	F 2.7	F 10.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.9	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.9	7.6	6.9	6.5	—

Vankleek Hill [Public] Vankleek Hill OSSLT count: 109
ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 25.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,200: 1.0 Rank: 2009-10 Last 5 Years 351/727 343/693

Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6					

Northern and Central Ontario

ALGOMA AREA

Bawating [Public] Sault Ste. Marie							OSSLT count: 178			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 14.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,000: 0.2							Rank: 432/727		623/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.6	2.3	2.8	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	2.3	2.3	2.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.0	64.1	68.6	62.9	78.8	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.3	45.9	42.6	38.7	59.5	—				
Tests below standard (%)	50.4	47.5	45.1	40.8	34.7	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.5	E	M 0.1	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.4	F 19.3	F 6.8	F 3.6	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.6	8.8	13.3	0.0	1.6	—				
Overall rating out of 10	2.5	3.5	4.4	4.4	5.8	▲				

Central Algoma [Public] Desbarats							OSSLT count: 125			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 28.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$57,400: 0.5							Rank: 411/727		515/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.8	2.8	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.5	▲				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.6	78.4	82.6	85.3	79.6	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.4	44.8	60.7	47.4	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	39.6	38.1	36.0	25.0	24.5	▲				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.4	F 0.1	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 25.8	F 3.0	F 12.5	F 0.8	F 18.6	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	3.9	0.8	1.7	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	3.8	5.5	4.9	6.9	6.0	▲				

Chapleau [Public] Chapleau							OSSLT count: 29			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 37.9			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$50,200: -0.4							Rank: 578/727		n/a	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.7	1.9	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	85.0	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	45.9	n/a				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.8	4.8	n/a				

Elliot Lake [Public] Elliot Lake							OSSLT count: 135			
ESL (%): 0.7							Special needs (%): 30.4			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,700: 1.6							Rank: 225/727		281/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.2	▲				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.6	84.4	85.4	80.6	79.5	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.9	50.0	42.9	62.5	73.9	—				
Tests below standard (%)	31.0	26.2	29.1	24.3	25.1	▲				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.6	M 0.3	n/a	M 0.2	F 0.2	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 12.4	M 4.9	F 6.4	F 3.8	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.7	7.6	6.7	7.0	—				

Korah [Public] Sault Ste. Marie							OSSLT count: 263			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 8.4			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,300: -0.5							Rank: 478/727		281/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.7	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.2	2.7	2.4	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.5	83.2	81.8	86.1	82.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.9	61.5	70.3	67.6	51.7	—				
Tests below standard (%)	21.6	30.3	20.8	26.9	29.2	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.7	F 4.3	F 13.4	F 3.0	F 4.5	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	4.3	1.6	2.4	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.5	7.6	6.4	5.5	—				

Michipicoten [Public] Wawa							OSSLT count: 34			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 35.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$36,100: -0.8							Rank: 641/727		596/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	1.9	n/a	2.2	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.9	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.6	86.5	69.6	46.7	88.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.5	n/a	n/a	68.8	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	22.6	24.2	53.0	59.1	39.1	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.6	M 7.0	F 13.5	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.1	2.7	1.7	4.0	—				

Sir James Dunn [Public] Sault Ste. Marie							OSSLT count: 168			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 19.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,100: -1.4							Rank: 566/727		343/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.4	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.6	2.6	2.0	2.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.6	87.7	87.2	89.8	87.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	77.3	81.0	64.3	59.1	69.6	—				
Tests below standard (%)	19.8	28.0	24.0	22.3	30.5	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	▲				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 11.2	M 0.3	F 9.5	F 13.2	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	1.3	0.0	0.8	2.8	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.3	7.0	6.6	4.9	—				

St Basil [Catholic] Sault Ste. Marie							OSSLT count: 243			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 26.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,800: -0.8							Rank: 513/727		450/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.7	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.5	2.1	2.1	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.2	82.7	82.9	76.4	80.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.8	51.6	45.8	42.3	57.1	—				
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	23.6	34.4	32.8	27.6	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.5	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.4	F 9.6	M 2.4	F 22.2	F 16.9	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	4.4	2.4	3.6	4.7	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	7.2	5.4	5.0	5.3	—				

St Mary's [Catholic] Sault Ste. Marie							OSSLT count: 204			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 25.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,600: -0.2							Rank: 419/727		426/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.7	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.2	83.9	78.2	86.4	84.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	52.0	56.3	58.3	56.7	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	30.6	34.6	29.8	24.8	27.6	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	E	M 0.3	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.1	F 11.6	F 9.8	F 2.1	F 5.3	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	0.0	0.8	2.5	2.8	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.4	6.2	6.0	5.9	—				

W C Eaket [Public] Blind River							OSSLT count: 94			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 28.7			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$110,200: -2.3							Rank: 566/727		578/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.4	2.8	2.7	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.4	2.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	60.5	79.4	77.8	86.0	84.0	▲				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.2	52.9	25.0	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	44.9	38.9	41.3	33.9	38.2	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.2	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.4	F 6.5	M 3.5	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2	8.3	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	5.5	4.2	5.3	4.9	—				

White Pines [Public] Sault Ste. Marie							OSSLT count: 213			
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 10.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based							2009-10		Last 5 Years	
on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,600: 0.8							Rank: 279/727		426/693	
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	1.9	2.0	2.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.9	76.9	82.8	76.7	83.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.5	66.7	47.6	66.7	77.8	—				
Tests below standard (%)	25.5	31.0	38.6	36.3	23.5	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	F 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.3	F 21.0	F 1.8	F 3.6	F 11.1	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.8	2.0	4.7	2.6	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	5.9	4.9	5.0	6.7	—				

Barrie Central [Public] Barrie							OSSLT count: 334		
ESL (%): 1.2							Special needs (%): 21.3		

Innisdale [Public] Barrie							OSSLT count: 566		
ESL (%): 1.4					Special needs (%): 20.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$80,900:-1.9		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 612/727		523/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.2	81.5	81.7	81.2	79.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.7	44.2	58.7	38.7	63.3	—			
Tests below standard (%)	37.4	33.1	30.6	33.3	35.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	F 8.1	F 12.8	F 10.9	F 14.3	▼			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	3.0	3.6	5.8	6.2	▼			
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.8	5.9	5.2	4.4	—			

Nouvelle-Alliance [Catholic] Barrie							OSSLT count: 84		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 13.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,000:-1.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 557/727		570/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.1	2.0	2.5	2.5	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.6	n/a	n/a	2.1	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.3	88.4	82.6	76.2	80.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	36.7	38.4	41.2	35.0	30.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.6	F 14.6	M 7.2	F 11.8	F 40.7	▼			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.1	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	4.7	4.1	4.6	5.0	—			

St Joan of Arc [Catholic] Barrie							OSSLT count: 451		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 24.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,200:-1.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 497/727		532/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.5	▼			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.7	86.4	78.7	81.3	84.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.4	66.1	57.1	65.3	55.7	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	34.3	39.5	32.0	33.3	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.9	F 4.5	F 6.6	F 5.1	F 8.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	5.5	2.0	4.5	2.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.7	4.4	5.1	5.0	—			

St Joseph's [Catholic] Barrie							OSSLT count: 249		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 24.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,000:-0.7		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 497/727		426/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.6	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.7	2.1	1.7	1.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.9	83.5	87.6	85.7	86.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.2	61.2	58.5	60.0	55.3	—			
Tests below standard (%)	28.1	36.9	24.3	24.9	29.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.7	F 11.9	F 4.3	M 0.5	F 6.0	▲			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	1.9	0.8	6.8	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.2	6.7	6.1	5.4	—			

St Peter's [Catholic] Barrie							OSSLT count: 309		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 18.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$75,500:-1.1		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 557/727		613/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	1.5	1.8	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.0	76.2	80.4	84.0	83.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.5	54.0	49.1	69.5	43.9	—			
Tests below standard (%)	36.6	45.8	45.1	31.9	32.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.8	F 10.9	F 11.1	F 6.7	F 16.0	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	3.8	3.8	1.2	2.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	3.6	3.2	5.1	5.0	—			

BLUEWATER AREA

Bruce Peninsula District [Public] Lion's Head							OSSLT count: 20		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 25.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$36,500:0.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 497/727		n/a					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.0	n/a	2.6	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	n/a	2.3	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	84.8	84.6	n/a	89.5	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	17.9	16.4	37.7	n/a	22.9	n/a			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 8.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	9.5	n/a	5.9	n/a			
Overall rating out of 10	8.7	7.0	4.4	n/a	5.4	n/a			

Chesley [Public] Chesley							OSSLT count: 89		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 13.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$45,800:4.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 4/727		18/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.7	2.3	2.7	3.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.2	94.3	90.9	89.9	96.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	17.1	16.3	24.5	17.3	8.7	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	E	M 1.9	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	2.6	4.4	5.8	▼			
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	9.0	7.7	8.3	9.1	—			

Georgian Bay [Public] Meaford							OSSLT count: 190		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 36.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$61,500:0.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 351/727		426/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.9	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.4	81.1	75.5	75.6	86.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.6	34.8	45.7	41.7	48.4	—			
Tests below standard (%)	24.4	31.1	37.2	29.6	25.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.3	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	F 7.2	F 20.8	F 2.1	F 10.0	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	3.4	5.6	8.0	3.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.0	4.5	5.8	6.2	—			

Grey Highlands [Public] Flesherton							OSSLT count: 317		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 31.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$81,000:0.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 351/727		523/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.4	2.7	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.9	75.6	77.6	68.3	86.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.9	58.3	54.1	45.9	35.8	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	30.8	37.7	38.7	39.4	28.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.0	F 15.6	F 12.1	F 13.2	F 6.1	▲			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.3	6.2	1.1	2.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.1	4.8	4.3	6.3	—			

John Diefenbaker [Public] Hanover							OSSLT count: 209		
ESL (%): 0.0					Special needs (%): 22.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,800:0.3		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 392/727		379/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.4	81.4	82.1	75.5	82.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	71.4	48.1	72.0	64.5	69.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	23.4	31.8	28.0	30.5	26.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.3	▼			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 23.4	F 12.3	F 3.9	F 18.1	F 15.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	6.0	4.9	3.9	2.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.3	6.6	5.4	6.1	—			

Kincardine [Public] Kincardine							OSSLT count: 175		
ESL (%): 0.6					Special needs (%): 28.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,700:0.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years					
		Rank: 294/727		223/693					
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	3.0	2.8	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.3	1.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.4	83.9	89.2	91.6	88.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.5	58.3	45.8	62.5	66.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	21.0	27.8	19.8	28.0	21.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	E	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 13.9	F 11.0	M 1.1	F 15.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)									

KAWARTHA PINE RIDGE AREA

Adam Scott [Public] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 193				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 29.0	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,000: 0.2		Rank: 411/727	343/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.2	1.9	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.2	87.0	82.4	84.3	83.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	67.7	48.6	50.0	68.6	47.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.8	27.1	29.5	29.7	25.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 17.1	F 14.7	F 12.4	F 19.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	3.1	3.1	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.0	5.9	5.8	6.0	—

Bowmanville [Public] Bowmanville		OSSLT count: 360				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 27.5	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,300: -1.1		Rank: 547/727	532/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.4	80.2	68.7	80.4	75.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	67.4	54.5	55.1	43.9	41.3	▼
Tests below standard (%)	31.4	38.8	37.8	35.7	31.7	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.4	F 17.3	F 14.0	F 11.9	F 13.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.0	2.4	2.2	2.5	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.1	4.8	5.0	5.1	—

Campbellford [Public] Campbellford		OSSLT count: 195				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 27.7	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 432/727	493/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.9	82.7	80.4	74.1	79.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	41.7	48.0	51.6	37.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.1	32.3	31.7	32.4	31.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.3	F 16.9	F 17.2	F 16.0	F 11.2	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	6.9	8.5	7.8	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.6	6.0	5.0	5.8	—

Clarington Central [Public] Bowmanville		OSSLT count: 352				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 30.7	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$84,400: -0.5		Rank: 419/727	463/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.5	82.4	83.9	86.6	82.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.4	58.1	43.6	51.6	59.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.9	29.1	31.3	29.7	27.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 9.1	F 10.7	F 14.9	F 8.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.0	2.6	2.8	3.9	▼
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.3	5.5	5.6	5.9	—

Clarke [Public] Newcastle		OSSLT count: 164				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 30.5	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$103,800: -0.5		Rank: 309/727	463/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.3	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.9	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.0	87.2	81.6	76.6	79.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.1	60.0	n/a	50.0	69.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	28.1	28.8	33.6	43.4	23.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.4	F 13.0	F 10.7	F 24.4	F 17.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	3.3	1.6	1.6	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.7	5.3	3.6	6.5	—

Cobourg District C1 East [Public] Cobourg		OSSLT count: 167				
ESL (%): 0.6	Special needs (%): 43.1	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,400: -1.6		Rank: 605/727	515/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.2	78.5	76.3	74.7	72.2	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	58.8	61.1	36.8	39.3	35.1	▼
Tests below standard (%)	28.1	28.6	36.7	35.9	37.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.8	F 16.7	F 19.4	M 4.2	F 19.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	4.5	2.6	2.7	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.5	4.9	4.9	4.5	▼

Cobourg District C1 West [Public] Cobourg		OSSLT count: 168				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 14.9	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,500: 1.1		Rank: 161/727	148/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.9	96.6	93.1	94.0	90.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	92.0	n/a	80.0	83.3	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	15.5	20.7	17.0	27.1	16.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3	F 2.8	F 6.7	F 0.5	F 6.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	3.7	0.6	1.2	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.7	7.9	6.1	7.3	—

Courtice [Public] Courtice		OSSLT count: 272				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 30.5	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,700: -0.6		Rank: 351/727	418/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.2	87.9	84.8	83.8	80.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.6	50.0	59.1	48.7	37.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.8	30.2	29.3	30.9	26.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.3	F 5.8	F 7.3	F 5.2	F 4.1	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	3.7	2.6	3.9	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	6.5	6.2	6.1	6.3	—

Crestwood [Public] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 277				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 33.2	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$77,200: 0.6		Rank: 279/727	301/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.4	87.7	83.0	81.3	88.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.8	39.3	64.1	48.9	61.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.7	30.2	23.4	27.9	23.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.3	F 3.6	F 18.6	M 1.9	F 10.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.3	3.3	4.3	6.1	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.4	7.1	6.2	6.7	—

East Northumberland [Public] Brighton		OSSLT count: 383				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 32.1	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$104,700: -0.7		Rank: 279/727	397/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.2	82.7	80.3	80.4	81.3	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.6	38.3	50.9	47.4	48.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.7	29.9	31.3	28.8	26.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.7	M 3.7	F 19.6	F 12.4	F 1.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	3.4	2.6	3.9	4.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.6	5.5	5.9	6.3	—

Holy Cross [Catholic] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 234				
ESL (%): 1.3	Special needs (%): 23.9	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,400: 1.2		Rank: 225/727	301/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.1	87.5	88.3	83.6	87.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	43.3	45.5	47.1	64.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	28.9	23.2	29.6	21.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	M 0.3	F 11.5	F 14.7	F 2.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.7	6.7	5.8	7.0	—

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Courtice		OSSLT count: 271				
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 31.0	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$102,100: 0.0		Rank: 225/727	426/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.5	2.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.6	83.6	82.8	81.4	86.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.0	50.0	45.7	61.3	64.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.8	35.7	40.3	21.1	20.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.1	F 7.1	F 13.0	M 1.7	F 6.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	1.6	1.4	4.1	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.1	4.6	6.8	7.0	—

I E Weldon [Public] Lindsay		OSSLT count: 335				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 16.1	2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,300: 1.8		Rank: 105/727	105/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.9	3.0	2.9	

Port Hope [Public] Port Hope						OSSLT count: 179	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 34.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$68,600: -0.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		445/727		493/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.8	71.8	78.0	80.4	72.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	50.0	46.0	24.3	39.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	35.5	36.2	32.5	32.2	28.4	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 18.0	F 9.1	F 6.3	F 21.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	0.7	4.2	0.8	0.8	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.1	5.9	5.6	5.7	▲	

St Mary's [Catholic] Cobourg						OSSLT count: 255	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$76,500: 1.4		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		126/727		265/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.8	2.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.6	91.3	86.8	85.9	87.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.0	43.6	52.8	50.0	64.5	—	
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	31.3	29.5	21.0	17.2	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.7	F 10.2	F 8.1	F 9.3	M 2.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.6	▼	
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.1	6.3	7.0	7.5	—	

St Peter's [Catholic] Peterborough						OSSLT count: 373	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.7					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,600: 1.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		92/727		81/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	3.1	3.0	n/a	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.6	91.3	90.0	87.0	92.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	71.0	57.1	48.6	52.9	60.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	22.2	19.1	16.3	18.0	20.0	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 7.2	F 4.1	F 6.1	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.5	1.8	0.0	1.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.9	8.6	7.6	7.7	—	

St Stephen's [Catholic] Bowmanville						OSSLT count: 247	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,100: -0.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		371/727		463/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.6	83.2	79.0	87.4	83.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.5	46.2	69.2	58.6	60.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	32.2	37.5	33.1	30.8	25.2	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.1	F 14.9	F 6.0	F 5.7	F 9.8	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	5.8	0.4	0.9	2.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.0	5.6	5.7	6.2	—	

St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Lindsay						OSSLT count: 145	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 31.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,000: 0.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		419/727		559/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	64.5	80.9	78.4	80.4	81.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.8	61.5	53.3	48.5	29.2	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	39.2	36.3	40.3	34.4	28.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.6	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.8	F 9.6	F 21.6	F 6.1	F 0.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	0.8	4.5	0.8	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.4	3.7	5.0	5.9	—	

Thomas A Stewart [Public] Peterborough						OSSLT count: 200	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 35.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$72,100: 0.6		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		294/727		223/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.9	3.1	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.7	83.3	86.1	84.6	80.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	50.0	40.0	78.9	60.7	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	24.0	24.3	21.9	21.7	24.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 5.6	F 1.1	F 1.4	F 10.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	4.9	8.9	8.0	5.2	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.1	7.7	6.8	6.6	—	

Kenora Area						OSSLT count: 32	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$27,400: 2.8		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		161/727		397/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.3	2.9	2.5	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.9	n/a	n/a	2.6	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.4	91.7	90.7	73.5	87.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	27.5	10.6	34.0	20.8	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 5.2	F 17.6	F 0.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	6.8	8.1	3.6	7.3	—	

Beaver Brae [Public] Kenora						OSSLT count: 269	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 17.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,900: -2.0		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		655/727		588/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.6	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.0	1.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.2	72.6	73.0	73.1	67.8	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.3	45.5	48.1	37.1	46.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	38.9	35.2	43.4	42.0	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 6.8	F 13.1	F 5.4	F 7.9	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.2	5.1	3.9	3.8	▼	

Dryden [Public] Dryden						OSSLT count: 244	
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 23.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,000: 0.2		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		419/727		365/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.0	84.7	78.5	80.0	73.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	36.2	26.4	31.7	38.9	—	
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	25.1	30.8	29.1	27.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.5	F 5.5	F 12.4	F 7.0	F 2.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.6	0.0	1.9	1.2	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.5	6.3	5.5	5.9	—	

Fort Frances [Public] Fort Frances						OSSLT count: 270	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 22.6					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,700: -0.1		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		432/727		379/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.6	84.2	80.7	85.6	81.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	71.4	58.3	57.6	47.9	50.0	▼	
Tests below standard (%)	27.4	32.3	28.8	33.0	30.6	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	▲	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 11.3	F 3.2	F 6.6	F 8.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	3.2	2.0	2.7	0.5	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.2	6.7	5.8	5.8	—	

Pelican Falls [Private] Sioux Lookout						OSSLT count: 36	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 2.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		717/727		n/a			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.6	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	36.8	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	23.5	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	74.5	n/a	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a	
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a	

Queen Elizabeth [Public] Sioux Lookout						OSSLT count: 182	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,800: -4.5		2009-10		Last 5 Years			
Rank:		713/727		677/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	</

Nipigon Red Rock [Public] Red Rock		OSSLT count: 53				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$96,800: -3.0		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		655/727	606/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.9	2.6	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.8	2.3	1.9	1.7	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.8	69.4	84.0	74.0	73.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	37.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	41.1	35.7	38.5	45.6	40.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	F 2.4	F 22.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.9	4.4	3.3	3.6	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	5.9	4.9	3.3	3.8	—

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 363				
ESL (%): 3.6		Special needs (%): 22.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,700: -0.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		464/727	397/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.0	2.4	2.0	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.6	85.0	79.6	80.4	79.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.8	49.1	57.5	42.6	36.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.9	29.5	28.7	29.0	31.0	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.1	F 3.9	F 4.0	F 16.5	F 14.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.0	1.8	0.5	0.5	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.5	6.4	5.6	5.6	—

St Ignatius [Catholic] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 432				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,400: 1.5		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		126/727	165/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.1	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.3	88.8	87.3	85.8	90.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.8	73.3	66.7	42.1	52.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.0	25.9	19.2	26.7	20.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.8	M 1.6	F 4.5	F 8.3	F 3.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.8	1.0	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.1	7.8	6.6	7.5	—

St Patrick [Catholic] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 358				
ESL (%): 0.8		Special needs (%): 20.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,700: 0.6		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		330/727	343/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.3	1.9	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.3	80.0	83.4	87.8	85.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.7	91.7	57.6	82.5	42.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.2	29.9	33.2	25.2	25.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 6.3	F 10.5	M 1.8	F 9.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	1.3	1.1	0.8	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.5	5.7	6.7	6.4	—

Superior CVI [Public] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 262				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		513/727	n/a			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	69.5	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	53.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	31.9	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.2	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 5.6	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.3	n/a

Westgate [Public] Thunder Bay		OSSLT count: 319				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$73,200: 0.4		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		330/727	515/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.9	74.4	75.1	82.8	86.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.5	42.6	54.5	50.0	49.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	37.0	36.2	39.8	31.9	27.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.1	F 14.3	F 19.1	F 3.3	F 7.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.7	9.6	2.2	4.3	7.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.1	4.5	5.9	6.4	—

NEAR NORTH AREA

Algonquin [Catholic] North Bay		OSSLT count: 177				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 36.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,500: -0.3		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		478/727	265/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.8	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.8	90.3	90.2	81.0	79.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	29.4	66.7	38.9	27.8	44.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.4	15.0	31.3	29.9	36.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.4	F 6.8	F 12.2	F 9.5	F 18.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	8.9	7.0	5.9	5.5	—

Almaguin Highlands [Public] South River		OSSLT count: 216				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 31.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$55,100: -0.9		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		605/727	397/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.0	2.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.8	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.6	73.8	83.1	78.1	79.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.5	38.1	55.2	56.8	71.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.1	41.9	35.8	46.5	40.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.3	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.5	F 17.8	F 12.2	M 0.9	M 3.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.4	5.4	9.4	8.0	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	4.7	5.7	3.6	4.5	—

Chippewa [Public] North Bay		OSSLT count: 126				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 34.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,100: 0.6		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		330/727	281/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.2	85.5	91.6	85.3	83.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	43.8	43.8	68.8	57.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.9	26.3	23.1	22.7	27.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	E	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 12.9	F 1.9	F 5.6	F 10.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	4.5	5.1	15.2	6.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.6	7.2	6.4	6.4	—

Franco-Cité [Catholic] Sturgeon Falls		OSSLT count: 105				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 43.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,100: 0.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		478/727	343/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	2.3	2.2	1.8	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.3	88.5	90.7	79.3	77.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.0	37.9	30.0	45.8	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	24.0	32.9	31.9	36.4	33.1	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.6	F 8.1	F 2.8	F 22.5	F 23.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	6.5	7.4	5.2	5.5	—

L'Odysée [Public] North Bay		OSSLT count: 39				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,100: 1.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		225/727	n/a			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	n/a	n/a	2.8	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.6	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.0	82.6	85.2	78.9	81.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	9.4	17.4	16.7	50.0	16.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	n/a	0.0	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	9.3	n/a	8.1	4.1	7.0	n/a

Northern [Public] Sturgeon Falls		OSSLT count: 63				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$51,900: -1.2		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		633/727	656/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Appld)	1.6	1.8	1.5	2.1	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.7	67.7	76.1	67.2	75.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	29.9	35.3	50.0	55.6	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	54.6	50.0	45.5	47.7	41.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	E	n/a	M 0.5	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	F 16.4	F 5.5	F 17.8	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.9	9.5	9.4	3.9	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.4	3.5	3.6	3.1	4.1	—

Parry Sound [Public] Parry Sound		OSSLT count: 282				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 31.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$49,500: 0.4		2009-10	Last 5 Years			
Rank:		464/727	546/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.					

Englehart [Public] Englehart			OSSLT count: 59			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 39.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,800: -1.0		2009-10 Rank: 566/727		Last 5 Years 493/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.3	2.4	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.2	70.5	80.0	79.5	69.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	68.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	47.0	32.7	21.6	30.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.6	F 21.8	F 27.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	3.2	5.3	7.6	4.9	—

Northern Lights [Public] Moosonee			OSSLT count: 42			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2009-10 Rank: 717/727		Last 5 Years n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.9	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	35.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	62.8	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	16.7	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a

Timiskaming District [Public] New Liskeard			OSSLT count: 232			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 27.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$52,300: 0.9		2009-10 Rank: 371/727		Last 5 Years 379/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.4	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.0	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.2	76.2	85.6	82.1	81.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.1	53.1	56.8	55.6	70.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	30.7	26.4	29.2	37.0	27.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 6.0	M 0.9	M 2.4	F 9.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	2.6	6.2	0.6	2.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.8	6.7	5.1	6.2	—

Hearst [Catholic] Hearst			OSSLT count: 108			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$46,600: 3.3		2009-10 Rank: 237/727		Last 5 Years 60/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.3	3.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.0	87.5	88.1	82.6	84.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	63.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	21.9	18.7	29.2	14.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 11.1	F 18.1	F 10.5	M 1.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	7.9	8.4	6.3	8.4	—

Nouveau Regard-Pavillon Jeunesse Nord [Catholic] Cochrane			OSSLT count: 33			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 15.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,000: -0.3		2009-10 Rank: 309/727		Last 5 Years 379/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.6	70.4	84.6	68.6	75.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.1	22.7	26.4	26.7	16.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 27.8	n/a	F 18.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.2	6.4	4.5	6.5	—

Timmins [Public] Timmins			OSSLT count: 280			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 13.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,700: -1.6		2009-10 Rank: 623/727		Last 5 Years 588/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.5	1.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	64.2	78.0	75.9	81.4	79.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.9	49.3	38.2	49.2	36.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.2	38.7	38.9	39.5	39.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.1	F 9.4	F 12.1	F 5.0	F 8.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	14.1	8.9	13.6	8.2	8.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	5.5	4.6	4.7	4.2	—

Iroquois Falls [Public] Iroquois Falls			OSSLT count: 53			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,500: -0.5		2009-10 Rank: 497/727		Last 5 Years 450/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	73.1	84.3	86.5	81.0	77.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.4	56.3	50.0	43.8	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	35.1	28.8	33.6	31.5	33.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.6	F 12.5	F 2.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	20.9	20.0	16.9	11.8	5.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.2	6.3	5.6	5.4	—

O'Gorman [Catholic] Timmins			OSSLT count: 165			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$65,400: -1.7		2009-10 Rank: 641/727		Last 5 Years 653/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.8	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.4	78.8	81.3	74.8	76.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.0	47.2	46.9	46.2	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	46.4	50.2	46.1	49.4	41.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.5	F 0.5	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.8	F 10.8	F 14.8	F 17.6	F 6.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.5	3.5	0.8	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.6	3.8	3.0	2.4	4.0	—

RAINBOW AREA

Bishop Alexander Carter [Catholic] Hammer			OSSLT count: 138			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,300: 0.3		2009-10 Rank: 371/727		Last 5 Years 397/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.3	82.9	90.2	80.2	86.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	57.9	60.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	28.7	33.9	36.2	25.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.9	F 9.8	M 1.9	M 0.3	F 14.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	3.2	2.7	0.9	2.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	5.9	5.7	4.9	6.2	—

Jean-Vanier [Catholic] Kirkland Lake			OSSLT count: 20			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 30.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$63,800: -4.1		2009-10 Rank: 710/727		Last 5 Years n/a		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	55.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.6	n/a

Roland Michener [Public] South Porcupine			OSSLT count: 59			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 10.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,500: -2.6		2009-10 Rank: 690/727		Last 5 Years 629/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	1.6	2.2	2.2	2.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.3	1.7	2.1	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.7	76.6	83.6	83.3	83.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	33.3	56.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	34.1	55.3	42.9	36.2	41.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 17.5	F 3.9	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.5	2.7	3.1	12.5	6.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	1.9	4.5	4.1	3.1	—

Champlain [Catholic] Chelmsford			OSSLT count: 116			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 16.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,600: -1.7		2009-10 Rank: 633/727		Last 5 Years 588/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.3	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.2	2.2	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.7	72.1	79.7	71.9	66.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	23.5	n/a	42.1	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	31.8	42.5	45.3	40.4	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.5	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.2	F 18.6	F 6.4	F 15.0	F 8.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.1	5.1	3.3	4.1	▼

Kapusasing [Public] Kapusasing			OSSLT count: 65			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 24.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$74,600: -4.6		2009-10 Rank: 712/727		Last 5 Years 629/693		
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.1	2.1	1.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.0	2.1	1.8	1.8	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.8	88.5	62.8	69.0	69.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	81.3	n/a	n/a			

Confederation [Public] Val Caron						OSSLT count: 178	
ESL (%): 0.6			Special needs (%): 21.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,300: -1.0			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 566/727			596/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.3	2.8	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	1.8	2.2	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	59.4	65.9	74.6	72.9	70.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.5	55.4	36.7	55.3	47.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)	44.2	44.0	39.0	38.4	34.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 15.4	F 9.3	F 16.0	F 20.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	5.6	7.9	3.1	2.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.1	4.9	4.9	4.9	▲	

Espanola [Public] Espanola						OSSLT count: 210	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 23.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$53,500: 0.2			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 464/727			479/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	3.0	3.1	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.7	68.7	76.3	73.2	79.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.5	52.7	48.9	48.8	34.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	35.2	44.8	34.5	35.0	35.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.5	F 6.2	F 8.8	F 6.7	F 5.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	5.5	2.1	4.1	3.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	4.6	5.9	5.9	5.6	—	

Hamner [Public] Hamner						OSSLT count: 39	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 38.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$69,200: -4.2			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 709/727			n/a				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	1.8	2.4	n/a	2.4	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.3	1.8	2.0	2.3	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	57.9	50.0	36.1	66.7	44.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	11.8	66.7	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	42.1	69.0	68.4	43.5	50.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	0.0	n/a	
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	0.0	0.2	4.1	1.7	n/a	

Lasalle [Public] Sudbury						OSSLT count: 264	
ESL (%): 0.8			Special needs (%): 16.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,100: -0.8			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 557/727			479/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.4	79.5	76.6	84.8	78.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.3	60.0	54.5	50.9	47.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)	35.5	37.9	39.2	34.1	37.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.4	F 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.3	F 18.2	F 8.7	F 5.5	M 0.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.2	7.2	9.4	4.4	2.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.1	4.6	4.9	5.0	—	

L'Horizon [Catholic] Val Caron						OSSLT count: 100	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 25.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$71,700: -2.3			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 662/727			479/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.2	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	3.0	1.9	2.7	1.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.8	67.9	73.7	77.8	74.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.0	42.3	67.7	47.6	33.3	—	
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	27.6	38.5	29.3	45.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.3	M 0.2	E	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.8	F 24.8	F 27.7	F 15.7	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.3	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	6.0	5.0	5.5	3.7	▼	

Lively [Public] Lively						OSSLT count: 87	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 34.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$98,300: -1.6			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 530/727			546/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.8	2.1	2.2	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.2	77.6	74.0	76.7	78.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.7	n/a	n/a	40.9	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	36.8	29.3	37.9	36.6	26.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.7	F 6.0	F 18.5	M 0.5	F 18.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	6.4	4.0	4.9	5.2	—	

Lockery [Public] Sudbury						OSSLT count: 212	
ESL (%): 2.4			Special needs (%): 12.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$86,400: 1.1			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 126/727			148/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.7	2.1	1.6	2.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.5	92.9	90.3	90.8	92.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	95.0	84.2	54.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	14.9	22.1	21.0	15.5	12.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.0	M 1.3	F 4.5	F 0.4	F 7.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	3.6	0.8	1.8	0.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.3	6.8	7.4	7.5	—	

Lo-Ellen Park [Public] Sudbury						OSSLT count: 224	
ESL (%): 0.9			Special needs (%): 23.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$99,100: 0.6			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 648/727			148/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.1	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.0	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	91.3	86.8	88.8	89.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.3	n/a	53.8	41.2	45.0	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	18.0	20.3	17.2	18.1	15.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.4	F 7.7	F 9.3	F 12.3	F 7.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.7	0.6	1.1	1.7	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.1	7.9	7.1	7.5	—	

Macdonald-Cartier [Public] Sudbury						OSSLT count: 127	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 36.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$70,000: -2.0			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 648/727			656/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.5	2.6	2.3	2.3	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.8	1.4	1.1	1.5	1.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.3	62.7	61.3	71.6	73.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	47.4	26.1	32.4	50.0	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	46.3	48.4	49.3	49.7	45.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	E	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.9	F 25.4	F 26.1	F 21.6	F 16.9	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	5.7	3.9	0.0	1.1	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	3.2	2.9	3.1	3.9	—	

Manitoulin [Public] M'Chigeeng						OSSLT count: 108	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 18.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$41,300: -0.8			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 419/727			546/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.3	3.0	2.6	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.0	1.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.6	62.3	76.2	86.4	74.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.2	40.7	42.0	41.9	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	33.2	47.6	33.2	32.7	37.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.1	F 28.5	F 16.7	F 9.7	F 23.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	5.4	1.7	8.8	4.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	3.2	6.0	5.6	4.2	—	

Marymount [Catholic] Sudbury						OSSLT count: 58	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 1.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$79,800: -0.3			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 419/727			18/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.0	n/a	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.6	100.0	98.6	97.7	98.2	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	9.0	11.9	3.8	7.8	19.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	8.9	9.8	9.2	5.9	—	

Sacré-Coeur [Catholic] Sudbury						OSSLT count: 145	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 28.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,800: -2.1			2009-10		Last 5 Years		
Rank: 669/727			479/693				
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.3	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.4	1.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.0	82.8	79.5	77.8	70.4	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n				

TRILLIUM LAKELANDS AREA**Bracebridge and Muskoka Lakes [Public] Bracebridge OSSLT count: 319**

	2009-10		Last 5 Years		Trend	
	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,300: 0.3	Rank: 392/727		365/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.1	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.8	84.2	80.7	82.9	82.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.1	69.1	37.9	55.8	47.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	27.3	31.4	28.9	26.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.5	F 13.0	F 2.3	F 10.6	F 12.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.5	2.2	0.5	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	7.0	6.3	5.8	6.1	—

Gravenhurst [Public] Gravenhurst OSSLT count: 138

	2009-10		Last 5 Years		Trend	
	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 35.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$54,200: 1.8	Rank: 181/727		379/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.7	2.6	2.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.9	70.1	75.9	72.2	85.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.4	54.8	52.4	58.1	65.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	37.9	29.2	34.9	19.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.4	n/a	n/a	M 0.2	M 0.2	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 13.1	F 22.6	F 15.2	F 11.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	1.1	2.3	2.2	4.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	5.5	6.8	5.1	7.2	—

Huntsville [Public] Huntsville OSSLT count: 328

	2009-10		Last 5 Years		Trend	
	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years		
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 23.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$66,200: 0.7	Rank: 309/727		343/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.6	80.4	80.6	84.5	80.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.8	45.5	47.4	72.5	68.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.1	32.5	28.0	23.1	24.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 6.8	F 2.0	F 10.8	F 4.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.9	2.4	1.7	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.4	6.6	6.9	6.5	▲

Fenelon Falls [Public] Fenelon Falls OSSLT count: 261

	2009-10		Last 5 Years		Trend	
	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years		
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 18.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$67,000: 1.0	Rank: 265/727		265/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.3	81.9	82.9	83.7	86.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.6	69.6	52.6	66.7	77.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.5	26.6	25.7	22.4	24.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 20.4	F 12.8	F 7.5	F 7.7	F 4.9	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	0.0	1.8	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	7.0	7.5	7.0	6.8	—

Haliburton Highland [Public] Haliburton OSSLT count: 184

	2009-10		Last 5 Years		Trend	
	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$47,700: 2.2	Rank: 145/727		194/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.6	2.8	2.3	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.2	79.2	75.0	89.0	82.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.5	39.4	61.9	82.9	66.7	▲
Tests below standard (%)	30.1	27.5	26.9	21.1	18.6	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.6	F 6.7	F 3.2	M 7.7	F 3.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	3.1	1.8	0.0	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.0	6.8	7.8	7.4	▲

St Dominic [Catholic] Bracebridge OSSLT count: 81

	2009-10		Last 5 Years		Trend	
	2009-10	Last 5 Years	2009-10	Last 5 Years		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$64,900: 1.0	Rank: 279/727		479/693			
Academic Performance	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.9	2.5	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.1	1.3	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.8	78.7	68.6	77.9	81.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	86.7	56.0	63.2	53.3	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	28.2	36.5	34.5	31.6	19.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	F 13.7	F 10.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	2.3	1.1	0.0	7.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.2	4.8	5.2	6.7	—

Ranking the schools

In this table, schools are ranked (on the left hand side of the page) in descending order (from 1 to 717) according to their academic performance as measured by the *Overall rating out of 10* (shown on the right side of the table) for the school year 2009/2010. Each school's five-year average ranking and *Overall rating out of 10* are also listed. The higher the overall rating (out of 10), the higher the rank awarded to the school.

Where schools tied in the overall rating, they were awarded the same rank. Where fewer than five years of data were available, “n/a” appears in the table.

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. In order to be included, schools must have had, in the school year 2009/2010, at least 15 students who wrote either of the two versions of the grade-9 EQAO math test and at least 15 first-time-eligible or previ-

ously eligible writers of the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test. Private schools, including federally funded schools operated by the First Nations, are not required to administer the grade-9 EQAO tests. Since the results of these tests are a necessary component of this Report Card, only those private schools that both administered the EQAO tests and allowed the publication of their results could be included. The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* should not be considered a judgement of the school's effectiveness.

IMPORTANT: In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years
1	n/a	St Michael's Choir (Sr)	Toronto	9.8	n/a
2	1	St Michael	Kemptville	9.6	9.7
3	3	Pierre Elliott Trudeau	Markham	9.2	9.2
4	2	London Central	London	9.1	9.6
4	7	Colonel By	Gloucester	9.1	8.9
4	10	Oakville Trafalgar	Oakville	9.1	8.8
4	10	West Carleton	Dunrobin	9.1	8.8
4	18	Chesley	Chesley	9.1	8.5
9	60	Père-René-de-Galinée	Cambridge	9.0	8.0
10	3	Bayview	Richmond Hill	8.9	9.2
10	15	Earl of March	Kanata	8.9	8.7
12	3	Unionville	Unionville	8.8	9.2
12	6	Cardinal Carter Academy for the Arts	Toronto	8.8	9.1
14	10	Lisgar	Ottawa	8.7	8.8
14	22	Ursula Franklin	Toronto	8.7	8.4
16	10	North Toronto	Toronto	8.6	8.8
16	22	Etobicoke School of the Arts	Toronto	8.6	8.4
16	22	Iroquois Ridge	Oakville	8.6	8.4
16	30	Guelph	Guelph	8.6	8.3

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years
20	7	St Robert	Thornhill	8.5	8.9
20	60	William Lyon Mackenzie	Toronto	8.5	8.0
20	81	St Joseph	Nepean	8.5	7.8
23	30	John McCrae	Nepean	8.4	8.3
23	60	Hearst	Hearst	8.4	8.0
23	194	St Thomas of Villanova	LaSalle	8.4	7.1
26	7	St Augustine	Markham	8.3	8.9
26	10	St Therese of Lisieux	Richmond Hill	8.3	8.8
26	30	Nepean	Ottawa	8.3	8.3
26	39	Notre Dame	Toronto	8.3	8.2
26	39	Richmond Green	Richmond Hill	8.3	8.2
26	73	Westmount	Thornhill	8.3	7.9
26	81	Neil McNeil	Toronto	8.3	7.8
26	n/a	Bur Oak	Markham	8.3	n/a
34	16	Abbey Park	Oakville	8.2	8.6
34	16	St Aloysius Gonzaga	Mississauga	8.2	8.6
34	30	Elmira	Elmira	8.2	8.3
34	51	Sir John A Macdonald	Waterloo	8.2	8.1
34	73	Thornhill	Thornhill	8.2	7.9
34	105	St Peter	Orléans	8.2	7.6

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years	2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years
34	148	Kingsville	Kingsville	8.2	7.4	92	105	Holy Trinity	Kanata	7.7	7.6
41	18	Richmond Hill	Richmond Hill	8.1	8.5	92	148	Westdale	Hamilton	7.7	7.4
41	22	Stratford Central	Stratford	8.1	8.4	92	194	Streetsville	Mississauga	7.7	7.1
41	30	Belle River	Belle River	8.1	8.3	92	246	Blessed Trinity	Grimmsby	7.7	6.9
41	51	Oakridge	London	8.1	8.1	92	281	Orillia	Orillia	7.7	6.7
41	81	All Saints	Kanata	8.1	7.8	92	301	St Paul	Trenton	7.7	6.6
41	105	Malvern	Toronto	8.1	7.6	92	365	Bradford	Bradford	7.7	6.3
41	105	Mary Ward	Toronto	8.1	7.6	105	39	Glenforest	Mississauga	7.6	8.2
41	223	Louis-Riel	Gloucester	8.1	7.0	105	51	Holy Name of Mary	Brampton	7.6	8.1
49	22	John Fraser	Mississauga	8.0	8.4	105	60	Northern	Sarnia	7.6	8.0
49	22	Richview	Toronto	8.0	8.4	105	73	Markville	Markham	7.6	7.9
49	30	Mayfield	Caledon	8.0	8.3	105	81	L'Escale	Rockland	7.6	7.8
49	39	Leaside	Toronto	8.0	8.2	105	81	Medway	Arva	7.6	7.8
49	39	Regiopolis/Notre-Dame	Kingston	8.0	8.2	105	90	Forest Hill	Toronto	7.6	7.7
49	51	Bluevale	Waterloo	8.0	8.1	105	90	Newmarket	Newmarket	7.6	7.7
49	60	Canterbury	Ottawa	8.0	8.0	105	90	St Ignatius of Loyola	Oakville	7.6	7.7
49	60	Markham	Markham	8.0	8.0	105	105	Frontenac	Kingston	7.6	7.6
49	60	Michael Power/St Joseph	Toronto	8.0	8.0	105	105	I E Weldon	Lindsay	7.6	7.6
49	73	St Thomas Aquinas	London	8.0	7.9	105	105	South Carleton	Richmond	7.6	7.6
49	105	Gordon Graydon Memorial	Mississauga	8.0	7.6	105	105	St Anne	Tecumseh	7.6	7.6
49	148	Thornlea	Thornhill	8.0	7.4	105	130	Merivale	Nepean	7.6	7.5
49	n/a	Bill Crothers	Unionville	8.0	n/a	105	148	Arnprior	Arnprior	7.6	7.4
49	n/a	Delphi Secondary Alternative	Toronto	8.0	n/a	105	165	General Amherst	Amherstburg	7.6	7.3
63	22	Centennial	Guelph	7.9	8.4	105	223	St Joseph's	Toronto	7.6	7.0
63	30	Lawrence Park	Toronto	7.9	8.3	105	223	St Thomas Aquinas	Oakville	7.6	7.0
63	39	A B Lucas	London	7.9	8.2	105	246	Rosedale Heights			
63	39	Agincourt	Toronto	7.9	8.2			School of the Arts	Toronto	7.6	6.9
63	39	Langstaff	Richmond Hill	7.9	8.2	105	301	Barrie North	Barrie	7.6	6.6
63	51	Cawthra Park	Mississauga	7.9	8.1	105	n/a	Stephen Lewis	Thornhill	7.6	n/a
63	60	Aurora	Aurora	7.9	8.0	126	39	Earl Haig	Toronto	7.5	8.2
63	60	Humberside	Toronto	7.9	8.0	126	60	Sandwich	LaSalle	7.5	8.0
63	60	Thomas A Blakelock	Oakville	7.9	8.0	126	73	Sinclair	Whitby	7.5	7.9
63	81	Sacred Heart	Newmarket	7.9	7.8	126	81	Leamington	Leamington	7.5	7.8
63	90	Br André	Markham	7.9	7.7	126	105	Loretto Abbey	Toronto	7.5	7.6
63	90	Don Mills	Toronto	7.9	7.7	126	105	St Theresa	Belleville	7.5	7.6
63	130	Mother Teresa	Nepean	7.9	7.5	126	130	Étienne-Brûlé	Toronto	7.5	7.5
63	148	Burlington Central	Burlington	7.9	7.4	126	130	W A Porter	Toronto	7.5	7.5
63	194	Franco-Cité	Ottawa	7.9	7.1	126	130	White Oaks	Oakville	7.5	7.5
63	n/a	Jean Vanier	Aurora	7.9	n/a	126	148	Lockerby	Sudbury	7.5	7.4
79	18	Garneau	Gloucester	7.8	8.5	126	148	Lo-Ellen Park	Sudbury	7.5	7.4
79	22	Marie-Rivier	Kingston	7.8	8.4	126	165	St Ignatius	Thunder Bay	7.5	7.3
79	30	Brockville	Brockville	7.8	8.3	126	177	King City	King City	7.5	7.2
79	39	Middlefield	Markham	7.8	8.2	126	194	Highland	Dundas	7.5	7.1
79	73	Sir Robert Borden	Nepean	7.8	7.9	126	194	Samuel-Genest	Ottawa	7.5	7.1
79	90	Christ the King	Georgetown	7.8	7.7	126	265	St Mary's	Cobourg	7.5	6.8
79	130	Port Credit	Mississauga	7.8	7.5	126	326	Jean Vanier	Toronto	7.5	6.5
79	130	Rockland	Rockland	7.8	7.5	126	343	Norwell	Palmerston	7.5	6.4
79	165	Bayside	Belleville	7.8	7.3	126	n/a	Whitefield	Toronto	7.5	n/a
79	177	Sacred Heart	Stittsville	7.8	7.2	145	51	St Elizabeth	Thornhill	7.4	8.1
79	177	St Joseph's	Cornwall	7.8	7.2	145	105	Holy Trinity	Oakville	7.4	7.6
79	301	St Joseph's	Renfrew	7.8	6.6	145	105	Parkside	Dundas	7.4	7.6
79	n/a	Corpus Christi	Burlington	7.8	n/a	145	105	Waterdown	Waterdown	7.4	7.6
92	39	Nelson	Burlington	7.7	8.2	145	105	Waterloo-Oxford	Baden	7.4	7.6
92	39	North Middlesex	Parkhill	7.7	8.2	145	105	Westmount	Hamilton	7.4	7.6
92	81	St Peter's	Peterborough	7.7	7.8	145	130	Béatrice-Desloges	Orléans	7.4	7.5
92	90	Cardinal Newman	Stoney Creek	7.7	7.7	145	148	Rick Hansen	Mississauga	7.4	7.4
92	90	Saint Paul	Niagara Falls	7.7	7.7	145	177	St Pius X	Ottawa	7.4	7.2
92	90	St Benedict	Sudbury	7.7	7.7	145	194	Haliburton Highland	Haliburton	7.4	7.1

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/ 2010	Last 5 years			2009/ 2010	Last 5 years	2009/ 2010	Last 5 years			2009/ 2010	Last 5 years
145	194	Jean Vanier	Collingwood	7.4	7.1	197	194	St Mary's	Brockville	7.1	7.1
145	194	Sir Winston Churchill	St Catharines	7.4	7.1	197	223	MacKenzie	Deep River	7.1	7.0
145	223	Centennial	Belleville	7.4	7.0	197	223	Renfrew	Renfrew	7.1	7.0
145	301	Georgetown	Georgetown	7.4	6.6	197	223	Sacred Heart	Walkerton	7.1	7.0
145	397	Riverdale	Toronto	7.4	6.1	197	223	St Martin	Mississauga	7.1	7.0
145	n/a	St Maximilian Kolbe	Aurora	7.4	n/a	197	265	Alexander MacKenzie	Richmond Hill	7.1	6.8
161	30	Lorne Park	Mississauga	7.3	8.3	197	265	North Park	Brantford	7.1	6.8
161	60	De La Salle	Ottawa	7.3	8.0	197	301	Peninsula Shores District	Warton	7.1	6.6
161	60	St Marcellinus	Mississauga	7.3	8.0	197	397	Moira	Belleville	7.1	6.1
161	73	l'Essor	Tecumseh	7.3	7.9	197	493	Eastdale	Oshawa	7.1	5.5
161	90	Notre Dame	Burlington	7.3	7.7	197	n/a	Huron Heights	Kitchener	7.1	n/a
161	90	R H King	Toronto	7.3	7.7	197	n/a	Stephen Lewis	Mississauga	7.1	n/a
161	90	St Anne's	Clinton	7.3	7.7	225	90	Mother Teresa	London	7.0	7.7
161	105	Jeunes sans frontières	Brampton	7.3	7.6	225	105	Bishop Allen	Toronto	7.0	7.6
161	130	E L Crossley	Fonthill	7.3	7.5	225	105	St Christopher	Sarnia	7.0	7.6
161	130	Sir William Mulock	Newmarket	7.3	7.5	225	148	Kingston	Kingston	7.0	7.4
161	130	St Michael	Stratford	7.3	7.5	225	148	M M Robinson	Burlington	7.0	7.4
161	148	Cobourg District CI West	Cobourg	7.3	7.4	225	165	Russel High	Russell	7.0	7.3
161	165	St Mark	Manotick	7.3	7.3	225	177	Ancaster	Ancaster	7.0	7.2
161	177	St Francis Xavier	Mississauga	7.3	7.2	225	177	Lambton Central	Petrolia	7.0	7.2
161	194	Emily Carr	Woodbridge	7.3	7.1	225	177	Lindsay	Lindsay	7.0	7.2
161	194	St Matthew	Orléans	7.3	7.1	225	177	Uxbridge	Uxbridge	7.0	7.2
161	246	Banting Memorial	Alliston	7.3	6.9	225	194	Osgoode Township	Metcalfe	7.0	7.1
161	246	Holy Trinity	Cornwall	7.3	6.9	225	194	Preston	Cambridge	7.0	7.1
161	301	F J Brennan	Windsor	7.3	6.6	225	223	Glengarry	Alexandria	7.0	7.0
161	397	Atikokan	Atikokan	7.3	6.1	225	223	Milton	Milton	7.0	7.0
181	51	Aldershot	Burlington	7.2	8.1	225	246	Grimsby	Grimsby	7.0	6.9
181	51	Gisèle-Lalonde	Orléans	7.2	8.1	225	246	Iona	Mississauga	7.0	6.9
181	130	Our Lady of Mount Carmel	Mississauga	7.2	7.5	225	246	St Mary's	Hamilton	7.0	6.9
181	148	Cardinal Carter	Aurora	7.2	7.4	225	281	Elliot Lake	Elliot Lake	7.0	6.7
181	165	John F Ross	Guelph	7.2	7.3	225	281	Sainte-Marie	New Liskeard	7.0	6.7
181	165	Vaughan	Thornhill	7.2	7.3	225	301	Carleton Place	Carleton Place	7.0	6.6
181	177	St John	Perth	7.2	7.2	225	301	Holy Cross	Peterborough	7.0	6.6
181	194	Orangeville	Orangeville	7.2	7.1	225	326	Bishop Paul Francis Reding	Milton	7.0	6.5
181	265	St Thomas Aquinas	Kenora	7.2	6.8	225	326	Notre Dame	Welland	7.0	6.5
181	281	Monseigneur-de-Charbonnel	Toronto	7.2	6.7	225	365	Father Leo J Austin	Whitby	7.0	6.3
181	281	Orchard Park	Stoney Creek	7.2	6.7	225	365	St Jean de Brebeuf	Hamilton	7.0	6.3
181	281	Port Perry	Port Perry	7.2	6.7	225	426	Holy Trinity	Courtice	7.0	5.9
181	301	Father John Redmond	Toronto	7.2	6.6	225	546	Sir Allan MacNab	Hamilton	7.0	5.1
181	326	Holy Names	Windsor	7.2	6.5	225	n/a	l'Odysée	North Bay	7.0	n/a
181	326	Jeanne-Lajoie	Pembroke	7.2	6.5	253	73	Embrun	Embrun	6.9	7.9
181	379	Gravenhurst	Gravenhurst	7.2	6.2	253	90	Lester B Pearson	Burlington	6.9	7.7
197	51	Central Elgin	St Thomas	7.1	8.1	253	105	Waterloo	Waterloo	6.9	7.6
197	90	Listowel	Listowel	7.1	7.7	253	177	Acton	Acton	6.9	7.2
197	105	Eden	St Catharines	7.1	7.6	253	223	Harrow	Harrow	6.9	7.0
197	105	Stratford Northwestern	Stratford	7.1	7.6	253	223	Northern	Toronto	6.9	7.0
197	105	The Woodlands	Mississauga	7.1	7.6	253	246	Milliken Mills	Unionville	6.9	6.9
197	130	St. Joan of Arc	Mississauga	7.1	7.5	253	265	St Joseph-Scollard Hall	North Bay	6.9	6.8
197	148	Bishop Tonnos	Ancaster	7.1	7.4	253	281	Eastview	Barrie	6.9	6.7
197	148	Erin	Erin	7.1	7.4	253	343	Madawaska Valley	Barry's Bay	6.9	6.4
197	165	Holy Trinity	Simcoe	7.1	7.3	253	343	North Park	Brampton	6.9	6.4
197	165	Sir John A Macdonald	Toronto	7.1	7.3	253	379	Victoria Park	Toronto	6.9	6.2
197	177	Bell	Nepean	7.1	7.2	265	165	Dr Norman Bethune	Toronto	6.8	7.3
197	177	Pain Court	Pain Court	7.1	7.2	265	194	St. Thomas Aquinas	Russell	6.8	7.1
197	194	Bishop Ryan	Hamilton	7.1	7.1	265	223	Holy Cross	Kingston	6.8	7.0
197	194	Franco-Ouest	Nepean	7.1	7.1	265	223	La Citadelle	Cornwall	6.8	7.0
197	194	Nicholson	Belleville	7.1	7.1	265	246	Sir Oliver Mowat	Toronto	6.8	6.9
197	194	North Grenville	Kemptville	7.1	7.1	265	265	Fenelon Falls	Fenelon Falls	6.8	6.8

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/ 2010	Last 5 years			2009/ 2010	Last 5 years	2009/ 2010	Last 5 years			2009/ 2010	Last 5 years
265	265	Peterborough	Peterborough	6.8	6.8	309	450	Francis Libermann	Toronto	6.5	5.8
265	281	Centre Dufferin	Shelburne	6.8	6.7	309	463	Clarke	Newcastle	6.5	5.7
265	301	St Jean de Brebeuf	Woodbridge	6.8	6.6	330	148	Essex	Essex	6.4	7.4
265	326	Father Michael McGivney	Markham	6.8	6.5	330	165	Hillcrest	Ottawa	6.4	7.3
265	343	Prince Edward	Picton	6.8	6.4	330	194	Martingrove	Toronto	6.4	7.1
265	365	St Patrick's	Sarnia	6.8	6.3	330	194	Saugeen	Port Elgin	6.4	7.1
265	397	Sainte-Famille	Mississauga	6.8	6.1	330	246	Centre Wellington	Fergus	6.4	6.9
265	479	St Edmund Campion	Brampton	6.8	5.6	330	265	O'Neill	Oshawa	6.4	6.8
279	81	St Marys	St Marys	6.7	7.8	330	265	St James	Guelph	6.4	6.8
279	105	Vincent Massey	Windsor	6.7	7.6	330	265	St Mary's	Owen Sound	6.4	6.8
279	165	A N Myer	Niagara Falls	6.7	7.3	330	281	Chippewa	North Bay	6.4	6.7
279	177	Glebe	Ottawa	6.7	7.2	330	301	Northview Heights	Toronto	6.4	6.6
279	194	Bishop Macdonell	Guelph	6.7	7.1	330	343	Catholic Central	London	6.4	6.4
279	246	Immaculata	Ottawa	6.7	6.9	330	343	Hammar skjold	Thunder Bay	6.4	6.4
279	246	West Hill	Owen Sound	6.7	6.9	330	343	St Patrick	Thunder Bay	6.4	6.4
279	281	Sydenham	Sydenham	6.7	6.7	330	365	Holy Cross	St Catharines	6.4	6.3
279	281	The Humberview	Bolton	6.7	6.7	330	379	St Paul	Ottawa	6.4	6.2
279	301	Assumption	Brantford	6.7	6.6	330	397	Bear Creek	Barrie	6.4	6.1
279	301	Crestwood	Peterborough	6.7	6.6	330	397	Etobicoke	Toronto	6.4	6.1
279	326	Renaissance	Aurora	6.7	6.5	330	397	Glencoe	Glencoe	6.4	6.1
279	426	White Pines	Sault Ste. Marie	6.7	5.9	330	463	Park Street	Orillia	6.4	5.7
279	479	St Dominic	Bracebridge	6.7	5.6	330	515	Westgate	Thunder Bay	6.4	5.4
279	606	Sharbot Lake	Sharbot Lake	6.7	4.4	330	596	Cathedral	Hamilton	6.4	4.6
294	130	A.Y. Jackson	Kanata	6.6	7.5	351	177	Our Lady of the Lake	Keswick	6.3	7.2
294	130	Our Lady of Lourdes	Guelph	6.6	7.5	351	194	Donald A. Wilson	Whitby	6.3	7.1
294	130	Sir Frederick Banting	London	6.6	7.5	351	194	F E Madill	Wingham	6.3	7.1
294	177	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	Orléans	6.6	7.2	351	194	Ingersoll District	Ingersoll	6.3	7.1
294	223	Kincardine	Kincardine	6.6	7.0	351	223	Harbord	Toronto	6.3	7.0
294	223	Saunders	London	6.6	7.0	351	223	Resurrection	Kitchener	6.3	7.0
294	223	Thomas A Stewart	Peterborough	6.6	7.0	351	265	Dr John M Denison	Newmarket	6.3	6.8
294	246	Mitchell	Mitchell	6.6	6.9	351	265	Seaway	Iroquois	6.3	6.8
294	246	Philip Pocock	Mississauga	6.6	6.9	351	301	Hawkesbury	Hawkesbury	6.3	6.6
294	281	Meadowvale	Mississauga	6.6	6.7	351	301	Maple	Maple	6.3	6.6
294	301	John Cabot	Mississauga	6.6	6.6	351	326	Robert Bateman	Burlington	6.3	6.5
294	326	South Huron	Exeter	6.6	6.5	351	343	Huron Park	Woodstock	6.3	6.4
294	326	St John's	Brantford	6.6	6.5	351	343	Ursuline (The Pines)	Chatham	6.3	6.4
294	379	Saltfleet	Stoney Creek	6.6	6.2	351	343	Vankleek Hill	Vankleek Hill	6.3	6.4
294	379	Turner Fenton	Brampton	6.6	6.2	351	379	Westlane	Niagara Falls	6.3	6.2
309	148	Strathroy District	Strathroy	6.5	7.4	351	397	East Northumberland	Brighton	6.3	6.1
309	194	Loyola	Mississauga	6.5	7.1	351	418	Courtice	Courtice	6.3	6.0
309	194	Thousand Islands	Brockville	6.5	7.1	351	450	Saint-Charles-Garnier	Whitby	6.3	5.8
309	223	Erindale	Mississauga	6.5	7.0	351	523	E.J.Lajeunesse	Windsor	6.3	5.3
309	223	St Mary's	Woodstock	6.5	7.0	351	523	Grey Highlands	Flesherton	6.3	5.3
309	246	Anderson	Whitby	6.5	6.9	371	105	de Casselman	Casselman	6.2	7.6
309	246	Cameron Heights	Kitchener	6.5	6.9	371	148	St Francis	St Catharines	6.2	7.4
309	246	Charlottenburgh and Lancaster	Williamstown	6.5	6.9	371	223	Parkside	St Thomas	6.2	7.0
309	265	South Lincoln	Smithville	6.5	6.8	371	326	E C Drury	Milton	6.2	6.5
309	281	St Joseph	Mississauga	6.5	6.7	371	326	St Thomas More	Hamilton	6.2	6.5
309	281	Stouffville District	Stouffville	6.5	6.7	371	343	Senator O'Connor	Toronto	6.2	6.4
309	301	Lord Dorchester	Dorchester	6.5	6.6	371	365	Saint Michael	Niagara Falls	6.2	6.3
309	301	St Joseph's	Windsor	6.5	6.6	371	379	Timiskaming District	New Liskeard	6.2	6.2
309	326	Father Michael Goetz	Mississauga	6.5	6.5	371	397	Bishop Alexander Carter	Hanmer	6.2	6.1
309	343	Huntsville	Huntsville	6.5	6.4	371	397	Brampton Centennial	Brampton	6.2	6.1
309	365	Fellowes	Pembroke	6.5	6.3	371	397	Opeongo	Douglas	6.2	6.1
309	365	Le Relais	Alexandria	6.5	6.3	371	418	Clarkson	Mississauga	6.2	6.0
309	379	Nouveau Regard- Pavillon Jeunesse Nord	Cochrane	6.5	6.2	371	426	Cardinal Leger	Brampton	6.2	5.9
309	426	East Elgin	Aylmer	6.5	5.9	371	426	Georgian Bay	Meaford	6.2	5.9
						371	426	Kitchener Waterloo	Kitchener	6.2	5.9

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/ 2010	Last 5 years			2009/ 2010	Last 5 years	2009/ 2010	Last 5 years			2009/ 2010	Last 5 years
371	426	St Joan of Arc	Maple	6.2	5.9	432	523	Tagwi	Avonmore	5.8	5.3
371	426	Wellington Heights	Mount Forest	6.2	5.9	432	559	St Basil The Great	Toronto	5.8	5.0
371	426	West Park	St Catharines	6.2	5.9	432	623	Bawating	Sault Ste. Marie	5.8	4.1
371	450	College Avenue	Woodstock	6.2	5.8	445	246	Southwood	Cambridge	5.7	6.9
371	450	R S McLaughlin	Oshawa	6.2	5.8	445	301	Paris	Paris	5.7	6.6
371	463	St Stephen's	Bowmanville	6.2	5.7	445	343	All Saints	Whitby	5.7	6.4
392	130	Assumption	Burlington	6.1	7.5	445	343	St Mary's	Kitchener	5.7	6.4
392	194	St Joseph's	St Thomas	6.1	7.1	445	379	Gloucester	Gloucester	5.7	6.2
392	246	Almonte	Almonte	6.1	6.9	445	379	Holy Trinity	Bradford	5.7	6.2
392	265	St David	Waterloo	6.1	6.8	445	397	Notre Dame	Ottawa	5.7	6.1
392	281	Rainy River	Rainy River	6.1	6.7	445	397	Simcoe	Simcoe	5.7	6.1
392	301	Dr G W Williams	Aurora	6.1	6.6	445	418	Patrick Fogarty	Orillia	5.7	6.0
392	301	Goderich District	Goderich	6.1	6.6	445	426	Cairine Wilson	Ottawa	5.7	5.9
392	301	Laura Secord	St Catharines	6.1	6.6	445	463	St Marguerite d'Youville	Brampton	5.7	5.7
392	365	Bracebridge and Muskoka Lakes	Bracebridge	6.1	6.3	445	463	Theriac	Timmins	5.7	5.7
392	379	Applewood Heights	Mississauga	6.1	6.2	445	479	St Thomas Aquinas	Brampton	5.7	5.6
392	379	John Diefenbaker	Hanover	6.1	6.2	445	493	Port Hope	Port Hope	5.7	5.5
392	397	Robert F Hall	Caledon East	6.1	6.1	445	515	Cardinal Carter	Leamington	5.7	5.4
392	418	Collingwood	Collingwood	6.1	6.0	445	523	Barrie Central	Barrie	5.7	5.3
392	426	Twin Lakes	Orillia	6.1	5.9	445	532	Lakefield	Lakefield	5.7	5.2
392	463	Bayridge	Kingston	6.1	5.7	445	546	Lester B Pearson	Gloucester	5.7	5.1
392	463	Lakeshore	Port Colborne	6.1	5.7	445	596	Bloor	Toronto	5.7	4.6
392	479	Brookfield	Ottawa	6.1	5.6	464	281	London South	London	5.6	6.7
392	493	Wallaceburg	Wallaceburg	6.1	5.5	464	301	Mississauga	Mississauga	5.6	6.6
392	n/a	St Francis Xavier	Gloucester	6.1	n/a	464	326	Woburn	Toronto	5.6	6.5
411	177	Rideau	Elgin	6.0	7.2	464	365	Marshall McLuhan	Toronto	5.6	6.3
411	281	Notre Dame	Carleton Place	6.0	6.7	464	397	Sir Winston Churchill	Thunder Bay	5.6	6.1
411	343	Adam Scott	Peterborough	6.0	6.4	464	463	Holy Cross	Woodbridge	5.6	5.7
411	379	Sherwood	Hamilton	6.0	6.2	464	463	Ridgeway-Crystal Beach	Ridgeway	5.6	5.7
411	426	Wexford Collegiate School for the Arts	Toronto	6.0	5.9	464	479	Espanola	Espanola	5.6	5.6
411	493	Huron Heights	Newmarket	6.0	5.5	464	532	Notre Dame	Brampton	5.6	5.2
411	515	Central Algoma	Desbarats	6.0	5.4	464	546	Parry Sound	Parry Sound	5.6	5.1
411	596	Tilbury	Tilbury	6.0	4.6	464	570	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	Toronto	5.6	4.9
419	18	Marymount	Sudbury	5.9	8.5	464	626	Kenner	Peterborough	5.6	4.0
419	301	Central Huron	Clinton	5.9	6.6	464	n/a	Marc-Garneau	Trenton	5.6	n/a
419	326	Collège français	Toronto	5.9	6.5	464	n/a	Sandalwood Heights	Brampton	5.6	n/a
419	326	Jacob Hespeler	Cambridge	5.9	6.5	478	265	Algonquin	North Bay	5.5	6.8
419	365	Dryden	Dryden	5.9	6.3	478	281	Holy Cross	Strathroy	5.5	6.7
419	397	Ernestown	Odessa	5.9	6.1	478	281	Korah	Sault Ste. Marie	5.5	6.7
419	397	Walkerton	Walkerton	5.9	6.1	478	301	John Paul II	London	5.5	6.6
419	426	Bishop Smith	Pembroke	5.9	5.9	478	343	Delhi	Delhi	5.5	6.4
419	426	St Mary's	Sault Ste. Marie	5.9	5.9	478	343	Father Bressani	Woodbridge	5.5	6.4
419	450	Clarington Central	Bowmanville	5.9	5.8	478	343	Franco-Cité	Sturgeon Falls	5.5	6.4
419	463	St Mary	Pickering	5.9	5.7	478	343	Westside	Orangeville	5.5	6.4
419	546	West Ferris	North Bay	5.9	5.1	478	365	North Lambton	Forest	5.5	6.3
419	559	St Thomas Aquinas	Lindsay	5.9	5.0	478	397	Governor Simcoe	St Catharines	5.5	6.1
432	281	Grand River	Kitchener	5.8	6.7	478	418	Silverthorn	Toronto	5.5	6.0
432	343	Albert Campbell	Toronto	5.8	6.4	478	426	Galt	Cambridge	5.5	5.9
432	365	St Josephs Morrow Park	Toronto	5.8	6.3	478	450	St Benedict	Cambridge	5.5	5.8
432	379	Cité des Jeunes	Kapuskasing	5.8	6.2	478	479	Centennial	Welland	5.5	5.6
432	379	Fort Frances	Fort Frances	5.8	6.2	478	479	Pickering	Ajax	5.5	5.6
432	418	Chatham-Kent	Chatham	5.8	6.0	478	523	Oshawa Central	Oshawa	5.5	5.3
432	426	L'Amoreaux	Toronto	5.8	5.9	478	532	La Salle	Kingston	5.5	5.2
432	493	Campbellford	Campbellford	5.8	5.5	478	532	Lambton Kent	Dresden	5.5	5.2
432	493	Lester B Pearson	Toronto	5.8	5.5	478	546	Fletcher's Meadow	Brampton	5.5	5.1
432	493	St Clair	Sarnia	5.8	5.5	497	194	Brebeuf	Toronto	5.4	7.1
						497	397	Cardinal Newman	Toronto	5.4	6.1
						497	397	Widdifield	North Bay	5.4	6.1

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years	2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years
497	426	St Joseph's	Barrie	5.4	5.9	557	463	Heart Lake	Brampton	5.0	5.7
497	450	Iroquois Falls	Iroquois Falls	5.4	5.8	557	463	Woodstock	Woodstock	5.0	5.7
497	493	Brock	Cannington	5.4	5.5	557	532	St Joan of Arc	Barrie	5.0	5.2
497	493	Marc Garneau	Toronto	5.4	5.5	557	559	Lasalle	Sudbury	5.0	5.0
497	493	Ridgetown	Ridgetown	5.4	5.5	557	559	Nantyr Shores	Innisfil	5.0	5.0
497	515	Elmvale	Elmvale	5.4	5.4	557	570	Nouvelle-Alliance	Barrie	5.0	4.9
497	532	Hill Park	Hamilton	5.4	5.2	557	578	St Patrick's	Ottawa	5.0	4.8
497	532	Walkerville	Windsor	5.4	5.2	557	613	St Peter's	Barrie	5.0	4.3
497	570	W F Herman	Windsor	5.4	4.9	566	343	Sir James Dunn	Sault Ste. Marie	4.9	6.4
497	666	Monarch Park	Toronto	5.4	3.0	566	365	St Charles	Sudbury	4.9	6.3
497	n/a	Bruce Peninsula District	Lion's Head	5.4	n/a	566	450	Monsignor Doyle	Cambridge	4.9	5.8
497	n/a	Maxwell Heights	Oshawa	5.4	n/a	566	479	Athens	Athens	4.9	5.6
497	n/a	Rothwell-Osnabruck	Ingleside	5.4	n/a	566	493	Englehart	Englehart	4.9	5.5
513	130	Marathon	Marathon	5.3	7.5	566	523	Port Colborne	Port Colborne	4.9	5.3
513	343	Woodbridge	Woodbridge	5.3	6.4	566	570	Gananoque	Gananoque	4.9	4.9
513	418	Newtonbrook	Toronto	5.3	6.0	566	578	W C Eaket	Blind River	4.9	4.8
513	426	Brantford	Brantford	5.3	5.9	566	578	Woodroffe	Ottawa	4.9	4.8
513	450	Jean-Vanier	Wellsand	5.3	5.8	566	596	Confederation	Val Caron	4.9	4.6
513	450	St Basil	Sault Ste. Marie	5.3	5.8	566	596	Parkdale	Toronto	4.9	4.6
513	463	Archbishop Denis O'Connor	Ajax	5.3	5.7	566	629	West Elgin	West Lorne	4.9	3.9
513	463	St Francis Xavier	Hammond	5.3	5.7	578	223	Norwich	Norwich	4.8	7.0
513	493	Denis Morris	St Catharines	5.3	5.5	578	426	Cayuga	Cayuga	4.8	5.9
513	493	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	London	5.3	5.5	578	463	Owen Sound	Owen Sound	4.8	5.7
513	523	Henry Street	Whitby	5.3	5.3	578	532	Beamsville	Beamsville	4.8	5.2
513	532	Chaminade	Toronto	5.3	5.2	578	532	Eastwood	Kitchener	4.8	5.2
513	546	Harold M. Brathwaite	Brampton	5.3	5.1	578	546	Blessed Mother Teresa	Toronto	4.8	5.1
513	546	Pope John Paul II	Toronto	5.3	5.1	578	588	Valley Heights	Langton	4.8	4.7
513	578	Penetanguishene	Penetanguishene	5.3	4.8	578	656	James Cardinal McGuigan	Toronto	4.8	3.3
513	n/a	Manitouwadge	Manitouwadge	5.3	n/a	578	n/a	Chapleau	Chapleau	4.8	n/a
513	n/a	Superior CVI	Thunder Bay	5.3	n/a	587	426	Loyalist	Kingston	4.7	5.9
530	379	Deslauriers	Nepean	5.2	6.2	587	479	Forest Heights	Kitchener	4.7	5.6
530	379	Riverside	Windsor	5.2	6.2	587	493	Jarvis	Toronto	4.7	5.5
530	379	St Paul	Mississauga	5.2	6.2	587	493	Monseigneur-Bruyère	London	4.7	5.5
530	426	Dunbarton	Pickering	5.2	5.9	587	546	South Grenville	Prescott	4.7	5.1
530	426	McKinnon Park	Caledonia	5.2	5.9	587	570	Pine Ridge	Pickering	4.7	4.9
530	426	North Hastings	Bancroft	5.2	5.9	587	578	Birchmount Park	Toronto	4.7	4.8
530	450	St Augustine	Brampton	5.2	5.8	587	578	Sudbury	Sudbury	4.7	4.8
530	493	Stayner	Stayner	5.2	5.5	595	426	North Dundas	Chesterville	4.6	5.9
530	532	Napanee	Napanee	5.2	5.2	595	479	Vaughan Road	Toronto	4.6	5.6
530	532	Quinte	Belleville	5.2	5.2	595	493	General Panet	Petawawa	4.6	5.5
530	546	L'Héritage	Cornwall	5.2	5.1	595	493	Hon W C Kennedy	Windsor	4.6	5.5
530	546	Lively	Lively	5.2	5.1	595	515	Westminster	London	4.6	5.4
530	559	Bramalea	Brampton	5.2	5.0	595	523	St Thomas Aquinas	Tottenham	4.6	5.3
530	578	St Theresa's	Midland	5.2	4.8	595	559	Central Peel	Brampton	4.6	5.0
530	578	Waterford	Waterford	5.2	4.8	595	606	G L Roberts	Oshawa	4.6	4.4
530	618	Mère-Teresa	Hamilton	5.2	4.2	595	613	Lake Superior	Terrace Bay	4.6	4.3
530	636	Ascension of Our Lord	Mississauga	5.2	3.8	595	666	Thistleton	Toronto	4.6	3.0
547	397	Blenheim	Blenheim	5.1	6.1	605	326	Collège Notre-Dame	Sudbury	4.5	6.5
547	463	Regina Mundi	London	5.1	5.7	605	515	Cobourg District CI East	Cobourg	4.5	5.4
547	479	Port Dover	Port Dover	5.1	5.6	605	546	Dante Alighieri	Toronto	4.5	5.1
547	493	Glenview Park	Cambridge	5.1	5.5	605	570	Almaguin Highlands	South River	4.5	4.9
547	493	Perth and District	Perth	5.1	5.5	605	570	Geraldton	Geraldton	4.5	4.9
547	493	Red Lake	Red Lake	5.1	5.5	605	623	Archbishop Romero	Toronto	4.5	4.1
547	515	Plantagenet	Plantagenet	5.1	5.4	605	n/a	Gabriel-Dumont	London	4.5	n/a
547	532	Bowmanville	Bowmanville	5.1	5.2	612	493	Monsignor Paul Dwyer	Oshawa	4.4	5.5
547	578	Thorold	Thorold	5.1	4.8	612	523	Innisdale	Barrie	4.4	5.3
547	596	George S Henry	Toronto	5.1	4.6	612	606	Rideau	Ottawa	4.4	4.4
557	418	Notre Dame	Ajax	5.0	6.0	612	629	Loretto	Toronto	4.4	3.9

Rank		School name	City	Overall rating		Rank		School name	City	Overall rating	
2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years	2009/2010	Last 5 years			2009/2010	Last 5 years
612	650	Kipling	Toronto	4.4	3.5	672	629	West Hill	Toronto	3.5	3.9
612	n/a	Georges Vanier	Toronto	4.4	n/a	672	662	Delta	Hamilton	3.5	3.2
618	532	Sarnia	Sarnia	4.3	5.2	672	662	Sir Winston Churchill	Hamilton	3.5	3.2
618	596	Thomas L Kennedy	Mississauga	4.3	4.6	672	666	North Albion	Toronto	3.5	3.0
618	618	Ridgemont	Ottawa	4.3	4.2	677	626	York Memorial	Toronto	3.4	4.0
618	665	Danforth	Toronto	4.3	3.1	677	647	General Vanier	Cornwall	3.4	3.6
618	n/a	de la Verendrye	Thunder Bay	4.3	n/a	677	650	Runnymede	Toronto	3.4	3.5
623	450	Smiths Falls District	Smiths Falls	4.2	5.8	677	666	St Catharines	St Catharines	3.4	3.0
623	515	Trenton	Trenton	4.2	5.4	677	674	Sir John A Macdonald	Hamilton	3.4	2.7
623	546	Manitoulin	M'Chigeeng	4.2	5.1	677	681	Central	Toronto	3.4	2.0
623	559	Le Sommet	Hawkesbury	4.2	5.0	683	636	Oakwood	Toronto	3.3	3.8
623	570	Chinguacousy	Brampton	4.2	4.9	683	647	Le Caron	Penetanguishene	3.3	3.6
623	578	H B Beal	London	4.2	4.8	683	647	Stamford Collegiate	Niagara Falls	3.3	3.6
623	588	Assumption	Windsor	4.2	4.7	683	666	St Mary's	Toronto	3.3	3.0
623	588	Timmins	Timmins	4.2	4.7	683	n/a	Confédération	Welland	3.3	n/a
623	618	John McGregor	Chatham	4.2	4.2	688	623	Dr F J Donevan	Oshawa	3.2	4.1
623	656	West Humber	Toronto	4.2	3.3	688	n/a	Saint-François-Xavier	Sarnia	3.2	n/a
633	479	Ajax	Ajax	4.1	5.6	690	629	Norwood District	Norwood	3.1	3.9
633	559	Stephen Leacock	Toronto	4.1	5.0	690	629	Roland Michener	South Porcupine	3.1	3.9
633	588	Champlain	Chelmsford	4.1	4.7	690	640	Sutton	Sutton West	3.1	3.7
633	618	Arthur Voaden	St Thomas	4.1	4.2	690	662	Bishop Marocco/ Thomas Merton	Toronto	3.1	3.2
633	636	Catholic Central	Windsor	4.1	3.8	694	676	George Harvey	Toronto	3.0	2.5
633	640	Kirkland Lake District	Kirkland Lake	4.1	3.7	695	640	Scarlett Heights Entrepreneurial	Toronto	2.9	3.7
633	656	Northern	Sturgeon Falls	4.1	3.3	695	666	Don Bosco	Toronto	2.9	3.0
633	684	Sir Sandford Fleming	Toronto	4.1	1.5	695	675	St Patrick	Toronto	2.9	2.6
641	265	Cartwright	Blackstock	4.0	6.8	698	613	Cochrane	Cochrane	2.8	4.3
641	450	Centre Hastings	Madoc	4.0	5.8	698	618	Dunnville	Dunnville	2.8	4.2
641	588	Pauline Johnson	Brantford	4.0	4.7	700	678	Eastdale	Welland	2.7	2.2
641	596	Michipicoten	Wawa	4.0	4.6	701	656	Emery	Toronto	2.6	3.3
641	613	J Clarke Richardson	Ajax	4.0	4.3	701	682	Westview Centennial	Toronto	2.6	1.8
641	626	Clarke Road	London	4.0	4.0	703	606	Barton	Hamilton	2.3	4.4
641	653	O'Gorman	Timmins	4.0	3.4	703	680	Father Henry Carr	Toronto	2.3	2.1
648	559	Keswick	Keswick	3.9	5.0	705	604	John L Forster	Windsor	2.1	4.5
648	588	Monsignor John Pereyma	Oshawa	3.9	4.7	705	682	C W Jefferys	Toronto	2.1	1.8
648	604	Glendale	Hamilton	3.9	4.5	707	678	Central Commerce	Toronto	1.9	2.2
648	640	Weston	Toronto	3.9	3.7	708	673	Fort Erie	Fort Erie	1.8	2.9
648	653	Monsignor Percy Johnson	Toronto	3.9	3.4	709	n/a	Hanmer	Hanmer	1.7	n/a
648	656	Macdonald-Cartier	Sudbury	3.9	3.3	710	n/a	Jean-Vanier	Kirkland Lake	1.6	n/a
648	666	Queen Elizabeth	Kingston	3.9	3.0	711	656	Chelmsford Valley District	Chelmsford	1.5	3.3
655	588	Beaver Brae	Kenora	3.8	4.7	712	629	Kapuskasing	Kapuskasing	1.4	3.9
655	606	Lincoln M Alexander	Mississauga	3.8	4.4	713	677	Queen Elizabeth	Sioux Lookout	0.8	2.3
655	606	Nipigon Red Rock	Red Rock	3.8	4.4	714	685	Alexander Mackenzie	Sarnia	0.6	1.0
655	636	Western	Toronto	3.8	3.8	715	687	Downsview	Toronto	0.4	0.5
655	640	East York	Toronto	3.8	3.7	716	686	Eastern Commerce	Toronto	0.2	0.6
655	640	St Lawrence	Cornwall	3.8	3.7	717	688	College Heights	Guelph	0.0	0.4
655	653	Lakeshore	Toronto	3.8	3.4	717	689	Sir Robert L Borden	Toronto	0.0	0.1
662	479	l'Horizon	Val Caron	3.7	5.6	717	689	Western	Amherstburg	0.0	0.1
662	559	Winston Churchill	Toronto	3.7	5.0	717	691	Bendale	Toronto	0.0	0.0
662	588	Niagara	Niagara-on-the-Lake	3.7	4.7	717	691	Nelson A Boylen	Toronto	0.0	0.0
662	629	David and Mary Thomson	Toronto	3.7	3.9	717	691	North Peel	Brampton	0.0	0.0
662	640	Hagersville	Hagersville	3.7	3.7	717	n/a	Dennis Franklin Cromarty	Thunder Bay	0.0	n/a
662	650	Madonna	Toronto	3.7	3.5	717	n/a	Kernahan Park	St Catharines	0.0	n/a
662	n/a	North Addington	Cloyne	3.7	n/a	717	n/a	Northern Lights	Moosonee	0.0	n/a
669	479	Sacré-Coeur	Sudbury	3.6	5.6	717	n/a	Pelican Falls	Sioux Lookout	0.0	n/a
669	559	Montcalm	London	3.6	5.0	717	n/a	Tollgate Tech	Brantford	0.0	n/a
669	613	Cedarbrae	Toronto	3.6	4.3						
672	606	Midland	Midland	3.5	4.4						

Appendix: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10

The *Overall rating out of 10* is intended to answer the question, “In general, how is the school doing, academically compared with others in the report card?” The following is a simplified description of the procedure used to convert the data received from the Ministry of Education into the *Overall rating out of 10*.

- 1 The results for English and French language examinations are separately subjected to the following procedures.
- 2 The *Average levels* achieved on each of the two grade-9 mathematics tests, the two OSSLT results (FTE and PE), and the indicators of failure on the four test sittings were standardized by calculating Z , which is defined by:

$$Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$$

where X is the individual school’s result, μ is the mean of the all-schools distribution of results, and σ is the standard deviation of the same all-schools distribution.

- 3 The standardized data for results data were then aggregated. The weighting used was the number of student writers of each test relative to the total number of student test writers in the relevant subject area.
- 4 Similarly, the standardized data for the indicators of failure were aggregated using the same method of weighting.
- 5 The *Gender gap* values for the grade-9 mathematics test and the OSSLT were each calculated by determining the absolute value of the difference in the level of achievement (or success rate in the case of the OSSLT) of male students and female students at the school. The results for each subject were then standardized.
- 6 The four standardized indicator results created in steps 3, 4, and 5 were then combined to produce a weighted, average, summary standardized score for the school. The weightings used in these calculations were: combined results indicator—45%; Combined fail rate indicator—45%; Gender gap measures—5% each. For schools where there were fewer than two gender gap results, the weightings for the missing gender gap indicators were assigned to the combined fail rate indicator.
- 7 This summary standardized score was re-standardized.

This standardized score was converted into an overall rating between 0 and 10 as follows:

- 8 The allowable maximum and minimum standardized scores were set at 2.0 and -3.29 respectively. Scores

equal to, or greater than, 2.0 receive an overall rating of 10. This cut-off was chosen because it allows more than one school in a given year to be awarded 10 out of 10. Scores of equal to, or less than, -3.29 receive the lowest overall rating of 0. Schools with scores below -3.29 are likely to be outliers, a statistical term used to denote members of a population that appear to have characteristics substantially different from the rest of the population. We chose, therefore, to set the minimum score so as to disregard such extreme differences.

Note that, beginning with the 2010 edition, the maximum standardized score is set at 2.2, rather than 2.0 as in previous Report Cards. This change has been made to bring the Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools in line with editions produced in other provinces. The effect of this change is to reduce the frequency of schools achieving an overall score of 10.

- 9 The resulting standardized scores were converted into *Overall ratings out of 10* according to the formula:

$$OR = \mu + (\sigma * StanScore)$$

where OR is the resulting *Overall rating out of 10*, μ is the average calculated according to the formula:

$$\mu = (OR_{\min} - 10 (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max})) / (1 - (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max}))$$

where σ is the standard deviation calculated according to the formula:

$$\sigma = (10 - \mu) / Z_{\max}$$

and *StanScore* is the standardized score calculated in (6) above and adjusted as required for minimum and maximum values as noted in (7) above. As noted in (7) above, OR_{\min} equals zero, Z_{\min} equals -3.29; and Z_{\max} equals 2.0.

- 10 Finally, the derived *Overall rating out of 10* is rounded to one place of the decimal to reflect the significant number of places of the decimal in the original raw data.

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating out of 10*, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

About the authors

Peter Cowley

Peter Cowley is the Senior Vice President, Operations and Director of School Performance Studies the Fraser Institute. He graduated from the University of British Columbia with a B.Comm. in 1974. Shortly thereafter, he began a long career in marketing and general management in several sectors. During his assignments in general management, process improvement was a special focus and interest. In 1994, Mr Cowley independently wrote and published *The Parent's Guide*, a popular handbook for parents of British Columbia's secondary-school students. *The Parent's Guide* web site replaced the handbook in 1995.

In 1998, Mr Cowley was co-author of the Fraser Institute's *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia*, the first of the Institute's continuing series of annual reports on school performance. This was followed by *The 1999 Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, *The 1999 Report Card on Alberta's High Schools*, and *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Since then, Mr Cowley has co-authored all of the Institute's annual report cards on Canadian schools. Annual editions now include report cards on secondary schools in British Columbia, Yukon, Alberta, Ontario, and Quebec and on elementary schools in British Columbia, Alberta, and Ontario as well as the occasional *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia*.

Stephen T. Easton

Stephen T. Easton in addition to being a Senior Scholar at the Fraser Institute, is a professor of Economics, a member of the School for International Studies, and an Associate member of the School of Criminology at Simon Fraser University. He received his A.B. from Oberlin College and his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago. Recent works published by the Fraser Institute include *Marijuana Growth in British Columbia* (2004), *Privatizing Prisons* (editor, 1998), *The Costs of Crime: Who Pays and How Much? 1998 Update* (with Paul Brantingham, 1998), and *Rating Global Economic Freedom* (editor, 1992). A co-author of *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia* (1998), *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools* (1999) and the *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia* (2004), he has continued to co-author the *Report Cards* for British Columbia, Alberta, New Brunswick and, most recently, Ontario. Other publications about education include "Do We Have a Problem Yet? Women and Men in Higher Education," in David Laidler (ed.), *Renovating the Ivory Tower: Canadian Universities and the Knowledge Economy* (C.D. Howe Institute, 2002), pp. 60–79; "Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose" in Stephen B. Lawton, Rodney Reed, and Fons van Wieringen, *Restructuring Public Schooling* (Springer-Verlag, 1997) and *Education in Canada: An Analysis of Elementary, Secondary, and Vocational Schooling* (Fraser Institute, 1988). His editorials have been carried by the *Vancouver Sun*, the *Globe and Mail*, the *National Post*, the *Ottawa Citizen*, the Stirling chain and many other newspapers around the country.

Michael Thomas

Michael Thomas is the Associate Director, Report Cards Program, School Performance Studies and Program Director of Children First: School Choice Trust at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from York University with a B.A. in Political Science in 1997. Before joining the Fraser Institute in 2005, Mr. Thomas served as a policy advisor to the Ontario Minister of Education, where his files included adult education, students at risk, new curriculum initiatives, student health initiatives, and the community use of schools. Mr. Thomas is a former public school trustee, who served on the Toronto District School Board from 1997 to 2000. He has also held positions within the City of Toronto, and the Ontario Ministries of Tourism and Recreation and Citizenship.

Acknowledgments

THE W. GARFIELD WESTON

 F O U N D A T I O N 

The Fraser Institute gratefully acknowledges
the generous support for this project received
from The W. Garfield Weston Foundation.

About this publication

Studies in Education Policy are published periodically throughout the year by the Fraser Institute.

Distribution

These publications are available from <http://www.fraserinstitute.org> and <http://www.fraseramerica.org> in Portable Document Format (PDF) and can be read with Adobe Acrobat® 7 or later or with Adobe Reader® 7 or later. Adobe Reader® X, the most recent version, is available free of charge from Adobe Systems Inc. and may be downloaded from: <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>. We encourage you to install the most recent version. Readers who have trouble viewing or printing our PDF files using applications from other manufacturers (e.g., Apple's Preview) should install and use Reader® or Acrobat®.

Ordering publications

For information about ordering the printed publications of the Fraser Institute, please contact the publications coordinator

- ✎ e-mail: sales@fraserinstitute.ca
- ✎ telephone: 604.688.0221 ext. 580 or, toll free, 1.800.665.3558 ext. 580
- ✎ fax: 604.688.8539.

Media

For media enquiries, please contact our Communications Department

- ✎ 604.714.4582
- ✎ e-mail: communications@fraserinstitute.org.

Disclaimer

The authors of this publication have worked independently and opinions expressed by them are, therefore, their own, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the supporters, trustees, or other staff of the Fraser Institute. This publication in no way implies that the Fraser Institute, its trustees, or staff are in favor of, or oppose the passage of, any bill; or that they support or oppose any particular political party or candidate.

Copyright

Copyright© 2011 by the Fraser Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

ISSNs

1707–2395 Studies in Education Policy (English online edition)

1492–1863 Studies in Education Policy (English print edition)

Printed and bound in Canada.

Date of issue

May 2011

Editing

Lindsey Thomas Martin

Typesetting

Nick Murphy

Cover design

Bill Ray

Images for cover

Boys in school ©Steve Stone; iStock

Girl solving a math problem on blackboard ©Bart Coenders; iStock

Attentive reading ©Grigory Bibikov; iStock

Library series ©Willie B. Thomas; iStock

8-year old schoolgirl doing homework ©mamahoohooba; iStock

Student working in class ©Bonnie Jacobs; iStock

Supporting the Fraser Institute

To learn how to support the Fraser Institute, please contact

- ✎ Development Department, Fraser Institute
Fourth Floor, 1770 Burrard Street
Vancouver, British Columbia, V6J 3G7 Canada
- ✎ telephone, toll-free: 1.800.665.3558 ext. 586
- ✎ e-mail: development@fraserinstitute.org.

About the Fraser Institute

Our vision is a free and prosperous world where individuals benefit from greater choice, competitive markets, and personal responsibility. Our mission is to measure, study, and communicate the impact of competitive markets and government interventions on the welfare of individuals. Founded in 1974, we are an independent, Canadian research and educational organization with locations throughout North America and international partners in over 70 countries. Our work is financed by tax-deductible contributions from thousands of individuals, organizations, and foundations. In order to protect its independence, the Institute does not accept grants from government or contracts for research.

Nous envisageons un monde libre et prospère, où chaque personne bénéficie d'un plus grand choix, de marchés concurrentiels et de responsabilités individuelles. Notre mission consiste à mesurer, à étudier et à communiquer l'effet des marchés concurrentiels et des interventions gouvernementales sur le bien-être des individus.

Nuestra visión es un mundo libre y próspero donde los individuos se benefician de una mayor oferta, la competencia en los mercados y la responsabilidad individual. Nuestra misión es medir, estudiar y comunicar el impacto de la competencia en los mercados y la intervención gubernamental en el bienestar de los individuos.

Editorial Advisory Board

Prof. Armen Alchian

Prof. James Gwartney

Prof. Terry Anderson

Prof. H.G. Johnson*

Prof. Robert Barro

Prof. Ronald W. Jones

Prof. Michael Bliss

Dr. Jerry Jordan

Prof. James M. Buchanan†

Prof. Ross McKittrick

Prof. Jean-Pierre Centi

Prof. Michael Parkin

Prof. John Chant

Prof. F.G. Pennance*

Prof. Bev Dahlby

Prof. Friedrich Schneider

Prof. Erwin Diewert

Prof. L.B. Smith

Prof. Stephen Easton

Prof. George Stigler*†

Prof. J.C. Herbert Emery

Mr. Vito Tanzi

Prof. Jack L. Granatstein

Sir Alan Walters

Prof. Herbert G. Grubel

Prof. Edwin G. West*

Prof. Friedrich A. Hayek*†

* deceased; † Nobel Laureate